

**Proceedings of the Ninth Session of the Assam Legislative
Assembly assembled after the Second General Election
under the Sovereign Democratic Republican
Constitution of India**

The Assembly met in the Assembly Chamber, Shillong at 10 A. M.
on Saturday, the 29th October, 1960.

P R E S E N T

Shri Mahendra Mohan Choudhury, B.L., Speaker in the Chair three
Ministers, three Deputy Ministers and sixty-three Members.

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

STARRED QUESTIONS

(To which oral answers were given)

**Regarding admission of Matric failed students in the Dhubri High
School**

Maulavi JAHANUDDIN AHMED (Bilasipara) asked :

*102. Will the Minister-in-charge of Education be pleased to state—

- (a) Whether it is a fact that just after the last matriculation result, 2 or 3 failed students approached the Head Master, Dhubri High English School for admission and the Head Master asked them to produce their respective marks sheets ?
- (b) Whether it is a fact that these students were not admitted though they produced their mark-sheets ?
- (c) Whether it is also a fact that after that the said Headmaster gave admission to other matric failed boys without any mark-sheet ?

Shri RADHIKA RAM DAS (Deputy Minister, Education)
replied:

102. (a)—Yes.

(b)—Candidates producing mark-sheets and securing better marks were admitted.

(c)—No.

†**Maulavi JAHANUDDIN AHMED** : Sir, may I know from the hon. Minister the marks of the candidates who were admitted and also the mark of the candidates who are not admitted ?

†**Shri RADHIKA RAM DAS** : No. How can I give that marks Sir, the marks are at Dhubri, it is not with me.

Regarding the bad results of the Dhubri Government High School

Maulavi JAHANUDDIN AHMED (Bilasipara) asked :

*103. Will the Minister-in-charge of Education be pleased to state—

- (a) The result of the Matriculation examination of the Dhubri Government High English School along with the result of other Schools of the Subdivision ?
- (b) Whether it is a fact that all the teachers of the said School are qualified ?
- (c) Whether it is a fact that the teachers of the said School have more than one private tuition ?
- (d) Whether Government is aware that boys having no private tuition do not generally pass the annual examination ?
- (e) Whether Government is aware that most of the teachers having more than one private tuition come tired to the School and are not in a position to teach the students ?
- (f) Whether there was lately any complaint about the matter to the Headmaster ?

*104. Will the Minister-in-charge of Education be pleased to state—

- (a) Whether any of the teacher of Dhubri Government High School took permission to have private tuition from the authority ?
- (b) Whether Government have investigated about the cause of very bad result in the Matriculation examination of the above School last year and before though the teachers are all qualified ?
- (c) Whether the Government is aware of the public feeling that the bad result of the School is due to the lack of interest of the teachers in the matter of teaching in the School due to their remaining mainly devoted to private tuition ?
- (d) Whether Government are aware that the Headmaster of the Dhubri Government High School does not take class though it is shown in the routine ?
- (e) Whether Government have lately received any report to the effect that the present Headmaster of the said School remains busy with politics than with studies of the students ?
- (f) Whether Government have received any report to the effect that the said Headmaster is not pulling on well with the local teachers and the public of the Dhubri town for his bad temper ?

Shri RADHIKA RAM DAS (Deputy Minister, Education) replied :

103. (a)—A statement is placed on the Table.

(b)—Yes.

(c)—No.

(d)—No.

(e)—It may be so. It is a matter of opinion.

(f)—No such complaint was received by the Headmaster.

104. (a)—Yes.

(b)—No. The results of the School have not been very bad in previous years but it was not good last year. An enquiry is being made.

(c)—Government is not aware.

(d)—The Headmaster took Mathematics class last year till September 1959. In the current year he does not take any regular class as the staff is now adequate and he is busy with supervision and office works.

(e)—The Headmaster is in no way connected with any political organisation and no such report is also received.

(f)—No such report has been received.

†**Maulavi JAHANUDDIN AHMED:** (Bilasipara) Sir, it appears from the statement placed on the Library Table that the percentage of pass has come to 16.4. What is the reason of such low percentage, Sir?

†**Shri RADHIKARAM DAS:** Sir, this school was raised to Higher Secondary School from this year. So all the students of the class X were allowed to appear in the Matriculation Examination as that was their last chance. That is the reasons for which the percentage has come down.

†**Maulavi JAHANUDDIN AHMED:** Sir, the statements placed on the Library Table stands like this—the number of students appeared 41, passed 26, now am I am to understand that there should be more than 41 candidates.....

Mr. SPEAKER: The Minister says that the reasons of such low percentage is due to the fact that there was no screening in the test examination. All the students were allowed to appear in the Matriculation Examination as that was the last chance for these students. Hence the low percentage of pass.

†**Maulavi JAHANUDDIN AHMED:** Sir, I have failed to reconcile as to how the Minister has come to this conclusion. Sir, it is shown in the Library Table that from the J. N. Academy the number of students appeared as 23 in 1960 and passed 28. How is it possible, Sir?

†**Shri RADHIKA RAM DAS:** I am sorry Sir, there is certain mistakes.

†**Maulavi JAHANUDDIN AHMED (Bilasipara)** : Sir, again there is another mistake. In 1960, the number of students appeared from one school was 98 and number of pass students were 24 and the percentage is shown as 24.5. Now am I to understand that the mistake is due to the low standard of education as shown in the statement ?

†**Shri RADHIKA RAM DAS (Deputy Minister, Education)** : I am sorry, there may be some mistakes.

Mr. SPEAKER : The hon. Minister is very weak in Mathematics.

Regarding the stopping of Arabic classes in the Dhubri High School

Maulavi JAHANUDDIN AHMED (Bilasipara) asked :

*105. Will the Minister-in-charge of Education be pleased state—

- (a) Whether it is a fact that the present Headmaster of the Dhubri Government High English School stopped the Arabic classes of the school from 1st March 1959 till the receipt of the order from the authority to re-open the same ?
- (b) Whether Government have enquired about the reason of stopping the Arabic class by the said Headmaster ?
- (c) What action Government has taken in the matter ?
- (d) Whether it is a fact that the said Headmaster asked the Arabic teacher for his explanation on the suspicion that he has reported the matter to the authority ?
- (e) Whether the Headmaster had any authority to stop the Arabic classes in the Dhubri Government High English School.

Shri RADHIKA RAM DAS (Deputy Minister, Education) replied :

105. (a)—No.

(b) & (c)—Do not arise.

(d)—Yes, for writing to the Inspector of Schools directly.

(e)—Does not arise in view of reply to above).

†**Maulavi JAHANUDDIN AHMED (Bilasipara)**: Sir, in reply to (a) it is said as 'No', but again in reply to (d) it is said as 'yes' for writing to the Inspector of Schools directly, may I know whether the Head Master asked the Arabic Teacher to stop the classes and if so why action is taken against him ?

†**Shri RADHIKA RAM DAS (Deputy Minister, Education)**: Action is taken against the Arabic Teacher because he wrote directly to the Inspector of Schools.

†**Maulavi JAHANUDDIN AHMED**: Sir, may I know what is the contents of the letter ?

†**Shri RADHIKA RAM DAS**: I am sorry, I do not have a copy of the letter.

†**Maulavi JAHANUDDIN AHMED**: Will the Hon'ble Deputy Minister supply me a copy of this letter later on ?

†**Shri RADHIKA RAM DAS**: Yes.

**Regarding the staying of College students and teachers in the
Dhubri Government High School Hostel**

Maulavi JAHANUDDIN AHMED asked :

*106. Will the Minister-in-charge of Education be pleased to state—

- (a) Whether it is a fact that there are no mess committee in the Dhubri Government School Hostel ?
- (b) Whether it is a fact that one Dhoniram Das is the permanent Hostel Manager of the School Hostel ?
- (c) Whether Government are aware that he is the pet man of the present Headmaster of Dhubri Government High School ?
- (d) Whether it is a fact that some teachers and also some college students are allowed to stay with the school students of the Hostels ?
- (e) If so, why ?
- (f) How long the college students and the teachers are staying in the school hostel along with the school hostel boys ?

Shri RADHIKA RAM DAS (Deputy Minister, Education) replied :

106. (a)—No. There is a mess committee.
- (b)—No.
- (c)—No.
- (d)—Yes, only some outside teachers and their wards, the latter being college students were allowed to stay in the hostel temporarily on the request of the Principal of the college.
- (e)—Due to dearth of rented accommodation.
- (f)—One teacher has been staying since August 1957, upto date. The other six teachers and three college students who stayed for sometime only have since left.

Maulavi JAHANUDDIN AHMED (Bilasipara): With regard to (f), why this teacher has been allowed to stay in the Hostel so long from the 15th August 1957 to upto date ?

Shri RADHIKA RAM DAS (Deputy Minister of Education): There is dearth of accommodation at Dhubri and since there was accommodation in the hostel he was allowed to remain there without causing any inconvenience to the students.

Shri JAHANUDDIN AHMED: Am I to understand that is accommodation is not available teachers will be allowed to stay in the hostels along with the students ?

Shri RADHIKA RAM DAS: If there be accommodation in the hostel, the Inspector of Schools can allow any teacher to stay there temporarily. This particular teacher also was allowed by the Inspector of Schools to stay there.

Regarding rate of meal charged from outsiders by the Headmaster Dhubri Government High School

Maulavi JAHAN UDDIN AHMED asked :

*107. Will the Minister-in-charge of Education be pleased to state—

- (a) Whether Government are aware that one hostel menial named Sukheswar Mahat acts as personal servant of the present Headmaster of the Dhubri Government High School ?
- (b) Whether Government are aware that the said Headmaster exacts very heavy sum from the Middle English and Middle Vernacular examinees who are allowed to stay in the Government Hostel during the time of their final examinations ?
- (c) Whether it is a fact that the guardians of many such students complained about the matter ?
- (d) What is the rate of meal that was charged from such examinees during the year 1959 ?

Shri RADHIKA RAM DAS replied :

107. (a)—No.

(b)—No. The examinees paid only usual mess dues.

(c)—No complaints were received.

(d)—Only Rs.2 (Rupees two) per diem.

(Starred Question No.103 standing in the name of Maulavi Jahanuddin Ahmed was not allowed to put by the Speaker as the hon. Member had already put more than five questions).

(Starred Question No.109 standing in she name of Shri Tarun Sen Dekka was not put and answered as the hon. Member was absent).

Regarding failure of Police and Civil Administration in North Kamrup due to recent July disturbances

Shri PRABHAT NARAYAN CHOUDHURY (Nalbari-East) asked:

*110. Will the Minister-in-charge of Home be pleased to state—

- (a) Whether it is a fact that in July 1960 due to disturbances Civil and Police administration in North Kamrup practically failed from 4th July 1960 to 6th July 1960 ?
- (b) Whether it is a fact that tightening of security measures at Gauhati Town was done at the cost of the rural area in North bank of Gauhati Subdivision ?
- (c) Whether Government is aware of the growing importance of starting a separate Civil subdivision at Nalbari for better administration ?
- (d) Whether it is a fact that the district officer of Kamrup both Civil and Police failed to alert their subordinate corresponding Officers at Tamulpur and Rangiya due to whose negligence the occurrences at Goreswar on 4th July 1960 and at Tengajhar area on 5th July 1960 could take place ?

Shri FAKHRUDDIN ALI AHMED (Minister, Finance for Chief Minister) replied.:

"110. (a)—No. Civil administration functioned in many aspects and branches. Though the law and order situation in the Goreswar area could not be coped with temporarily, it did not fail in the whole of North Kamrup.

(b)—No, but till the night of the 4th July, no armed force was sent out of Gauhati Town. Perhaps it was felt that the force position at Gauhati did not justify reducing the number at Gauhati and the situation then prevailing in the rural areas in North Kamrup before 4th July also did not call for such action. The troops arrived in the evening of 4th July.

(c)—Government have considered the growing importance of Nalbari Town. Although Government have not formally constituted a Subdivision at Nalbari, quite a number of facilities have been provided these from the administrative point of view.

(d) No. Previous intimation or apprehension of breach of peace was there. Hence the question of alerting did not arise."

(Starred Question No.111 standing in the name of Shri Tarun Sen Deka was not put and answered as the hon. Member was absent).

Regarding want of Rural Institute in Assam**Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA (Saikhowa)** asked :***112.** Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state—

- (a) Whether it is a fact that students of Assam were educated at Rural Institute at Sriniketan in West Bengal as there was no such institution in Assam ?
- (b) Whether Government have included in the 3rd Five-Year Plan to establish a Rural Institute in Assam ?

Shri RADHIKA RAM DAS (Deputy Minister, Education) replied :

112. (a)—Yes.

(b)—No.

Shrimati KAMAL KUMARI BARUA (Katonigaon) :
Rural Institute ত কি কি বিষয়ে শিক্ষা দিয়া হয় চৰকাৰে কব পাৰেনে ?**The number of publications of false rumours in the different newspapers during the period of June to September 1960****Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA** asked :***113** Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state—

- (a) Whether it is a fact that in the Policy statement published by the Government on 30th August 1960, it was alleged that certain sections of the Press endangered the peace of the State by publishing false rumours or exaggerated accounts of real happenings ?
- (b) The number of publication of false rumours in different newspapers published from Calcutta in the month of June 1960 of happenings in Assam ?
- (c) The number of publication of false rumours published in different newspapers published from Gauhati in the month of June 1960 ?
- (d) The number of publication of false rumours published in different newspapers of Calcutta in the month of July 1960 about happening in Assam ?
- (e) The number of publication of false rumours in different newspapers published from Gauhati in the month of July 1960 ?
- (f) The number of publication of false rumours in the months of August and September 1960 published in different newspapers from Calcutta about happenings in Assam ?
- (g) The number of publication of false rumours published in Gauhati papers in the months of September and October 1960 ?
- (h) Whether Government have information about publication of false rumours published in other newspapers in India about the happenings in Assam during the months of June, July, August and September 1960 ?

Shri FAKHRUDDIN ALI AHMED (Minister, Finance for Chief Minister) replied :

113. (a)—Yes.

(b)—One.

(c)—Nil.

(d)—27.

(e)—4.

(f)—64.

(g)—Nil.

(h)—As far as Government are aware no false news items, as meant by the Hon'ble Member, were published in Indian newspapers other than those published from West Bengal.

(Starred Question Nos.114 to 116 were not put and answered as the Members concerned were not present).

Regarding maintenance of seniority in Service

Shri MOHIDHAR PEGOO [Jorhat (Reserved for Scheduled Tribes)] asked :

*117. Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state—

(a) Whether the Government maintains seniority of service of the Government officers of the regular cadre who proceeded to foreign countries for higher training in their respective subject on Government Scholarships, on resuming their original posts (if a higher post is not allotted) after successful completion of their diploma or degree assigned to them in respect of the fact as to what form of leave was originally granted to them ?

(b) If not, whether Government propose to consider the matter of maintaining seniority of such officers in view of their acquiring greater experiences in foreign countries ?

Shri FAKHRUDDIN ALI AHMED replied :

117. (a)—Government permits the officer to maintain his seniority normally. However, information has been called for as regards instances if any of deviation.

(b)—Does not arise.

Regarding the number and the date of appointment of District Social Education Officers

Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA (Saikhowa) asked :

*118. Will the Minister-in-charge of Education be pleased to state—

- (a) The number of District Social Education Officers in the State with the date of appointment in each case ?
- (b) How many of the District Social Education Officers have their training in Social Education before their appointment as such ?
- (c) How many of the District Social Education Officers have been trained after their appointment and what is the nature of their training ?
- (d) Whether these District Social Education Officers have any relation with the Social Education Organisers in Community Development Block ?
- (e) If so, what is the relation ?
- (f) Whether it is a fact that the Social Education Organisers in Development Blocks are to undergo a course of training in Social Education almost compulsorily ?

Shri RADHIKA RAM DAS (Deputy Minister, Education) replied :

118. (a)—Seven District Social Education Officers in the State with the dates of the appointments given below—

On 28th September 1956 (2 District Social Education Officers were appointed).

On 3rd July 1958 (one District Social Education Officer was appointed).

On 17th October 1958 (3 District Social Education Officers were appointed).

On 20th January 1959 (one District Social Education Officer was appointed).

(b)—None.

(c)—Two District Social Education Officers have been trained after their appointment. The nature of their training are Social Education including Audio-visual Education in the Training Centres.

(d) & (e)—Yes. The District Social Education Officers act as technical advisers and guide the Social Education organisers in Community Project Department in implementing the schemes concerning Social Education in their respective blocks.

(f)—Yes.

(Starred Question No.119 standing in the name of Shri Tarun Sen Deka was not put and answered as the hon. Member was absent).

Regarding defacing and demolishing of the Assamese Signboards at Shillong

Shrimati KAMAL KUMARI BARUA (Katonigaon) asked :

*120. Will the Minister-in-charge of Home be pleased to state—

- (a) Whether it is a fact that public signboards written in Assamese are defaced and demolished at Shillong on last May ?
- (b) If so, what actions are taken by the Government for destroying the public property ?
- (c) How many cases of assaults and stabbing took place at Shillong since last 21st May 1960 till today ?
- (d) Number and names of persons arrested for these incidents ?

Shri FAKHRUDDIN ALI AHMED (Minister, Finance for Chief Minister) replied :

120. (a)—Fifteen signboards were defaced on the night of 19th and 20th May last.

(b)—There was no destruction of property. A case was registered and investigation was made. Preventive measures such as intensive patrolling were taken up.

(c)—Ten assault cases and 11 stabbing cases.

(d)—Seventeen persons were arrested for these offence
They are—

- (1) Amal Kanti Deb of New Colony.
- (2) Brojendra Kumar Nandi of New Colony.
- (3) U Niki Roy, 2nd Year class, St. Edmund's College Shillong.
- (4) Inor Nobarlo Pakyintine of G. S. Road, Shillong.
- (5) U Manar Kubar of Goalapatty.
- (6) Elmol Nangpok of Mawprem.
- (7) Hari Rajkhowa of Shillong.
- (8) Sibro Prosad Sarma of Kench's Trace.
- (9) Pradyut Kumar De of Lower Mawprem.
- (10) Sudhanshu Goswami of Kench's Trace.
- (11) Animesh Bhattacharjee of Garikhana.
- (12) Bimol Kumar Choudhury of Jail Road.
- (13) Robijyoti Choudhury of Jail Road.
- (14) Parimal Kumar of Jail Road.
- (15) Srikanta Dhar, Driver of Shillong.
- (16) Chandra Kanta Changmai of Amalapatty, Nowgong.
- (17) Syamal Biswas of Shillong.

Regarding the cases of bomb explosion at Shillong

Shrimati KAMAL KUMARI BARUA (Katonigaon) asked :

***121.** Will the Minister-in-charge of Home be pleased to state—

- (a) Whether it is a fact that three cases of bomb explosion at Shillong took place recently ?
- (b) Whether the cases have been investigated ?
- (c) If so, what are the findings and actions taken by Government ?

***Shri FAKHRUDDIN ALI AHMED (Finance Minister for Chief Minister)** replied :

121. (a)—Yes.

(b)—Yes.

(c)—All the cases were investigated and further investigation are in progress.

The number of killed and injured persons during the last disturbances

Shri GHANASHYAM TALUKDAR (Sorbhog) asked :

***122.** Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state—

- (a) How many persons have been (i) killed and (ii) injured in the last disturbances in the State of Assam during the month of July ?
- (b) Who are responsible for these disturbances in Assam ?

Shri BIMALA PRASAD CHALIHA (Chief Minister) replied :

122. (a)—Forty persons killed and 508 persons injured.

(b)—Regarding reply to this question for the present can only be a matter of opinion as several factors are responsible for these disturbances.

(Starred Question No.123 standing in the name of Shri Tarun Sen Deka was not put and answered as the hon. Member was absent).

Establishment of a branch of the State Bank at Nazira

Shri TANKESWAR CHETIA (Nazira) asked :

***124.** Will the Minister-in-charge of Finance be pleased to state—

- (a) Whether he received a copy of the resolution of the Nazira Town Committee meeting demanding a branch of the State Bank ?
- (b) What action Government has taken on that resolution ?
- (c) Whether Government propose to move the Government of India to start a branch of the State Bank at Nazira within the Third Plan period ?

Shri FAKHRUDDIN ALI AHMED (Minister, Finance) replied :

124. (a)—Yes.

(b)—A copy of the resolution was forwarded to the State Bank of India authorities for necessary action.

(c)—Not at the stage. The selection of the places is done in accordance with the programme drawn up by the Central Government from time to time in consultation with the Reserve Bank and the State Bank.

(Starred Question No.125 standing on the name of Shri Tarun Sen Deka was not put and answered as the hon. Member was absent).

Regarding removal of certain offices to the Plains

Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA (Saikhowa) asked :

***126.** Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state—

- (a) Whether Government is aware that there is a demand from the public, specially from the Plains Districts, that certain Heads of Departments directly and mainly connected with the Plains Districts should be shifted to Gauhati or other places in the plains immediately ?
- (b) Whether it is a fact that availability of land is also acute at Shillong for expansion of offices and residential quarters of officers and staffs ?
- (c) Whether Government propose to remove certain offices to the plains with immediate effect ?
- (d) If so, what are the offices so proposed to be shifted ?

Shri FAKHRUDDIN ALI AHMED (Minister, Finance for Chief Minister) replied :

126. (a)—Yes.

(b)—Yes.

(c) & (d)—Government propose to shift the office of the Commissioner of Plains Division to Gauhati immediately and also some other offices as may be selected taking into account administrative and public convenience.

Regarding location of offices of different Departments at Dibrugarh

Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA (Saikhowa) asked :

*127. Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state—

- (a) Whether Government are aware that offices of different Departments such as Cottage Industries, Weaving Sericulture, Fishery, Veterinary and Animal Husbandry, Agriculture and others are scattered at different places at Dibrugarh ?
- (b) Whether Government are aware that the location of these offices are in such places of the town where a villager cannot find them easily ?
- (c) Whether it is a fact that there is no source of information available to the village people about location of these offices in the Dibrugarh town ?
- (d) Whether it is a fact that the then Deputy Commissioner, Dibrugarh, Shri D. Das, I.A.S., submitted proposal to bring all the different offices concerning the rural population within one premises ?

Shri FAKHRUDDIN ALI AHMED (Minister, Finance for Chief Minister) replied :

127. (a)—Yes.

(b) & (c)—Government agree that the offices are not conveniently located.

(d)—Yes.

(Starred Question Nos.128 and 129 standing in the name of Shri Devendra Nath Hazarika were not allowed to put by the Speaker as the hon. Member had already asked more than five questions),

(Starred Question No.130 standing in the name of Shri Tarun Sen Dekha was not put and answered as the hon. Member was absent).

Regarding the subject "State Language"

Shri HARESWAR GOSWAMI (Rampur) asked :

*131. Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state—

- (a) Whether it is a fact that the subject "State Language" was originally dealt with by the Education Department for several years ?
- (b) Whether it is a fact that the subject "State Language" has now been transferred to Political Department ?
- (c) If so, when and why ?
- (d) Whether it is a fact that the said subject has been allowed to be dealt with by non-Assamese Ministerial Officers only ?
- (e) If so, why ?
- (f) Whether it is a fact that the Superintendent, Political Department who happened to be Assamese has been removed from the Political Department just after the subject "State Language" was transferred there ?
- (g) If so, why ?

Shri FAKHRUDDIN ALI AHMED (Minister, Finance for Chief Minister) replied :

131. (a) & (b)—It is not a fact that the subject "State Language" has been transferred to Political Department. It has been dealt with mostly in Education Department and by the Secretary himself. Due however, to the Secretary's illness the matter is being dealt with temporarily in the Home Department.

(c)—Does not arise.

(d)—It is not a fact that the subject has been allowed to be dealt with by non-Assamese Ministerial Officers only. Assistants belonging to different communities, viz., Assamese, Bengali and Khasi were dealing with the subject from time to time. Although the assistant dealing with the subject at present may not be an Assamese, papers on the subject are simply put up by him to the officers who pass final orders. All important matters are however dealt with by the Secretary himself.

(e)—Does not arise in view of (d) above.

(f)—Does not arise as the subject is dealt in the Education Department.

(g)—Does not arise.

Regarding settlement of landless people of Sibsagar in Ahom Pathar and Tirupathar

Shri TANKESWAR CHETIA (Nazira) asked :

***132. Will the Minister-in-charge of Revenue be pleased to state—**

- (a) Whether Government are aware of a vast contiguous area lying in between the Tiru Hills and the Naga Hills-Tuensang Area's boundary, popularly known as the Ahom Pathar and the Tirupathar in the Sibsagar Subdivision ?
- (b) Whether it is a fact that the area is very fertile and plain and will be suitable for rehabilitation of about 1 (one) lakh people ?
- (c) Whether it is a fact that some 50 families belonging to the ex-tea garden communities have been living there near Cherideo Hills since a long time ?
- (d) Whether any officer of the Government has ever visited the area ?
- (e) If so, when ?
- (f) Whether Government propose to arrange for survey of the entire area with proper demarcations in the interest of landless people of Sibsagar and also in the greater interest of the State ?
- (g) Whether Government is aware that the Ahom Pathar was the source of iron ore during the rule of the Ahom Kings ?
- (h) Whether Government propose to move the Government of India to explore the potentiality of iron in Ahom Pathar and Tirupathar ?
- (i) Whether Government propose to arrange for planned settlement of landless people of Sibsagar in Ahom Pathar and Tirupathar ?

Shri HARESWAR DAS (Minister, Revenue) replied :

132. (a) to (i)—The information has been called for but could not be collected due to shortness of time.

Non-Cadastral areas covered and occupied by Cherideo Purbat Tea Estate, Legibor Tea Estate, Jabaka Tea Estate, Suntak Tea Estate, etc.

Shri TANKESWAR CHETIA asked :

***133. Will the Minister-in-charge of Revenue be pleased to state—**

- (a) Whether survey is being carried out to find out non-cadastral areas covered and occupied by Cherideo Purbat Tea Estate, Legibor Tea Estate, Muttrapore Tea Estate, Kanubari Tea Estate, Jabaka Tea Estate, Suntak Tea Estate, of Sibsagar Subdivision ?

- (b) Whether it is a fact that some 17,000 bighas have been found non-cadastral in the first three above mentioned gardens alone ?
- (c) Whether Government have received any public representations regarding settlement of these 17,000 bighas of non-cadastral area with the landless people of Sibsagar Sub-division ?
- (d) How Government have decided to dispose of the representations ?

Shri HARESWAR DAS (Minister, Revenue) replied :

133. (a) to (d)—The information has been called for but could not be collected due to shortness of time.

(Starred Question Nos. 134 to 140 were not and answered as the hon. Members concerned were either not present or not allowed to put by the hon. Speaker).

Complaints regarding eviction cases of Barduarmukh, Nazira

Shri TANKESWAR CHETIA (Nazira) asked :

*141. Will the Minister-in-charge of Revenue be pleased to state—

- (a) Whether Government have received any complaint regarding eviction cases of Barduarmukh Nazira ?
- (b) Whether it is a fact that the people of Barduarmukh have been living there since 150 years ?
- (c) Whether Government are aware that the inhabitants have been enjoying their land by paying revenue to the Assam Tea Company Ltd., since 150 years ?
- (d) Whether Government are aware that the land in this village is neither suitable for tea cultivation nor for any ancillary purposes ?
- (e) Whether Government will allow the Company to continue to enjoy the land as free simple grant in spite of the fact that the cultivators have been there for a very long time ?
- (f) Whether Government are aware the Assam Company is trying to sell this land on high price ?
- (g) Whether it is a fact that some persons have been evicted with the help of court by the Company and the lands are being sold to other parties ?
- (h) How many such cases have been filed and disposed of ?
- (i) Whether Government propose to grant tenancy rights to these inhabitants of Barduarmukh gaon so that the Company may not victimise them ?

Shri HARESWAR DAS (Minister, Revenue) replied :

141. (a) to (i)—Information have been called for from the local officers

(Starred Question Nos. 142 and 143 standing in the name of Shri Gauri Sankar Bhattacharyya were not put and answered as hon. Member was absent).

Regarding recent flood-affected places of Assam

Shri GHANASHYAM TALUKDAR (Sorbhog) asked :

***144.** Will the Minister-in-charge of Revenue be pleased to state—

- (a) What are the places in the State of Assam where floods occurred during 1960 ?

How many persons and how many bighas of lands have been affected by this flood in Assam ?

- (c) What measures have been taken by Government to relieve the distressed persons ?

Shri HARESWAR DAS (Minister, Revenue) replied :

144. (a)—Following are the places where flood occurred—

Dibrugarh Subdivision—

Sissi, Dhemaji, Larua, Sepon, Tengakhat, Tipling, Fakial, Jaipur, Tingkhong, Saikhowa, Sossoni, Buridehing, Rahimonia, Bogdung, Mankotta, Jarimah, Khowang, Lengrir and Moran Mauza.

North Lakhimpur Subdivision—

Dhalpur, Narayanpur, Narayanpur Kherajkhat, Bihpuria, Naubaisa, Laluk, Lakhimpur, Kadam, Telahi, Gohai, Machkhowa, Dhakua-khana and Bardalani Mauzas.

Jorhat Subdivision—

Salmora, Kamalabari and Ahatguri Mauzas of Majuli Circle. Parts of Hazari, Baligaon, Parbatia, Hatigarh, Teok, Simaluguri and Chowkhat Mauzas of Jorhat Circle.

Sibsagar Subdivision—

Jakaichuk, Nitaipukhuri, Panidehing, Thowra, Bokota, Hachara, Betbari, Kowarpur, Morabazar, Solaguri and Matekabangaon Mauzas.

Golaghat Subdivision—

Both sides of Brahmaputra and Subansiri river and the banks of Dosoi and Kolakata rivers of Ahatguri Mauzas. Half of the Dergaon Circle and some low-lying villages to the south of the Gelabil Areas between the Brahmaputra and the Embankment in Kaziranga and Bokakhat Mauzas and the areas to the North of the Gelabeel in Mahura Mauzas.

Nowgong District—

Jarabari, Kampur, Garubat, Jugijan, Jamunamukh, Sahari, Uttorkhola, Tetelia, Manaha, Mayang, Pakaria, Dhing, Chalchali, Laokhowa, Bheleuguri, Alitangani, part of Juria, part of Jagial, part of Batadrava, and part of Gobha Mauzas.

Tezpur Subdivision—

Barchala, Singri, Bargaon, Naharbari, Bihaguri, Mahabhairab, Bhairabpad, Garaimari, Balipara, Gahpur, Kalangpur, Brahmajan and Behali Mauzas.

Mangaldai Subdivision—

Rongamati, Sipajhar, Lokrai, Rainakuchi, Hindughop, Chopai, Silpota and Kalaigaon Mauzas.

Gauhati Subdivision—

Chaygaon, Pantan, Luki, Bekeli, Paschim-Chamaria, Boko, Pub-Chamaria, and Bangaon Mauzas of Chaygaon Circle. Rampur, Ramcharani, part of Barduar, Bholagaon, Chayani and Dakhinrani Mauzas of Palasbari Circle. Beltola, Ramcharani, Panbari, Sonapur and Dimoria Mauzas of Gauhati Circle. Madertola, Pubpar, Karara, Patidarrang, Borbangsor and Silasundarighopa Mauzas of Kamalpur Circle. Eight Mauzas of Rangia Circle. Upper-Barbhag, Batahghila, Dharmapur, Khetri Dharmapur, Uttar Barkhetri, Pokowa, Bahjani, Khata and Natun Dehar Mauzas of Nalbari Circle. Nam-Borbhag, Tihu, Namati, Pashim Baska, Uttar Baska, and Madhyam Baska Mauzas of Tihu Circle. Pub Baska and Defili Mauzas of Tamulpur Circle. Hajo, Ramdiya, Pub Barkhetri, Paschim Barkhetri, Madhyam Barkhetri, Pub Bangsor and Paschim Bongsor Mauzas of Hajo Circle.

Bardeta Subdivision—

Barpeta, Paka, Sarukhetri, Betbari, Bhabanipur, Nowgong and Chenga Mauzas of Barpeta Circle. Baghbar, Titapani, Jania, Mandia and Bagribari Mauzas of Baghbar Circle. Rupasi, Ghilajari, Damka-Chakabausi and Kharijan-Bijni Mauzas of Barnagar Circle. Sariha, Hastinapur, Uttar Bajali and Pub Bajali Mauzas of Bajali Circle.

Dhubri Subdivision—

Whole of Mankachar and South Salmara Police Station and part of Dhubri and Bilasipara Police Station.

Goalpara Subdivision—

Simulkandi, Kantapur, Falimari, Kharubhaj, Likiripara and Takimari villages of Lakhipur Thana were affected by flood during early part of 1960. Srijangram-Barghola, Bahati, Balapara, Singimari, Ramachari were also affected in the month of July 1960. During September flood, Agia Hill side, Krishnai, Dudnai and Rangjuli areas were affected.

Kokrajhar Subdivision—

Only Basugaon area was affected.

(b)—Dibrugarh Subdivision—

Persons affected	85,998 Numbers.
Areas affected	2,09,329 Bighas.

North Lakhimpur Subdivision—

Persons affected	80,000 Numbers.
Areas affected	49,731 Bighas.

Jorhat Subdivision—

Persons affected	80,866 Numbers.
Areas affected	243,926 Bighas.

Golaghat Subdivision—

Persons affected	41,000 Numbers.
Areas affected	4,21,000 Bighas.

Sibsagar Subdivision—

Persons affected	14,450 Numbers.
Areas affected	50,000 Bighas.

Nowgong District—

Persons affected	50,000 Numbers.
Areas affected	1,20,000 Bighas.

Tezpur Subdivision—

Persons affected	25,516 Numbers.
Areas affected	64,746 Bighas.

Mangaldai Subdivision—

Persons affected ... 50,000 Numbers.
Areas affected ... 6,000 Bighas.

Gauhati Subdivision—

Persons affected ... 3,07,727 Numbers.
Areas affected ... 3,76,975 Bighas.

Mangaldai Subdivision—

Persons affected ... 50,000 Numbers.
Areas affected ... 6,000 Bighas.

Gauhati Subdivision—

Persons affected ... 3,07,727 Numbers.
Areas affected ... 3,76,975 Bighas.

Barpeta Subdivision—

Persons affected .. 1,27,984 Numbers.
Areas affected ... 65,634 Bighas.

Dhubri Subdivision—

Persons affected ... 2,00,000 Numbers.
Areas affected ... 1,23,520 Bighas.

Goalpara Subdivision—

Information is being collected.

Kokrajhar Subdivision—

Persons affected ... 7,500 Numbers.
Areas affected ... 4,750 Bighas.

(c)—The following relief have been sanctioned to relieve the distress of affected people—

	Gratuitous Relief	Rehabilitation Loan	Distress Loan	Agricultural loan to be issued through Co- operative Society
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
1. Dibrugarh	25,000	...	50,000	2,00,000
2. N. Lakhimpur	25,000	3,00,000
3. Jorhat	25,000	2,50,000
4. Sibsagar	30,000	...	75,000	3,00,000
5. Golaghat	15,000	20,000	10,000	5,50,000
6. Tezpur	15,000	..	3,000	3,00,000
7. Mangaldai	5,000	3,00,000
8. Nowgong	25,000	7,00,000
9. Gauhati	47,500	...	36,000	4,50,000
10. Barpeta	40,000	2,50,000
11. Dhubri	40,000	...	2,00,000	2,50,000
12. Kokrajhar	15,000	1,50,000
13. Goalpara	38,500	40,000	2,00,000	2,50,000
Total ...	3,46,000	60,000	5,74,000	50,00,000

(Starred Question No.145 standing in the name of Shri Devendra Nath Hazarika was not put and answered as the hon. Speaker did not allow to put).

Number of persons arrested throughout the State during the language disturbances

Shri GHANASHYAM TALUKDAR (Sorbhog) asked :

*146. Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state—

- (a) What are the places in the State of Assam where disturbances occurred in the month of July 1960 on the language issue ?
- (b) The number of persons arrested throughout the whole State of Assam in connection with the disturbance (Sub-division-wise) ?
- (c) How many persons took refuge in Camps in Assam during that period ?
- (d) Why the Government could not take adequate steps to prevent the disturbances ?

Shri BIMALA PRASAD CHALIHA (Chief Minister) replied :

146. (a)—All Districts except the whole of Mizo District, Dhubri and Jowai Subdivisions.

(b)—A statement has been placed on the Library Table on the 7th October 1960.

(c)—Fifty-two thousand and fifty-two.

(d)—It could not be anticipated and was not expected that agitation for declaration of official language would deteriorate to such large scale and widespread disturbances at the same time. When, however, disturbances broke out, firm action was taken to stop it and put down lawless and anti-social elements.

(Starred Questions No.147 standing in the name of Maulavi Jahanuddin Ahmed was not allowed to put by the hon. Speaker).

Regarding taking over the Baruahala M. V. School by Dibrugarh School Board

UNSTARRED QUESTIONS

Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA (Saikhowa) asked :

291, Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state—

- (a) Whether Government is aware of the existence of Baruahala M. V. School (Venture) in Gharbandi Mauza of Dibrugarh Subdivision ?
- (b) Whether Government is aware that the public and the Secretary of the School have been apply for taking over this School by Dibrugarh School Board ?

- (c) What are the difficulties of the Board in taking over this M. V. School ?
- (d) The number of new M. V. Schools that have been taken over by the Dibrugarh School Board year by year since 1959 ?
- (e) Whether Government propose to sanction grants to the Dibrugarh School Board instructing them to take over this School ?
- (f) Whether Government propose to sanction a building grant also for this School ?

Shri RADHIKA RAM DAS (Deputy Minister of Education)
replied :

291. (a)—Yes.

(b)—No such application has been received in the Office of A.D.P.I (Basic Education), Secretary, School Board, Dibrugarh is being asked about it.

(c)—Due to paucity of funds,

(d)—One in 1959-60. No School has been taken over this year 1960-61 as yet.

(e)—The matter will be considered at the time of distribution of the grant.

(f)—If the school is taken over some building grant will also be provided.

**Regarding non-receipt of non-recurring grants by the
Kakapathar Government Aided H. E. Schools,
Panitola Government Aided H. E. School,
Tangana M.E. School, etc,**

Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA (Saikhowa) asked :

292. Will the Minister-in-charge of Education be pleased to state—

- (a) Whether it is a fact the certain High and M. E. Schools in Dibrugarh Subdivision were sanctioned non-recurring grant of Rs. 1,000 or Rs.500 along with other schools in Assam out of savings of 1959-60 ?
- (b) Whether Government is aware that Kakapathar Government Aided High School, Panitola Government Aided High School, Tangana M. E. School and few other H.E. and M.E Schools of Dibrugarh Subdivision have not received this grant out of savings upto the end of September, 1960 ?
- (c) Why those sanctioned amounts of 1959-60 did not reach the respective schools upto the end of September, 1960 ?
- (d) Whether Government propose to make an enquiry and fix responsibility at whose fault these grants are hanging ?

Shri RADHIKA RAM DAS (Deputy Minister of Education) replied:

292. (a)—Yes.

(b) & (c)—The amount of the grant has been sent to the Inspector of Schools by Bank Draft, but the Inspector of Schools has not been able to disburse the same to some schools due to certain difficulties for which correspondence is being made with the Inspector of Schools.

(d)—Yes, if necessary.

Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA (Saikhowa): যোৱা বছৰ স্কুল বিলাকক যি Non-recurring Grant দিছিল, সেই grant বহুতো স্কুলে পোৱা নাই। Responsibility ফিৰ কৰাৰ কথা কওঁতে কৈছে যে “if necessary” আজি অক্টোবৰ মাহলৈকে যে যোৱা বছৰৰ grant পোৱা নাই তাৰ কাৰণে কোনোৱা দায়ী হয় নে নহয়?

Shri RADHIKA RAM DAS : যদি নিদিয়াৰ কিবা Reasonable ground আছে তেন্তে সেই বিলাক এতিয়ালৈ নিদিয়া একো আচৰিত কথা নহয়।

Mr. SPEAKER : তেখেতে জানিব বিচাৰিছে যে কিবা Reasonable ground আছে নে নাই।

Shri RADHIKA RAM DAS: We are in correspondence with the Inspector of Schools.

Regarding exclusion of the name of three teacher from the statement of teachers of Panitola Govt. Aided High School

Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA (Saikhowa) asked:

293: Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state—

(a) Whether it is a fact that names of 3 (three) teachers of Panitola Government Aided High School have been scored out i. e., cancelled) from the statement of teachers in calculating of the amount of grant to meet the expenditure of revised scale of pay for 1959-60?

(b) What is the reason of excluding the names of three teachers from the statement?

(c) Whether the School Managing Committee was informed or exclusion of these three teachers from the list ?

(d) If so, what is the number and date of the letter informing ?

(e) Whether Government are aware that these three teachers were in service during the last year, i.e., from 1st March, 1959 to 29th February, 1960 ?

(f) Whether Government propose to take necessary steps so that these three teachers may get their pay and allowances at the revised scale ?

Shri RADHIKA RAM DAS (Deputy Minister, Education) replied :

293. (a) ... Yes.

(b)—These three teachers were temporary and junior most and their appointment had not been approved by the Department. Hence as their appointment was in excess of requirement, they have been omitted. (Under the rules a school with 13 class sections is entitled to a minimum of 18 teachers including one Drill and Drawing teachers). The school maintained 20 teachers and there was no Drill and Drawing teacher show in the statement. Hence it is entitled to 17 teachers only as below—

Headmaster	1
Assistant Headmaster	1
Class teachers	18
Classical teacher	1
Hindi teacher	1

(c)—Yes.

(d)—No.2009-2045(A), dated 3rd March, 1960. From Assistant Inspector of Schools, Dibrugarh.

(e)—They were appointed during February and March, 1959. Government have no information if they had continued to be in service or not.

(f)—Does not arise (as their appointment were in excess of requirement and without approval from Government).

Regarding repairing of the Dispensary buildings, Doctor's quarter, Compounder's quarter and Chowkider's quarter of Laimakuri Dispensary in Murkongsellek Transferred area

Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA (Saikhowa) asked :

294. Will the Medical Minister be pleased to state—

(a) Whether it is a fact that Dispensary building, Doctor's quarter, Compounder's quarter and Chowkider's quarter of Laimakuri (Ramdhon) Dispensary in Murkongsellek Transferred area need repair ?

- (b) Whether any repair or maintenance work was done last year ?
- (c) Whether Government have any proposal to construct good buildings for the purpose of this Dispensary ?
- (d) Whether any Tubewell is provided there ?
- (e) If so, whether any fencing of permanent nature surrounding the compound has been erected ?

Shri RUPNATH BRAHMA (Minister-in-charge of Medical) replied :

294. (a)—Yes.

(b)—Information being collected.

(c)—Not at present.

(d, & (e)—Information being collected.

Regarding vacancy in Panitola Community Development Block

Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA (Saikhowa) asked :

295. Will the Minister of Community Development] be pleased to state—

(a) Whether all the posts in Panitola C.D. Block has been filled up?

(b) If not what are the posts vacant now in this Panitola C. D. Block ?

Shri FAKHRUDDIN ALI AHMED (Minister, Community Development) replied :

295. (a) & (b)—Information is being called for.

Proposal to instal a microscope in Barama State Dispensary

Shri SURENDRA NATH DAS (Patacharkuchi) asked :

296. Will the Medical Minister be pleased to state—

(a) Whether it is a fact that there was a proposal to install a microscope in Barama State Dispensary ?

(b) If so, why the same has not yet been installed ?

(c) Whether Government is aware that for want of a microscope, the doctor finds it difficult to diagnose the patient for treatment ?

- (d) Whether it is a fact that there is a qualified M.B.P.S. doctor in the Dispensary ?
- (e) Whether it is a fact that the Doctor of Health Services during his inspection of the dispensary on the 30th August, 1960 at Barama realised the need of a microscope, an emergency ward with maternity Sections attached with the dispensary ?
- (f) Whether it is a fact that there is a Proposal of the Dispensary to convert the dispensary into a Primary Health Unit ?
- (g) If so, when it will be given effect to ?
- (h) Whether it will be converted during 1st year of 3rd Five Year Plan ?

Shri RUPNATH BRAHMA (Minister-in-charge of Medical) replied:

296. (a)&(b)—Yes, the matter is under consideration.

(c)—Yes.

(d)—Yes.

(e)—Yes.

(f)—No.

(g)&(h)—Do not arise.

Number of Villages affected by Kala-Azar in Joypore area in Dibrugarh Subdivision

Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA (Saikhowa) asked:

297. Will the Minister of Medical be pleased to state—

- (a) Whether it is a fact that he visited Joypore area in Dibrugarh Subdivision on 7th August 1959 and received report of a number of *Kala-azar* cases in the neighbouring villages ?
- (b) Whether it is a fact that the Deputy Director of Health Services (Regional) Jorhat visited Joypore area with the Civil Surgeon, Dibrugarh on 5th September 1959 and found 12 cases of *Kala-azar* in one Village viz. Nagamati Kheremia only ?
- (c) Whether it is a fact that a number of Villages was affected by *Kala-azar* in that area that year and 4 (four) persons died ?

(d) Whether it is a fact that 2 (two) boxes of *Kala-azar* injections were supplied to Joypore Dispensary and those remained unused up to the middle of next year i.e., 1960 ?

(e) When these injections were used ?

(f) What were the difficulties of the Government in promptly fighting the *Kala-azar* in Joypore in the year 1959 ?

(g) What steps is being taken by Government to increase the efficiency of the Department ?

Shri RUPNATH BARHMA (Minister-in-charge of Medical) replied :

297. (a)—Yes.

(b)—Yes.

(c), (d)&(e)—There is no information.

(f)—On the basis on the information received every steps were taken promptly to survey the affected villages and to bring the *Kala-Azar* cases under proper treatment.

(g)—Does not arise.

Regarding number of formal sittings of Panitola Ad-hoc Anchalik Panchayat from 1st January 1960 to 31st September 1960

Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA (Saikhowa) asked :

293. Will the Minister-in charge of Panchayat be pleased to state--

(a) The number of formal sittings of Panitola Ad-hoc Anchalik Panchayat from 1st January, 1960 to 31st September, 1960 ?

(b) In how many sittings agenda for discussion of the activities of Community Department Programmes were made and what were the subjects of the C. D. Programmes were discussed in the sittings of the Panitola Anchalik Panchayat during the aforesaid period ?

(c) Whether it is a fact that the Secretaries of different Gaon Panchayats within Panitola Anchalik Panchayat have not been paid from April to September, 1960 ?

(d) How many of the Gaon Sabhas within Panitola Anchalik Panchayat had their general sittings from 1st January 1960 to 30th September, 1960 to discuss various matters of development ?

Shri FAKHRUDDIN ALI AHMED (Minister, Community Development, etc.) replied :

298.

Shri FAKHRUDDIN ALI AHMED : Sir, I wan to give reply. I have received the informations in the mean time :—

(a) Number of sittings of Panitola *Ad-hoc* Anchalik Panchayat from 1st January to 30th September 1960—6 (six) Nos.

(b) 1st sitting on the 20th January 1960—Discussions were made regarding formation of sub-committee which were formed accordingly.

(2) The Health and Sanitation problem was discussed and the places of Sub-centres were ascertained and matter disposed for expert opinion from concerning Departmental District Head.

(3) Discussions were made regarding Kisan Mela which was held during the last week of February 1960.

2nd sitting on the 8th, February 1960 :—Discussed about the approved Schematic Budgets of the Development Block. After due consideration a number of changes in some of the important schemes were suggested ;

5th sitting on the 18th June 1960 :—Discussed about the co-ordinated Projects with the Development Blocks and Social Welfare Board.

(c) Yes. The Secretaries of Gaon Panchayats of Panitola Anchalik Panchayat have not been paid since the month of March 1960 upto September 1960 as the matter comes under the jurisdiction of the Gaon Panchayats under Rules 51(b) of the Assam Panchayat (Constitution) Rules, 1960.

(d) No. Of General sitting of the Gaon Sabhas of the Panitola Anchalik Panchayat is Nil ; but one is under preparation.

**Regarding electoral rolls of Pamnagaon, Rigbi, etc.
Village of Laika Gaon Sobha**

Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA (Saikhowa) asked :

299. Will the Minister-in-charge of Panchayat be pleased to state—

(a) Whether it is a fact that electoral rolls of Pamnagaon, Rigbi Pasidla and Laika Village of Laika Gaon Sabha were not available to hold the Panchayat Election according to electoral roll of 1st January, 1960 ?

(b) What action Government propose to take for preparation of electoral rolls of these villages ?

Shri FAKHRUDDIN ALI AHMED (Minister, Community Development, etc.) replied :

299. (a)—Information has been called for.

(b)—Necessary action will be taken on receipt of report from the Deputy Commissioner concerned.

Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA: In reply to (a) it has been said "information has been called for". May I know whether the Minister-in-charge is aware that one Gaon Panchayat, a group of villages covered by one Gaon Panchayat, had completely been eliminated from the Electoral Roll, and whether any report alleging complaint was submitted to the Government ?

Shri FAKHRUDDIN ALI AHMED : I am not aware of it. However, I shall make an enquiry about this.

Regarding attendance of the nominated officials in the meetings of Kakapather Ad-hoc Panchayat

Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA (Saikhowa) asked :

380. Will the Minister-incharge of Panchayat be please to state—

(a) The number of officials nominated in Kakapathers Adhoc Anchalik Panchayat and the number of them attended each of the meetings of this Panchayat ?

(b) Whether any Sub-Inspector of Schools attended any of the meetings of Kakapather Anchalik Panchayat ?

(c) What are the difficulties of the Deputy Inspectors or Sub-Inspectors in authorising their Assistant Sub-Inspectors to attend such meeting on their behalf when they could not attend personally ?

Shri FAKHRUDDIN ALI AHMED : Sir, I shall give reply :

"(a) The number of officials appointed as *Ex-officio* members was 14. None attended the first two sittings of the Panchayat, but two of them attended the third sitting.

(b) No.

(c) The Deputy Inspector or Sub-Inspector of Schools has no authority under the Assam Panchayat Act to depute their subordinate officers like Assistant Sub-Inspectors in their places as they are appointed as *Ex-officio* members by Government by virtue of their offices."

Regarding payment of the Secretaries of Gaon Panchayats within Kakapather Anchalik Panchayat

Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA (Saikhowa) asked :

301. Will the Minister-in-charge of panchayat be please to state—

(a) Whether it is a fact that the Secretaries of Gaon Panchayats within Kakapather Anchalik Panchayat have not yet been paid for the months of April to September, 1960 ?

(b) If so, why ?

Shri FAKHRUDDIN ALI AHMED (Minister, Community Development, etc.) replied :

301. (a) & (b)—Information has been called for.

Establishment of a Dispensary at Phillobari

Shri MOLIA TATI (Doom-Dooma) asked :

302. Will the Minister-in-charge of Medical be pleased to state—

(a) Whether a medical out centre was opened at Phillobari under Doom-Dooma Police Station ?

(b) Whether it is a fact that the house of the out-centre has collapsed and that the out-centre stopped functioning since the last 2 years ?

(c) Whether Government propose to establish a Dispensary there ?

Shri RUPNATH BRAHMA (Minister-in-charge of Medical) replied :

302. (a)—Yes, by the Agriculture Department.

(b)—Information is not readily available.

(c)—Not at present.

Regarding construction of Rest House for the Tribal People

Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA (Saikhowa) asked :

303. Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state—

(a) Whether Government is aware that Abors and Mishmis of N. E. F. A. come to Sadiya for various purposes such as Traders, Business, etc. ?

(b) Whether it is a fact that there is no rest house for the Tribal people of N.-E. F. A. such as Abors and Mishmis within the Sadiya Transferred Area at present ?

(c) If so, whether Government propose to take steps to build a rest house for them there ?

Shri BIMALA PRASAD CHALIHA (Chief Minister) replied :

303. (a) & (b)—Yes.

(c)—Government would consider the suggestion.

Regarding retention of the Services of the Compounder and the Chowkider of the Joypore Subsidised Dispensary

Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA (Saikhowa) asked :

304. Will the Minister in-charge of Medical be pleased to state—

(a) Whether it is a fact that there was a Government subsidised dispensary in Nahorkatia area known as Joypore subsidised dispensary ?

Whether it is a fact that D'brugarh Local Board had been paying one compounder and one chowkider for this Dispensary ?

(c) Whether it is a fact that this Dispensary was Provincialised since April 1959 ?

(d) Whether it is a fact that the Dibrugarh Local Board discontinued to pay the Compounder and the Chowkider of this Dispensary with effect from 1st April 1959 ?

(e) Whether it is a fact that the Medical Minister visited this Dispensary on 7th August, 1959 and recommended retention of the Compounder and the Chowkider by the Government ?

(f) Whether it is a fact that the Deputy Director of Health Services (Regional), Jorhat visited this Dispensary on 5th September, 1959 and remarked in his inspection note that the Civil Surgeon was to take step about retention of the Compounder and the Chowkider as desired by the Minister ?

(g) Whether Government are aware that the Civil Surgeon did neither honour the recommendation of the Minister nor the direction of the Deputy Director of Health Services and issued discharge notice on the Compounder on 11th November 1959 ?

(h) Whether Government is aware that the Civil Surgeon's action disregarding the wishes of the Minister was forwarded to the Director of Health Services, Assam, Shillong ?

(i) Whether Government would make an enquiry into the matter ?

(j) Whether Government have since made arrangement to pay the Compounder and the Chowkider with effect from 1st April 1959 ?

(k) If so, when their arrears of pays were paid by the Government ?

Shri RUPNATH BRAHMA (Minister, Medical) replied :

304. (a)—Yes.

(b)—Yes.

(c)—No.

(d)—Yes.

(e)—Yes.

(f)—Yes.

(g)—No. The matter is under consideration of the Government.

(h) & (i)—Do not arise.

(j) & (k)—Necessary steps have been taken by the Civil Surgeon to pay the arrears of the staff for the period they were actually on duty.

Regarding shifting of the Court of Assistant Political Officer, Sadiya Transferred Area to a New Township

Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA (Saikhowa) asked:

305. Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state—

(a) Whether it is a fact that the court of Assistant Political Officer, Sadiya Transferred Area has been shifted to the new Township at Chapakhowa ?

(b) If so, when this office was shifted ?

- (c) Whether any arrangement has been made for bus service from Kundil Bazar and other important places to the New Township to enable the people to go to the Assistant Political Officer's court ?
- (d) If so, what are the arrangements made ?
- (e) Whether it is a fact that the roads connecting the Township, i. e., the Headquarters of the Transferred Area have not yet been gravelled properly to make them all weather road ?
- (f) Whether it is a fact that settlement or allotment of land to the people or devastated Sadiya Town as well as other deserving people have not yet been made ?
- (g) How long it would take for allotment of land to enable the people to construct their dwelling house in the new town ?
- (h) Whether Government are aware that a Bund to protect the Town from flood will be necessary ?
- (i) Whether the Government have made a list of deserving flood and erosion affected people of Sadiya Transferred Area to grant rehabilitation loan and gratuitous relief to enable them to settle at the New Township ?
- (j) Whether Government propose to provide for business loan to the flood affected and erosion sufferer businessmen of Sadiya ?

Shri BIMALA PRASAD CHALIHA (Chief Minister) replied :

305. (a) to (j)—Information has been called for.

Construction of Behali State Dispensary building in a new site

Shri BISHNULAL UPADHYAYA (Gohpur) asked :

306. Will the Minister-in-charge of Public Health and Medical be pleased to state—

- (a) Whether he had visited the Behali State Dispensary in 1958 and seen the dispensary buildings in a very dilapidated conditions ?
- (b) Whether it is a fact that it is one of the oldest dispensaries in Tezpur Subdivision ?
- (c) Whether Government have received from the questioner a representation on 1st June, 1960 to improve the said dispensary ?

(d) Whether Government called for a proposal from the Director of Health Services, Assam for construction of the dispensary building in a new site offered by the local people ?

(e) Whether it is a fact that this is the only dispensary within an area from Bharali to Hawajan where *post mortem* examinations are held ?

(f) Whether it is a fact that even a microscope is not provided there in spite of Government order on 1st June, 1960 ?

(g) Whether the proposal mentioned in (d) above has been examined and accepted by Government by this time ?

(h) If not, whether the proposal will be sympathetically examined ?

Shri RUPNATH BRAHMA (Minister, Medical) replied :

306. (a)—Yes.

(b)—Yes.

(c)—Yes.

(d)—No.

(e)—Information being collected.

(f)—No such orders were issued by Government. But necessary steps will be taken to provide one microscope.

(g)—Does not arise in view of the reply to (d).

(h)—Yes.

Regarding replacement of X-Ray Plant of Barpeta Civil Hospital

Dr. SRIHARI DAS (Barpeta) asked :

307. Will the Minister, Medical be pleased to state—

(a) Whether the Government are aware that there was repeated demand made by the questioner so that the X-Ray Plant of Barpeta Civil Hospital (Chest Clinic) be replaced by a new high power one ?

(b) Whether the Government are aware that many a times assurances by the Minister-in-charge, had been given saying that steps are being taken to replace the same ?

(c) How long it will take to replace it ?

Shri RUPNATH BRAHMA (Minister, Medical) replied :

307. (a)—Yes.

(b) & (c)—Yes, steps have been taken to replace the X-Ray Plant as early as possible.

Regarding recurring aid of Bokel Middle English School in Dibrugarh Subdivision

Shri D. N. HAZARIKA (Saikhowr) asked :

308. Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state—

- (a) Since when Government recurring aid has been sanctioned to Bokel Middle English School in Dibrugarh Subdivision ?
- (b) When the last Managing Committee of Bokel Middle English School was reconstituted and what was the number and date of the letter or report of the departmental authority approving the last Managinig Committee ?
- (c) Whether it is a fact that the cash balance of the School was deposited with a member of the School Committee and the School Managing Committee adopted a resolution in the year 1956 to deposit all cash balances in a postal savings bank account ?
- (d) Whether it is a fact that the member did not honour the resolution and did not deposit the cash balance ?
- (e) What is the approximate amount of present cash balance of this Bokel Middle English School and what is the amount kept by a member of Members of the Committee illegally ?
- (f) What steps Government is contemplating against the member of the School Committee who could not account for a sum of about Rs. 8,000 (eight thousand) of Bokel Middle English School fund ?

Shri RADHIKA RAM DAS (Deputy Minister, Education) replied :

308. (a) to (c)—Information are being collected.

(d) to (f)—Information are being collected.

Regarding the omission of the Craft Teacher of Kakapathar High School

Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA asked :

309. Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state—

- (a) Whether it is a fact that the Craft Teacher of Kakapathar Government Aided High School has been omitted by the Inspector of Schools or D.P.I. in calculating the amount of grant for payment in revised scale of pay to teachers for the year 1959-60 ?

- (b) If so, what is the reason ?
- (c) Whether the School Managing Committee was informed of the cancellation of the name of the "Craft teacher" from the statement ?
- (d) If so, what is the number and date of the letter informing the same ?
- (e) Whether Government propose to take steps to pay the "Craft teacher" during the year 1959-60 at the revised scale of pay?
- (f) Whether Government propose to discontinue the "Craft subject" at Kakapathar High School ?
- (g) If not, why the omission of the Craft teacher of Kakapathar High School was made in calculating recurring grant in 1959-60 ?

Shri RADHIKA RAM DAS (Deputy Minister, Education) replied :

309. (a)—Yes.

(b)—The general and technical qualifications of the Craft teachers were not shown in spite of clear instructions.

(c)—Yes.

(d)—No. 2009-2045(A), dated 3rd March 1960 from the Assistant Inspector of Schools, Dibrugarh.

(e)—Yes, if he is found duly qualified for the post.

(f)—No.

(g)—As in (b) above.

Regarding number of Radio sets, those were given to Barpeta Subdivision

Shri TAJUDDIN AHMED (Tarabari) asked :

310. Will the Minister for Publicity, etc., be pleased to state—

- (a) How many radio sets have been given to Barpeta Subdivision during the last two years ?
- (b) How many of these sets have been given to Mauza Bagribari, Bagbar, Chenga, Jania, Mandia, Titapani, Rupasi, Chilla-zari and Betbari ?

Shri RADHIKA RAM DAS (Deputy Minister, Education, etc.) replied :

310. (a)—35.

(b)—One radio set has been installed in each of the following Mauza: Bagbar, Jania, Mandia, Titapani, and 2 sets in Ruposi. No sets have been installed in Bagribari, Chenga, Betbari and Chillazari Mauzas.

Regarding the Venture High School which has been started at Baraguri in Rongagora Mauza

Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA (Saikhowa) asked :

311. Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state—

(a) Whether it is a fact that a Venture High School has been started at Baraguri in Rongagora Mauza and this is the only High School in that Mauza ?

(b) Whether Government propose to consider the case of this School and sanction grants to maintain two under graduate teachers in this School with effect from the current financial year ?

(c) Whether Government propose to give formal permission to open classes VII and VIII to this School ?

Shri RADHIKA RAM DAS (Deputy Minister, Education) replied :

311. (a)—Information are being collected.

(b)—Does not arise in view of (a) above.

(c)—If found deserving on receipt of proposal for the purpose.

Regarding enrolment of Student in Banmukh Venture High School including M. E. Section, Sibsagar Subdivision

Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA asked :

312. Will the Minister-in-charge of Education be pleased to state—

(a) The present enrolment of students in Banmukh Venture High School including M.E. Section, Sibsagar Subdivision ?

(b) How many of them are girls ?

(c) Whether inspection was made by the Inspector of Schools or Assistant Inspector of Schools to recommend this institution of University application ?

(d) If not, what is the difficulty of the Inspector or the Assistant Inspector in recommending this School for affiliation

- (e) Whether Government propose to consider the case of this Venture High School and sanction recurring grants to maintain 3 (three) under-graduate teachers with effect from 1st April 1960 ?
- (f) Whether the teachers of the M. E. Section of this School has been receiving enhanced scale of pay with effect from 1st March, 1959 ?

Shri RADHIKA RAM DAS (Deputy Minister, Education) replied :

312. (a)—Information are being collected.

(b)—Does not arise in view of (a) above.

(c)—Inspector report has been received and is now being examined.

(d)—Does not arise in view of (c) above.

(e)—No. Recurring grants can be sanctioned only to recognised schools.

(f)—Does not arise in view of (e) above.

Regarding the improvement of the Barpeta Road 'Hat' area

Dr. SRIHARI DAS (Barpeta) asked :

313. Will the Minister, Local Self-Government be pleased to state—

(a) Whether Government are aware that the Barpeta Road 'Hat' area becomes very dirty and muddy during the rainy season ?

(b) If so, what steps Government are taking for the improvement of that 'Hat' area ?

(c) Whether Government are aware that due to bad condition the businessmen get much difficulty there, in doing business ?

(d) Whether Government are aware that a huge sum of money is collected from the 'Hat' as Government Revenue every year ?

(e) If so, whether Government will immediately take steps to improve the condition of the 'Hat' area for better facilities of the businessmen ?

Shri FAKHRUDDIN ALI AHMED (Minister, Community Development, etc.) :

Sir, with regard to Question 313, I have got the replies. The Hon'ble Member may note it down.

313 (a)—Barpeta road Hat becomes muddy when there is heavy and continuous rain during the rainy season, at the water cannot go out due to peculiar situation of the Hat.

(b)—The Hat was the property of the defunct Local Board till 1st October, 1959 and was managed by the Local Board till then. The S. D. O. Barpeta who is now incharge of the Local Boards assets and liabilities is considering about the steps to be taken to improve the Hat area.

(c)—Yes.

(d)—Government are not in possession of figures of collections in the previous years as they were collected by Barpeta Local Board But this year. the Hat has been sold out for Rs.59,000 only.

(e)—Yes, the matter is under examination of the S.D.O., concerned.

Shri F. A. AHMED (Finance Minister) : Sir, with regard to 313, I have got the replies. The hon. Member may note it down. 313(a) Barpeta road Hat becomes muddy when there is heavy and continuous rain during the rainy season, as the water cannot go out due to peculiar situation of the Hat.

(b)—The Hat was the property of the defunct Local Board till 1st October 1961 and was managed by the Local Board till then. The S.D.O., Barpeta who is now in charge of the Local Boards assets and liabilities is considering about the steps to be taken to improve the Hat area.

(c)—Yes.

(d) — Govt. are not in possession of figures of collections in the previous years as they were collected by Barpeta Local Board. But this year the Hat has been sold out for Rs.59,000 only.

(e)—Yes, the matter is under examination of the S.D.O. concerned.

Regarding grievances of the menials of the Sadar Hospital, Nowgong

Shri HARESWAR GOSWAMI (Rampur) asked :

314. Will the Minister, Health be pleased to state—

(a) Whether the Director of Health Services has received a copy of a representation submitted by the menials of the Sadar Hospital, Nowgong ?

(b) What are their grievances ?

(c) Whether it is a fact that in spite of the fact that they have put in 15 to 20 years service, there is no provision for Pension, Provident Fund, etc.

(d) Whether there is any provision for casual leave with pay in the case of such menials ?

(e) Whether the Government propose to consider the grievances of the menials and redress the same ?

Shri RUPNATH BRAHMA (Minister, Medical) replied :

314. (a)—No.

(b)—Does not arise.

(c)—Since the provincialisation of 5 District Headquarters (Civil) Hospitals, of which Nowgong Civil Hospital is one, the cases of confirmation of all staffs including the menials will be taken up after the posts are made permanent, when pensionary benefit will accrue to them. Any Government Servant whether permanent or temporary can subscribe to the Provident Fund.

(d)—Yes.

(e)—Yes. Government will consider the grievances of the menials if and when representation is received.

Representation to the Deputy Commissioner, Lakhimpur by the flood-affected and landless people of Naharkatia and other Moderkhat Mauza villagers to provide them with land in Wilton Grant or other places in moderkhat Mauza in the year 1958 or before

Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA (Saikhowa) asked :

315. Will the Minister-in-charge of Revenue be pleased to state—

- (a) Whether the Deputy Commissioner, Lakhimpur has lately received any representation from the landless and flood-affected people of Naharkatia and other villages of Moderkhat Mauza to provide them with land in Wilton Grant or other places in Moderkhat Mauza in the year 1958 or before ?
- (b) Whether Government is aware that a copy of such representation was forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner, Dibrugarh by the Questioner requesting provision of land to these landless people ?
- (c) Whether it is a fact that besides Wilton Grant there are available lands in Basmotia Tea Garden and other Tea Garden grants in Moderkhat Mauza ?
- (d) Whether Government propose to give lands to these landless and flood affected people ?

Shri HARESWAR DAS (Minister Revenue) replied:

315. (a) to (d)—Information have been called for, but not received due to shortness of time.

Regarding residence of the Magistrate at Barpeta

Shri TAJUDDIN AHMED (Tarabari) asked :

316. Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state—

- (a) Whether Government is aware that Magistrates at Barpeta are residing in rented houses ?
- (b) Whether Government are aware that these rented houses are unhealthy and most of them are unfit for human habitation ?
- (c) Whether Government proposes to remove this difficulty ?

Shri B. P. CHALIHA (Chief Minister) replied :

316. (a)—Yes.
 (b)—Government have no information. The rented houses are of course not comfortable.
 (c)—Yes, as far as practicable and gradually.

Regarding inspection of Community Development Block by the Deputy Commissioners and Additional Deputy Commissioners

Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA (Saikhowa) asked :

317. Will the Minister-in-charge of Community Development Block be pleased to state—

- (c) Whether the Deputy Commissioners and Additional Deputy Commissioners are inspecting Community Development Blocks under their jurisdiction ?
 (b) The number of visits made to the Community Development Blocks and recorded inspection notes by each of the Deputy Commissioners, Lakhimpur, Sibsagar, Nowgong, Darrang, Kamrup, Goalpara, Mikir Hills, Garo Hills, Khasi and Jaintia Hills and Mizo Hills and the District of Cachar for the periods during the year 1960, i. e., from 1st January, 1960 to 30th September, 1960 and in the year 1959 ?
 (c) Whether the Director be pleased to lay on the table a statement showing the number of times the Additional Deputy Commissioners and Subdivisional Officers visited the Community Development Blocks in their respective jurisdictions in each District and Subdivision of Assam during the year 1959 and the 9 months of 1960 ?

Shri FAKHRUDDIN ALI AHMED (Minister, Community Development) replied :

317. (a), (b) & (c)—Information is being called for.

The number of night halts made by Project Officers at Panitola and Joypore Community Development Blocks

Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA asked :

318. Will the Minister-in-charge of Community Development be pleased to state—

- (a) The number of night halts made by each of the Project Officers at Panitola and Joypore Community Development Blocks month by month from the month of May to September, 1960 ?
 (b) The names of villages in which each of them made night halts in the months of June, July and August 1960 and the owners of the houses or name of public places where they took shelter, the nights ?
 (c) Whether these Project Officers are informed of the names of villages where the Extension Officers made night halts and also the names of places where they took shelters for the night in those villages with a view to make random verifications ?

Shri FAKHRUDDIN ALI AHMED (Minister, Community Development) replied:

318. (a), (b) & (c)—Information has been called for.

	Month	No. of night halts.
(a) P. E. O. Panitola.	May	2
	June	Nil
	July	Nil
	August	Nil
	September	2
P. E. O. Joypore.	May	3
	June	3
	July	5
	August	5
	September	4

(b) Does not arise in case of P. E. O. Panitola, as he made no night halts during the months of June, July and August.

For the P. E. O. Joypore, the information is as follows—

Month	Name of village	Name of house owner/ public places.
June ...	(1) Lengri Barjan ...	Gram Sevak Centre.
	(2) Ghinai ...	Shri Priya Bhuyan.
	(3) Sakuni bill ...	Shri Mandit Gogoi.
July ...	(1) Mathawani ...	Shri Niran Ch. Saikia.
	(2) Silkachubam ...	Vice-President, Dighalia G. P.
	(3) Mathawani ...	Shri Bhugeswar Bora.
	(4) Nigam ...	Shri Priya Bhuyan.
	(5) Salmari ...	Shri Rudra Basumatari.
August ...	(1) Panibil ...	Shri Haren Gogoi.
	(2) Nigam ...	Shri Priya Bhuyan.
	(3) Hamjan ...	Shri Bapuram Gogoi.
	(4) Raidongia ...	Shri Chikon Cuchum Sangmai
	(5) Halwa ...	Shri Minaram Gogoi.

(c) Yes, these P. E. Os get the names of the villages where their Extension Officers make night halts, but they do not get the names of specific place in the villages, where these officers halted.

Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA (Saikhowa) : Sir, when there are instructions from the Government that at least 5 (five) night halts should be done by the P. E. Os, why some of the P. E. Os could not do any during the course of two or three months ?

Shri FAKHRUDDIN ALI AHMED : (Minister, Community Development) When this matter has come to our notice explanations will be called for.

Regarding holding of a meeting of the Ruposi N. E. S. Block

Shri GHANASHYAM TALUKDAR (Sorbhog) asked :

319. Will the Minister-in-charge of Community Project be pleased to state—

(a) Whether a meeting of the Ruposi N.E.S. Block was held ?

(b) If so, when the proceedings were given to the members ?

(c) Why the proposal of the questioner which was unanimously passed by the meeting that the headquarters of the said Block be selected by the elected body of the said Anchalik Panchayat and not by the *Ad-hoc* Anchalik Panchayat, was eliminated in the copy given to the members and who will be responsible for ignoring such an important matter ?

(d) Why the proposal that Sorbhog town should not be excluded from Panchayat election, which was passed unanimously have been excluded from the proceedings given to the members ?

(e) Who is responsible for this ?

Shri FAKHRUDDIN ALI AHMED replied:

319. (a), (b), (c), (d) & (e)—Information has been called for.

Shri GHANASHYAM TALUKDAR : Sir, here the reply is "information has been called for". When it is expected to come ?

Mr. SPEAKER : When it comes;

Regarding the number of pending Panchayat election

Shri GHANASHYAM TALUKDAR (Sorbhog) asked :

320. Will the Minister-in-charge of Panchayat be pleased to state—

- (a) Whether Panchayat elections have been completed in Assam ?
- (b) If not, what are those Panchayats whose elections are pending ?
- (c) In how many Panchayat elections violence took place or reported ?

Shri FAKHRUDDIN ALI AHMED (Minister, Community Development) replied :

320 (a)—No.

(b)—765 Gaon Panchayats, 84 Anchalik Panchayats and 13 Mahakuma Parishads.

(c)—74.

Regarding non-payment of boatmen of the Local Board Ghat in Kamalpur Circle

Shri SARAT CHANDRA GOSWAMI (Kamalpur) asked :

321. Will the Minister-in-charge of Rural Development be pleased to state—

- (a) Whether the boatmen of the Local Board Ghats of Kamalpur Circle have been paid of their dues as stated in reply to unstarred question No.527 of 11th April, 1960 ?
- (b) Whether it is a fact that no amount was allotted to the Rural Panchayats for payment to the boatmen of the Local Board Ghats ?
- (c) Whether it is a fact that these boatmen who have been carrying on their duties have not been paid their pay and rent of the boats from 1st July, 1957 up till now ?
- (d) Whether Government propose to pay these poor employees immediately of their legitimate dues ?

Shri FAKHRUDDIN ALI AHMED replied :

321. (a), (b), (c) & (d)—Information has been called for.

Shri SARAT CHANDRA GOSWAMI : Sir, in reply to question No.527 of 11th April, 1960, it has been stated that payment has already been made. But, Sir, again in answer to this question, the reply was "information has been called for." May I know from the Minister whether payment has actually been made and if not, will the Minister be pleased to direct that steps be taken to expedite payment ?

Shri FAKHRUDDIN ALI AHMED : We are asking the Deputy Commissioner whether the amount has already been paid.

Regarding sluice gate over Phulgurijan**Shri PRABHAT NARAYAN CHOUDHURY (Nalbari-East)** asked :

322. Will the Minister-in-charge of P. W. D. (F. C. & I.) be pleased to lay on the Library Table the design of Phulgurijan Project and state—

- (a) What is the total cost of providing sluice gate over Phulgurijan ?
- (b) When construction of the bund over the said Jan was started and when it was completed ?
- (c) Whether Government is aware that no gate is yet provided in the bund causing great loss of crops and cultivation to peasants ?
- (d) What area was proposed to be benefited in providing sluice gate at Phulgurijan and what area has been actually benefited ?
- (e) Whether Government is aware that there as more harm done to the peasants than benefit derived by this sluice gate ?
- (f) Who is the particular Officer responsible for designing the Phulgurijan Project ?
- (g) Who are the Officers responsible for its execution ?

M. MOINUL HAQUE CHOUDHURY [Minister-in-charge of P. W. D. (F. C. & I.)] replied:

322. A design drawing of Armco pipe sluice across Phulgurijan is placed on the Library Table.

- (a)—Total expenditure is Rs.32,403.
- (b)—The work of earthen dam across Phulgurijan was done in 1944 and the work of Armco pipe sluice was started in 1954 and completed in 1955.
- (c)—No. There is a double Armco pipe sluice with regulating arrangement.
- (d)—Proposed area is about 1,000 acres. Area actually benefited at present 400 acres (approximate).
- (e)—The sluice gate is working well though benefit is not derived fully because of necessity of further improvement.
- (f)—The project was designed by Shri H. P. Gidvani the then Executive Engineer within the limit of funds available at the time.
- (g)—In view of reply to (e) above this does not arise.

Shri PRABHAT NARAYAN CHOUDHURY (Nalbari-East) : Sir, if the sluice gate is not working well, whether Government consider that it is doing more harm than good ?

Shri BISWADEV SARMA (Deputy Minister, Labour) : No, Sir.

Mr. SPEAKER : He says 'No'. He does not agree.

Granting of the money for the replacement of the old and tottered buildings of the Chakchaka M. V. School

Shri GHANASHYAM TALURDER (Sorbhog) asked :

323. Will the Minister-in-charge of Education be pleased to state—

- (a) Whether Government have provided any money for the replacement of the old and tottered buildings of the Chakchaka M. V. School ?
- (b) If not, what is the reason ?
- (c) Whether the Deputy Minister Education visited this School ?
- (d) Whether Government propose to replace the old and tottered buildings ?
- (e) Whether it is a fact that the Chief Minister also visited this School ?

Shri RADHIKA RAM DAS (Deputy Minister of Education) replied :

323. (a)—No.

(b)—Director of Public Instruction has taken up the matter with Chief Engineer, Assam.

(c)—Yes.

(d)—Yes.

(e)—Yes.

People who were affected by the recent riot at Goreswar**Shri KHOGENDRA NATH BARBARUAH (Amguri)** asked :

324. Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state—

- (a) The number of houses affected by recent riot at Goreswar in Kamrup district ?
- (b) The number of people so affected ?
- (c) The time when riot took place ?
- (d) Whether it is a fact that the affected people defended themselves for 3 days and the Government was informed accordingly ?
- (e) Whether it is a fact that on the 4th day the rioters used fire arms and that the affected people could not defend themselves ?
- (f) Why Government did not post armed forces there in time ?
- (g) The number of arms seized ?
- (h) Whether Government have experts to examine if those seized guns were actually used with number of shot fired ?
- (i) Whether it is a fact that the Government has not seized the guns actually used in riots ?
- (j) If so, why ?

Shri BIMALA PRASAD CHALIHA (Chief Minister) replied :

324. (a)—The number of huts and semi-pucca houses belonging to 284 families affected in Goreswar proper is 852 and 29 respectively. However by Goreswar generally a larger area is meant which comprises 17 neighbouring villages. In this area 4019 huts and 58 semi-pucca houses of 1493 families were affected.

(b)—The number of people affected in Goreswar proper is 1217 persons and in larger Goreswar area 6059 persons.

(c)—Occurrences started towards evening of 4th July, 1960 in some pockets and gradually spread to other areas.

(d)—No. In the case of the first few pockets attacked, the people fled as soon as the rioters approached. People from other pockets and villages fled away hearing of attack and arson in these pockets. In most cases the people evacuated their houses at least a few hours before the rioters came. Only in Ramsajhar area they put up a resistance for a few minutes ineffectively on the 5th July, 1960. No information was sent to any Government authority by the people as they fled away.

(e)—The occurrences started towards the evening of 4th July, and completely died out by the noon of 6th July, 1960. So the question of use of fire arms on the 4th day does not arise. The fire arms were used at Ramsajhar area on the 5th July, 1960. It is likely that due to use of fire arms by rioters the defenders could not defend themselves long.

(f)—Armed forces were sent on the 5th July, 1960 night soon after information of disturbances reached Gauhati and the forces could take position by noon of the 6th July, 1960.

(g)—16 (Sixteen).

(h)—The question is not understood while barrels of a gun can show whether a shot has been fired within a short time but after an hour or so there is no indication in the barrel whether there was any shot fired.

(i)—No. The guns seized are those against which evidence has been received during investigation. The possibility of there being some guns used cannot be ruled out but there has been no evidence against any gun not yet seized.

(j)—Does not arise.

Regarding promulgation of Curfew order on 4th July, 1960 at Gauhati Town

Shri KHOGENDRA NATH BARBARUAH (Amguri) asked:

325. Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state—

(a) The reason why on 4th July, 1960 curfew order was withdrawn from Gauhati town ?

(b) Whether it is a fact that some political and social organisations had approached the Government for the withdrawal of the said curfew order ?

(c) If so, who are they ?

(d) Whether Government consulted any political organisations or parties at the time of promulgation or withdrawal of curfew order at Gauhati ?

(e) If so, why R.C.P.I. was not invited ?

Shri BIMALA PRASAD CHALIHA (Chief Minister) replied :

325. (a)—Curfew order was not withdrawn but was promulgated on the 4th July, 1960 at Gauhati town.

(b)—No.

(c) Does not arise.

(d)—The Government do not promulgate or withdraw curfew orders. These are done by the District Magistrates, S. D. M. or any other Magistrates specially empowered by State Government. Hence the question of Government consulting any political organisation or party does not arise.

(e)—Does not arise.

Regarding ban on return Rathajatra procession at Barpeta on 4th July, 1960

Shri KHOGENDRA NATH BARBARUAH (Amguri) asked :

326. Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state—

(a) Whether it is a fact that apprehending some communal riot on language issue, the Government did not permit return Rathajatra procession at Barpeta on 4th July, 1960 ?

(b) If so, what other precautions Government took to prevent the riot ?

(c) Whether it is a fact that from 6-30 p.m. to 9-30 p.m. on 4th July, 1960 there was no law and order in Barpeta town and looting was going on in presence of Government officials ?

(d) Whether it is a fact that Section 144 of the Cr. P. C. was promulgated only after the loot, i.e., after 9-30 p.m.

(e) If so, why it was not promulgated earlier ?

Shri BIMALA PRASAD CHALIHA (Chief Minister) replied :

326. (a)—Permission for taking out a procession in connection with Rathajatra was refused by the S. D. M. not apprehending any imminent happening of a communal riot on language issue at Barpeta. It was done with a view to preventing any deterioration of the peaceful atmosphere then prevailing in Barpeta.

(b)—The atmosphere in the town was quite normal till late in the afternoon of 4th July, 1960 when rumours began to reach the town that one Ranjit Pathak, a boy of Barpeta town was shot dead by the police at Gauhati. The incident took place very unwarrentedly and suddenly at about 7 p.m. in the Bazar area where crowds are generally big in the evening. The available police force was kept in readiness for dealing with any situation.

(c)—No. The incidents took place quite suddenly at about 7 p.m. and did not last for more than 15 minutes. No looting was done in the presence of Government officials. Law and order was restored by a lathi charge on the mob and by promulgation of Section 144 Cr. P. C. immediately thereafter.

(d)—Promulgation of the order under Section 144 Cr. P. C. was done immediately after the dispersal of the mob by lathi charge at about 9-30 p.m. and it was done by megaphone and microphone.

(e)—The necessity for promulgating orders under Section 144 Cr. P. C. arose with the sudden deterioration of the otherwise peaceful atmosphere and not earlier.

Regarding construction of the Multi-purpose High School building at Sorbhog

Shri GHANASHYAM TALUKDER (Sorbhog) asked:

327. Will the Minister-in-charge of Education be pleased to state—

(a) Why the buildings of the Multi-purpose High School at Sorbhog (Bornagar J.R.P. Government aided High School) have not yet been constructed?

(b) Who are the Officers of the Managing Committee?

(c) Whether the President of the School, Inspector L. A. C. has attended any meeting of the Managing Committee?

(d) Whether tenders have been called?

(e) Why there has been so much delay in construction?

Shri RADHIKA RAM DAS (Deputy Minister of Education) replied:

327. (a)—As the Development Committee has not been functioning properly it has not been possible to take up the actual construction work as yet. Steps have already been taken to start work immediately.

(b)—The construction works, etc., are entrusted with the Development Committee constituted by Government and not to the Managing Committee. The members of the Development Committee are—

1. The Subdivisional Officer, Barpeta	...	President.
---------------------------------------	-----	------------

2. The Sub-Deputy Collector, Sorbhog	...	Ex-officio Secretary.
--------------------------------------	-----	-----------------------

3. Shri Ghanashyam Talukder	...	Member.
-----------------------------	-----	---------

4. Shri Chinta Haran Patgiri	...	"
------------------------------	-----	---

5. Shri Nanda Mohan Majumdar	...	"
------------------------------	-----	---

6. Dy. Inspector of Schools, Barpeta	..	"
--------------------------------------	----	---

7. The Executive Engineer, Barpeta	...	"
------------------------------------	-----	---

8. The Head Master, J.R.P. Barnagar High School.		"
--	--	---

9. The Inspector of Schools, Gauhati.	...	"
---------------------------------------	-----	---

(c)—Inspector of Schools Lower Assam Circle is not the President of the Development Committee, Subdivisional Officer, Barpeta, is the President.

(d)—Tenders being called for soon.

(e)—The Development Committee did not function properly. The President of the Committee is taking keen interest in the matter and steady progress is expected.

Shri GHANASHYAM TALUKDAR (Sorbhog): Why the Development Committee has not been functioning properly?

Shri RADHIKA RAM DAS (Deputy Minister, Education): Sir, the S. D. C. is the Secretary of that Committee and as he was very busy with the rehabilitation work at Goreswar, he could not take up the matter. However, we have already issued instructions to take up the matter very early.

Regarding creation of new Circles of Inspectors of Schools and their jurisdictions

Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA (Saikhowa) asked :

328. Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state—

(a) What are the names of new Circles of Inspectors of Schools recently created and their jurisdictions together with the existing circles with their jurisdictions?

(b) What is the number of Assistant Inspectors posted under each Inspector of Schools?

Shri RADHIKA RAM DAS (Deputy Minister, Education) replied :

328. (a)—Upper Assam Circle with headquarters at Jorhat for Sibsagar District and Dibrugarh Subdivision.

2. Central Assam Circle with headquarters at Nowgong for Nowgong and United Mikir and N. C. Hills.

3. Northern Assam Circle with headquarters at Tezpur for Darrang district and North Lakhimpur Subdivision.

4. Lower Assam Circle with headquarters at Gauhati for Kamrup and United Khasi and Jaintia Hills districts.

5. Southern Assam Circle with headquarters at Silchar for Cachar and Mizo Hills Districts.

6. Western Assam Circle with headquarters at Dhubri for Goalpara and Garo Hills districts.

(b)—Government propose to post two Assistant Inspector of Schools for each Inspection Circle.

Inclusion of Rohmoria Mauza within the area of Tinsukia School Board

Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA (Saikhowa) asked :

329. Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state—

- (a) Whether it is a fact that Rohmoria Mauza has been included within the area of Tinsukia School Board or within the Educational Subdivision, Tinsukia ?
- (b) Whether it is a fact that Bogdung Mauza with an area of 160 Sq. Miles between Rohmoria and Tinsukia was not included ?
- (c) Why Rohmoria Mauza which is not contiguous to other areas of Tinsukia School Board was tagged with it ?
- (d) Whether Government received any suggestion to detach Rohmoria Mouza from Tinsukia and to reattach with Dibrugarh and to include Bogdung Mauza and a part of Gharbandi Mauza covered by Tinsukia Police Station within Tinsukia School Board ?
- (e) What is the decision that has been taken by Government on this suggestion ?

Shri RADHIKA RAM DAS (Deputy Minister, Education) replied :

329. (a)—Yes.

(b)—The matter is being enquired into.

(c)—The inclusion of Mouzas in the Subdivisions were done after [attaining the views of the Inspectors of schools. Information as to why Rohmoria Mouza was tagged to Tinsukia, is being collected.

(d)—No.

(e)—Does not arise in view of the above.

Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA : নতুন Education subdivision বিলাক সৃষ্টি কৰাতে Contiguous area ভিত্তিত কৰে কিন্তু তিনচুকিয়াত বহুমবীয়া মৌজা দুই তিনটা মৌজাতকৈ আতৰত থকা সত্বেও কিয় লগ লগ হ'ল ? আৰু বাকী ওচৰত থকা মৌজা যেনে বৰগদুৰ সাৰস্বৰ বন্ধী কিয় আতৰোৱা হ'ল ?

Shri RADHIKA RAM DAS : Inspector ৰ ৰিপৰ্ট মতে এই বলাক কৰা হৈছিল কিন্তু, এতিয়া অলপ ভুল হ'ল যেন লাগিছে। সেই কাৰণে, কম সেইটো কৰা হ'ল Inspector ক সুবি পাঠোৱা হৈছে।

Deputation of 4 Mistress from Sibsagar School Board for training at Nowgong Mission School

Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA (Saikhowa) asked :

330. Will the Minister-in-charge of Education be pleased to state—

- (a) Whether it is a fact that 4 mistress have been deputed for training at Nowgong Mission School by Sibsagar School Board ?
- (b) Whether the pay and a deputation allowance would be paid to these teachers ?
- (c) What would be the deputation allowance to these mistress ?
- (d) Whether the pay and the allowance are being paid to them regularly ?
- (e) Whether Government are aware of the difficulties of these Trainees caused by the delay in payment of their pay and allowance ?

Shri RADHIKA RAM DAS (Deputy Minister, Education) replied:

330. (a) to (e)—Information are being collected.

Regarding the dearness allowance of Dangari High School

Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA asked :

331. Will the Minister-in-charge of Education be pleased to state—

- (a) Whether he would refer to Memo. No.EMI. 150/60, dated 3rd August, 1960 issued by the Deputy Secretary to the Government of Assam, Education (General) Department and ascertain from the Inspector of Schools concerned why the dearness allowance of Dangari High School for the months of January and February, 1958 was not paid during that year when the Secretary of the School submitted bills in time ?
- (b) Why no proposal for arrear grants was submitted by the Inspector of Schools concerned even in 1959 ?
- (c) What step Government propose to take to increase the efficiency of the officers of the Inspector of Schools so that the schools under them need not suffer from getting their grants timely ?

Shri RADHIKA RAM DAS (Deputy Minister, Education) replied.

331. (a)—The Dearness allowance bills for January and February, 1958 were duly countersigned by the Inspector of Schools and sent to the Secretary of the Schools for encashment. But it was not duly received by the Secretary of the Schools. The Inspector of Schools could not immediately move Director of Public Instruction for sanction of the arrear grant as he had to be satisfied that the countersigned bills had not been encashed. On receipt of all particulars he moved D. P. I. for sanctioning the arrear grants. As the original proposal was not received by the D. P. I., it caused further delay. The arrear payment was sanctioned by the D. P. I. on 3rd March 1960, hence the delay in sanctioning the D. A.

(b)—Proposal was submitted by Inspector of Schools and the amount was also sanctioned during 1959-60.

(c)—Does not arise in view of (a) and (b) above.

Condition of the Gamafulbari Arabic College in Barpeta Subdivision

Shri TAJUDDIN AHMED (Tarabari) asked:

332. Will the Minister Education be pleased to state—

- (a) How many teachers are there in the Gamafulbari Arabic College (Gumafulbari old scheme senior Madrasa) of Barpeta Subdivision?
- (b) How many students are there in this Arabic College?
- (c) When this Institution was started and when it gets Government recognition?
- (d) What is the amount that this Institution is getting as recurring grant and what amounts the other Institution of similar nature in the State are getting as recurring grant?
- (e) What is the result of the last Final Examination of this Institution?
- (f) Whether it is a fact that half of the Storm damage grant of this Institution of 1958 could not be received as it was sent to wrong address?
- (g) Why the amount was sent to wrong address?
- (h) Whether Government will give this amount now?

Shri RADHIKA RAM DAS (Deputy Minister, Education) replied:

332. (a)—13.

(b)—203.

(c)—Started in 1942 and got recognition in 1952.

(d)—A statement of recurring grant placed on the library table.

(e)—7 appeared 4 passed.

(f)—No.

(g) & (h)—Does not arise in view of reply to (f) above.

Regarding the usual staff in a single section Government High School and a single section Aided High School including Double section Government and Double section Aided High Schools

Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA (Saikhowa) asked:

333. Will the Minister, Education be pleased to state—

- (a) What is the usual staff in a single section Government High School and in a single section Aided High School ?
- (b) What is the usual staff in a Double section Government High School and Double section aided high school ?
- (c) What is the proportion of increase of additional teachers for each additional section where there are more than two sections in some classes ?
- (d) What is the proportion or usual number of classical and Hindi teacher authorised in different categories of High Schools ?
- (e) Whether any librarian is also provided for a high school ?
- (f) If not, who is supposed to look after the school libraries in their respective schools ?

Shri RADHIKA RAM DAS (Deputy Minister, Education) replied :

333. (a)—According to the standard under the curriculum now in force, the staff required for a Government High School of Classes IV to X with a single section in each Class is as follows :—

Headmaster	1
Assistant Headmaster	1
Class teachers	7
Sanskrit teacher	1
Islamic	1
Science teacher	1
Hindi teacher	1
Drill & Drawing master	1
						<hr/> 14 <hr/>

(i)—Further additions to the staff are required if the school has to be taught in separate sections for pupils speaking different vernaculars.

(ii)—Additional Islamic teacher is required if both Arabic and Persian are taught in Class VII to X.

(iii)—One Craft teacher is required if Craft subject is taught in the School.

As regards Aided High Schools the number of teacher is determined by the condition No.3(A) of the principles and conditions of grant-in-aid,

(b)—Following staff is required for double section schools—

Headmaster	1
Assistant Headmaster	1
Class teacher	14
Sanskrit teacher	1
Islamic teacher	1
Science teacher	1
Hindi teacher	1
Drill & Drawing master	1
						<hr/> 21 <hr/>

As regards Aided High Schools, same conditions as in (a) above apply.

(c)—For each additional section, one additional teacher is provided.

(d)—Additional teacher, Classical or Hindi is provided on the basis of the number of students taking up the subject. The calculation is made on the basis of one teacher for every 24 hours of teaching work per week.

(e)—There is no separate post of librarian in Government Aided High Schools.

(f)—A teacher who is found suitable by the Headmaster.

Actions that were taken by the Goalpara Subdivisional Officer during the last disturbances

Md. SHAHADAT ALI (South Salmara) asked :

334. Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state—

(a) The date and time when the houses and the properties belonging to Bengali Hindus of Dhupdhara, Rangjuli, Shimlitola, Dairangiri, Dudnai, Krishnai, Balbala and Joypur in Goalpara District were burnt down or otherwise destroyed during the last disturbances ?

(b) Who was the Subdivisional Officer then ?

(c) Whether it is a fact that the Subdivisional Officer, Goalpara was ordered to be transferred just before the disturbances ?

- (d) If so, date and time when he received the same order and the date and time when he handed over the charge to his reliever ?
- (e) What are the places that the Subdivisional Officer, Goalpara visited at the time of firing and looting from 5th July to 10th July, 1960 ?
- (f) Whether it is a fact that the Secretary, President and leading lawyer of the Goalpara Bar Association met him at about 12 A.M. on the 6th July 1960 and informed him about the happenings of Krishnai ?
- (g) If so, what action the Subdivisional Officer, Goalpara took in the matter and when he had visited Krishnai and other affected places ?

Shri BIMALA PRASAD CHALIHA (Chief Minister) replied :

334. (a)—A statement is laid on the Table.

(b)—Shri J. N. Changkakati, A.C.S.

(c)—The transfer order of Shri Changkakati was issued on 18th June 1960.

(d)—It is not known when he received the order. He handed over charge at Goalpara on 10th July 1960 (Forenoon) to Shri C. C. Barman, A.C.S.

(e)—There was no firing in the Goalpara Subdivision from 5th July 1960 to 10th July 1960. It is presumed the hon. Member means 'arson' by the word 'firing'. The Subdivisional Officer, Goalpara visited Jog'ghopa, Ahhoyapuri, Sri Jang'am, Bongaigaon, Boitamari, Krishnai, Dudnoi, Darrangiri, Rangjuli, Dhupdhara *en-route* Goalpara to Maria via Dulapara, Domoni and areas affected by disturbances from 5th July 1960 to 10th July, 1960.

(f)—No.

(g)—On receipt of information of the happenings at Krishnai, he deputed the S. D. P. O. to Krishnai to take precautionary measures. Subdivisional Officer visited Krishnai on 7th July 1960 and arranged relief for the affected people from 7th July 1960 and reinforced patrol as soon as some border forces already requisitioned arrived on 8th July 1960 morning. He visited the other affected places from 6th July 1960.

Regarding suspension of Government Officials on charges of failure of administration during language disturbances

Shri SARBESWAR BORDOLOI (Titabar) asked :

335. Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state—

- (a) How many Government officials have so far been suspended in the State for the alleged negligence in duties in the language disturbances Subdivision-wise ?

- (b) What were their nature of charges ?
- (c) Whether any show cause notice was served on them now ?
- (d) How Government proposes to conclude and dispose of the allegations and within what period the Government proposes to conclude them ?
- (e) Whether Government propose to take similar step in the other Subdivisions of the State ?

Shri BIMALA PRASAD CHALIHA (Chief Minister) replied :

335. (a) & (b)—There have been complaints of administrative failure on the part of some of the Government officers during the last disturbances. On a preliminary enquiry few cases of dereliction, failure or negligence of duties have been disclosed and twenty officers have so far been placed under suspension. Subdivisional break-up is given below—

Nowgong—10, North Lakhimpur—3, Gauhati—5, Sibsa-
gar—1 and Golaghat—1.

(c)—So far three officers have been directed to show cause.

(d)—Departmental proceeding are being drawn up. In case the officers are found guilty, the punishment permissible under the rules will be inflicted. The proceedings would be completed as early as possible.

(e) & (f)—Government have decided to enquire into the complaints of administrative failure in all the affected districts in the State as early as possible. So far report of the enquiry has been submitted in respect of Nowgong only. The enquiry has been completed in Darrang district and the report is being awaited.

Regarding incompleted roads that are taken for completion

Shri GHANASHYAM TALUKDAR (Sorbhog) asked :

336. Will the Minister-in-charge of P. W. D. (R. and B.) be pleased to state—

- (a) How many incompleted roads were taken up for completion in the last meeting of Road Communication Board ?
- (b) How many more incomplete roads have not been taken up and what are their names ?
- (c) Whether any new road has been taken up by the P. W. D. ?
- (d) If so, what are their names ?

Shri G. N. GOGOI [Deputy Minister, P.W.D. (R. & B. Wing)] replied :

336. (a)—57 number of incomplete roads have been taken up. Besides which, three roads as extension of existing P.W.D. roads, three roads as new roads, one survey Project in hills and three projects relating to existing P. W. D. roads have been taken up.

(b)—There are roughly 136 incomplete roads yet to be taken up. A list showing the names is placed in the Library Table.

(c)—Yes. In addition to three new roads taken up as per recommendation of the Assam Road Communication Boards some roads have been taken up after the 7th meeting of the Road Communication Board.

(d)—The names of the 3 roads recommended by the Assam Road Communication Board is as follows :—

1. Conversion on the Embankment from Baligaon to Sampara on the east of Subansiri River into a road.
2. Road from 271st mile of the A. T. Road (Ting'khong road) to Ferry-ghat (Bogibill Mirigaon).
3. Pratapganj-Kherbari Road with a branch to Japusabari village, via. Pagbirpathar.

Regarding the number of incomplete P. W. D. Roads that are going to be completed in the State of Assam

Shri GHANASHYAM TALUKDAR (Sorbhog) asked :

337. Will the Minister-in-charge P. W. D. (R. and B.) be pleased to state—

(a) How many incomplete P. W. D. Roads are to be completed in the State of Assam ?

(b) What are their names ?

(c) Whether they will be completed within this financial year ?

Shri G. N. GOGOI [Deputy Minister, P. W. D. (R. & B. Wing)] replied :

337. (a)—Roughly 136.

(b)—A statement has already been placed in the Library Table in connection with a similar question from the honourable Member.

(c)—There is no proposal at present.

Regarding the position of paddy in the Southern part of Barpeta

Shri TAJUDDIN AHMED (Tarabari) asked :

333. Will the Minister, Supply be pleased to state---

- (a) Whether position of paddy is deficit in the southern part of Barpeta including Barpeta Town ?
- (b) Whether some people of these deficit areas purchased some paddy and rice and while carrying the same on 4th August 1960 the Supply Officer, Barpeta Road seized these paddy and rice at Howli on the same date ?
- (c) Whether Supply Officer, Barpeta under the order of S. D. O. Barpeta ordered to release these paddy after few days but that order was not carried out by the S. I., Supply, Barpeta Road ?
- (d) Whether it is a fact that another order to release the paddy and rice was given by another senior Magistrate who was deputed to do so by S. D. O. Barpeta and this order was also turned down by the Secretary Howli Marketing Society under those instigation the paddy was seized and kept in his Jimma.
- (e) Whether it is a fact that the S. D. O., wanted report from S. I., Supply, Barpeta Road ?
- (f) If so, why no report was given ?
- (g) Whether the case was forwarded to S. D. O., Barpeta ?
- (h) If not, why not ?
- (i) Whether paddy seized on the same date from some lorry, were given back to some persons on the next day ?
- (j) If so, what was the reason ?
- (k) It is a fact that corruptions are going on at Howli and Barpeta Road in this connection ?
- (l) Why order of superior officers like S. D. O., Barpeta and Supply S. I., Barpeta Road was not carried out by the Secretary, Howli Marketing Society ?

M. MOINUL HAQUE CHOUDHURY (Minister, Supply) replied:

333. (a) to (e)—Yes.

(f)—The matter is under enquiry.

(g)—No.

(h)—The matter is under enquiry.

(i) & (j)—Yes. The seized padddy was released as the persons concerned were found to be genuine Consumers.

(k) & (l)—The matter is under enquiry.

Regarding the quota of C. I. Sheets obtained by the Government

Shri SARAT CHANDRA GOSWAMI (Kamalpur) asked:

339. Will the Supply Minister be pleased to state—

(a) The quota of C. I. Sheets obtained by the Government for consumers in the years 1959 and 1960 ?

(b) The quantity of C. I. Sheets that has been distributed to the consumers during the years 1959 and 1960 ?

(c) The quantity of C. I. Sheets that has been obtained for distribution to the disturbances affected persons affected by that recent disturbances in the State ?

(d) Whether Government have obtained any special quota from the Government of India for this emergency ?

(e) Whether it is a fact that all the C. I. Sheets meant for consumers of the State have been diverted for distribution to the disturbance affected persons ?

(f) Whether it is a fact that most needy public institutions like schools, Namghars, Mosques, etc., have not been supplied C. I. Sheets and consequently these public institutions have badly suffered being exposed to rain and sun ?

(g) What steps Government have taken for supply of C. I. Sheets to these needy consumers ?

M. MOINUL HAQUE CHOUDHURY (Minister, Supply) replied :

339. (a)—In 1959—3,948 tons.

In 1960—4,775 tons.

(b)—The entire stock of 1959 has been distributed. About the stock received in 1960, information from the Local Officers is awaited.

(c)—The available stock of 8,500 bundles, existing in different places was freezed and placed at the disposal of the Local Officers for rehabilitation of the people affected in the last disturbances.

(d)—Yes. 1,500 tons of C. I. Sheets have been allotted by the Government of India, as *ad-hoc* quota.

(e)—Yes.

(f) & (g)—Issue of permits to consumers other than victims of disturbances was suspended to meet the emergent situation. Efforts are being made to bring outstanding quotas of C. I. Sheets. It is also proposed to replenish the freezed stock out of *ad-hoc* quota of 1,500 tons.

Regarding the representation made by the public praying for declaration of a Grazing Reserve on Sarkari land lying on South-Western part of Saikhowa Mauza

Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA (Saikhowa) asked:

340. Will the Minister-in-charge of Revenue be pleased to state—
- Whether Government have lately received representations made by the public praying for declaration of a grazing reserve on 'Sarkari' land lying between Na-Dhulijan, Gakhir-bheti and Chotaijan on the Western (South Western) part of Saikhowa Mauza ?
 - Whether Government is aware that an application dated 15th October, 1959 from the public was forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner, Lakhimpur by the questioner on 27th October, 1959 ?
 - What action has been taken by the Local Revenue Officers on these representations ?

Shri HARESWAR DAS (Minister, Revenue) replied :

340. (a) to (c)—Information has been called for, but it could not be collected due to shortness of time.

People who were evicted in Bhataramari V. G. R.

Shri GHANASHYAM TALUKDAR (Sorbhog) asked :

341. Will the Minister-in-charge of Revenue be pleased to state—
- When the people living in Bhataramari V. G. R. were evicted ?
 - How many families were evicted and how many of them belongs to the Tribal Committee ?
 - Whether they were river eroded ?
 - Whether all of them have been provided with lands ?
 - If not, what is the reason ?

Shri HARESWAR DAS (Minister, Revenue) replied :

341. (a) to (e)—The information has been called for from the Local Officer.

Regarding the number of families who were evicted from Bhataramari V. G. R.

Shri GHANASHYAM TALUKDAR (Sorbhog) asked :

342. Will the Minister-in-charge of Revenue be pleased to state—

(a) How many families were evicted from Bhataramari V.G.R. ?

(b) Why all of them have not been provided with lands ?

(c) Whether all of them will be provided with lands ?

(d) If so, when ?

Shri HARESWAR DAS (Minister, Revenue) replied :

342. (a) to (d)—The information has been called for from the Local Officer.

Regarding payment of revenue for patta by the Cultivator Shri Bhodreswar Gohain

Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA (Saikhowa) asked :

343. Will the Minister-in-charge of Revenue be pleased to state—

(a) Whether it is a fact that one Bhodreswar Gohain a Cultivator has been paying revenue for annual patta land at dag No.286 (No.3) of Hatigadhoi village in Bogdung Mauza (Dibrugarh Subdivision) since the year 1946 ?

(b) If so, whether any formal patta has been issued to him ?

(c) Whether it is a fact that the Manager, Mukalbari Tea Estate (one Indian Tea Estate) has been trying to evict this cultivator from his lawful possession and made this land a disputed one ?

(d) What is the amount of cultivable land in the possession of this cultivator ?

(e) What is the amount of land in the grant of Mukalbari Tea Estate ?

(f) Who is the owner of this Tea Estate and the total amount of land of all categories that belong to this Tea Planter within the Eastern Circle Dibrugarh ?

Shri HARESWAR DAS (Minister, Revenue) replied :

343. (a)—Shri Bhodreswar Gohain paid revenue for the years 1950 to 1959 for the land in question on behalf of the pattadar Shri Jatibar Gohain.

(b)—No.

(c)—There is no such report.

(d)—He does not possess any cultivable land.

(e)—3,576B. 4K. 6L.

(f)—The owner of the Mukalbari Tea Estate is Shri Surajmal Hanumanbox Kanoi. The total amount of land of all categories held by him in the Dibrugarh Eastern Circle is 8,636B. 1K. 5½L.

Regarding unauthorised Occupation and encroachment on Government lands and reserves by the persons serving with eviction notice in Rangiya and Tamulpur Circles

Shri SARAT CHANDRA GOSWAMI (Kamarpur) asked :

344. Will the Revenue Minister be pleased to state—

(a) How many persons were served with eviction notice in Rangiya and Tamulpur Circles for unauthorised occupation and encroachment on Government lands and reserves upto June, 1960 ?

(b) How many of such unauthorised quarters have been evicted in these area upto June 1960 ?

(c) What steps the Government have taken to evict the remaining unauthorised quarters on Government and reserve lands ?

Shri HARESWAR DAS (Minister, Revenue) replied :

344. (a) to (c)—The information has been called for from the Deputy Commissioner, Kamrup.

Regarding transfer of Development Officer, Barpeta

Shri TAJUDDIN AHMED (Tarabari) asked :

345. Will the Minister, Panchayat be pleased to state—

(a) Whether it is a fact that many villages of Tarabari Constituency fall within Barpeta Community Project ?

(b) If so, why the M. L. A. of Tarabari Constituency has not been taken in the Barpeta Anchalik Panchayat ?

(c) Whether it is a fact that the M. L. A. of Tarabari Constituency and the local public there wanted the transfer of the present R. D. O., Barpeta ?

Shri F. A. AHMED (Minister, Community Development, etc.) replied :

345. (a)—Yes.

(b)—He has been taken as an *Ex-officio* Member in the Barpeta *Ad-hoc* Anchalik Panchayat, *vide* Notification No.DKBP.II/60/62, dated 2nd August, 1960.

(c)—There is only one petition from 10 persons who have not given their address. The hon. Member himself also approached the Government asking for transfer of the Development Officer.

(Notification referred to in reply to Unstarred Question No.345)

NOTIFICATION

No.DKBP.II/60/62, dated 2nd August, 1960

Under provision of rule 41 of the Assam Panchayat (Constitution) Rules, 1960, I, Shri B. C. Borah, Sub-Divisional Officer and Magistrate, Barpeta do hereby publish the names of the Presidents, Vice-Presidents and Members of the Barpeta Anchalik Panchayat, as follows:—

Office for which elected	Name of the persons elected	Address	Whether elected or <i>Ex-officio</i>	Term of office	Remarks
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
President	Shri Boidya Nath Sarma	Vill. —Barbila, P. O.— Bhella.	Elected	3 (three) years with effect from 26-7-60	
Vice-President.	Shri Foiz Sarkar	Vill.—Kayakuchi-pathar, P. O.—Kayakuchi-Bazar.	do	do	..
Members	1. Shri Satish Chandra Gayan.	Vill.—Sundaridia, P. O.— do	do	do	..
	2. Shri Dr. Bhagaban Chandra Das.	Vill.—Ganakkuchi, P. O.—Barpeta.	do	do	..
	3. Shri Bali Ram Izardar	Vill.—Patbousi, P. O.— do	do	do	Scheduled Caste.
	4. Shri Mahodar Talukdar	Vill.—Nagaon, P. O.— do	do	do	..
	5. Shri Raham Ali Seikh	Vill.—Kharuapara, P. O.—Bhella.	do	do	..
	6. Shri Dayal Das	Vill.—Keotkuchi, P. O.—Bhella.	do	do	..

QUESTIONS

[29th Oct.,

Office for which elected	Name of the persons elected	Address	Whether elected or <i>Ex-officio</i>	Term of office	Remarks
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Members	7. Shri Mesher Ali	Vill.—Bhella, P. O.—Bhella.	Elected	3 (three) years with effect from 26-7-60	..
	8. Shri Satyendra Nath	Vill.—Bhibila, P. O.—Bhella.	do	do	..
	9. Shri Guniram Talukdar	Vill.—Bar-Suha, P. O.—Bhella.	do	do	..
	10. Shri Mazibar Rahman	Vill.—Saragpara, P. O.—Palhazi.	do	do	..
	11. Shri Akbar Ali	Vill.—Dhakua, P. O.—Palhazi.	do	do	..
	12. Shri Omar Ali	Vill.—Palhazi, P. O.—Palhazi.	do	do	..
	13. Shri Abdul Karim	Vill.—Kasaripara, P. O.—Tarabari.	do	do	..
	14. Shri Debeswar Choudhury	Vill.—Rampur, P. O.—Bangaon.	do	do	..
	15. Shri Maneswar Thakuria	Vill.—Erartari, P. O.—Sarthebari.	do	do	Scheduled Caste.
	16. Shri Budhiram Baro	Vill.—Amdah, P. O.—Colibandha.	do	do	do
	17. Shri Bahar Ali	Vill.—Golibandha P. O.— do	do	do	...
	18. Shri Harmuj Ali Master	Vill.—Pithadi, P. O.—Bagodi.	do	do	..
	19. Shri Mefizuddin	Vill.—Bagodi P. O.— do	do	do	..
	20. Shri Bhubaneswar Das	Vill.—Patbousi P. O.— do	Additional members U/S 62(h) of Assam Pancha- yat Act, 1959.	do	..
	21. Shri Hridayananda Choudhury.	Vill.—Nagaon P. O.— do	do	do	..
	22. Shri Askhay Kumar Das	Vill.—Barpeta, P. O.—Barpeta.	Co-opted	do	Co-operative.
	23. Shri Tazuddin Ahmed, M. L. A.	Vill.—Barpeta, P. O.—Barpeta.	<i>Ex-officio</i>	do	...
	24. Shri Mahadeb Das, M. L. A.	Vill.—Barpeta, P. O.—Barpeta.	do	do	..
	25. Shri Shrihari Das, M. L. A.	Vill.—Barpeta, P. O.—Barpeta.	do	do	..

Regarding the formation of N. E. S. Blocks in Barpeta Subdivision

Shri GHANASHYAM TALUKDAR (Sorbhog) asked :

346. Will the Minister-in-charge of Community Projects be pleased to state—

- (a) When the N. E. S. Blocks in Barpeta Subdivision were formed ?
- (b) Whether it is a fact that they were selected by the Subdivisional Development Board, Barpeta in 1954 ?
- (c) If so, what were their names ?
- (d) The headquarters of those N. E. S. Blocks selected by the Subdivisional Development Board in 1954 ?

Shri F. A. AHMED (Minister, Community Development, etc.) replied :

346. (a)—Two blocks, viz., Jalah and Gobardhana were formed in 1953. The rest were formed in 1954.

(b)—Subdivisional Development Board selected the blocks in the years as stated above.

(c)—The name of the blocks were :

(1) Jalah.

(2) Gobardhana.

(3) Raha.

(4) Barpeta.

(5) Mandia.

(6) Jalikhata.

(7) Chenga.

(8) Bhowanipur.

(d)—The provisional headquarters of the proposed blocks were as follows :

Names of blocks	Provisional Head-quarter
1. Jalah	Jalah.
2. Gobardhana	Barpeta Road.
3. Raha	Raha.
4. Barpeta	Barpeta.
5. Mandia	Mandia.
6. Jalikhata	Jalikhata.
7. Chenga	Chenga.
8. Bhowanipur	Sarupeta.

Regarding the officers who had been deputed for Re-orientation Course in the Central Institute of Study and Research in C. D. at Mussoorie

Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA (Saikhowa) asked :

347. Will the Minister-in-charge of Community Development be pleased to state—

- (a) The number of officers re-oriented in the Central Institute of Study and Research in Community Development at Mussoorie and who are they ?
- (b) Whether it is a fact that certain officers were deputed for Re-orientation Course but could not or did not join the Training during the last few years ?
- (c) The names of such officers who were asked to join this course but could not or did not join it ?
- (d) How many of the present Deputy Commissioners of the State have undergone this Re-oriented Course of training at Mussoorie ?

Shri F. A. AHMED (Minister, Community Development, etc.) replied :

347. (a)—16. They are—

1. Shri R. R. Paul, Joint Director, Rural Development Department.
2. Shri S. K. Bhattacharjee, A. D. M., Jorhat.
3. Shri J. P. Sarma, Joint Director, Rural Development Department.
4. Shri J. Gogoi, Joint Registrar of Co-operative Societies.
5. Shri K. C. Nandi, Joint Director, Cottage Industries.
6. Shri G. Barua, I. A. S., Deputy Commissioner, Dhubri.
7. Shri A. Ahmed, A. C. S., A. D. M., Jorhat.
8. Shri B. N. Duara, Joint Director of Agriculture.
9. Shri S. C. Choudhury, Joint Director of Agriculture.
10. Shri A. K. Borkakaty, Inspector of Schools, Jorhat.
11. Shri Charles Thomas, A. C. S., Under-Secretary, Development Department.

12. Shri J. N. Das, I. A. S., Director of Panchayat and Deputy Development Commissioner.
13. Shri H. Tham, Deputy Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Gauhati.
14. Shri J. N. Bujarbaruah, Special Officer, Planning Cell, Veterinary Department, Gauhati.
15. Shri G. C. Sarma Baruah, Additional D. P. I., Assam.
16. Shri S.N. Sarma, A. D. C. (C. P.).

(b)—Due to shortage of experienced officers and in the interest of public service, some of the officers selected for training could not be spared to join the Central Institute of Study and Research at Mussoorie.

(c)—The names of officers who could not join the course are :

- (1) Dr. B. L. Choudhury, Deputy D. H. S. (HQ) (now D.H.S., Assam).
- (2) Shri R. K. Srivastava, I. A. S., D. C., Kamrup (now Joint Secretary, Appointment Department).
- (3) Shri R. S. Paramasivan, I. A. S., D. C., Cachar (now Secretary, Revenue Department).
- (4) Shri L. S. Ingty, I. A. S., Deputy Development Commissioner, Development Department.

(d)—1 (one).

Regarding the flight of loanee refugees taking the plea of disturbance from various places of the State

Dr. GHANASHYAM DAS [North Salmara (Reserved for Scheduled Castes)] asked :

348. Will the Minister-in-charge of Relief and Rehabilitation be pleased to state—

(a) Whether Government is aware that a large number of loanee refugees have left from some areas of the State taking the plea of disturbance though there was no disturbance in those areas ?

(b) If so, what steps have been taken by the Government to realise these loans ?

Shri BIMALA PRASAD CHALIHA (Chief Minister) replied :

348. (a)—Yes. A good number of refugees though not directly affected by the disturbances had left the places where they were rehabilitated out of panic.

(b)—The question of realisation of their loans has not yet been taken up. It is expected that they will return to their original places of rehabilitation gradually along with the restoration of normalcy and the question of realising these loans will be examined thereafter.

Regarding murder of an Assamese of Bosmotia Tea Estate

Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA (Saikhowa) asked :

349. Will the Minister-in-charge of Home be pleased to state—

- (a) Whether it is a fact that one Assamese was murdered at Basmotia Tea Estate in Moderkhat Mauza under Dibrugarh Police Thana in the month of July, last ?
- (b) How many persons have been arrested and sent up for trial in connection with this murder ?
- (c) Whether Police could find out any instigator or abettor of this murder ?

Shri BIMALA PRASAD CHALIHA (Chief Minister) replied :

349. (a)—Yes.
 (b)—11 (eleven) persons.
 (c)—Investigation has not revealed that there was any instigator or abettor in this murder.

Regarding difficulties of refugee families of Nalbari, Rangfali, etc., villages

Shri PRABHAT NARAYAN CHOUDHURY (Nalbari-East) asked :

350. Will the Minister-in-charge of Relief and Rehabilitation be pleased to state—

- (a) Whether Government are aware of the difficulty of about 600 refugee families of Nalbari, Rangfali, Bhelamari villages of Pacchim Barbhag Mouza and Khudia village of Bataghila Mouza for want of any road communication in the area ?
- (b) Whether Government is aware that the refugees mentioned above as well as other inhabitants of the area have remained backward educationally and commercially due to their inability to transport their saleable agricultural products and to attend educational institutions ?
- (c) Whether Government propose to take over Rangafali, Khudia Road by the Public Works Department from the funds of Relief and Rehabilitation Department ?

Shri BIMALA PRASAD CHALIHA (Chief Minister) replied :

350. (a)—The villages are situated as below—

- (i) Khudia—About 2 furlongs away from 2nd mile of Nalbari Dhamdhama Public Works Department Road.

(ii) Rangfali—By the side of 7th mile of Barama-Dhamdhama Road.

(iii) By the side of 7th mile of Nalbari-Dhamdhama Public Works Department Road.

(iv) The difficulties of these people are less than the inhabitant of the villages further away from the Public Works Department Road.

(b)—Reply as (iv) above.

(c)—There is no such proposal at present.

Shri PRABHAT NARAYAN CHOUDHURY (Nalbari-East): It is stated in reply to 350(c) that "there is no such proposal at present". Will Government take up a proposal in future ?

Shri FAKHRUDDIN ALI AHMED (Minister, Finance, etc.): That might be considered, later on Sir. But at present there are no proposal.

Regarding tender of the Barak Bridge at Silchar

Mrs. JYOTSNA CHANDA (Silchar-West) asked :

351. Will the Minister-in-charge of Public Works Department be pleased to state—

(a) Whether the Government has asked for any tender for the Barak Bridge at Silchar ?

(b) If so, whether it is on revised estimate ?

(c) Who are the tenderers ?

(d) Whether any tender has been accepted ?

Shri G. N. GOGOI [Deputy Minister, P. W. D. (R. & B.)] replied :

351. (a)—Yes.

(b)—The estimate will be revised on the basis of rates of the tenders finally accepted.

(c)—The tenderers are—

(a) M/S. S. B. Joshi and Company Limited of Bombay.

(b) M/S. Gammon of India (P) limited of Bombay.

(d)—Not yet.

Shrimati JYOTSNA CHANDA (Silchar-West): It is stated that the tenders have been called for, may I know from the Government whether they propose to accept the tender for Barak ?

Shri GIRINDRA NATH GOGOI [Deputy Minister, P. W. D. (R. and B.): Tenders have been received the names of the tenderers will be found in reply to (c).

Shrimati JYOTSNA CHANDA: There are two tenderers. When do Government propose to accept them ?

Shri GIRINDRA NATH GOGOI: The tenders are being scrutinized and until scrutiny is over, tenders cannot be accepted.

Shrimati JYOTSNA CHANDA: How long will it take to scrutinise the tenders ?

Shri GIRINDRANATH GOGOI: It will take some time, but we cannot fix a date line.

Shrimati JYOTSNA CHANDA: Do Government propose to have the Barak bridge completed this year ?

Shri GIRINDRA NATH GOGOI: Financial concurrence have been received. We expect to have it done by the end of the next financial year that is 1961-62.

Regarding the stoppage of 7-Up Train by some miscreants on 18th June

Shri KHOGENDRA NATH BARBARUAH (Amguri) asked :

352. Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state—

(a) Whether it is a fact that an up running passenger train on 18th June, 1960 was stopped at a distance of a few yards from the Mariani (Jorhat) platform and the passengers were beaten by some unsocial elements ?

(b) If so, why police from the Mariani Police Station did not rush to the spot ?

Shri BIMALA PRASAD CHALIHA (Chief Minister) replied:

352. (a)—Yes. Complaint was received at Mariani G. R. P. S. on 18th June, 1960 at about 2200 hours to the effect that on that day the 7-Up passenger train was stopped a little after it had left the station at 1750 hours near the level crossing for about 3 minutes at a distance of about 2 furlongs from the station platform and that some passengers were assaulted by some unsocial elements.

(b)—The place where the 7-Up Train was stopped by the unsocial elements was not visible from the station platform due to existence of a curve and also due to the placing of a large number of goods wagons there. Neither the driver nor the guard of the train, nor the gateman of the level crossing reported the matter to the Railway Police.

**Regarding murder of Shri Prasad Gohain of Saikhowa Mouza
(Dirak Village)**

Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA (Saikhowa) asked:

353. Will the Minister of Home be pleased to state—

- (a) Whether it is a fact that one Prasad Gohain of Dirak Village in Saikhowa Mouza under Doom Dooma Police Station was murdered in November, 1958 ?
- (b) Whether it is a fact that one Kandar Neog of Barali gaon in Saikhowa under Doom Dooma Police Station was also murdered in January, 1960.
- (c) Whether anybody was arrested in this connection ?
- (d) What were the reason of these murders found by the police ?

Shri BIMALA PRASAD CHALIHA (Chief Minister) replied:

353. (a)—Yes. One Prasad Chandra Gohain of Dirak Village under Doom Dooma Police Station was murdered on 11th November, 1958.

(b)—Yes. one Kandeswar Neog *alias* Debeswar Chutia of Borali-gaon under Doom Dooma Police Station was murdered on 2nd February, 1960.

(c)—Yes. For the murder of Prasad Chandra Gohain his uncle, Sarukan Chutia of Amgurigaon under Doom Dooma Police Station was arrested. And for murder of Kandeswar Neog, three persons, *viz.*, (1) Omin Bhumiz (2) Abhiram Munda and (3) Soma Munda of Lukhrongsheet gaon under Doom Dooma Police Station were arrested.

(d)—In the Prasad Gohain's murder case police investigation revealed that accused Sarukan Chutia wanted to sell a plot of Government waste land which was in the possession of the deceased. As the latter opposed the sale, accused Sarukan called the deceased to settle up the matter in his house and on the way Sarukan is said to have murdered him. The accused Sarukan was sent up for trial under Section 302 Indian Penal Code and committed to Session Court but was acquitted for insufficient evidence.

In the Kandeswar Neog's murder case police investigation revealed that accused persons, *viz.*, (1) Omin Bhumiz, (2) Abhiram Munda, and (3) Soma Munda murdered deceased Kandeswar Neog over land dispute while the deceased was obstructing the accused persons from clearing a plot of Government waste land for occupation. All accused persons were arrested and sent up for trial and the case is sub judice.

Regarding land settlement to the refugees of Barpeta Subdivision

Shri GHANASHYAM TALUKDER (Sorbhog) asked :

354. Will the Minister-in-charge of Relief and Rehabilitation be pleased to state—

- (a) How many refugees are there in Barpeta Subdivision ?
- (b) How many of them have been settled with lands ?
- (c) How many refugees remain to be settled with lands ?
- (d) What steps have been taken by the Government to provide those refugees with lands ?
- (e) Whether the remaining cases will be disposed of.

Shri BIMALA PRASAD CHALIHA (Chief Minister) replied :

354. (a) to (e)—Materials have been called for.

Shri GHANASHYAM TALUKDAR : In reply to this question, it is stated that 'materials have been called for'. May I know whether the materials will be sent to the questioner ?

Mr. SPEAKER : That is the practice.

Regarding land settlement of the Assam Refugee

Shri GHANASHYAM TALUKDER asked :

355. Will the Minister-in-charge of Relief and Rehabilitation be pleased state—

- (a) How many refugees are there in Assam—Subdivisionwise ?
- (b) Whether they have been settled with lands ?
- (c) If not, how many of them remains to be settled with lands ?
- (d) When they will be settled with lands ?

Shri BIMALA PRASAD CHALIHA replied :

355. (a) to (d)—Materials have been called for.

Heavy surrender of money by Barpeta P. W. D. (R. & B.) during the year 1959-60

Shri GHANASHYAM TALUKDER (Sorbhog) asked:

356. Will the Minister-in-charge of P. W. D. (R. & B.) be pleased to state—

- (a) How much money was surrendered in 1959-60 from the Barpeta P. W. D. Division ?
- (b) What are the different items on which money was surrendered ?
- (c) Who were at fault for not spending the amount ?

Shri GIRINDRA NATH GOGOI [Deputy Minister, P. W. D. (R. & B.)] replied :

356. (a)—Rupees 2,08,589.

(b)—The main items against which money was surrendered are:—

(i) Reconstruction of weak and temporary bridge and culverts on N. T. Road.

(ii) Reconstruction of bridge No.1/1 on Barpeta-Tarabari Road.

(iii) Construction of new Sub-Jail at Barpeta for 150 prisoners and staff quarters.

The surrender in case of items (i) & (ii) was due to dearth of bridge materials and that in case of item (iii) due to non-finalisation of the work by the Administrative Department in time.

(c)—Does not arise in view of (b) above.

Settlement of Government Khas Land

Shri GHANASHYAM TALUKDER asked :

357. Will the Minister-in-charge of Revenue be pleased to state—

- (a) On what principle settlement of Government khas lands are made ?
- (b) What is the minimum economic holding ?
- (c) Whether this has to be followed in settlement of lands ?
- (d) If not, whether Government propose to issue instruction to follow this principle ?
- (e) If so, when ?
- (f) Whether settlement are given on encroached lands ?

Shri HARESWAR DAS (Minister, Revenue) replied :

357. (a)—If the hon. Member means settlement for agricultural purpose, then the principle is there in Para. 6 (vii) of the Government Resolution on settlement of Agriculture land of 25th September 1958 copy of which is placed on the Library table.

(b)—There is no clear definition of an economic holding. As a working basis Government have accepted 8 to 12 bighas of land for an average family according to fertility of the soil.

(c)—Government is following this principle.

(d) & (e)—Do not arise.

(f)—The encroacher has no claim to settlement by right of occupation. But in the interest of the cultivators, occupation of Sarkari land is regularised by granting settlement only to the deserving occupants wherever possible.

Regarding acquisition of machineries on hire purchase system

Shri PRABHAT NARAYAN CHOUDHURY (Nalbari-East) asked :

358. Will the Minister-in-charge of Cottage Industries be pleased to state—

- (a) What are the Cottage Industries including Industrial Co-operatives to which machineries on hire purchase system have been made available by the National Small Industries Corporation on recommendation of State Industries Department ?
- (b) What are the Cottage Industries for which machineries have been supplied in the State ?
- (c) Whether Government is aware that for callousness of Departmental Officers, public are taking initiative for acquisition of machineries on hire purchase system ?

Shri KAMAKHYA PRASAD TRIPATHI (Minister-in-charge of Industries) replied :

358. (a) & (b)—A statement is placed on the Library table.

(c)—Government have no information. It has, however, been observed that the applications received by the District Officers are not properly filled up by the applicants which result in unnecessary correspondence and delay. It also takes considerable time for the National Small Industries Corporation to arrange machinery in each case. Hire purchase system of N.S.I.C. is a matter of deliberate policy of Government and not due to any callousness.

Shri PRABHAT NARAYAN CHOUDHURY: Whether Government is aware that these petitions for hire-purchase system take more time with the State Government than with the Government of India ?

Shri FAKHRUDDIN ALI AHMED (Minister, Finance) : Government is not aware but will make an enquiry.

Regarding the firms that are granted Manufacturing Licence for Tea-chest fittings, etc.

Shri GOPESH NAMASUDRA [Patharkandi (Reserved for Scheduled Castes)] asked :

359. Will the Minister-in-charge of Industries be pleased to state—

- (a) What are the firms that have been granted Manufacturing Licence for tea-chest fittings and for importing raw materials required for the purpose ?
- (b) How many of these firms are directly connected with plywood manufacture for tea-chest ?
- (c) What is the actual production of these firms for the past three years ?
- (d) What are the selling prices of their production and the comparative prices of Calcutta manufacturers ?
- (e) Whether at any time the Government verified their actual imports, production and sales in the State ?
- (f) Whether Government is satisfied with all the reports of different firms ?
- (g) Whether any plywood manufacturer of Cachar District who is an actual supplier of tea-chest to the consumers have applied for manufacturing licence for tea-chest fittings ?
- (h) If so, whether the applicant was granted any such licence ?
- (i) If not, why not ?

Shri KAMAKHYA PRASAD TRIPATHY (Minister-in-charge of Industries) replied :

359. (a)—A statement is placed on the Library table.

(b)—Two.

(c)—Most of the firms have received raw materials very recently and have started productions only this year. The production figure for the period May 1960 to August 1960 is 5,00,000 sets of tea-chest fittings.

(d)—The comparative selling prices of Assam and Calcutta manufacturers are as indicated below :—

Size	Selling prices F. O. R. destination or garden station	Calcutta F.O.R. (Excluding delivery charge of 10 n.P. per set)
1. 19×19×24	Rs.1·21 per set	Rs.1·25 per set
2. 19×19×22	Rs.1·19 per set	Rs.1·22 per set
3. 18×18×20	Rs.1·12 per set	Rs.1·15 per set
4. 16×16×18	Rs.1·03 per set	Rs.1·07 per set

(e)—Yes.

(f)—Yes.

(g)—No.

(h)—Does not arise.

(i)—Does not arise.

Regarding posting of Weaving Inspectors and Demonstrators in Dibrugarh Subdivision

Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA (Saikhowa) asked :

360. Will the Minister-in-charge of Sericulture and Weaving be pleased to state—

- (a) The number of Weaving Inspectors posted in Dibrugarh Subdivision with their respective Headquarters and their jurisdiction ?
- (b) The number of Weaving Demonstrators posted in Dibrugarh Subdivision with their respective Headquarters and their jurisdiction ?
- (c) Whether it is a fact that the area covered by Kakapathar Anchalik Panchayat *i. e.*, the area covered by Saikhowa, Doom-Dooma and Buridihing Mauzas is not covered by the jurisdiction of a Weaving Demonstrator ?
- (d) Whether it is a fact that the area covered by Hapjan Anchalik Panchayat *i. e.*, the area covered by Hapjan, Tingrai and Rongora Mauzas is not also covered by the jurisdiction of a Weaving Demonstrator ?
- (e) Whether it is a fact that a Weaving Demonstrator has not yet been posted within the area covered by Panitola Anchalik Panchayat *i. e.*, Bogdung, Gharbandi and Chabua Pulunga Mauzas ?
- (f) Whether any Weaving Demonstrator has yet been posted within the Lahoal Anchalik Panchayat, *i. e.*, the area covered by Rohmorla, Lahoal and Moderkhat Mauzas ?
- (g) Whether Government propose to post at least one Weaving Demonstrator in each of the Anchalik Panchayat in Dibrugarh Subdivision fixing his headquarters, at the head quarters of a Anchalik Panchayat ?

Shri MAHENDRA NATH HAZARIKA (Minister-in-charge of Sericulture) replied :

360. (a) to (g)—The information is being called for.

Regarding number of Sericulture Demonstrators posted within the areas covered by Kakapathar, Hapjan, etc

Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA asked :

361. Will the Minister-in-charge of Sericulture and Weaving be pleased to state—

- (a) The number of Sericulture Inspectors posted in Dibrugarh Subdivision with their headquarters and respective jurisdiction ?

(b) The number of Sericulture Demonstrators posted within Dibrugarh Subdivision with their respective headquarters and jurisdiction ?

(c) The number of Sericulture Demonstrators posted within the areas covered by Kakapathar, Hapjan, Panitola and Lahoal Anchalik Panchayats a continuous area covered by 14 Mauzas and about one hundred miles in length ?

(d) Whether Government propose to post at least one Sericulture Demonstrator within Kakapathar Anchalik Panchayat with headquarters at Kakapathar ?

Shri MAHENDRA NATH HAZARIKA (Minister-in-charge of Sericulture) replied :

361. (a) to (c)—The information is being called for.

(d)—The information are being called for.

Regarding sanction of fly shuttle looms for distribution to the backward communities in Dibrugarh Subdivision

Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA (Saikhowa) asked :

362. Will the Minister-in-charge of Sericulture and Weaving be pleased to state—

(a) Whether Government is aware that about 40 fly shuttle looms were lately sanctioned for Dibrugarh Subdivision for distribution to the other backward communities ?

(b) Whether it is a fact that not a single application was produced before the Selection Committee from the area covered by Saikhowa, Buridihing, Doom Dooma, Tingrai, Hapjan, Makum, Tipling Mauzas a contiguous area in the Eastern part of Dibrugarh Subdivision inspite of the fact that villages of the Mauzas were inhabited by people of other backward classes ?

(c) Whether it is a fact that applications from Rohmoria, Gharbandi and Chabua Pulunga Mauzas as well as Bogdung Mauzas were available for allotment of fly shuttle looms meant for other backward classes inspite of the fact that all villages of these Mauzas are inhabited by the people of other backward classes ?

(d) What were the difficulties of the local officers in getting response from the people of the above mentioned areas where most of the families are accustomed with families looms of primitive type ?

(e) Whether Government is aware that the Weaving Department is not giving adequate attention to the villages covered by aforesaid Mauzas ?

(f) Whether Government is aware that the special provisions for the other backward classes were made with a view to help the areas and classes of people not adequately benefited by general programme ?

(g) If so, whether local officers were instructed accordingly ?

Shri MAHENDRA NATH HAZARIKA (Minister-in-charge of Sericulture and Weaving) replied :

362. (a) to (g)—The information is being called for.

Regarding number of Weaving Inspectors and Demonstrators in the State

Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA (Saikhowa) asked :

363. Will the Minister-in-charge of Sericulture and Weaving be pleased to state—

(a) The number of Weaving Inspectors and Demonstrators in the State ?

(b) Whether Government propose to post one Weaving Demonstrator in every Anchalik Panchayat in the District of Brahmaputra Valley fixing his headquarter at the head-quarter of the Anchalik Panchayat ?

(c) If not, whether Government propose to adjust the jurisdiction of the weaving demonstrators and make available the services of at least one demonstrator for each Anchalik Panchayat ?

Shri MAHENDRA NATH HAZARIKA (Minister-in-charge, Sericulture and Weaving) replied :

363. (a) & (b)—The information is being called for.

(c)—The information is being called for.

Regarding area and population of Nalbari Town Committee

Shri PRABHAT NARAYAN CHOUDHURY (Nalbari-East) asked :

364. Will the Minister-in-charge of Local Self-Government be pleased to state—

(a) What was the area and population of Nalbari Town Committee in 1940 ?

- (b) What is the area and population of Nalbari Municipality in 1960 ?
- (c) What amount of general purposes grant was sanctioned to Nalbari Town Committee in 1942 ?
- (d) What was such grant given in 1959-60 ?
- (e) What is the total amount recommended by the Deputy Commissioner Kamrup for Nalbari Municipality, under the general purposes grants for the year 1959-60 ?
- (f) What is the total sanctioned amount under this grant for the current year ?
- (g) Whether Nalbari Municipality proposed to introduce license fees for Rikshaws and Bicycles ?
- (i) Why License on Rikshaws has not been introduced at Nalbari and who is responsible for the loss ?
- (j) Whether there was heavy remissions of Hat and Bazar lease money at Nalbari Municipality before the last General Election of members of Municipality ?
- (k) If so, what were the amounts of such remission ?
- (l) Whether Government are aware that the residents of Nalbari Municipality are suffering for want of any arrangement for filtered water for drinking purpose ?
- (m) If so, whether Government propose to take up a scheme for supply of filtered pipe water at Nalbari ?

Shri GIRINDRA NATH GOGOI (Deputy Minister, Local Self-Government) replied:

364.(a)—One-fourth square mile (area). Population 3,000 (appx.)

(b)—Area 4 sq mile. Population 12,000 (appx.)

(c)—No amount of general purposes grant was sanctioned.

(d)—Rs.1,500 (Rupees One thousand and five hundred);

(e)—Government did not receive the recommendation, if any, made by the Deputy Commissioner, Kamrup.

(f)—Amount of general purposes grant to be sanctioned for the current year has not yet been determined.

(g)—Yes.

(h)—License fees on Rickshaws and Bicycles has been introduced since the years 1959-60 and 1960-61 respectively.

(i)—Does not arise.

(j)—Not heavy but quite substantial.

(k)—Out of the total dues of Rs.4,150 from Nalbari Daily Market and of Rs.8,950 from Nalbari Hat, Rs.1,700 and Rs.3,200 respectively were remissioned.

(l)—Information is being collected.

(m)—Does not arise.

Shri PRABHAT NARAYAN CHOUDHURY (Nalbari-East): Whether Government consider the discussions in the House and also in the budget discussion to be sufficient information and why is it necessary to call for information?

Regarding Stipends granted by Tea Board to the Students of Assam

Shri MOLIA TATI (Doom-Dooma) asked:

365. Will the Minister-in-charge of Labour be pleased to state—

(a) The total number of applications received by the Tea Board for grant of stipend to the students of Assam year by year since its formation?

(b) Total number of stipend granted for Assam year by year?

(c) The number of labour students year by year who got stipend from the Tea Board?

(d) The number of students of Indian Staff year by year who got stipend from the Tea Board?

Shri KAMAKHYA PRASAD TRIPATHI (Minister-in-charge of Labour) replied:

365.(a)—Applications received by the Tea Board are as below—

1956-57	Rs. 958
1957-58	1,505
1958-59	1,867
1959-60	1,328
1960-61 upto October, 12	725
(b)—1956-57	763
1957-58	1,076
1958-59	1,264
1959-60	477
1960-61 upto October, 12	341

(c) & (d)—Information regarding numbers of students belonging to labourer and members of Indian Staff separately is not available.

Regarding election of Doom Dooma Town Committee

Shri MOLIA TATI (Doom-Dooma) asked :

366. Will the Minister-in-charge of L.S.-G. be pleased to state—

- (a) Whether it is a fact that election of Doom Dooma Town Committee was completed in the month of January last ?
- (b) Whether it is a fact that 2 members are to be nominated by the Government to this Town Committee ?
- (c) Whether it is a fact that the local Deputy Commissioner suggested 2 gentlemen for nomination ?
- (d) If so, when this suggestion was received from the Deputy Commissioner ?
- (e) Whether Government is aware that the sanitary condition of the town has been deteriorated greatly ?
- (f) Why the nomination has been delayed so long ?

Shri GIRINDRA NATH GOGOI (Deputy Minister, L.S.-G.) replied :

366. (a)—Ycs.

(b)—Yes.

(c)—Yes.

(d)—The recommendation of the Deputy Commissioner, Lakhimpur, was received through the Commissioner of Plains Division on 26th March 1960.

(e)—The information sought from the Chairman has not yet been received.

(f)—One of the persons suggested by Deputy Commissioner for nomination to the Town Committee is a teacher in Doom Dooma High English School and so permission from Inspector of Schools is necessary before his nomination could be finalised. Deputy Commissioner, Dibrugarh reports that he received the approval of Inspector of Schools to the nomination of the school teacher on 18th October 1960. The nomination of two members to the Town Committee by the Government will be finalised soon.

Regarding the number of families affected in July 1960 floods

Shri PRABHAT NARAYAN CHOUDHURY (Nalbari East) asked :

367. Will the Minister-in-charge of Revenue be pleased to state—

- (a) The number of families affected in July 1960 floods caused by breach of Pagladiya embankment at Khanajan ?

- (b) What is the area of cultivated lands affected by floods in Nalbari area by the above mentioned breach ?
- (c) What is the basis of issued of seed loans and the amount of loans issued for Sali cultivations ?
- (d) How many families get seed loans upto 18th August 1960 ?
- (e) How many families have been issued Cattle loans ?
- (f) How many families have applied for relief and rehabilitation loans ?
- (g) What amount of rehabilitation loans were issued till August, 1960 ?

Shri HARESWAR DAS (Minister, Revenue) replied :

367. (a)—1,500 families.

(b)—6,700 bighas.

(c)—The seed loan is issued on the basis of the total loss of crops sustained by any individual cultivator due to any natural calamity and on the recommendation of the local Panchayats. The amount of seed loan so far issued in Nalbari Circle is Rs.18,000.

(d)—300 families.

(e)—The information is being collected.

(f) & (g)—90 families applied for relief and rehabilitation loans. The matter is under the examination of the Deputy Commissioner, Kamrup.

Regarding applications for Grant of Timber Permits

Shri PRABHAT NARAYAN CHOUDHURY (Nalbari-East) asked :

368, Will the Minister-in-charge of Forests be pleased to state—

- (a) How many applications for grant of timber permits were received by Government from North Kamrup flood affected people of 1959 floods directly as well as through the Assistant Conservator of Forests deputed to Nalbari particularly for the purpose ?
- (b) How many of the cases were recommended by the Local Forest Officers for grant of timber permits ?
- (c) In how many genuine cases permits were granted and how many cases were rejected being not genuine ?
- (d) Whether it is a fact that most of the genuine applicants are not yet granted timber permits resulting in their disappointment ?
- (e) Whether there is any favouritism shown in grant of timber permit to North Kamrup flood victims of 1959 ?

Shri LALIT KUMAR DALEY (Parliamentary Secretary, Forests) replied :

368. (a)—Altogether 213 applications were received by the Assistant Conservator of Forests, Nalbari and 29 applications were received directly by the Divisional Forest Officer, North Kamrup Division.

(b)—All the applications were recommended by the Divisional Forest Officer, North Kamrup Division "excepting one" of Shri R. C. Choudhury as he was not flood affected. But many of the cases recommended were lacking in full particulars.

(c)—So far 36 cases have been considered and permits for timbers granted accordingly. The rest have been sent to Conservator of Forests, Assam to obtain the definite views of the D. F. O. concerned stating the area from which the permit for timber can be sanctioned and for stating the requirements of each and every application after realisation of Court fee stamp where necessary. Those applications have not yet been returned back.

(d)—Final orders will be passed in the pending cases on receipt of report from Divisional Forest Officer.

(e)—No.

Shri PRABHAT NARAYAN CHOUDHURY (Nalbari-East) : When do Government propose to finalise the pending petitions that have been called for from the D.F.O. ?

Shri LALIT KUMAR DOLEY (Parliamentary Secretary) : The applications have been sent back to the D.F.O. and as soon as the report is received from the D.F.O. action will be taken on them.

Shri PRABHAT NARAYAN CHOUDHURY : But these petitions are pending for one year ?

Mr. SPEAKER : He says "the applications have been sent to the D.F.O. for scrutiny and as soon as scrutiny report is received, action will be taken.

Shri LALIT KUMAR DOLEY : It is not a question of one year or two years. There were certain defects in the applications therefore Government could not take any action on them.

Shri PRABHAT NARAYAN CHOUDHURY : When the Parliamentary Secretary says 'defects', may I know whether he means defects in the applications or defects in the Report of the D.F.O. ?

Shri HARESWAR DAS (Minister, Revenue) : The general policy of the Government is not to issue such permits. But in certain cases when people's house is burnt or washed away we give some permits. These are to be scrutinised by the D.F.Os whether they are the sufferers or not. Many of the petitions not falling within these categories rejected.

Regarding opening of Industrial Estates by the Government**Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA (Saikhowa)** asked :

369. Will the Minister-in-charge of Industries be pleased to state—

- (a) The number of Industrial Estates opened by this Government and the amounts so far spent ?
- (b) The number of proposed Industrial Estates to be opened and amounts to be spent for those Estates during the next few years ?
- (c) What is the number of indigenous Assamese and Tribals of Assam provided in the Industrial Estate at Gauhati ?
- (d) The number of indigenous Assamese and Tribals of Assam accommodated in the Industrial Estate at Dhekiajuli ?
- (e) The number of indigenous Assamese and Tribals of Assam accommodated and provided in the Industrial Estate at Tinsukia ?
- (f) What step Government is taking to give direct benefits to the indigenous Assamese and Tribals of Assam in these Industrial Estates ?
- (g) Whether Government is aware that discontentment is gradually mounting amongst the indigenous people of Assam as they are finding themselves quite foreign to these industrial enterprises ?

Shri KAMAKHYA PRASAD TRIPATHY (Minister-in-charge Industries) replied :

(a)—(i) Gauhati	Rs.25.94 lakhs.
(ii) Dhekiajuli	Rs. 2.38 lakhs.

(b)—This depends on the allocations finally made in the Third Plan. Two other industrial estates at Nalbari and in Cachar at a cost of Rs.5 and Rs.14.8 lakhs respectively have already been approved. A proposal for an estate at Sibsagar is under consideration of the Government of India. In the draft Third Plan provision has been tentatively made for the establishment of 10 industrial estates in the State at a cost of Rs.70 lakhs.

(c)—The total number of indigenous Assamese and Tribal parties provided in the Industrial Estates at Gauhati is 8, as follows:—

1. Shri N. Das.
2. M/s. P. M. Battery Works.
3. M/s. Mother India Handloom.
4. M/s. Assam Canvas Craft.
5. M/s. Hills Chemical.
6. M/s. Assam Footwear.
7. M/s. Bharali Supply Corporation.
8. M/s. Assam Pulp Works.

(d)—The total number of indigenous Assamese and Tribal parties accommodated in the Industrial Estate at Dhekiajuli is 7, as follows :—

1. Shri T. K. Bhattacharjee.
2. Shri H. Bhuyan
3. Umbrella Co-operative Society.
4. Shri G. R. Das.
5. Shri G. Barman.
6. Shri Indra Bora.
7. Shri R. C. Bhattacharjee.

(e)—There is no Industrial Estate at Tinsukia.

(f)—The following benefits are being given in the Industrial Estates—

- (1) Sheds with power, water, roads are provided at reasonable rent.
- (2) Raw materials for the occupants through S. S. I. quota is procured.
- (3) Supply of machinery is arranged through National Small Industries Corporation on hire purchase system.
- (4) Advance of loan at interest $2\frac{1}{2}$ per cent to Co-operative Societies and 3 per cent to others is made.
- (5) Advance of working capital through State Bank can be arranged.
- (6) Supply of stores through the Central Stores and Emporium, Gauhati is arranged.
- (7) Common service facilities, *i. e.*, Central Workshop, Canteen, Hospital, Post Office, Police Beat House, etc., are provided.
- (8) Training facilities in Production *cum* Training Centres and in Industrial establishment inside and outside the State are arranged.

(g)—Government is not aware of such discontentment. The Government advertised in the papers about allotment of sheds to the entrepreneurs indicating the benefits given by these Estates in the shape of facilities mentioned at (f) above. Further, to popularize the Estates, Government held annual Exhibition in the Industrial Estate at Gauhati where people from the interior also attended.

Regarding pension of employees in the Tea Estate

Shri MOLIA TATI (Doom-Dooma) asked :

370. Will the Minister-in-charge of Labour be pleased to state—

- (a) Whether it is a fact that there are provisions of pensions for Managers, Assistant Managers and other categories of employees in tea estate including Indian staff ?
- (b) Whether it is a fact that the labourers (Mazdoors) are deprived of the benefit of pensions although they serve for more than 30 years continuously ?
- (c) Why such differential treatment is being made between labourers and higher categories of employees ?
- (d) Whether Government are aware that demands for provisions of old age pensions have been made by different Mazdoors' Sanghas since last several years ?
- (e) Whether Government is considering the subject favourably ?
- (f) If so, when a decision can be expected ?

Shri KAMAKHYA PRASAD TRIPATHI (Minister-in-charge of Labour) replied :

370. (a)—Practices vary in different tea estates. So far as the gardens under the membership of Indian Tea Planters' Association, Surma Valley Indian Tea Planters' Association, Tea Association of India and Assam Tea Planters' Association are concerned there is no provision for pensions. The member gardens of Bharatiya Cha Parishad have got such provisions, but the system differs from estate to estate. Information regarding the tea estates under the Indian Tea Association is not immediately available.

(b)—There is no pension scheme for plantation labourers at present

(c)—The causes, for which the garden's having pension schemes for higher categories of employees are not making such provisions for labourers are not known. Government, however, are examining a proposal for conversion of the existing Provident Fund into a Pension Fund in consultation with interests concerned.

(d)—Yes.

(e)—Please see also reply to (c) above.

(f)—The finalisation of the Scheme as stated in reply to (c) above will take sometime.

Regarding Mutation Case of Nandeswar Dutta

Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA (Saikowa) asked:

371. Will the Minister-in-charge of Revenue be pleased to state—

(a) Whether it is a fact that a mutation case was filed by one Nandeswar Dutta for 5 bighas, 4 kathas and 16 lessas of land in the year 1955 in the office of Dibrugarh Eastern Circle and the S. D. C., issued notice *vide* No. 533 of 1955-56?

(b) Whether it is a fact that hearing of this case was fixed on 18th January 1956, 28th August 1956, 12th November 1956 and on other dates?

(c) What were the actual dates when the mutation case was fixed for hearing by the S. D. C., Dibrugarh Eastern Circle?

(d) Whether it is a fact that the man who applied for mutation is an actual cultivator?

(e) How long generally a mutation case remains pending with the S. D. C., Dibrugarh Eastern Circle?

(f) Why this mutation case could not be disposed by the S. D. C., even within for a period of 3 years?

(g) What for the mutation case was pending so long?

Shri HARESWAR DAS (Minister, Revenue) replied:

371. (a)—The mutation case for the land in question was filed on 14th May 1956, the number being 553 of 1955-56.

(b)—Hearing of the case was once fixed on the 12th November 1956. The other dates referred to in the question are not correct.

(c)—The mutation case was fixed for hearing on 12th November 1956, 12th December 1956, 26th February 1957, 6th May 1957, 21st May 1957, 18th June 1957, 8th July 1957 and 25th September 1957.

(d)—Yes.

(e)—The time required for disposal of mutation case depends on various factors. It is difficult to say precisely what time a case may take for final disposal. Cases are often adjourned on the reasonable prayer of the parties and the pre-occupation of the Presiding Officer in more urgent works.

(f)—It is not a fact that the mutation case could not be disposed of by the S. D. C. within 3 years. The case was disposed of within 1 year and 4 months.

(g)—Adjournments are sometimes granted on reasonable prayer of the parties; in this case some adjournment were allowed as the S.D.C. was busy in urgent and more important works.

Regarding times of inspection of circle offices in a year

Shri GHANASHYAM TALUKDAR (Sarbhog) asked :

372. Will the Minister-in-charge of Revenue be pleased to state—

(a) Whether the circle offices are to be inspected ?

(b) If so, by whom ?

(c) How many times in a year the circle offices are to be inspected ?

(d) How many times the circle offices were inspected by higher officers during the last year ?

Shri HARESWAR DAS (Minister, Revenue) replied :

372. (a)—Yes.

(b)—The Director of Land Records, Assistant Director of Land Records, respective Deputy Commissioners and the Subdivisional Officers.

(c)—The inspection of circles are made frequently. There is no fixed number of times laid down.

(d)—Information have been called for.

Regarding occupation and cultivation mostly by the Tribals in Hilikha Grant No.109 of Assam Frontier Tea Company in Tinsukia Circle

Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA (Saikhowa) asked :

373. Will the Minister-in-charge of Revenue be pleased to state.—

(a) Whether Government is aware that a number of flood affected people of Saikhowa and Dangari area mostly Tribals have been occupying land and cultivating there in Hilikha Grant No.109 of Assam Frontier Tea Company in Tinsukia Circle since the great earthquake of 1950 and subsequent flood ?

(b) Whether it is a fact that these people occupied land here with the understanding of the then Deputy Commissioner, Lakhimpur as Government could not provide them land elsewhere ?

(c) Since when these people have been occupying land in Grant No.109 of Hilikha Tea Estate ?

(d) Who else have been occupying land in this grant ?

(e) Whether Government lately received a representation posted on behalf of the public on 18th June 1960 with copies to the Deputy Commissioner, Dibrugarh, the Sub-Deputy Collector, Tinsukia and 5 members of this House from that district and few others, complaining that there was likelihood of settling these land by the Government to other purchasers ?

- (f) What is the proposal of Government to help these occupant cultivators ?
- (g) Whether Tea Companies or Proprietor of Tea Estates are allowed to sell the surplus land out of the grant allowed to retain by Tea Gardens ?

Shri HARESWAR DAS (Minister, Revenue) replied.

373. (a) to (g)—Information has been called for, not been received for shortness of time and will be supplied when received.

Regarding encroachment made by the Phukanbari Tea Estate on the Sarkari land at Dag No.53.

Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA (Saikhowa) asked :

374. Will the Minister-in-charge of Revenue be pleased to state—

- (a) Whether the encroachment made by the Phukanbari Tea Estate on the Sarkari land at Dag No.53, the cattle Track on the boundary of Tinsukia village in Kheremia Mauza has been evicted ?
- (b) Whether the S.D.C. instructed this Tea Estate to fill up the drain made along the Cattle tract of the size 8' x 6' which was risky for cattle as well as human beings ?
- (c) If so, when instruction was given by the S.D.C. ?
- (d) Whether Government propose to ascertain if the unauthorised drain made by this planter causing difficulties to the local public had been filled up ?
- (e) If so, when it was filled up ?
- (f) If not, what action Government propose to take against this planter ?

Shri HARESWAR DAS (Minister, Revenue) replied.

374. (a) to (f)—Information has not been received for shortness of time and will be supplied when received.

Regarding crossing of Sorbhog-Barpeta buses on the Beki Public Works Department Ghat on 14th September 1960

Shri GHANASHYAM TALUKDER (Sorbhog) asked:

375. Will the Minister-in-charge of Public Works Department (R.&B.) be pleased to state—

- (a) Why the Sorbhog-Barpeta buses were not cross the Beki Public Works Department Ghat on 14th September 1960 ?
- (b) Why there was not a single marboat on the right bank upto 10 a. m. on 14th September 1960 ?
- (c) How many people were waiting with the Sorbhog-Barpeta bus to cross on the Ghat to go to Barpeta upto 10 a. m. on that day ?
- (d) Who were the passengers ?
- (e) Whether Sorbhog-Barpeta Bus Association was informed at Sorbhog on 14th September 1960 in the morning that the buses of this line could not be allowed to cross ?
- (f) Whether Government are aware of the public demand that there should be two marboats one on each bank of the ghat ?
- (g) If so, whether this is done and two marboats are plied daily ?
- (h) How many boatmen, marboatmen and drivers are at the ghat and what are their names ?
- (i) Whether it is a first class ghat ?
- (j) If so, what are the requirements and conditions for running such a ghat ?

Shri GIRINDRA NATH GOGOI [Deputy Minister, Public Works Department (R.&D.)] replied:

375. (a)—There was a heavy flood and huge drift woods were carried by the river which was inspected by the Executive Engineer, Barpeta Division personally on 14th September 1960 morning and apprehending danger, the ferry was declared closed by him from that date until further notification. The ferry was reopened on 17th September 1960.

(b)—One marboat tried to cross the river on 13th September 1960 to go to Sorbhog bank in the evening but failed to do so due to strong current and floating of drift wood. It somehow managed to cross the river next day and reached the Sorbhog Bank after 10 a.m.

(c) & (d)—It is not possible to ascertain the number of passengers waiting there and their names as neither the Mohurrir nor the Sectional Officer who stay on the other bank (Barpeta side) could cross the river on that day.

(e)—No. As there is no office of the Sorbhog-Barpeta Bus Association at Sorbhog, the question of informing the office at Sorbhog does not arise. The Sorbhog-Barpeta Bus Association whose office is located at Barpeta was, however, duly informed about the closure of the ferry on 14th September 1960.

(f)—Yes. There are two marboats running simultaneously from both the banks.

(g)—Yes. Sometimes it may so happen that due to disorder of engine only one marboat may ply till the engine of the other marboat is brought to order.

(h)—There are two boatmen in the single boat, four boatmen and one driver in each marboat. Names are being asked for from the Executive Engineer.

(i) & (j)—There is no classifications of ferries as first class or second class. But they are classified as major and minor ferries. The Beki ferry is a minor power driven ferry. All boats and equipment required for running such a ferry are supplied there and other conditions are in the Lease.

Proper maintenance of Burikamar Public Works Department Ghat on Kamargaon Kahitoma Public Works Department Road

Shri GHANASHYAM TALUKDER (Sorbhog) asked :

376. Will the Minister-in-charge of Public Works Department (R.&B.) be pleased to state—

(a) Whether it is a fact that two persons died at the Burikamar Public Works Department Ghat on Kamargaon Kahitoma Public Works Department Road due to the negligence of the Public Works Department on 19-9-60 ?

(b) Why the Public Works Department did not take adequate measures for the safety of the passenger while crossing the ghat ?

(c) How many passengers were on boat and what are the goods that were lost while the boat capsized at the river ?

(d) What compensation will be paid for the family of the dead persons and for the lost goods ?

Why the Marboat on the Ghat is very old ?

(f) Whether these were inspected by the Executive Engineer, Superintending Engineer and the Chief Engineer and if so when ?

(g) Why the Executive Engineer, Barpeta Division has not visited the Ghat after the incident although the questioner requested to do so on 19th September 1960 on the date when the incident occurred ?

(h) What steps have been taken by the Department for the improvement of the very horrible condition of the ghat and for the safety of the passengers ?

(i) Whether it is a fact that the Deputy Minister, Public Works Department visited this ghat and Ultekhandha ghat and asked the Executive Engineer for the proper maintenance of these two ghats ?

(j) If so, why his directions were not followed ?

Shri GIRINDRA NATH GOGOI (Deputy Minister, Public Works Department) (R. & B.) replied :

376. (a)—Two persons are said to have met with death due to a boat accident on 19th September 1960 at Burikamar Public Works Department Ghat ; but it is not a fact that it was due to negligence by Public Works Department. The boat while crossing from the Kahitoma side suddenly came across a hidden stump of a big tree when the boat could not be controlled due to heavy current of the river in spite of every effort to do so and it dashed against the stump. As a result, the boat capsized. This is an accident and nobody can be blamed for this.

(b)—The boat was plied by two expert boatmen with sufficient caution; but the accident occurred, was beyond the control of the boatmen.

(c)—There were four passengers and two boatmen in the boat and it is reported that two bicycles, one document regarding purchase of land and some cash money were lost ; there is mention of one bicycle only in the police report.

(d)—As this is purely an accident, no commitment as to the payment of compensation can be made.

(e)—The marboat though appears to be old is in serviceable state.

(f)—The Executive Engineer, Barpeta Division inspected the ghat on 1st September 1960, 25th September 1960 and 14th October 1960. The Superintending Engineer, Gauhati Circle visited the ghat once in 1960.

(g)—The Executive Engineer was contacted over the phone by the questioner and informed about the accident at about 7 p. m. on 19th September 1960. He was informed that the Executive Engineer was bed ridden due to an attack of *flu* and so he could not visit the ghat immediately; but, he sent the Subdivisional Officer, Public Works Department to attend the ghat next morning. Accordingly the Subdivisional Officer inspected the ghat in company with the questioner and conducted the enquiry.

The Executive Engineer could not inspect the said ghat earlier than 25th September 1960 as he had to attend the R. C. C. bridge No.120/1 at Patacharkuchi on North Trunk Road which was badly threatened by flood and that was the only road opened from Gauhati towards Barpeta, other routes being closed.

(h)—The condition of the ghat is not at all horrible. The ghat has been maintained properly. All possible precaution is taken for safety of passengers while crossing the ghat.

(i)—Yes.

(j)—Does not arise in view of (h) above.

**Damage done by Ghagrajan Ali to Romai Phutabola,
Rongpunia and other villages**

Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA (Sorbhog) asked :

377. Will the Minister-in-charge of P.W.D. (R. & B.) be pleased to state—

- (a) Whether it is a fact that there was lately any complaints from the public that Ghagrajan Ali in Moderkhat Mauza (Dibrugarh) has been obstructing free flow of water and caused flood in paddy fields of Romai Phutabola, Rongpunia and 5 other villages ?
- (b) Whether it is a fact that the Deputy Commissioner, Lakhimpur discussed with Sub-Deputy Collector Eastern Circle for creating Nullas (drains) on the roads with the help of Police or Military to save the cultivators, in the month of last July ?
- (c) Whether it is a fact that the Sub-Deputy Collector suggested to the Deputy Commissioner to revise his suggestion and he promised to visit the place personally and decide the matter amicably ?
- (d) Why the Sub-Deputy Collector did not visit the place and helped the cultivators as promised ?
- (e) What is the actual area of paddy land that has been affected by flood caused by this road for want of bridge or adequate outlay of water ?
- (f) Whether it is a fact that again in the month of September last representation was made on behalf of the public ?

Shri GIRINDRA NATH GOGOI [Deputy Minister, P. W. D. (R & B.)] replied:

377. (a) to (f)—Information are being collected.

The total number of N.E.S. and Shadow Blocks in Dhubri Sub-division

Sri TAMIZUDDIN PRODHANI (Dhubri) asked :

378: Will the Minister-in-charge of Community Projects be pleased to state—

- (a) What is the total number N.E.S. and Shadow Blocks in Dhubri Subdivision ?
- (b) What are the names of these Blocks ? (The area and population of the Blocks may be stated).
- (c) What is the basis in which the said blocks were formed ?
- (d) Whether it is a fact that these Blocks greatly differ to each other in respect of area and population ?
- (e) What is the reason of this variation ?
- (f) Whether Government are aware that for these variation, public of some areas are in difficulties ?
- (g) Whether Government has lately received any representation from the general public of Dharmasala area for modification of the boundaries of Golakganj N.E.S. Blocks and Gauripur Shadow Blocks ?
- (h) Whether Government has lately received any suggestion given by Dhubri Subdivisional Development Board for modification of the boundaries of Agamani, Golakganj and Gauripur blocks ?
- (i) Whether Government are aware that due to the delay of Government decision in this matter, the public of the said locality pressed the local authority ?
- (j) Whether it is a fact that Dhubri *Ad-hoc* Mahkuma Parishad being pressed by the demand of the public has recommended to the Government the same suggestion as given by Sub-divisional Development Board ?
- (k) If so, what action has been taken by the Government in this regard ?
- (l) Whether Government are aware that this is a long pending case ?
- (m) Whether Government propose to modify the boundaries of these blocks as proposed by the Subdivisional Development Board before the ensuing Panchayat Election ?
- (n) If not, why not ?

Shri FAKHRUDDIN ALI AHMED (Minister, Community Development) replied :

378. (a)—Four Development Blocks and 3 Shadow Blocks.

(b)—

Name of Blocks		Area in Sq. miles	Population
(1)	Golakganj Development Block	123	45,628
(2)	Bilasipara Development Block	225	85,414
(3)	Chapar-Salkocha Development Block	150	34,627
(4)	Mankachar Development Block	73	63,360
(5)	Agomoni Shadow Block	120	66,400
(6)	Gauripur Shadow Block	166	95,865
(7)	South Salmara Shadow Block	260	84,501

(c)—The Blocks were formed generally on the following basis:—

(i) The basis type of National Extension Service Block consists of 100 villages and a population of 66,000. The density of population in Assam being considerably less than that in India as a whole, it is quite likely that in our State, a Block will comprise of a larger number of villages and a smaller population than given above.

(ii) A Block should preferably consist of an integral number of fundamental revenue or administrative units, i.e. mouzas or unions.

(iii) Due attention should be paid to the backwardness of the people as well as to the amount of popular enthusiasm and co-operation that is likely to be forthcoming in the areas selected for successful implementation of the programme.

(iv) Availability of reclaimable waste land, irrigation facilities or assured rainfall so that substantial addition in agricultural production may be expected.

(d)—Yes. It appears to be so.

(e)—For administrative reasons as well as on grounds of homogeneity of the areas. It has not been always possible to form Blocks with equal number of population and equal area.

(f)—Yes.

(g)—Yes.

(h)—Yes.

(i)—No.

(j)—Yes.

(k)—Golakganj Development Block and Agomoni and Gauripur Shadow Blocks have been recently re-constituted.

(l), (m) & (n)—Do not arise in view of reply at 'k' above.

**Allotment of the member of new blocks or pre-extension blocks
in the years 1958, 1959 and in 1960**

Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA (Saikhowa) asked :

379. Will the Minister-in-charge of Community Development be pleased to state—

- (a) The number of new blocks or pre-extension blocks allotted to this State in the years 1958, 1959 and in 1960 till now ?
- (b) The number of blocks allotted by Government to each District of Assam during the years 1958, 1959 and till September 1960 ?
- (c) The names of the Blocks inaugurates or opened during these years, *i.e.*, 1958 to 1960 year by year ?

Shri FAKHRUDDIN ALI AHMED (Minister, Community Development) replied :

379.(a)—27.

(b)—(i) Garo Hills	2
(ii) Goalpara	5
(iii) Kamrup	6
(iv) Nowgong	3
(v) Darrang	2
(vi) Sibsagar	2
(vii) Lakhimpur	1
(viii) North Cachar & Mikir Hills	1
(ix) Mizo Hills	2
(x) Cachar	Nil
(xi) United Khasi & Jaintia Hills	3

(c)—Name of Block—

	1958:				District
(1) Rani	Kamrup.
(2) Boko Bangaon	Do.
(3) Barkhetri-Bonshar	Do.
(4) Rupasi	Do.

(5) Chapar	Goalpara.
(6) Mankachar	Do.
(7) Golakganj	Do.
(8) Kaliabar	Nowgong.
(9) Lawkhowa	Do.
(10) Sibsagar	Sibsagar.
(11) Amguri-Titabar	Do.
(12) Selsela	Garo Hills.
(13) Rongram	Do.
(14) Mamit	Mizo Hills.
(15) Pawi-Lakher	Do.
(16) Mawsynram	United Khasi and Jaintia Hills.
(17) Shella Bhulaganj	Do.
1959:					
(1) Tamalpur	Kamrup.
(2) Mandia	Do.
(3) Manikpur	Goalpara.
(4) Srijongram	Do.
(5) Dalgaon-Sialmari	Darrang.
(6) Balipara	Do.
(7) Rupahi	Nowgong.
(8) Margherita	Lakhimpur.
(9) Pynursla	United Khasi and Jaintia Hills.
(10) Amri	Mikir and North Ca- char Hills.

1960;

No allotment till now.

Regarding construction of sluice gate in Namdang river near Silasaku

Shri KHOGENDRA NATH BARBARUAH (Amguri) asked :

380. Will the Minister, Co-operative be pleased to state—

- (a) The names with the addresses of the members of the Na-pukhuri Fishery Co-operative in Sibsagar Division and of its executive which was the lessee of the Napukhuri Fishery, previously ?
- (b) Whether it is a fact that this Co-operative Society objected the construction of sluice gate in Namdang river near Silasaku ?

Shri BISWADEV SARMA (Deputy Minister, Co-operation) replied :

(a)—There is no society with the name Na-Pukhuri Fishery Co-operative in the Sibsagar Subdivision. There is however one Fishery Co-operative with the name United Koibata Fishery Co-operative, Ltd., which has got settlement of the Na-Pukhuri group of fisheries. The names and addresses of the members of this society are not available. The total number of members as it stood on 30th June 1959 is 62 out of which 5 members were expelled from the Society. The name of the Chairman and Secretary of the Society is Shri Golap Ch. Das, and Shri Uma Kanta Bora respectively.

(b)—No objection for construction of sluice gate in Namdang river near Silasaku was raised, as reported by the Secretary of the Society.

Regarding South Dhing Trading Co-operative of Nowgong District

Shri KHOGENDRA NATH BARBARUAH (Amguri) asked :

381. Will the Minister, Co-operative be pleased to state—

- (a) Whether Government had made any enquiry as to the working of the South Dhing Trading Co-operative of Nowgong District ?
- (b) If so, what was the result ?
- (c) Whether it is a fact that there are complaints of corruption in this Co-operative Society ?
- (d) If so, what action Government has taken in the matter ?

M. MOINUL HAQUE CHOUDHURY (Minister, Co-operation) replied :

381. (a) to (d)—Information is being collected.

Allotment of land to the Kachari and Kaibarta people in the Gauhati town since 1953

Shri BIRENDRA KUMAR DAS [Patacharakachi (Reserved for Scheduled Caste) asked :

382. Will the Minister-in-charge of Revenue be pleased to state—

- (a) Whether it is a fact that the Kachari people and the Kaibarta people applied for land in the Gauhati town since 1953 ?
- (b) If so, why land has not yet been allotted to them ?
- (c) Whether it is a fact that in the meantime in the Gauhati town land has been provided to some persons who are rich and have lands ?
- (d) If so, who are the persons to whom land has been given in the Gauhati town since 1955 ?
- (e) Whether land that has been allotted to them will be cancelled ?
- (f) Whether Government will be pleased to appoint an Enquiry Committee to prove into the whole matter regarding the lands already distributed at the Gauhati Town ?

Shri HARESWAR DAS (Revenue Minister) replied :

382. (a) to (f)—The information has been called for from the Local Officers:

Distribution of receipt in the Secretariat and other State Government Offices

Shri KHOGENDRA NATH BARBARUAH (Amguri) asked :

383. Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state—

- (a) On what scientific basis the receipts are classified in the Secretariat and other State Government offices ?
- (b) Whether the Office Staff has been engaged accordingly ?

Shri BIMALA PRASAD CHALIHA (Chief Minister) replied :

383. (a)—A sample survey of receipts has been undertaken with a view to lay down a revised yard stick for measuring the work load. Pending completion of this detailed survey the standard for the Secretariat Departments has been fixed at 5 receipts per assistant per day and that for the Heads of Departments at 6 receipts per assistant per day on an *ad-hoc* basis.

(b)—Steps are being taken to assess the staff on this *ad-hoc* basis of receipts.

**Training of officers of the Organisation and Method Division
Department**

Shri KHOGENDRA NATH BARBARUAH (Amguri) asked :

384. (a) Whether it is a fact that some of the officers of the Organisation and Method Division Department have been trained abroad in the method of administration ?

(b) If so, what contribution they have made towards scientific classification of receipts and enforcement of the administrative machinery ?

(c) Whether it is a fact that the Government order laying down certain scientific basis of classification of receipts at the time Shri Rana K. D. N. Singh was serving in the Organisation and Method Department has been abandoned ?

(d) If so, why ?

Shri BIMALA PRASAD CHALIHA (Chief Minister) replied :

384. (a)—Yes. One officer holding part-time charge of the Organisation and Method Division was deputed abroad for the study of Organisation and Method and other aspects of Public Administration under a Fellowship of the Indian Institute of Public Administration.

(b)—The first and second Reports of the Organisation and Method Division, which have been presented before the House on 7th October 1960, will give an idea of the contribution made by the Officer in this direction.

(c) & (d)—Yes. The classification of receipts had to be abandoned because the officers, except a few of them, could not implement the same owing to various difficulties in actual practice.

Distribution of surplus tea-land for landless peasants of Dihira

Shri BIRENDRA KUMAR DAS [Patacharkuchi (Reserved for Scheduled Castes)] asked :

385. Will the Minister of Revenue be pleased to state—

(a) Whether Government received an application from the Urang and Kachari people of Dihira regarding acquiring of surplus tea-land for landless peasants of Dihira ?

(b) If so, what steps have been taken in this connection ?

(c) How many bighas of land are under tea cultivation in the Doomni Tea Estate ?

(d) How many bighas of surplus land are there under the Doomin Tea Estate ?

(e) Whether Government are aware that nearly two hundred Urang and Kachari families have been occupying about 4,000 bighas of surplus land belonging to the Doomi Tea Estate since 28 years back ?

(f) If so, why they are not treated as Adhiars ?

(g) Whether it is a fact that there had been an agreement on 16th May 1960 in between the Government and the Manager of Doomni Tea Estate for Messers Daga & Co. Private Ltd.?

- (h) If so, what was the agreement ?
- (i) Whether the agreement was voted ?
- (j) Whether Government are aware that the agreement was violated by the Manager of the Tea Estate ?
- (k) What are the grievances of the Dihira public against the Managing authority of the Doomni Tea Estate and what is their prayer to the Government ?
- (l) Whether Government propose to allot the surplus land of the Doomni Tea Estate to the deserving persons ?
- (m) If not, why not ?

Shri HARESWAR DAS (Minister, Revenue) replied :

385. (a) to (m)—Information have been called for but not received due to shortness of time.

Proposal from public and Mahkuma Parishad for location of Headquarters of Sibsagar Block of Darikanagar Grazing of Silakuti Mauza in Sibsagar Subdivision

Shri TANKESWAR CHETIA (Nazira) asked :

386. Will the Minister-in-charge of Community Projects be pleased to state—

- (a) Whether Government have lately received a proposal both from the public and the Mahkuma Parishad, Sibsagar to locate the Headquarters of the Sibsagar Block at Darikanagar Grazing of Silakuti Mauza in Sibsagar Subdivision ?
- (b) Whether it is a fact that some officials are submitting reports to Government against this decision ?
- (c) Whether Government is aware that some political leaders are pressing the Project Executive Officer to submit adverse report against the wishes of the people and the Mohkuma Parishad ?
- (d) What is the Government decision regarding the site for the Headquarters of Sibsagar Block ?

Shri FAKHRUDDIN ALI AHMED (Minister, Community Development, etc.) replied :

386. (a)—No.

(b)—Government have very recently received a report from the Block Development Officer regarding the suitability of the different sites for location of Headquarter.

(c)—Government have no information.

(d)—No decision has yet been arrived at ; but the matter is under consideration.

Shri TANKESWAR CHETIA : In reply to (b), it has been stated that Government have very recently received a report from the Block Development Officer regarding the suitability of the different sites for location of headquarter. Whether the Block Development Officer has mentioned anything in the report about the opinion of the Anchalik Panchayat or Mahakuma Parishad as regards the suitability of the site ?

Shri FAKHRUDDIN ALI AHMED : I shall have to look into this report for a reply.

Shri J. N. Hazarika, Member of Parliament note on 8th June, 1959 regarding requisition of 300 acres of land in Basmotia Tea Estate grant in Moderkhat Mauza, Dibrugarh for landless cultivators.

Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA (Saikhowa) asked:

387. Will the Minister of Revenue be pleased to state—

- (a) Whether Government have lately received a note forwarded by Shri J. N. Hazarika Member of Parliament on 8th June, 1960 regarding requisition of 300 acres of land in Basmotia Tea Estate grant in Moderkhat Mauza, Dibrugarh for landless cultivators?
- (b) Whether Government is aware that the questioner also forwarded applications from public of Naharhaka village and other villagers of Moderkhat Mauza to the Deputy Commissioner, Dibrugarh in the year 1958 and 1959, requesting to take up a proposal to requisition surplus land of Basmotia Tea Estate for landless and flood affected people of Naharhaka village?
- (c) What is the total area of land in grants of Basmotia Tea Estate and what is the area of land under Tea?
- (d) What is the area surplus land in this Tea Estate?
- (e) Whether any Revenue Officer visited there, grants with a view to find out low lying areas which would be suitable for paddy cultivation?
- (f) What is the area of land under consideration of the Deputy Commissioner for requisition at present for landless cultivators?
- (g) Whether this proposal of requisition would be put up in the next meeting of the Land Settlement Advisory Board, Dibrugarh?

Shri HARESWAR DAS (Minister, Revenue) replied:

387. (a) to (g)—Information have been called for, but not received due to shortness of time.

Regarding fire victim families in Nalbari, Tihu, Rangia, and Hajo circles in North Kamrup during 1960 drought

Shri PRABHAT NARAYAN CHOUDHURY (Nalbari-East) asked:

388. Will the Minister-in-charge of Revenue be pleased to state—

- (a) What is the total number of fire victim families in Nalbari, Tihu, Tamalpur, Rangia, and Hajo circles in North Kamrup during 1960 drought?

- (b) Whether it is a fact that gratuitous relief of Rs.15.00 per family was granted to Fire victims of North Kamrup particularly those belonging to Nalbari circle as against Rs.50.00 per family in South Kamrup under the advice of the Deputy Minister, Revenue ?
- (c) Whether Government received representation against discriminatory treatment and for raising the gratuitous relief to Rs.50.00 per family in North Kamrup similar to those of South Kamrup ?
- (d) Why 42 tons of C. I. sheets allotted for Fire victims of Nalbari area by the Supply Department was subsequently diverted causing distress to people ?

Shri HARESWAR DAS (Minister, Revenue) replied :

388. (a)—The information are furnished below :

Name of Circle					Number of Families affected	
1. Nalbari	83	families
2. Tihu	80	do
3. Tamulpur	23	do
4. Rangia	19	do
5. Hajo	133	do
Total					338	families.

(b)—Gratuitous relief was given to the Fire victims of Nalbari Circle according to the desirability of each case not exceeding Rs.25 per family.

(c)—Yes.

(d)—No C. I. sheets allotted for Fire victims of Nalbari area was diverted to any other purposes.

Shri PRABHAT NARAYAN CHOUDHURY (Nalbari-East) : Why, Sir, there is a discrimination in the matter of grant of gratuitous relief to the fire victims of North Kamrup as against those of South Kamrup ?

Shri HARESWAR DAS : According to needs.

Regarding capacity of the Marboats at the Beki P.W.D. Ghat

Shri GHANASHYAM TALUKDER (Sorbhog) asked :

389. Will the Minister-in-charge of P. W. D. (R. & B.) be pleased to state—

(a) What is the capacity of the Marboats at the Beki P. W. D. Ghat ?

(b) Why all the buses of the Borbhog-Barpeta Bus route are not allowed to cross the Ghat by the marboat ?

- (c) Why the P. W. D. is neglecting this ghat ?
- (d) How many times the Executive Engineer, Barpeta visited the ghat ?
- (e) Why the new approach road on the right bank is not yet made motorable and buses are not yet allowed to run over this road ?
- (f) When this road was constructed ?

Shri GIRINDRA NATH GOGOI [Deputy Minister, P. W. D. (R. & B.)] replied—

389. (a)—There are at present two marboats at Beki ; one is of 12 tons capacity and the other is of 6 tons capacity. Another marboat of 12 tons capacity with bigger platform is now under construction.

(b)—All the buses are allowed to cross the ferry by the marboat except one but which cannot be accommodated safely in the platform of the existing marboats of the standard size. A marboat of 12 tons capacity with bigger platform is being constructed for this ferry which will remove this difficulty.

(c)—The ghat is properly maintained.

(d)—The Executive Engineer frequently visits the ghat.

(e)—No. The newly constructed approach road on the right bank is made motorable. But this new approach could not be used at present by the vehicular traffic as the ghat is shifted now due to change of the river course.

(f)—The road was constructed in July, 1960.

Regarding establishment of schools in the Tea Estate of Assam

Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA (Saikhowa) asked:

390. Will the Minister of Labour be pleased to state—

- (a) The number of Tea Estate in the Districts of Lakhimpur, Sibsagar and Darrang where no Lower Primary Schools have been provided as per Assam Plantation Act ?
- (b) The number of such Tea Gardens in Dibrugarh, Panitala, Doom Dooma and Tingrai Circle in Dibrugarh Sub-Division ?
- (c) The names of Tea Gardens of Jokai (Assam) Tea Co. Ltd. where no Lower Primary Schools as yet been established ?
- (d) The number of Middle Schools maintained by Tea Gardens in Assam ?
- (e) Number of High Schools maintained or aided by Tea Gardens in Assam ?

Shri KAMAKHYA PRASAD TRIPATHI (Minister-in-charge of Labour) replied :

390. (a)—Information is available about 102 schools in Lakhimpur District, 168 Schools in Sibsagar District and 101 schools in Darrang District. Information regarding the number of gardens which have not provided such schools is not immediately available and it is being collected.

(b)—A 'circle' is not an administrative division and membership of different Associations are grouped in 'circles' according to their respective convenience. Therefore no information is collected circle-wise. Information about 94 Lower Primary Schools in the Dibrugarh Subdivision is however available and the number of gardens which have not provided such schools in the Subdivision is being ascertained.

(c)—As far as information is available Muttack Tea Estate of M/S Jokai (Assam) Tea Co., has not established any Lower Primary Schools in the Garden, and children attend the nearby School run under the Board. Management have since agreed to give aid to this School.

(d) & (e)—Information is being collected.

Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA (Saikhowa) : Plantation Act মতে যিবিলাক চাহ বাগিচাত স্কুল নাই সেই বিলাক ঠাইত স্কুল দিবৰ কাৰণে কিবা নিৰ্দেশ চৰকাৰে দিবনে ?

†**Shri BISWADEV SARMA (Deputy Minister, Labour) :** Government have already taken step.

Mr. SPEAKER :

†**Shri DVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA :** Whether the Government will insist on the respective tea gardens to establish schools ?

†**Shri BISWADEV SARMA :** Yes, certainly, Sir.

Regarding the number of posts that are still lying vacant in Murkongsellek-Sadiya Multipurpose Community Development Block

Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA asked :

391. Will the Minister-in-charge of Community Development be pleased to state—

(a) The number of posts still vacant in Murkongsellek-Sadiya Multipurpose Community Development Block ?

(b) What is the number of posts vacant for which the local Deputy Commissioner is the appointing authority ?

(c) Whether he would lay on the table a list of such posts which are vacant in this Block for which the Deputy Commissioner is the appointing authority ?

(d) Whether he would lay on the table a list of post vacant in this Block or which Development Commissioner or the Government is the appointing authority ?

(e) When posting of all these officers can be expected ?

Shri FAKHRUDDIN ALI AHMED (Minister, Community Development, etc.) replied :

391. (a)—13.

(b)—3.

(c)—A list is placed on the library table.

(d)—A list is placed on the library table.

(e)—Government are making every effort to fill up these posts as early as possible.

Regarding construction of a bridge over the Gara river at Lakhipur-Chunari road

Md. SHAHADAT ALI (South-Salpara) asked :

392. Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state—

(a) Whether there has been insistent public demand from the people of Chunari, Baguan, Balarbhita and Raukhawa Char area for construction of a permanent Bridge over the Gara River at Lakhipur-Chunari Road ?

(b) Whether Government propose to ascertain the difficulties to which the people of these vast backward area has been subjected thereby ?

(c) Whether there is any proposal to construct the bridge ?

(d) If the answer is in affirmative when, it will be constructed ?

Shri GIRINDRA NATH GOGOI [Deputy Minister, P. W. D. (R. & B.)] replied :

392 (a)—No.

(b)—It is not considered necessary as Government has already decided to construct a timber bridge over the Gara River on Lakhipur-Chunari Road and have accordingly allocated an amount of Rs.94,700 for this purpose.

(c)—Yes.

(d)—The construction of the bridge will be taken up after detail survey and collection of data for selection of site and also preparation of estimate are completed.

Regarding opening of the North Gauhati Mandakata Road for Vehicular Traffic

Shri SARAT CHANDRA GOSWAMI (Kamalpur) asked :

393. Will the Minister-in-charge of P. W. D. (R. & B.) be pleased to state—

(a) Whether the North Gauhati Mandakata Road has been opened for vehicular traffic ?

(b) Whether the bridges have been completed ?

(c) Whether it is a fact that the collected gravels on the road side have not been spread for several years and jungles have grown of the stacks and the metals have been washed being strewn in the fields ?

(d) When the P. W. D. expects to complete the road ?

Shri GIRINDRA NATH GOGOI [Deputy Minister, P. W. D. (R. & B.)] replied—

393. (a) to (d)—Informations are being collected.

Maintenance of the road from Kakapathar to Tangana by Dibrugarh Local Board

Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA (Saikhowa) asked :

394. Will the Minister, P. W. D. (R. & B.) be pleased to state—

- (a) Whether Government are aware that the road from Kakapathar to Tangana was maintained by Dibrugarh Local Board ?
- (b) Whether Government are aware that bus services have been introduced on this road since last 2 or 3 years ?
- (c) Whether Government are aware that it would not be possible for the local Panchayat to maintain this standard of the road ?
- (d) Whether Government are aware that there is a demand from the public to take over this road by the P. W. D. ?
- (e) Whether it is a fact that this road has been included in the Subdivisional plans of road ?

(f) When Government will take over this road ?

Shri GIRINDRA NATH GOGOI [Deputy Minister, Public Works Department (R. & B.)] replied:

394. (a) to (f)—Informations are being collected.

The number of fire affected families in the State of Assam

Shri KHOGENDRA NATH BARBARUAH (Amguri) asked :

395. Will the Minister-in-charge of Revenue be pleased to state—

- (a) The total number of families who became victims of fire during the last financial year and till 1st June of the current financial year till June, 1960 in the State of Assam ?
- (b) The total estimated loss ?
- (c) The number of families so affected in the Subdivision of Sibsagar ?
- (d) Whether any gratuitous relief was given to each of the deserving families in the State ?

Shri HARESWAR DAS (Minister, Revenue) replied :

395. (a)—4,279 excluding the number of fire affected families of Dibrugarh Subdivision information about which has been called for.

(b)—Rs.72,13,150.38 nP.

(c)—52 families.

(d)—Yes.

Regarding completion of the Fair weather road between Saikhowa Bazar and Saikhowa ferry ghat

Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA (Saikhowa) asked :

396. Will the Minister-in-charge of P. W. D. (R. & B.) be pleased to state—

- (a) When the Fair weather road between Saikhowa Bazar and Saikhowa ferry ghat was completed last year ?
- (b) What were the difficulties under which this Fair weather road could not be completed till the end of December 1959 ?
- (c) Whether Government arranged for any conveyance from Saikhowa Bazar, i.e., Dhola Bus station to the ghat the distance of which was about 4 miles ?
- (d) Whether any other conveyance was available for this distance ?

Shri GIRINDRA NATH GOGOI [Deputy Minister, Public Works Department (R. & B.)] replied :

396. (a)—The road completed and opened on 29th December 1959.

(b)—Completed and opened in time as at (a) above.

(c) & (d)—Government in Public Works Department have no information.

Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZRIKA: Fair weather road ৰ কাম ৯ জানুৱাৰীত শেষ হল, কিন্তু অসমত April মাহৰ পৰা বাৰিষা আৰম্ভ হয়। নবেম্বৰ মাহত Complete নকৰাৰ কাৰণে চৰকাৰৰ টকা অপব্যয় নহয় নে ?

Mr. SPEAKER: আগতে Complete কৰিব নোৱাৰিলে ?

Shri GIRINDRA NATH GOGOI: আইণ চৌ চোৱা হ'ব।

Regarding Roads and Bridges damaged by 1960 floods in Nalbari Circle

Shri PRABHAT NARAYAN CHOUDHURY (Nalbari-East) asked :

397. Will the Minister-in-charge of Public Works Department (R. & B.) be pleased to state—

- (a) What are the roads and bridges with the length damaged by 1960 floods in Nalbari Circle stating the amount of loss ?
- (b) What steps have been taken to restore and repair the roads and bridges damaged by 1960 floods in Nalbari circle ?

Shri GIRINDRA NATH GOGOI [Deputy Minister, Public Works Department (R. & B.)] replied :

397. (a)—The followings are the roads affected by the flood—

Name of the road	Length damaged	Approximate loss in term of rupees
1. Nalbari-Dham dhama road	8 miles including 1 bridge	Rs. 24,000
2. N. T. Road	1 mile including 1 bridge and 1 culvert.	Rs. 8,000.
3. Nalbari-Palla road	3 miles including 2 bridges	Rs. 30,000
4. Nalbari-Hajo road	1 mile including 1 bridge	Rs. 18,000
5. Khata-Bataghila road	1 mile including 1 bridge	Rs. 6,000

(b)—As a temporary measure, foot traffic is provided in these roads pending taking up of works of permanent restoration for which necessary plans and estimates are being prepared.

***Shri PRABHAT NARAYAN CHOUDHURY : (Nalbari-East) Sir,** when the permanent restoration as referred to in reply to (b) will be made ?

***Shri GIRINDRA NATH GOGOI (Deputy Minister) :** We expect that it will not be done before the next rains.

The present number of Supervisor Kanungos engaged in Dibrugarh Eastern Circle

Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA (Saikhowa) asked :

398. Will the Minister-in-charge of Revenue be pleased to state—

- (a) The area in square miles of Dibrugarh Eastern Circle and the present number of Supervisor Kanungos engaged in Dibrugarh Eastern Circle ?
- (b) The area in square miles under each of Supervisor Kanungos in Dibrugarh Eastern Circle ?
- (c) Whether it is a fact that one Supervisor Kanungo finds it difficult to cover such a big area and report from him are usually delayed ?
- (d) Whether it is a fact that a number of anomalies came to light during the last few years in Dibrugarh Eastern Circle regarding land ?
- (e) Whether Government is proposing to increase the number of Sub-Circles of Supervisor Kanungos to 4 in Dibrugarh Circle for efficient working ?
- (f) Whether it is a fact that one or two Mandals qualified for Supervisor Kanungos are still serving as Mandal ?

Shri HARESWAR DAS (Minister, Revenue) replied :

398. (a) to (f)—Information have been called for.

Regarding approval of the construction of an Inspection Bungalow at Kakapathar

Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA (Saikhowa) asked :

399. Will the Minister-in-charge of P. W. D. (R & B) be pleased to state—

- (a) Whether the Government is aware that there is no Inspection Bungalow at Kakapathar and the difficulties of the officers to have direct touch with the public of Kakapathar Maitong area ?
- (b) Whether it is a fact that the nearest Inspection Bungalow of this area is at Doom-Dooma and the distance from the Dirak, Chumani, Bardirak, Borimesai Sonjon and other village is more than 20 miles to Doom-Dooma ?

- (c) Whether it is a fact that estimate for an Inspection Bungalow at Kakapathar was submitted to the Executive Engineer, Dibrugarh by the S. D. O. P. W. D. Doom-Dooma ?
- (d) Whether this estimate was forwarded by the Executive Engineer, to Government for approval of the construction of an Inspection Bungalow at Kakapathar ?
- (e) When Government sanction for construction of this Inspection Bungalow may be expected ?

Shri GIRINDRA NATH GOGOI [Deputy Minister, P.W.D. (R &B) replied :

399. (a) to (e)—Information are being collected.

Requisition of land in Wilton grant in Tangakhat Mauza, Dibrugarh Subdivision in 1954 to the flood affected and landless cultivators

Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA (Saikhowa) asked :

400. Will the Minister, Revenue be pleased to state—

- (a) Whether it is a fact that certain land was requisitioned in Wilton grant in Tangakhat Mauza, Dibrugarh Subdivision in 1954 for flood affected and landless cultivators ?
- (b) Whether it is a fact that certain portion of the requisitioned land was under the occupation of a number of *ex-tea* garden labourers such as Ramsing Mura, Hagroo Mura, Somra Mura, Ram Mura, Kundu Mura, Birsa Mura, Mohon Pafur, Sukru Mura, Moharsingh and others and that they were evicted by the Sub Deputy Collector Naharkatia in the year 1954 or 1955 ?
- (c) Whether it is a fact that when they represented to the Subdivisional Officer that they were landless Labourers having no attachment with any tea garden, they are assured to give new allotment of land as landless cultivators ?
- (d) Whether it is a fact that the persons name in (b) above applied to the Deputy Commissioner, Lakhimpur on numerous occasions for allotment of land in Wilton grant ?
- (e) Whether it is a fact that they also applied to the Deputy Commissioner, Dibrugarh on 25th February 1959, 28th March 1959, 21st July 1959, 1st November 1959, 23rd November 1959, 9th December 1959, 19th February 1960, 31st March 1960, 5th May 1960, and 9th May 1960, for allotment of land and most of these applications were forwarded to the Subdivisional Officer, Naharkatia for disposal ?

(f) What actions were taken by the Sub-Deputy Collector, Naharkhatia or the Deputy Commissioner himself on these large number of petitions on the same subject ?

(g) Whether Government propose to enquire into the matter and fix responsibility on Officials who are not responsive to prayers of these persons ?

Shri HARESWAR DAS (Minister, Revenue) replied :

400. (a) to (g)—Information have been called for but not received owing to shortness of time.

Construction of Behali Forest Range Office Buildings and staff quarters

Shri BISNULAL UPADHYAYA (Gohpur) asked :

401. Will the Minister-in-charge of Forest be pleased to state—

(a) Whether Government is aware that the Behali Forest Range Office buildings and staff quarters are in a very dilapidated condition ?

(b) Whether Government have any proposal for construction of the same in a suitable site this year ?

(c) Whether it is a fact that the department finally decided on 31st May 1960 to shift the buildings to Borgong a centrally located place and directed the Divisional Forest Officer, Darrang to submit a proposal showing financial implication with necessary plan and estimates for the same ?

(d) Whether the plan and estimates have received administrative approval given ?

(e) If not whether Government will expedite this urgent matter for the benefit of the people and the Department concerned ?

Sari HARESWAR DAS (Minister, Forests) replied :

401. (a)—No. The buildings are old but not in dilapidated condition.

(b) & (c)—There is a proposal for shifting of the headquarters from Behali to Borgong which is more suitable for the administrative point of view.

The proposal will be taken up during the early years of the Third Plan.

(d)—No. Necessary plans and estimates have been called for from the Divisional Forest Officer.

(e) The scheme is proposed to be implemented during the early years of the Third Plan period.

Regarding Uzani Miri High English School in Murkongsellek Transferred Area.

Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA (Saikhowa) asked :

402. Will the Minister, Education be pleased to state—

- (a) The number of students of Uzani Miri High School in Murkongsellek Transferred area both in Middle English and High English Schools ?
- (b) Whether it is a fact that this is the only High School in Murkongsellek Transferred area and southern part of Siang Division of NEFA ?
- (c) What are the steps the Government is taking to encourage this Institution ?

Shri RADHIKA RAM DAS (Deputy Minister, Education) replied :

402. (a)—Materials are being collected.

(b)—As (a) above.

(c)—As (a) above.

Regarding Collection of gravels for the Kamalpur Morwa Public Works Department Road.

Shri SARAT CHANDRA GOSWAMI (Kamalpur) asked :

403. Will the Minister-in-charge of Public Works Department (R. & B.) be pleased to state—

- (a) When the gravels were collected and stocked by the side of Kamalpur Morwa Public Works Department Road ?
- (b) What amount of gravels in C. F. T. were collected for the road ?
- (c) What is the total cost of the gravels so collected ?
- (d) What has happened to the gravels so collected and stocked by the side of the road ?
- (e) Whether the gravels were again lifted by the contractors ?
- (f) Why no gravel has been spread on the road ?
- (g) Who is responsible for the loss of public fund that was incurred for purchasing the gravels ?

Shri GIRINDRA NATH GOGOI [Deputy Minister, P. W. D. (R. & B.)] replied :

403. (a)—Gravels were collected and boxed from January, 1960 to May, 1960.

(b)—29,690 Cft.

(c)—Rs.23,501.00.

(d)—As the gravels were collected for repairing the road, the same have been utilised according to necessity in maintaining the road.

(e)—No.

(f)—Does not arise in view of reply to (d) above,

(g)—Does not arise in view of above,

Regarding appointment of Shri Ghanashyam Das as Computer in the Chief Engineer's Office, Shillong

Shri BIRENDRA KUMAR DAS [Patacharkuchi (Reserved for Scheduled Castes)] asked :

404. Will the Minister-in-charge of P. W. D. (R. and B.) be pleased to state—

- (a) Whether it is a fact that Shri Ghanashyam Das at Barpeta joined as Computer in the Chief Engineer's Office, Shillong in the month of October 1951 ?
- (b) Whether it is a fact that he resigned in 1955 from the Office of the P. W. D. ?
- (c) Whether it is a fact that he joined as a Surveyor in Barpeta Local Board in 1955 ?
- (d) Whether it is a fact that he was promoted to the post of Sub-divisional Officer (T. C.) in Barpeta Executive Engineer's Office ?
- (e) Whether it is a fact that he has been transferred to Nowgong as Subdivisional Officer, P. W. D. recently ?
- (f) Whether it is a fact that he has superseded so many Senior Overseers which are serving in the P. W. D. upto now ?
- (g) If so, how he superseded other senior Overseers ?
- (h) What are the special grounds for which he has been promoted to Subdivisional Officer by superseding others ?
- (i) How Shri Ghanashyam Das was promoted to S. D. O. after his resignation from his original post as Computer ?
- (j) Whether there is such a provision that a person who resigned from his post may be promoted to that Department to a higher post ?

Shri GIRINDRA NATH GOGOI [Deputy Minister-in-charge P. W. D. (R. & B.)] replied :

404. (a)—Shri Ghanashyam Das was appointed as a temporary Overseer in this Department on the recommendation of the Assam Public Service Commission and posted in the Chief Engineer's Office as a Computer with effect from the 26th December, 1952.

(b)—Yes.

(c)—Yes.

(d)—On abolition of the Local Boards he was taken in the P. W. D. along with some other Local Board Surveyors, temporarily against the ex-cadre posts of Subdivisional Officers. It is not a case of promotion as such.

(e)—Yes.

(f), (g) & (h)—No. He has been appointed temporarily along with some other Local Board Surveyors against the ex-cadre posts of Subdivisional Officer. The Local Board Surveyors are appointed as Subdivisional Officer/ Subdivisional Officer (T. C.) in P. W. D. in consideration of the nature of duties performed and responsibilities shouldered by them in the defunct Local Boards as Surveyors.

(i)—He has not been promoted from his original post of Computer but he has been appointed as Subdivisional Officer temporarily.

(j)—No, there is no such provision. Shri Ghanashyam Das's case is not a case of promotion as such.

Regarding completion of Road between Sadiya Ferryghat and Kundil Bazar

Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA (Saikhowa) asked :

405. Will the Minister-in-charge of P. W. D. (R. and B. Wing) be pleased to state—

- (a) When the fair weather road between Sadiya Ferryghat and Kundil Bazar was completed last year?
- (b) Why it could not be completed within the month of November 1959?
- (c) Whether any Bus service was introduced to ply on this fair weather road and if so, since when it was introduced last year?
- (d) Whether it is a fact that Bus service was introduced in previous years for this part of the road in the month of December or before?
- (e) Why this Bus service could not be extended last year up to the middle of January the period of heavy rush of Pilgrims to Parasuram Kunda?
- (f) What step Government propose to take for completion of fair weather road with the commencement of every Winter?

Shri GIRINDRA NATH GOGOI [Deputy Minister, P. W. D. (R. and B. Wing)] replied :

405. (a)—On 9th January 1960.

(b)—Due to heavy silting and shallow water of the Dobang Nala and sand bank of Brahmaputra.

(c)—No bus service was introduced to ply on the fair weather road as available buses cannot ply over the said road.

(d)—Yes. In December vehicles were introduced.

(e)—Vehicular traffic on fair weather road was introduced just in time for the Parasuram Mela rush.

(f)—Efforts are always made to open fair weather roads at the commencement of every winter season.

Regarding completion of Earthwork of the portion of P. W. D. Road between Kakapathar and Maithong in Dibrugarh Subdivision

Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA (Saikhowa) asked :

406. Will the Minister, P. W. D. (R. & B.) be pleased to state—

(a) Whether the earthwork of the portion of P. W. D. road between Kakapathar and Maithong in Dibrugarh Subdivision has been completed ?

(b) When the earthwork of this portion of the road was started ?

(c) Whether it is a fact that the bridges also have been completed ?

(d) When gravelling of this portion of road may be expected ?

Shri GIRINDRA NATH GOGOI [Deputy Minister, P. W. D. (R. & B.)] replied :

406. (a) to (d)—Information have been called for.

Regarding commencement of Earthwork of the portion of the P.W.D. Road from Maithong to Sitpani in Doom Dooma P. W. D. Subdivision

Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA (Saikhowa) asked :

407. Will the Minister, P. W. D. (R. & B.) be pleased to state—

(a) When the earthwork of the portion of P. W. D. road from Maithong to Sitpani in Doom Dooma P. W. D. Subdivision was started ?

(b) Whether the earthwork has been completed ?

(c) Whether the bridges have been completed and arrangement for gravelling is being made ?

Shri GIRINDRA NATH GOGOI [Deputy Minister, P. W. D. (R. & B.)] replied :

407. (a) to (c)—Information have been called for.

Regarding the meeting that was held on 15th January, 1960 at Hari-bhanga Senior Basic M.V. School under presidentship of Sriman Prafulla Goswami

Shri BIRENDRA KUMAR DAS [Patacharkuchi (Reserved for Scheduled Castes)] asked :

408. Will the Minister-in-charge of P. W. D. (R. & B.) be pleased to state—

(a) Whether Government are aware that a public meeting was held on 15th January, 1960 at the premises of the Hari-bhanga Senior Basic M. V. School under the presidentship of Sriman Prafulla Goswami, Vice-Chairman A. P. C. C. with a view to settle about the dispute over the alignment of the road arising at Haribhanga village between two parties ?

(b) Whether Government are aware that the meeting formed a Commission with seven members to settle the said dispute ?

(c) Whether the Government are aware that the Commission gave the verdict about the alignment of the said road and the public was satisfied ?

(d) If so, why the disputed portion of the said road is not yet completed by the department ?

Shri GIRINDRA NATH GOGOI [Deputy Minister, P. W. D. (R. & B.)] replied :

408. (a) & (b)—Government are not fully aware of but have information that a Salishi Board was constituted to settle the question of alignment of the disputed portion of Tihu-Haribhanga Road.

(c)—Yes.

(d)—The whole matter arising out of the recommendation of the Salishi Board needs examination from the legal and financial point of view. Necessary information required in this connection have been called for.

Regarding pay scale of Normal passed teachers

Shri TAJUDDIN AHMED (Tarabri) asked :

409. Will the Minister, Education be pleased to state—

(a) Whether Government are aware by the revision scale of pay to the teachers, the senior Normal passed teachers are not getting benefit ?

- (b) What was the pay scale of the "A" normal passed teachers before this revised scale of pay ?
- (c) What is the pay now they will get after the revised scale was introduced ?
- (d) Whether Government propose to consider the matter and give the benefit of revised scale of pay to Grade "A" normal passed teachers ?
- (e) If so, when and how ?

Shri RADHIKA RAM DAS (Deputy Minister, Education) replied :

409. (a)—Yes, the non-matric normal passed teachers are not benefitted by the revision of pay scale in 1956.

(b) Rupees 75—2½—100 (E.B.)—4—120 per month.

(c)—(Rupees 60—100) per mensem for non-matric normal and Rs.75—3—105 (E.B.)—4—125 per mensem for Intermediate and Matriculate Normal passed teachers.

(d)—The matter is under examination of Government.

(e)—It may take sometime. The scale is proposed to be revised.

**Regarding settlement of land in Grant No.41 of Makum
Tila in Makum Mauza with Lakhi Sugar
Syndicate**

Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA (Saikhowa) asked :

410. Will the Minister, Revenue be pleased to state—

- (a) Whether it is a fact that about 1,500 (fifteen hundred) bighas of land in Grant No.41 of Makum Tila in Makum Mauza was settled with Lakhi Sugar Syndicate in the year 1933 or 1934 ?
- (b) Whether this land was requisitioned for the Military during the last great War ?
- (c) What were the amounts of money paid for requisition and other compensation paid for this land to the Syndicate ?
- (d) To whom the money was actually paid ?
- (e) Whether it is a fact that actually affected people of the grant complained about non-receipt of compensation ?
- (f) Whether Government propose to make an enquiry and ascertain from the people there in the grant whether the actually affected people were benefitted by the compensation ?

- (g) For what purpose the land in this grant is being used now ?
- (h) Whether the Syndicate is a Company formed under Companies Act or Partnership or a professional organisation ?
- (i) Who is actually reaping the benefit of these lands in grant No.41 of Makum Tila in Makum Mauza ?

Shri HARESWAR DAS (Minister, Revenue) replied :

410. (a) to (i)—Informations have been called for.

Regarding alternative land for landless cultivators of Bokel Mazgaon

Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA (Saikhowa) asked :

411. Will the Minister-in-charge of Revenue be pleased to state—

- (a) Whether he would refer to Memo. No.RL.42/59, dated 21st October, 1959 issued by the Under-Secretary to the Government of Assam, Revenue Department and to state whether the local Deputy Commissioner enquired to find out alternative land for landless cultivators of Bokel Mazgaon, as indicated in reply (e) of the question ?
- (b) Whether Government is aware that there are surplus land in Bokel Tea Estate Grants according to the formula of land allowed in Tea Estates ?
- (c) What are the difficulties of the Revenue Officers of Dibrugarh in helping these landless local cultivators ?
- (d) How long this matter will remain pending with the Sub-Deputy Collector, Dibrugarh Eastern Circle or the Deputy Commissioner, Dibrugarh ?

Shri HARESWAR DAS (Minister, Revenue) replied :

411. (a) to (d)—Informations have been called for.

Regarding requisition of land in Phukanbari Tea Estate

Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA (Saikhowa) asked :

412. Will the Minister-in-charge of Revenue be pleased to state—

- (a) Whether he would refer to Memo. No.RL.48/59/13, dated 6th October 1959 issued by the Under-Secretary to the Government of Assam, Revenue Department and to state whether the one thousand bighas of land available for cultivators in grants of Phukanbari Tea Estate has since been requisitioned and formally allotted to the cultivators ?

- (b) Whether he is aware that the question of requisition of land in Phukanbari Tea Estate was raised in several Session of the Assembly since the year 1959 and Government also agreed to re-requisition 1,000 bighas of land for cultivators ?
- (c) Whether it is a fact that a number of meetings of the Land Settlement Advisory Committee were held during the last few years but the Secretary of the Board failed to include this in the agenda ?
- (d) Whether it is a fact that in last meeting of Land Settlement Advisory Board, a member of the Board had to point out the non-inclusion of this item in the agenda ?
- (e) What action Government is taking to make the officers of Dibrugarh to be responsive to the need of indigenous cultivators ?

Shri HARESWAR DAS (Minister, Revenue) replied :

412. (a) to (e)—Information have been called for.

Regarding requisition and allotment of land

Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA (Saikhowa) asked :

413. Will the Minister-in-charge of Revenue be pleased to state—

- (a) Whether he would refer to Memo No. RLQ.43/59/11, dated 10th November, 1959 issued by the Under-Secretary to the Government of Assam, Revenue Department and state whether 375 bighas of land has since been requisitioned as promised in reply to sub-question (2) of question No. 339 of Green Wood Tea Estate ?
- (b) If so, when it was requisitioned and whether allotment had been made ?
- (c) If not, what are the difficulties of the Revenue Officers, Dibrugarh for taking quickly action in the interest of flood affected and landless people ?

Shri HARESWAR DAS (Minister, Revenue) replied :

413. (a) to (c)—Information have been called for.

Regarding removal of the Bengalee inhabitants of Sepon, Sibsagar and Dibrugarh Subdivision to other places

Shri KHOGENDRA NATH BARBARUAH (Amguri) asked :

414. (a) Whether it is a fact that on the 12th July, 1960 the Bengalee inhabitants of Sepon, Sibsagar and Dibrugarh Subdivision, were removed to other places ?

- (b) If so, who had been entrusted to safeguard the properties of those Bengalee families ?
- (c) Whether Police was posted there ?
- (d) Whether it is a fact that on the 13th July, 1960, some properties of those Bengalee families were looted at day time ?

Shri BIMALA PRASAD CHALIHA (Chief Minister) replied :

414. (a)—Some families were evacuated to Sibsagar on the 12th July.

(b) & (c)—A Police party was detailed to look after their properties in the locality.

(d)—Some theft cases were reported on 13th July, 1960 at day time of the unattended properties of Bengalee Refugees who had gone elsewhere.

Regarding conversion of North Trunk Road into National Highway

Shri GHANASHYAM TALUKDER (Sorbhog) asked :

415. Will the Minister-in-charge of Public Works Department (Roads and Buildings) be pleased to state—

- (a) Whether North Trunk Road will be converted into a National Highway ?
- (b) If so, when ?
- (c) How many bridges will be required over the rivers ?
- (d) Whether the Government of India have sanctioned money for the same ?

Shri GIRINDRA NATH GOGOI [Deputy Minister, P. W. D. (R & B)] replied :

415. (a) & (b)—Only the Government of India can sanction conversion of the road in question into National Highway. Accordingly in the past they were moved but the matter was turned down at that time. But at present, the portion of North Trunk Road from North Salmara to Charali will form the North Bank approach to the Brahmaputra Bridge under construction and will possibly be converted into National Highway in the long run. Necessary survey work is in progress.

(c)—In the portion of North Trunk Road between North Salmara and Charali, four major bridges over river Aie, Beki, Bhalukadoba and Monas.

(d)—Money has been sanctioned by Government of India for survey work. For the main works also probably money will be sanctioned by Government of India in due course.

***Shri GHANASHYAM TALUKDAR :** Sir, in last line of the reply to (d), it has been stated that for the main works also probably money will be sanctioned by Government of India in due course. Can not Government give any definite time, Sir ?

Mr. SPEAKER : Due course is due course.

Regarding completion of the earthwork and bridges of the Panitola-Boruahula portion of Panitola-Bordubi road

Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA (Saikhowa) asked :

416. Will the Minister-in-charge of P. W. D. (R. & B.) be pleased to state—

- (a) Whether the earthwork and the bridges of the Panitola-Boruahula portion of Panitola Bordubi Road in Dibrugarh Division have been completed ?
- (b) Whether earthwork of the portion of road from Boruahula to Bordubi has been started ?
- (c) When the completion of earthwork and construction of the bridges of the latter portion of this Panitola-Boruahula-Bordubi Road may be expected ?
- (d) Whether a proposal for gravelling the portion of Panitola-Boruahula is under consideration of the Government ?

Shri GIRINDRA NATH GOGOI [Deputy Minister, P. W. D. (R. & B.)] replied :

416. (a) to (d) —The information has been called for.

Appointment of Surveyors from different Local Boards in Assam as S. D. O. (T. C.), P. W. D.

Shri TAJUDDIN AHMED (Tarabari) asked :

417. Will the Minister-in-charge of P. W. D. (E. & D.) be pleased to state—

- (a) Whether it is a fact that 14 Surveyors of the defunct Local Boards of Assam have been appointed as S. D. O. P. W. D. (E. & D.) ?
- (b) Whether it is a fact that they were appointed first as S. D. O., P. W. D. to work as S. D. O. (T. C.) and now many of them have been placed in-charge of Subdivision ?
- (c) Whether it is a fact that there are some Overseers in the P. W. D. who are senior to some of the Local Boards Surveyors even if their entire services are taken into consideration and they are appointed as S. D. O. (T. C.) in the P. W. D., superseding many Overseers of the Department and now they are placed in-charge of Subdivision ?
- (d) If so, whether Government are aware that by this course of action injustice has been done to the senior Overseers of the Department

(e) Whether Government propose to consider the whole matter and rectify the irregularity ?

(f) If not, why ?

(g) Why Local Board Surveyors have been given this undue preference ?

Shri GIRINDRA NATH GOGOI [Deputy Minister, P. W. D. (R. & B.)] replied :

417 (a)—As understood from Secretary, P. W. D., F. C. and I. Wing no Local Board Surveyors have been appointed as S. D. O. under the F. C. and I. Wing, P. W. D. (R. & B.) Wing have appointed 9 (nine) Surveyors from different Local Boards in Assam as S. D. O. (T. C.), P. W. D.

(b)—They were appointed temporarily against the *ex-cadre* posts of S. D. Os. Eight have been posted as S. D. O. (T. C.) and one of them has been placed in-charge of Subdivision.

(c)—The Local Board Surveyors and the P. W. D. Overseers are in different services in different Departments and their seniority is not comparable. The Local Board Surveyors are appointed as S. D. O./S. D. O. (T. C.) in P. W. D. in consideration of the nature of duties performed and responsibilities shouldered by them in the defunct Local Boards as Surveyors.

(d)—No.

(e) to (g)—These questions do not arise in view of reply to (d) above.

Regarding the establishment of L. P. Schools in Barpeta Subdivision

Shri GHANASHYAM TALUKDER (Sorbhog) asked :

418. Will the Minister-in-charge of Education be pleased to state—

(a) How many Venture L. P. Schools are there in Barpeta Subdivision ?

(b) Why (a) Kismat Dwarika, (b) Sorbhag Town, (c) Bhatarmari and (d) Duramani Girls' L. P. Schools have not yet been taken over by the Department ?

(c) When they were established ?

(d) When the Government will take over these Schools ?

Shri RADHIKA RAM DAS (Deputy Minister of Education) replied :

418. (a) to (d)—Information could not be collected for shortness of time. It has been called for.

**Regarding the introduction of the Boro language in
the Primary Schools**

Shri BIRENDRA KUMAR DAS [Patacharkuchi (Reserved for Scheduled Castes)] asked:

419. Will the Minister-in-charge of Education be pleased to state—

- (a) Whether Government are aware that a meeting of the Assam Boro Sahitya Sabha was held at Basugaon on the 16th November, 1952 under the Presidentship of Shri Dharanidhar Busumtari, M.L.A. ?
- (b) Whether it is a fact that the conference decided for the introduction of the Boro language as a medium of instruction in the Primary Schools which are situated in the Boro speaking areas ?
- (c) Whether Government are aware that memorandum was submitted to the Chief Minister, Assam, President A.P.C.C. and Education Minister, Assam on 25th December, 1952 at Dhubri regarding the introduction of Boro language in the Primary Schools in the Boro speaking areas ?
- (d) Whether Government are aware that on 24th April, 1954 the Inspector of Schools, Lower Assam Circle Gauhati and the Assistant Inspector of Schools Lower Assam Circle had a discussion with the members of the Boro Sahitya Sajati Committee at Dhubri regarding the introduction of the Boro language in the Primary Schools ?
- (e) Whether it is a fact that a book under the name of "Boro Sigang" has been submitted to the D.P.I. Assam for approval of the book as the Text book in the Primary Schools in the Boro speaking areas ?
- (f) Whether Government are aware that the Narayanpur Bhagapara and Barama conference which was held on 21st February, 1959 and 14th and 15th October, 1959 under the Presidentship of Shri Lolit Doley, Parliamentary Secretary, Assam and Shri S.N. Das, M.L.A. respectively reiterated the demand of the Boro Sahitya Sabha to introduce the Boro language as a medium of instruction in the Primary Schools in the Boro speaking areas ?
- (g) Whether a deputation of leaders of the Boro speaking people waited upon the Chief Minister of Assam in his residence in Shillong on 10th December, 1959 and submitted a memorandum ?
- (h) If so, what action has been taken by Government in the matter ?

Shri RADHIKA RAM DAS (Deputy Minister, Education) replied:

419. (a)—Yes;

(b)—Yes.

(c)—Yes.

(d)—Yes.

(e)—Yes.

(f)—Yes.

(g)—Yes. A memorandum was submitted to Chief Minister on 12th December, 1959.

(h)—The matter is under consideration of Government.

***Shri HIRALAL PATWARI (Panery)** : In reply (h), it has been stated that the matter is under consideration of Government. Whether it is not a habit on the part of the Government to give such a reply when these people are demand this from 1952 and Government have appointed an officer for this purpose and still it is stated that the matter is under consideration. When Government will take steps to introduce this ?

***Shri RADHIKA RAM DAS** : It is in the process, Sir, we are taking action.

Mr. SPEAKER : Can not you cut short the time for consideration.

Regarding recommendation of Deputy Inspector of Schools, Dibrugarh to take over the Jonai Middle English School in Murkongsellek Transferred area by the Government

Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA (Saikhowa) asked :

420. Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state—

(a) Whether it is a fact that the then Deputy Inspector of Schools, Dibrugarh recommended to take over the Jonai Middle English School in Morkongsellek Transferred area by the Government in 1958 or so ?

(b) If so, what is the Government decision in the matter ?

Shri RADHIKA RAM DAS (Deputy Minister, Education) replied :

420. (a)—No. But a proposal has been recently received from Deputy Inspector of Schools, Tinsukia to effect of Government taking over the Jonai Middle English School.

(b)—The matter is under consideration of Government.

Regarding the number of students and the date of establishment of Ramdhan Middle English School in Murkongsellek

Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA asked :

421. Will the Minister, Education be pleased to state—

(a) The number of students and the date of establishment of Ramdhan Middle English School in Murkongsellek Transferred area ?

(b) Whether any recurring aid has been sanctioned to this School ?

(c) Whether recognition has been extended to this School ?

Shri RADHIKA RAM DAS (Deputy Minister of Education) replied :

421. (a)—The School was established on 15th August 1955. The present number of students in the School is being collected.

(b)—No.

(c)—Yes, with effect from 1st February 1958.

Regarding public meeting which was held on 28th September 1958 under the initiative of Boro Language and Cultural Congress at Tihu

Shri BIRENDRA KUMAR DAS [Patacharkuchi (Reserved for Scheduled Castes)] asked :

422. Will the Minister-in-charge of Education Department be pleased to state—

(a) Whether the Government are aware that a big public meeting was held at Tihu on 28th September 1958 under the initiative of Boro Language and Cultural Congress at Tihu ?

(b) Whether it is a fact that the meeting passed a resolution demanding Government to introduce Boro Language as a medium of instruction in the Primary Schools which are situated in the Tribal (Kadari) area from the year 1959 ?

(c) If so, what steps Government have taken to implement the resolution ?

Shri RADHIKA RAM DAS (Deputy Minister of Education) replied :

422. (a)—Yes.

(b)—Yes.

(c)—The matter is under consideration.

Regarding strength of students in Bokel Lower Primary School

Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA (Saikhowa) asked :

423. Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state—

(a) The total number of students on the Roll of Bokel Lower Primary School at present ?

(b) What is the floor area of the School building ?

(c) What amount of building grants was sanctioned by Government or School Board during the period of last 5 years to this School ?

Shri RADHIKA RAM DAS (Deputy Minister of Education) replied :

423. (a)—Two hundred and forty.

(b)—One thousand one hundred and eighty-five square feet.

(c)—No grant was given.

Regarding Deputation allowance paid to M. V. School and Teachers

Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA (Saikhowa) asked :

424. Will the Minister-in-charge of Education be pleased to state—

(a) What is the Deputation allowance paid to M. V. School teachers deputed for training in Normal School, Jorhat ?

(b) Whether Government lately received representations from such teachers for enhancement of the Deputation allowance ?

(c) What is the decision of the Government on the representations ?

Shri RADHIKA RAM DAS (Deputy Minister of Education) replied :

424. (a)—Rupees 20 per mensem.

(b)—Yes.

(c)—It is under examination.

Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA : Sir, when there is a provision for deputation allowance of Rs.20 to the Middle Vernacular School teachers, why some of the teachers did not get the same, Sir, and they had to make representation ?

Shri RADHIKA RAM DAS : They were not paid for three months and after that, they were paid.

Regarding construction of Muslim Hostel of Barpeta Government High English School

Shri TAJUDDIN AHMED (Tarabari) asked :

425. Will the Minister-in-charge of Education be pleased to state—

(a) When the residence of the Muslim Hostel Superintendent of Barpeta Government High English School was constructed ?

(b) Whether it is a fact that this residence has become unfit for human habitation due to its bad condition ?

(c) Whether it is also a fact that there is no fencing around this residence ?

(d) When the well of this residence was constructed ?

(e) Whether it is a fact that there is no water in this well ?

(f) Whether it is also a fact that the Muslim Hostel cookshed is in a very bad condition ?

(g) Whether Government propose to reconstruct the same ?

(b) Whether Government are aware of the difficulties of the officers as well as other for want of an Inspection Bungalow at Saikhowa ?

(c) Whether it is a fact that the nearest Inspection Bungalow of Saikhowa is at Doom Dooma which is a distance of more than 15 miles ?

(d) When Government sanction to rebuild this Inspection Bungalow at Saikhowa may be expected ?

Shri GIRINDRA NATH GOGOI [Deputy Minister, P.W.D. (R. & B.)] replied :

435. (a)—Yes. An estimate for construction of Inspection Bungalow at Dholia which is about 2 miles from Saikhowa was received and accordingly Government of India have been requested to supply typical designs of the Inspection Bungalow and final reply in this regard, is still being awaited

(b)—Yes.

(c)—Yes.

(d)—The matter will be taken up as soon as approval of the Government of India is received.

Regarding maintenance of a portion of Saikhowa-Dirakmukh Road as fair weather road

Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA (Saikhowa) asked :

436. Will the Minister-in-charge of P. W. D. (R. & B.) be pleased to

state—

(a) Whether a portion of Saikhowa-Dirakmukh Road in Dibrugarh Division has been maintained as fair weather road ?

(b) Since when this portion of the road has been maintained as fair weather road and what is the total amount that has been spent during each of these years ?

(c) Whether Government are aware that there are public demands as well as suggestion from the questioner to abandon this fair weather road and to construct a diversion from the Assam Trunk Road through Lafangkala village which would be an all weather road ?

(d) Whether this suggestion is under consideration of the Government ?

(e) If so, whether Government propose to make budget provision for this portion of the road either this year or the next year ?

Regarding application for land by the landless cultivators of Rangagora Mauza

Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA (Saikhowa) asked :

433. Will the Minister, Revenue be pleased to State—
(a) Whether the landless cultivators of Rangagora Mauza applied for land for cultivation in Tea garden grants in Nakura T. E. and Nalan T. E. covered by patra Nos. 1 and 186 and 190 in the year 1958 or before ?
(b) Whether Government is aware that a copy of such application with recommendation was forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner, Lakhimpur by the questioner on 5th December 1958 ?
(c) If so, what action has so far been taken on these representations ?

Shri HARESWAR DAS (Minister, Revenue) replied :

433. (a) to (c)—Information has been called for but could not be procured due to shortness of time.

Regarding encroachment of a portion of Natua Ali Kanaigaon area in Lahol Mauza, Dibrugarh by a Tea planter (Indian planter)

Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA asked :

434. Will the Minister, Revenue be pleased to state—
(a) Whether it is a fact that a portion of Natua Ali in Kanaigaon area of Lahol Mauza, Dibrugarh has been encroached by a Tea planter (Indian planter) ?
(b) If so, who is the Tea planter and what action Government has taken to evict the encroacher ?

Shri HARESWAR DAS (Minister, Revenue) replied :

434. (a) & (b)—Information has been called for but could not be procured due to shortness of time.

Regarding Construction of Inspection Bungalow at Saikhowa

Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA asked :

435. Will the Minister-in-charge of P. W. D. (R.&B.) be pleased to state—

(a) Whether it is a fact that plan and estimate was submitted by Subdivisional Officer, Public Works Department, Doom Bungalow at Saikhowa, which was, eroded by the Brahmaputra after great earthquake of 1950 ?

Shri FAKHRUDDIN ALI AHMED (Minister, Community Development, etc.) replied :

431. (a)—No amount could be allotted for repairing of bridges and roads damaged by flood of 1959, mentioned in the letter as the amounts sanctioned by Government were for specific purposes for other areas.

(b)—Deputy Commissioner, Kamrup has not yet submitted any report, as he has not received the informations from the concerning Anchalik Panchayats.

(c)—It may be that the roads and bridges were not repaired, as no fund could be sanctioned. Government will enquire if these roads and bridges have been further damaged by the July-August flood of 1960.

(d)—Detailed report from the Deputy Commissioner, Kamrup is being awaited, for consideration of Government.

(e)—Government will consider the matter as soon as report is received from the Deputy Commissioner.

Shri PRABHAT NARAYAN CHOUDHURY (Naibati-East): What efficient measures the Government propose to take on receipt of the report from the Deputy Commissioner, Kamrup?

Shri FAKHRUDDIN ALI AHMED (Finance, Minister): These concern local Board roads which now come under the Anchalik Panchayats. The Deputy Commissioner is still awaiting report from the Anchalik Panchayats. He is repeatedly reminding the authorities concerned. As soon as these materials are available, his report will be send to us.

Regarding occupation of land by the proprietor of Ghagrajan T. E.

Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA (Saikhowa) asked :

432. Will the Minister, Revenue be pleased to state—
(a) Whether it is a fact that the proprietor of Ghagrajan T. E. is occupying land at dag. Nos. 289, 292, 288, 308, 287 and 286 in Phutawola Village, Moderkhat, Maauza, Dibrugarh?
(b) If so, under what condition this Tea planter is occupying these lands?
(c) Whether it is a fact that this tea planter occupied sarkari land at No. 118 of that village also illegally?

(d) What action Government propose to take against this encroachment?

Shri HARESWAR DAS (Minister, Revenue) replied :

432. (a) to (d)—Information has been called for but could not be procured due to shortness of time.

- (c) If so, why no doctor has yet been posted in the dispensary ?
- (d) What is the amount spent for the construction of the dispensary ?
- (e) Why the buildings are not being used though two years have passed since the completion of the buildings of the dispensary ?

(f) Whether the dispensary is in the tribal areas ?

(g) Whether Government propose to take steps to give all Medical facilities with a qualified doctor immediately ?

Shri RUPNATH BRAHMA (Minister, Medical) replied :

429 (a)—Primary Health Unit.

(b)—No, the completion report from the Public Works Department was received in September, 1960.

(c)—Does not arise.

(d)—Rs 78,361.

(e)—Does not arise in view of the reply to question (b) above.

(f)—Yes.

(g)—Yes.

Supply of Rice to meet the famine condition of Mizo Hills Districts

Shri SARAT CHANDRA GOSWAMI (Kamalpuri) asked:

430. Will the Supply Minister be pleased to state—

- (a) What was the estimated demand of rice necessary to meet the famine condition of Mizo Hills Districts?
- (b) From which date rice has been sent to Mizo Hill by the Government to meet the local famine condition ?
- (c) What is the quantity of rice delivered to different centres in Mizo Hill monthwise from the date of such supply ?
- (d) The areas affected by the famine with the number of persons affected in each area ?
- (e) What is the quantity of rice sent to the district upto 31st August, 1960 by (i) road, (ii) rail, (iii) water and (iv) air ?
- (f) What are the areas from which rice were collected for sending Mizo Hills ?
- (g) What is the total quantity of rice obtained through various institutions as donations for the famine affected areas of Mizo Hills ?

M. MOINUL HAQUE CHOUDHURY (Minister, Supply) replied :

430. (a)—About 5,72,200 mds.

(b)—From August, 1959.

(c)—A Statement is placed on the library table (I).

(d)—For Aijal Subdivision a statement is placed on the library table (II). For Lungleh Subdivision the entire subdivision has been affected and the number of persons affected would be about 60,000.

(e)—(i) 2,52,106 mds., (ii) Nil, (iii) 82,309 mds., (iv) 1,43,328 mds.

(f)—From Central Government Depots at Gauhati, Hojai, Jorhat and Calcutta as also locally procured rice from Nowgong District.

(g)—About 290 mds. of rice were donated locally and the National Christian Council have donated 2,000 tons out of which about 6,000 mds. have arrived so far.

Allotment of money for repairing of bridges and roads damaged by the flood of 1959

Shri SARAT CHANDRA GOSWAMI (Kamrup) asked :

431. Will the Minister-in-charge of Local Self-Government be pleased to state—

- (a) What amount has been allotted by the Deputy Commissioner Kamrup for repairing of bridges and roads damaged by the flood of 1959 as directed by letter No.DP.91/58/Pt. 1/4, of 16th June, 1960 from Assistant Development Commissioner, Panchayat and Development Department ?
- (b) Whether any detailed report has been submitted by the Deputy Commissioner, Kamrup as was asked by the said letter in paragraph 2 regarding damages of roads and bridges in Kamalpur Circle by the flood of 1959 ?
- (c) Whether it is a fact that the roads and bridges could not be repaired as no help was received from any quarter and by the flood of July-August, 1960 these roads and bridges have been further damaged ?
- (d) Will the Minister be pleased to refer to the Unstarred Questions No.509, 510 and 511 and the replies to them given in the Assembly on 11th April, 1960 and state whether any measure has been taken for repair of the roads and bridges damaged by flood of 1959 ?
- (e) Whether Government propose to sanction reasonable sums for the projects as suggested in the representation and report of the Deputy Commissioner, Kamrup ?

Regarding Complaint against the Head Master Shri Girish Nath of Karka M. E. School, South Kamrup

Shri HARESWAR GOSWAMI (Rampur) asked :

427. Will the Minister, Education be pleased to state—

- (a) Whether he has later received any complaint against the Head Master Shri Girish Nath of Karka M. E. School, South Kamrup ?
- (b) If so, what are the allegations ?
- (c) Whether it is fact that the said Head Master Shri Girish Nath is not a Matriculate ?
- (d) Whether it is a fact that he was drawing the pay as a Matriculate for the last few years ?
- (e) Whether any police action has been taken against him for cheating the Government ?
- (f) If not, why not ?

Shri RADHIKA RAM DAS (Deputy Minister of Education) replied :

427. (a)—There is no school in South Kamrup by the name of Karka M. E. School. There is however, one school there by the name of Dohali Korka M. E. A complaint against the Headmaster of the said Dohali Korka M. E. School was received by the Inspector of Schools, Lower Assam Circle.

(b)—The allegation was that the teacher is not a Matriculate but he was drawing his pay as Matriculate.

(c)—Yes.

(d)—Yes.

(e)—No.

(f)—Necessary disciplinary action is being taken.

Shri HARESWAR GOSWAMI: Is the person concerned still continuing as a teacher of this School ?

Shri RADHIKA RAM DAS (Deputy Minister): Yes, Sir. He is still continuing but we have already issued instructions against him.

Shri HARESWAR GOSWAMI: What action is contemplated ?

Shri RADHIKA RAM DAS : He will have to be removed. But, if necessary, he will have to be handed over to the Police also.

20 SEP 1960

101

**Regarding Particulars for Model scale of pay to Rohmoriam
M. E. School**

Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA (Saikhowa) asked :

428. Will the Minister-in-charge of Education be pleased to state—

- (a) Whether he would refer to the Memo. No. EMI. 75/60, dated 3rd August, 1960 issued by the Deputy Secretary to the Government of Assam, Education (General) Department and ascertain from the Deputy Inspector of Schools, Dibrugarh whether particulars for Model scale of pay to Rohmoriam M. E. School for the years 1957-58 and 1958-59 were submitted by the School concerned and the Deputy Inspector of Schools, Dibrugarh also forwards those particulars to the Inspector of Schools, Jorhat in time ?
- (b) Whether Government would ascertain from the Inspector of Schools, Jorhat why the particulars for model scale of pay in respect of Rohmoriam M. E. School was not forwarded to the Director of Public Instruction for 1957-58 and 1958-59 ?
- (c) Who was the Inspector of Schools, Jorhat in the financial years 1957-58 and 1958-59 ?
- (d) Whether Government propose to take action on the officers or Assistant at whose fault this M. E. School situated in a backward area and the only M. E. School in Rohmoriam Mauza was made to suffer for no fault of the School authorities ?
- (e) Whether Government propose to sanction the arrear grants to this M. E. School for the years 1957-58 and 1958-59 ?

Shri RADHIKA RAM DAS (Deputy Minister of Education) replied :

428. (a)—The fact is being ascertained.
(b)—No. Deputy Inspector of Schools is competent to forward the particulars to Director of Public Instruction.
(c)—Shri G. C. Sarma Barua and Dr. A Borkakati.
(d)—The matter is being enquired.
(e)—Yes, as and when the particulars are received and if fund permits.

Regarding construction of Golagaon Dispensary

Shri SURENDRA NATH DAS (Patacharkuchi) asked:

429 Will the Medical Minister be pleased to state—

- (a) Whether the Golagaon Dispensary is a State Dispensary or a Primary Health Unit ?
- (b) Whether it is a fact that the construction of the buildings of the dispensary has been completed since two years back ?

Shri GIRINDRA NATH GOGOI [Deputy Minister, P. W. D. (R. & B.)] replied:

436. (a) —Yes.

(b)—The road has been maintained as fair weather road since 1950 after the earthquake. Amount spent annually on this road is as follows:—

Year	1950-51	1951-52	1952-53	1953-54	1954-55	1955-56	1956-57	1957-58	1958-59	1959-60
Rs.	30,000	35,000	25,000	19,000	20,000	16,000	15,000	29,000	12,000	15,000

(c) 10 (e)—The proposal for diversion of the road was sent to the Subdivisional Development Board (now Mohkuma Parishad) for consideration and allocation of priority. This will be considered along with all other proposals for inclusion in the Third Five Year Plan Road Scheme according to individual priority. Works will be taken up when the project receives due consideration and funds are made available.

Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA (Sairikhowa) : হাৰাভ
 দেকা লৈছে fair weather Road চৌ এই কেই বছৰ maintenance কৰোঁতে দুই
 লাখতকৈও বেচি টকা খৰচ হৈছে। স্থানীয় বাহীৰ আৰু শুল্ককাৰ(কেও এটা diversion
 Road কৰিবলৈকে কেইবা বছৰৰ পৰা কোৱা আছেও চৰকাৰৰ মন কান কৰা দেখা
 নাই। সেই fair weather বাহীচৌ খৰ কয় দিনহে মানহে বাহীচৰ কৰিব
 পাৰে। কোনো বছৰ এমাহে বাহীচৰ কৰিবলৈ নোখায় গতিকে চৰকাৰ diversion
 road কৰাৰ বাহাৰ কৰিবলৈ ?

Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA: ଯାହା diversion ରୂପେ କାର୍ଯ୍ୟ କରିବା ପାଇଁ ଉଦ୍ଦିଷ୍ଟ, ତାହା କାର୍ଯ୍ୟରେ ବହତ ଦିନର ଧରା ଗ୍ରାସିଛି ଏବଂ ଅନାବେଶ କରାଯାଇ ଶକ୍ତି ହୋଇଛି କିମ୍ବା ଆସିବେ ?

Shri GIRINDRA NATH GOGOI : ১৫ জি।

Shri RADHIKA RAM DAS (Deputy Minister of Education)
replied :

425. (a)—In 1943-44,

(b)—The residence has become old.

(c)—It is not a fact.

(d)—It was constructed long ago. The matter is being enquired into.

(e)—Yes.

(f)—Yes.

(g)—Yes.

Regarding consignments of Sugar

Md. SAHADAT ALI (South-Salmara) asked :

426. Will the Minister-in-charge of Supply be pleased to state—

(a) Whether the consignments of sugar (invoice No. 11 and 12, RR. No.009216, dated 9th June 1959 and RR. No.009217, dated 9th June 1959) containing 260 bags ever came to Goalpara and distributed to the people of Goalpara ?

(b) Whether the above-mentioned quota of sugar was diverted ?

(c) If so, under whose order ?

(d) Whether it is a fact that the next consignments of sugar (invoice Nos.22 and 23, RR. No.G/25/845, dated 14th July 1959 and R. R. No.G/257846, dated 14th July 1959) containing 260 bags have not been distributed in Goalpara ?

(e) If so, why not ?

(f) Who were the dealers for the above-mentioned quota of sugar and what action has been taken against the said dealers ?

(g) Whether Government are aware that due to non-receipt of the aforesaid quota of sugar, the people of Goalpara had to purchase sugar at the rate of Rs.2 to Rs.3 per seer ?

Shri MOINUL HAQUE CHOUDHURY (Minister, Supply)
replied :

426. (a) to (g)—A report has been called for from the Local Officer which is awaited.

Mr. SPEAKER: তেখেতৰ প্রশ্নটো হৈছে যে এই বাস্তাটো maintain কৰোতে ২ লাখ ১৬ হাজাৰ টকা খৰচ কৰা হৈছে। বাইজে এটা diversion road বিচাৰিছে আৰু তাত কমকৈ খৰচ হব। কিয় কৰা নাই ?

Shri GIRINDRA NATH GOGOI [Deputy Minister, P. W. D. (R. & B.): Proposal যিমান বোৰ আছে সেই আটাইবোৰ Road Communication Board ত দিয়া হয়। তেওঁলোকে চাই চিতি যিদৰে অনুমোদন কৰে সেই দৰেই কাম হাতত লবলৈ চেষ্টা কৰা হয়।

Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA: যেতিয়া স্পষ্ট ভাবে এটা proposal আছে টকাৰ অপব্যয় বন্ধা কৰিবলৈ আছে তেতিয়া কিয় চকু দিয়া নহয় ?

Shri GIRINDRA NATH GOGOI: এইটো Road Boardৰ কথা। Board এ যিদৰে priority দিয়ে কামো সেই দৰেই লোৱা হয়।

Regarding the improvement of the side berms of Public Works Department Roads within Sorbhog and Barpeta

Shri GHANASHYAM TALUKDAR (Sorbhog) asked :

437. Will the Minister in-charge of Public Works Department (Roads Buildings) be pleased to state—

- (a) Why the side berms of Public Works Department road within Sorbhog and Barpeta Road have not been improved ?
- (b) When they will be improved ?
- (c) Whether the conditions of the drains will be improved ?
- (d) If so, when ?

Shri GIRINDRA NATH GOGOI [Deputy Minister, Public Works Department (Roads and Buildings)] replied :

437. (a) & (b)—The side berms of the Public Works Department roads within Sorbhog and Barpeta Road are generally in good condition except the bazar portions which frequently become muddy after every heavy shower due to parking of vehicles.

(c) & (d)—The proposal for further improvement of the town portion of the road including drains in Barpeta Road has been sent to the Mahkuma Pasishad for initial consideration and allocation of priority. This will be considered along with all other such proposals according to priority, for inclusion in the Third Five Year Plan. As regards drains within Sorbhog town, the proposal will be examined.

Regarding the condition of the road *cum* embankmen from Dhubri to Patamari

Shri TAMIZUDDIN PRODHANI (Dhubri) asked :

438. Will the Minister-in-charge of P. W. D. (R. & B.) be pleased to state—

(a) Whether it is a fact that the road *cum* embankment from Dhubri to Patamari in Dhubri Subdivision was taken over by the Pubic Works Department last year ?

(b) Is it a fact that the said road is not serviceable ?

(c) Whether Government are aware that there was a breach of the said road near the village Bhelargaon by the erosion of the recent flood ?

(d) If so, what was the length of the breach ?

(e) Whether there is any proposal to repair the said road this year ?

(f) If not, how long will it take to repair the road ?

Shri GIRINDRA NATH GOGOI [Deputy Minister, P. W. D. (R. & B.)] replied :

438. (a)—Yes.

(b)—The road is serviceable for Pedestrians, but is not opened to vehicular traffic.

(c)—Yes.

(d)—The length is 180 feet.

(e)—The road is proposed to be repaired and breach filled in, which is expected to be completed by April, 1961.

(f)—Does not arise.

Allotment of a jeep to the Assistant Political Officers at Murkongselek and Sadiya

Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA (Saikhowa) asked :

439. Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state—

(a) Whether any Jeep has been provided to the Assistant Political Officers at Murkongselek and Sadiya ?

(b) If so, since when the Jeeps have been provided ?

(c) If not, what is the nature of conveyance available in their respective areas ?

(d) Whether Government are aware that these Assistant Political Officers find it difficult to go round the different parts of their respective areas?

(e) Whether Government propose to allot a Jeep to each of these Transferred Areas and place under the respective Assistant Political Officers?

(f) Whether any motor boat is placed under the Assistant Political Officers?

Shri BIMALA PRASAD CHALIHA (Chief Minister) replied:

439. (a)—One Jeep has been provided to the Assistant Political Officer, Sadiya and another has been sanctioned for the Assistant Political Officer, Murkongselek.

(b)—Information is being collected so far as the Assistant Political Officer, Sadiya, is concerned. As for the Assistant Political Officer, Murkongselek, the Jeep has only been sanctioned but not yet purchased.

(c)—The question does not arise so far as the Assistant Political Officer, Sadiya is concerned. As for the Assistant Political Officer, Murkongselek, the nature of conveyances available in that area are boat and elephant.

(d)—Yes, it is difficult to tour in these areas without suitable conveyance.

(e)—Yes, *vide* reply to question (a) above.

(f)—Yes, one to Assistant Political Officer, Sadiya and one to Assistant Political Officer, Murkongselek.

Regarding allotment of grants to Dibrugarh Subdivision for distribution amongst the Panchayats

Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA (Saikhowa) asked:

440. Will the Minister-in-charge of Panchayats be pleased to state—

(a) Whether it is a fact that a sum of Rs.69,801 was allotted to Dibrugarh Subdivision during the year 1959-60 a *Ad-hoc* grants in lieu of land Revenue for distribution amongst the Panchayats in the Subdivision?

(b) Whether it is a fact that this grant was given to Gauhati and Jorhat Subdivision amounting to Rs.3,63,133 and Rs.2,46,459 respectively and Mangaldai Subdivision also was granted over Rs. two lakhs during the year 1959-60?

(c) Whether it is a fact that the amount of grant equal to 25 per cent of land Revenue in Dibrugarh Subdivision should be Rupees three and half lakhs?

- (d) Whether it is a fact that only a sum of Rs.55,927 was allotted to Dibrugarh Subdivision during the year 1959-60 as compensatory grants in lieu of local rates to Panchayats ?
- (e) Whether it is a fact that Tezpur Subdivision got Rs.2,77,276 as compensatory grants in lieu of local rates during the year 1959-60 ?
- (f) Whether it is a fact that the compensatory grants in lieu of local rate was to be about 2 lakhs of rupees ?
- (g) Whether Government propose to make up the balance as additional grants ?
- (h) Whether Government propose to enquire and fix responsibility on Officer or Officers for whose negligence Dibrugarh Subdivision received only about one-fifth of the due grants ?

Shri FAKHRUDDIN ALI AHMED (Minister, Community Development, etc.) replied :

440. (a)—A sum of Rs.69,801.92 nP. was allotted.
 (b)—Gauhati, Jorhat and Mangaldai Subdivisions were granted Rs.3,63,132.92 nP. Rs.2,46,459.10 nP. and Rs.2,05,011.22 nP. respectively.

(c)—Information has been called for.

(d)—Yes.

(e)—Tezpur Subdivision got Rs.2,77,276.97 nP. as compensatory grants in lieu of local rate in 1959-60.

(f)—Information has been called for.

(g)—On receipt of correct figure from the D. C. concerned necessary action will be taken to grant the balance amount, if any.

(h)—The matter will be enquired into.

Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA (Saikhowa):

ইয়াত ডিব্ৰুগড় মহকুমাৰ কাৰণে যোৱা বছৰ মাত্ৰ ৭০ হেজাৰতকৈও কম টকা মঞ্জুৰ কৰিছে। কিন্তু হিচাপ মতে ৪ লাখতকৈও বেছি টকা পাব লাগে। ইয়াত কৈছে। (c) Information has been called for. যোৱা বছৰৰ পৰা এই কথাটো উত্থাপন কৰা স্বৰ্ভৌ কিয় পলম হৈছে ?

Shri FAKHRUDDIN ALI AHMED (Finance Minister): As the hon. Member is aware, under the provisions of the Act, only such amounts in lieu of land revenue and local rates are taken into consideration for giving shows to Panchayat was collected in any subdivision in the previous year. From the information available, the percentage was calculated and given both on that basis. Since the hon. Member has put this question, we have asked the District authorities to scrutinise the figures and let us know whether the figure submitted by him was correct or anything more is to be paid. As soon as that information is available, Dibrugarh Subdivision will get its appropriate share.

Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA: Whether the amount for the last year will also be paid ?

Shri FAKHRUDDIN ALI AHMED: Yes, that will also be paid.

Regarding slogan shouted by some processionists in May 1960 at Shillong

Shri KHOGENDRA NATH BARBARUAH (Amguri) asked :

441. Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state—

- (a) Whether it is a fact that some participants of a procession in May, 1960 at Shillong, shouted denouncing Assamese language as ass's language ?
- (b) Why Government could not take timely action on the processionists ?

Shri BIMALA PRASAD CHALIHA (Chief Minister) replied :

441. (a)—Yes. It is reported that a few unidentified participants in the procession shouted the slogan.

(b)—It is not possible to anticipate and stop any shouting of slogans in a procession. Further action could not be taken as none could identify the few persons who shouted these slogans.

Shri RAM NATH DAS [Dergaon (Reserved for Scheduled Castes)] : May I know from the Government whether some persons were asked to identify those people ?

Shri FAKHRUDDIN ALI AHMED : (Finance Minister) As we have already said that, because three or four boys who shouted these slogans could not be identified, action could not be taken. No one came forward to say that they could identify these boys.

Shri A. THANGLURA [Aijal-West (Reserved for Scheduled Tribes)] : May I know from the Government. Whether they tendered apology in a public meeting that was held at the State Library Hall ?

Shri FAKHRUDDIN ALI AHMED : They did so.

Shri A. THANGLURA : Whether it was published in any Hill papers ?

Shri FAKHRUDDIN ALI AHMED : Government is not aware.

The number of Assamese persons murdered in West Bengal

Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA (Saikhowa) asked :

442. Will the Minister, Home be pleased to state—

- (a) Whether Government are aware that a number of persons from Assam were murdered in West Bengal during the month of July last ?
- (b) If so, who were the persons murdered ?
- (c) Whether Government are aware that in local newspapers, letters to Editor were published giving indications of missing of persons of Assam in West Bengal ?
- (d) Whether such indications were enquired into by the Government ?

Shri FAKHRUDDIN ALI AHMED (Minister, Home) replied :

442. (a) & (b)—Only one person (late, Suryya Bora), a Publicity Officer of N. F. Railway, was stabbed to death at Siliguri.

(c) and (d)—Four such letters to the Editor regarding missing of persons of Assam in West Bengal, published in local newspapers came to the notice of Government. One Haji named Joir Ali and Shri Dinanath Sarma of Nalbari were reported to have been missing.

This was enquired into and found that the Haji Jahir Ali and not Joir Ali as stated in the letter to the Editor, returned home on 19th August, 1960. Shri Dinanath Sarma had gone to Siva temple at Jalpesh, P. S. Moinaguri, district Jalpaiguri, where he previously stayed in the last week of July 1960.

The number of Families affected by the recent language disturbances in the State of Assam

Shri KHOGENDRA NATH BARBARUAH (Amguri) asked :

443. (a) The number of families affected by the recent language disturbance in the State of Assam ?

(b) The number of persons killed and wounded ?

(c) The number of persons missing ?

(d) The number of refugees ?

(e) The details of relief and rehabilitation measures taken by the Government ?

(f) The total approximate loss of property caused by the recent disturbances arising out of language issue ?

Shri BIMALA PRASAD CHALIHA (Chief Minister) replied.

443. (a)—Twelve thousand and eight.

(b)—Forty persons were killed and five hundred and eight wounded.

(c)—Only one person was missing.

(d) & (e)—Information is being collected and compiled.

(f)—Rupees 60,05,377 approximately. The assessment of damage is, however, still continuing.

(Final replies to Unstarred Question No.262, to which *ad-interim* replies were given on the 27th October, 1960, during the Autumn Session, 1960)

Regarding proposal of Government to sanction recurring aid to Tinsukia College

Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA (Saikhowa) asked :

262. Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state—

(a) When Tinsukia College was started ?

(b) What is the present enrolment of Tinsukia College and how many of them are Girls ?

(c) Whether Government have sanctioned any grants either recurring or non-recurring to this College ?

(d) If not, whether Government propose to sanction recurring aid to the College with effect from this or next financial year ?

Shri RADHIKA RAM DAS (Deputy Minister, Education) replied :

262. (a)—On 1st September, 1956.

(b)—Present enrolment is 280 including 65 girls.

(c)—No.

(d)—Under consideration of Government.

Original Unstarred No.262 and answers thereto appeared in the list of the 27th October, 1960

Regarding proposal of Government to sanction recurring aid to Tinsukia College

Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA (Saikhowa) asked :

262. Will the Minister of Education be pleased to state—

(a) When Tinsukia College was started ?

(b) What is the present enrolment of Tinsukia College and how many of them are girls ?

(c) Whether Government have sanctioned any grants either recurring or non-recurring to this College ?

(d) If not, whether Government propose to sanction recurring aid to the College with effect from this or next financial year ?

Shri RADHIKA RAM DAS (Deputy Minister, Education) replied :

262. (a)—On 1st September, 1956.

(b)—Information being collected.

(c)—No.

(d)—Under consideration of Government.

(Final replies to Unstarred Question No.280 to which *ad-interim* replies were given on the 27th October, 1960 during the Autumn Session, 1960)

(To be taken up on 29th October, 1960)

Regarding admission in the Assam Ayurvedic College

Dr. GHANASHYAM DAS [North Salmara (Reserved for Scheduled Castes)] asked :

280. Will the Minister-in-charge of Medical be pleased to state—

- (a) What is the number of students admitted in the Assam Ayurvedic College, Gauhati since its inception ?
- (b) How many of them have come out successful till now from its inception ?
- (c) What is the minimum qualification required for a candidate to get admission for the course of study in this College ?
- (d) Whether it is a fact that undermatic candidates have been admitted ?
- (e) If so, why the standard for admission has been lowered ?
- (f) What is the annual recurring expenditures incurred for maintaining this College ?
- (g) Whether Government are aware that many Physicians passing out of this College are using the courtesy title of "Doctor" before their names and practising in allopathic medicine ?
- (h) Whether any Tribal Candidate has been admitted into this College from its inception till this year ?
- (i) If so, how many of them came out successful till now ?

Shri RUPNATH BRAHMA (Minister-in-charge of Medical) replied :

280. (a)—141.

(b)—68.

(c)—A candidate must have passed the matriculation examination of the Gauhati University or any recognised University and also must possess knowledge of Sanskrit upto Madhya examination standard or passed Madhya with working knowledge of English.

(d)—Yes.

[29th Oct.,

(e)—Sometimes under-matric students are admitted in order to meet the strong desire of such students to study Ayurvedic System of medicine. The deficiency of such candidates are however made up by intensive study after admission.

(f)—

Annual

Recurring Expenditure

					Rs.
1948-49	46,000
1950-51	31,000
1951-52	36,000
1952-53	57,000
1953-54	68,000
1954-55	73,000
1955-56	75,000
1956-57	95,000
1957-58	88,000
1958-59	84,000
1959-60	87,000
					1,02,000

(g)—No. Government are, however, aware that few Ayurvedic Physicians employed by Government on survey and anti-epidemic works are prescribing allopathic medicines under special training. Although there is no rule to prevent Ayurvedic Physicians to use the courtesy title of 'Doctors' before their names they have been advised to use their won title such as "Kavirajas".

(h)—Yes.

(i)—Only one student was admitted in 1949 and passed out in 1953.

Original Unstarred Question No. 280 and answers thereto appeared in list of the 27th October, 1960

REGARDING ADMISSION IN THE ASSAM AYURVEDIC COLLEGE

Dr. GHANASYAM DAS [North Salmara (Reserved for Scheduled Castes)] asked :

280. Will the Minister-in-charge of Medical be pleased to state—
- What is the number of students admitted in the Assam Ayurvedic College, Gauhati since its inception?
 - How many of them have come out successful till now from its inception?
 - What is the minimum qualification required for a candidate to get admission for the course of study in this College?
 - Whether it is a fact that under-matric candidates have been admitted?
 - If so, why the standard for admission has been lowered?
 - What is the annual recurring expenditure incurred for maintaining this College?
 - Whether Government are aware that many Physicians passing out of this College are using the courtesy title of "Doctor" before their names and practising in Allopathic medicines?
 - Whether any Tribal candidate has been admitted in this College from its inception till this year.
 - If so, how many of them came out successful till now?

Shri RUPNATH BRAHMA (Minister-in-charge of Medical) replied :

208. (a) to (i)—The information is being collected.

Speaker ruling regarding absence of the Heads of Departments from the headquarters during the session of the Assembly

Mr. SPEAKER: From the nature many of the questions to which replies have been given. "Information is being collected," could have been supplied from the Heads of Departments. From their respective offices. Most probably the replies could not be given as some of the Head of Departments are constantly and continuously remaining absent from the headquarters during the session of the Assembly. Many hon. Members have also given me to understand that as some of the Heads of Departments are continually remaining absent from the headquarters during the session they have been deprived of the opportunity to discuss certain public matters with them concerning their constituencies. May I, therefore, request the Ministers to instruct their respective Heads of Departments to remain in their headquarters unless there is urgent necessity during the Assembly Sessions?

Speakers ruling on the motion of privilege moved on 27th October 1960 by Shri A. Thanglura against the Times of India

Mr. SPEAKER: I promised to give my ruling today on the motion of privilege brought by Shri A. Thanglura there being no time to do so day before yesterday, i. e., on 27th October 1960.

I have gone through the both corrected and uncorrected speeches of Shri Thanglura delivered on the 18th October, 1960, while apposing the motion for consideration of the Assam Official Language Bill, 1960, and compared them with the *Times of India* of report dated the 20th October, 1960. It appears to me that there is scope to hold that the report referred to means something else which the speech apparently did not mean. Therefore, I rule that it is a fit case for referring the matter the Privilege Committee. The Committee will decide their own procedure and come to a finding as early as possible.

One thing more I want to tell the House in this connection. Shri Thanglura in his speech the other day has mentioned the name of Shri Chandola. Shri Chandola however responsible he may be for the report cannot be drawn into the picture at this stage. Our concern is at the moment "The Times of India" and none else. Therefore, Shri Thanglura's remarks some of them rather caustic and unpalatable against Shri Chandola are at the moment irrelevant. Shri Chandola has represented to me that he has been very much wronged by those remarks of Shri Thanglura. I see much force in his arguments and rule that all personal references against Shri Chandola in the speech of Shri Thanglura should not go in the proceeding of the Assembly and direct that those portions of Shri Thanglura's speech be expunged from the proceedings.

Shri Debeshwar Sarmah's suggestion regarding necessity of discussing the draft Third Five Year plan

Shri DEBESWAR SARMA (Jorhat): Before the Chair proceeds to take up the other items of business, I would like to submit one thing. As has been announced, today is the last day of this Session and there are as many as 8 bills to be considered and passed. Moreover the Minister for Industries gave indications of the draft Third Five Year Plan the other day

in his speech, How does the Chair propose to dispose of 8 bills? Would the House get an opportunity to discuss the plan the Chief Minister indicate the other day that the Plan is going to be discussed in the Centre in the middle of November. Perhaps the bills can wait till the next session which is not far off.

Shri FAKHRUDDIN ALI AHMED (Minister Finance): Sir, I would appreciate a discussion on the Third Five Year Plan as the hon. Member has correctly stated the the matter will come up for discussion within the month of November, 1960 with the Planning Commission. We shall welcome comments and observations from the hon. Members of this House on the proposals which have been submitted by the State to the Planning Commission.

So far a some of the Bills are concnred, we may wait. Personally I feel item No. 14 has to be taken up and it will not perhaps take very long. I think the Gauhati University Bill may also be taken before discussion on the Third Five Year Plan.

Shri DEBESWAR SARMA (Jorhat): May I invite the attention of Hon'ble Finance Minister to certain mistakes which, as I am informed, are there in the Gauhati University Amendment Bill 1960? Perhaps that will require some time for amendment and he may if he be so pleased discuss the matters with some of our party members like Shri Sarat Chandra Goswami. I have been told that what the Select Committee suggested is at variance with the report, may be due to printing omission or some other reasons.

Mr. SPEAKER: Whatever little correction is to be made, I have received a notice from Shri Lili Kanta Bora in that connection. I think that is more or less some omission in printing. I think, therefore, that the House will have no objection to getting it passed.

Shri HIRALAL PATWARY (Panery): The Panchayat Bill will take about 5 minutes only.

Mr. SPEAKER: Then I go one by one. If the House agrees I can take up item No. 2.

Laying out copies of the Assam Weights and measures (Enforcement) rules 1960

Shri BISWADEV SARMA (Deputy Minister): Mr. Speaker, Sir, I beg to lay out copy of the Assam Weights and Measures (Enforcement) Rules, 1960.

Presentation of the Fourth Report of the Committee on Government Assurances.

Shri RAM NATH DAS [Dergaon (Reserved for Scheduled Castes)]: Mr. Speaker, Sir, I beg to present the Fourth Report of the Committee on Government Assurances.

**Presentation of the fourth report of Estimates Committee
on the State Transport Organisation of the Government of
Assam.**

Shri HARESWAR GOSWAMI (Rampur) : Mr. Speaker, Sir, I beg to present the Fourth Report of the Estimates Committee on the State Transport Organisation of the Government of Assam.

**Presentation of the Part I of the Fifth Report of the Estimates
Committee on the Gauhati University**

Shri HARESWAR GOSWAMI : Mr. Speaker, Sir, I beg to present Part I of the Fifth Report of the Estimates Committee on the Gauhati University, and in doing so I would like to make a few observations.

Sir, before taking up the work, the Committee was fully aware of the autonomy enjoyed by the University and in order to enable the University authorities to understand the object of the Committee for scrutinizing the estimates of the University so far those related to the grants-in-aid given by the Government, the Committee in its inaugural sitting held on 12th May 1960 in the Administrative Block of the Gauhati University at Jhalukbari presided over by the Speaker explained the purpose of the Committee which was welcomed by the Vice-Chancellor and other authorities of the University.

In this report we have got two parts, one relating to the Development Committee and the others relating to the Gauhati University educational side. The second report is being prepared and we hope to present it in the next session of the Assembly.

So far there was a coincidence in thinking in that the Committee wanted the abolition of the Development Committee and the same to be substituted by a Construction Committee under the University district. We are glad that has found place in the Gauhati University Amendment Bill. Secondly, Sir, so far the second report is concerned, it is being prepared as I told before this House we have tried our best not to infringe the autonomy of the University.

**Presentation of the fifth report of the Committee on
Subordinate Legislation**

Shri GAURISANKAR BHATTACHARYYA (Gauhati) : Mr. Speaker, Sir, I beg to present the Fifth Report of the Committee on Subordinate Legislation.

The Gauhati University (Amendment) Bill, 1960

Mr. SPEAKER : Now, Clause 2, Sri Lila Kanta Bora.

Shri LILA KANTA BORA (Kaliabari) : I beg to move that in Clause 2 in (cc) under sub-clause (2) delete "a" after the word "means" and "s" after "person".

The SPEAKER : The motion is moved.

Shri RADHIKA RAM DAS (Deputy Minister, Education) : I accept that.

Mr. SPEAKER : The question is that in clause 2 in (cc) under sub-clause (2) delete 'a' after the word "means" and add "s" after "person".

(The question was adopted).

Mr. SPEAKER : The question is that clause 2 as amended does form part of the Gauhati University Amendment Bill, 1960.

(The question was adopted)

Mr. SPEAKER : There are no amendment to clauses 3 to 6. So, I, put the question. The question is that clauses 3 to 6 do form part of the Bill.

(The question was adopted)

Mr. SPEAKER : Now, Clause 7. Sri Hiralal Patwary.

Shri HIRALAL PATWARY (Panery): I beg to move that in the proposed Section 8(A) going to be inserted by Clause 7, for the word "three" appearing in the fourth line, the word "two" shall be substituted.

Mr. SPEAKER : Motion moved.

Shri RADHIKA RAM DAS (Deputy, Minister, Education): Mr. Speaker, Sir, I am unable to accept the amendment of Mr. Patwary. If there be two persons there is chance of party politics, as Mr. Patwary says. But I hold a different view. If three persons are given and three persons have already been given by all the Universities of India, there will be less chance of party faction and there will be wide scope of selection, and it will be convenient for the Chancellor also. So I request Mr. Patwary to withdraw his amendment.

Shri HIRALAL PATWARY : I am not going to withdraw.

Mr. SPEAKER : I put the question. The question is that in the proposed section 8A(1) going to be inserted by Clause 7, for the word "three" appearing in the fourth line, the word "two" shall be substituted.

(The question was negatived)

Then I put the main question. The question is that Clause 7 of the Gauhati University (Amendment) Bill, 1960 does form Part of the Bill.

(The question was adopted)

Clause 8 :

***Shri HIRALAL PATWARY :** Mr. Speaker, Sir, I beg to move that the proposed section 9(1) going to be substituted by clause 8, the following shall be added as item (xxiii), namely :—

(xxiii) One student representative from among the students Unions recognised by the University duly elected by the students Union Secretaries.*

Mr. Speaker, Sir, in the clause 8, of the Gauhati University (Amendment) 1960 regarding constituting the University Court there is no provision to include a representative of the students. I find it really amazing. Students are the pivot of our National life and to deny them a status in the University court is an injustice to them. I strongly feel that a representative of the students should also be taken in the University Court which is the legitimate claim of the students and it is an astounding democratic aspiration of theirs which we need comply looking to the functions and purpose of the University Court. Sir, students have a great deal to say in all walks of our National activities and they have a large number of achievements to their credit in the realm of their contribution to the cause of our National Freedom and reconstruction programmes undertaken in the post Independence era in our country. Our students played an effective role in the Freedom Movement in this country and their sacrifices are none the less in this connection. During Pre-independence days they were the main bridges of Freedom fighters in the shape of Civil registers and they had their share in the formulation of our line of action in those days. In those days none of decried their participation in political fights and the agitational activities against injustice and torture of all natures. Rather they got encouragement from all quarters. Even our erstwhile leaders like Mahatma Gandhi, Jawahar Lal Nehru and Late Gopinath Bordoloi—exhorted our students to be alive to their responsibilities to which our students responded tremendously and they showed a bright picture of their organised leadership in their responsibilities to which our students responded tremendously and they showed a bright picture of their organised leadership in all their endeavours.

It is only in the post-Independence era that most of our students if it is against the injustice and unconstitutional behaviours of the authorities at various levels. I can not subscribe to such an attribute. As we know most of our students are to be leaders in various walk of life in future and are possessed of huge energy and democratic aspirations which they have learnt in the past from the oratory and advice of our National leaders only in the past.

Sir, after attaining the age of 18 years everybody in the country become eligible for vote and so also he is qualified to become a member of this House. Most of our students have a sufficient ingredients to advocate the cause of Justice, Equality and Nationality and they can be of great asset provided they are allowed to have their effective participation in the affairs of the University. The function of the University on the democratic line being an Autonomous body is the main concern of the students. Students in this State are all organised and this is happy sign for all of us. They have showed a great worth of their democratic behaviour only on recent occasions when they took part the cause of Economic emancipation by joining hands in the Oil Refinery Movement and their political wisdom by asserting the cause of our Official Language in the State. To know all these and still deny them their legitimate share in the formation of policies for the functioning of the University Court will be a grave injury to their self and it will amount to decrying their democratic aspiration and worthy cause.

Sir, some of our friends will say that the students are not possessed of mature mind and as such their power of judgment will be unripe. But, I as for one do not see any logic in it. In fact most of our friends will say that the students are even eligible to become members of this House and take effective part in the formulation of the Government policies as well. If we look to the happenings in the International field we are astounded to see the magnitude and potentialities of the students movement. In Japan the Kishi Government failed for the effective movement of the students. So happened in South Korea. And in Kongo in Africa the students administration is in existence and all these should be eye opener. The constant effort of the present Government to regiment the outlook of both the students and the teachers can never supported by me. I find that of late, our Government both in the Centre and the State have made it point to decry the students movement and they have frame number of measures to curtail the democratic rights of the students and the teachers. For instance, the cases of Lucknow University, Patna University, Allahabad University and the Benaras University are there. I am sure my friends of this House will agree that the institution called Kashi Vidyapith in Vanarasi has proved to be the centre of great contribution for our National leadership. This Institution under the leadership of able guidance of not less than an educationist with the towering personality of late Acharjya Narendra Deva allowed full play of the student organisation and their democratic activities and in return we got a large number of well versed leaders for the public life of this country. All these should be taken into account.

Sir, In the Universities of U. S. A. and other foreign countries students have been allowed their effective participation in the management of the University and there they are also allowed to maintain their political contacts and affiliation without any interference. But, it is to the contrary here when gradually the process of regimentation as is prevalent in Communist Countries, has been given filled by our Government.

Sir the present amendment bill itself has many provisions which amount direct interference by the Government in the affairs of the University which cannot be permitted looking to the autonomous character guaranteed to the University under the prescribed continuational provisions. For example, I shall like to quote Clauses.....

Further more, Sir, Our University has become a play ground of petty squabbles and unhealthy practices in the financial transections and there are numerous cases and charges already known to the people from the press and other medias about the financial irregularities, favouratism, nepotisms and the like evils which need be checked. Our own University is not an exception. Here in the context also the presence of a representative of the students in the University Court will help very much for which I am confident.

Lastly, Sir, I am fully convinced that a representative of the students must be taken in the University Court who is democratically elected and recommended by the Students Unions in the State and from within the jurisdiction of our Gauhati University. And for this I strongly suggest that the Gauhati University (Amendment) Bill 1960 be so adjusted to make provision to include a representative of the students as well--With this few observations, Sir, I end my speech.

Mr. SPEAKER: The amendment moved is:

In the proposed section 9 (1) going to be substituted by clause 8, the following shall be added as item (xxviii), namely—

“(xxviii)—One student representative from among the Students Union recognised by the University duly elected by the Students Union Secretaries”.

Shri RADHIKA RAM DAS (Deputy Minister, Education):

Mr. Speaker, Sir, I am sorry that I cannot understand the logic of the statement made by my friend. It appears to me that he has misunderstood the entire Gauhati University Act. This Act deals with both administration and academic sides of the University. So, if the students are allowed to take part in the Court meetings then it will be difficult for the teachers and other members of the Court to discuss many things in the Court meetings. Therefore, I do not understand why my friend has brought this Amendment. It may be that he wants to gain popularity among the students, otherwise I do not find any logic behind this Amendment. So I would request my friend to withdraw his motion.

Mr. SPEAKER: Mr. Patwari, are you going to withdraw your motion?

Shri HIRALAL PATWARI (Panery): Yes, Sir.

Mr. SPEAKER: Has the hon. Member got the leave of the House to withdraw his motion?

(Voices: Yes, yes)

(The motion was withdrawn with the leave of the House).

Shri LILA KANTA BORA (Kaliabor): Mr. Speaker, Sir, I beg to move that in the proposed new section 9 (1) under the head “*Ex-officio* members” after item (vi) add other item (vii), namely, “(vii) the Controller of Examinations, if any, by whatever name he may be designated,” and renumber the subsequent items.

Sir, the Controller of Examinations is a very important person so far as University affairs are concerned, but his name has not been included as a member of the Court though he is a member of the Executive Body. His name should be included in as a member of the Court. Hence my amendment.

Mr. SPEAKER: Motion moved.

Shri RADHIKA RAM DAS: Sir, I accept the amendment.

Mr. SPEAKER: Then I put the question. The question is that in the proposed new section 9 (1) under the head “*Ex-officio* members” after item (vi) add another item (vii), namely, “(vii) the Controller of Examinations, if any, by whatever name he may be designated”, and renumber the subsequent items.

(The question was adopted)

Then there is another amendment,

Shri LILA KANTA BORA (Kaliabor): Sir, I beg to move that in the proposed new section 9 (1) under the head "Other Members" in item (xxii) add the words "and employees" between the words "teachers" and "of" in the second line ;

and substitute the letter 'a' by the word "or" before the word "University" in the second line.

The object of my first amendment is as the teachers of the the University have been excluded from the list of Court member and so other employees of the University should also be excluded.

The second amennment is moved to correct a drafting mistake.

Mr. SPEAKER: Motion moved.

Shri RADHIKA RAM DAS (Deputy Minister, Education): Sir, I accept the amendment.

Mr. SPEAKER: Then I put the question. The question is that in the proposed new section 9(1) under the head "Other Members" in item (xxii) and the words "and employees" between the words "teachers" and "of" in the second line ;

and substitute the letter "a" by the word "or" before the word "University" in the second line.

(The question was adopted)

Now the question is Clause 8 as amended does form part of the Gauhati Universty (Amendment) Bill, 1960.

(The question was adopted)

There is no other amendment to the subsequent Clauses and so I put the question straightway. The question is that Clauses 9 to 27 do form part of the Gauhati University (Amendment) Bill, 1960.

(The question was adopted)

Now the question is that the long title and the preamble of the Gauhati University (Amendment) Bill, 1960 do form part of the Bill.

(The question was adopted)

Now, the next item, Mr. Das.

Shri RADHIKA RAM DAS: Sir, I beg to move that the Gauhati University (Amendment) Bill, 1960 be passed.

Mr. SPEAKER: Motion moved is that the Gauhati University (Amendment).

***Shri HIRALAL PATWARI (Panery):** মাননীয় অধ্যক্ষ নহোদয়, আজি এই University বিলখন পাচ হৈ যোৱাৰ আগতে কেইটামান কথা কবলৈ ওলাইছে। মই কালি University Court attend কৰি আহি, দেখিলো যে বৰ্তমান চৰকাৰৰ হস্তক্ষেপৰ ফলত, শিক্ষাৰ মানদণ্ড তলবৈ গৈছে। ইউনিভাৰ্চিটি—এটা autonomous body: তাৰ ওপৰত চৰকাৰে হস্তক্ষেপ নকৰক চাব, দেখা গৈছে যে তাৰো ৰাজনীতি সোমোৱাইছে আৰু ইউনিভাৰ্চিটিৰ নিজৰ ক্ষমতা কাটি—চৰকাৰে নিজৰ হাতলৈ আহিছে। ইবৰ পৰিতাপৰ কথা। মই ভাবো যে, চৰকাৰৰ এই হস্তক্ষেপ কৰা ঠিক হোৱা নাই আৰু University ক autonomous body হিচাবে গণ্য কৰিব লাগে—নহলে আমাৰ শিক্ষাত কোনো মূল্য নাথাকিব। অতীজত নলন্দা বিশ্ববিদ্যালয় বা আশুৰৰ শিক্ষাৰ সময়ত, ৰজাই কেতিয়াও হস্তক্ষেপ নকৰিছিল। কিন্তু আজিৰ চৰকাৰে বিশ্ববিদ্যালয়ৰ শিক্ষাৰ ক্ষেত্ৰত সকলোতে হস্তক্ষেপ কৰিছে। বিশ্ববিদ্যালয়ৰ বা স্কুলৰ ছাত্ৰ-ছাত্ৰীসকলে আজি কালি বহুতো ঠাইত দেশৰ কল্যাণ মূলক কামত আগভাগ লৈছে।

Mr. SPEAKER: নলন্দাত কি নিয়ম আছিল?

***Shri HIRALAL PATWARI:** আগৰ দিনত, ভাল নিয়ম আছিল। আজি আমি জানিবলৈ পাইছো যে, পৃথিৱীত এনেকুৱা ঠাইও আছে যত ছাত্ৰ-ছাত্ৰীয়ে দেশ চলাইছে—

(কত কত---Voice)

কক্ষোত। মাননীয় সদস্য সকলে ছাত্ৰ-ছাত্ৰীক যিদৰে লৈছে মই সেইদৰে লোৱা নাই। চৰকাৰ অথবা চৰকাৰৰ দলীয় সদস্য সকলে ছাত্ৰ-ছাত্ৰীক indisciplined আখ্যা দিলেও মই সেইটো মানিব নোৱাৰো। মই কও যে, ছাত্ৰ-ছাত্ৰী বিলাক indisciplined নহয়; যেতিয়া তেওঁলোক দেখে যে অন্যায় হৈছে তেতিয়া সজ্ঞবদ্ধ ভাবে আগবাঢ়ি আহি আৰু কাম কৰি যায়। ভাষা আন্দোলনৰ সময়ত তেওঁলোকে তেনে কৰিছিল। ছাত্ৰৰ এই কাম বিলাক indisciplined বুলিলে নহব। মই কও, ছাত্ৰ-ছাত্ৰী শক্তি এটা বিৰাট শক্তি আৰু তেওঁলোক কোনো ৰাজনৈতিক দলত নাই তেওঁলোক এক। এই কথা মই যোৱা ২০/২৫ বছৰ ছাত্ৰ সকলৰ লগত থাকি কাম কৰি উপলব্ধি কৰিছো।

(সময় সংকেট)

কাষেই, University বিলখনত, indisciplined শব্দটো থকা উচিত হোৱা নাই। কাৰণ ১৮ বছৰ প্ৰাপ্তহলে লৰা-ছোৱালীয়া সদনৰ সদস্যও হব পদৰ যদি ভোটাৰৰ লিষ্টত নাম থাকে।

(সময়ৰ সংকেট)

সেই কাৰটো চৰকাৰে University ক যথেষ্ট গুৰুত্ব দিব লাগে। আৰু কলেজ বোৰকো আৰু বেচি গুৰুত্ব দিব লাগে। এই ক্ষেত্ৰত Principal সকল বেচি দায়িত্বপূৰ্ণ। তদুপৰি university court ত যথেষ্ট দায়িত্বপূৰ্ণ মানুহ আছে—Principal Professors, আৰু এই সদনৰ M. L. A. সকল তাৰ সদস্য। এনে এখন কেটিব পৰা চৰকাৰে গুৰুত্ব নিজৰ হাতলৈ অনা উচিত নহয়। মই বিলখন বিৰোধিতা কৰিছো।

Shri RADHIKA RAM DAS (Deputy Minister, Education) : Mr. Speaker, Sir, My friend Mr. Patwari has raised certain points. The first point is that the University has not been made an autonomous body. As a matter of fact, we have given all powers to the University, except section 42 (1) (a) where Government has got the right of making inspection, but even in that case, the Government shall in every case give notice to the University of its intention to cause such inspection or enquiry to be made. Except this provision in section 42 (1) (a), Government cannot otherwise interfere with the University. Sir, the present provision of the Bill is more liberal than the previous provision. So I hope when the Bill will be passed, it will give more autonomy to the University. I am sorry to find that Mr. Patwari has misunderstood the provision of the present Bill. I don't know whether my friend has gone through the Bill at all. If he has gone through the Bill properly, he will find that there is no provision of interference with the University by the Government except in section 42 (1) (a).

Another point that has been raised by my friend Mr. Patwari is that the students should be represented in the University Court. Now, Sir, in the University Court the activities of the teachers, Vice Chancellor and others will be discussed, so these things should not be discussed in the presence of the students. No where in India students are members of the University Court. Therefore, Sir, the contention of my friend Mr. Patwari does not hold good. I do not know how the contention has come from his fertile brain. In fact Sir, I have already said that we are for the interest of the students, even we want the students to learn politics, but that is a different matter altogether. Therefore, in view of what I have already I feel stated, that in a University Court where the activities of the teachers, Vice-Chancellor and others are discussed, the should students not be represented. with these few words, Sir, I request my friend Mr. Patwari not to oppose the Bill. He has been elected as a member of the University Board, so I hope, he will be in a better position to discuss all these matters.

Mr. SPEAKER : The question is that the Gauhati University (Amendment) Bill, 1960, as reported by the Select Committee and recommended by the House, be passed.

(The question was adopted)

The Assam Land (Requisition and Acquisition) (Amendment) Bill, 1960.

Shri HARESWAR DAS (Minister, Revenue) : Mr. Speaker, Sir, I beg to move that the Assam Land (Requisition and Acquisition) (Amendment), Bill, 1960, be passed.

Mr. SPEAKER : The motion moved is that the Assam Land (Requisition and Acquisition) (Amendment) Bill, 1960, be passed.

(The motion was put as a question and adopted)

The Assam Panchayat (Amendment) Bill, 1960.

Shri FAKHRUDDIN ALI AHMED (Minister, Finance) : Mr. Speaker Sir, I beg to move that Assam Panchayat (Amendment) Bill, 1960, be passed.

Mr. SPEAKER : There 6 amendments but all of them are absent except Mr. Patwari.

Shri HIRALAL PATWARY (Panery) : Sir, I think the Bill should be passed.

Mr SPEAKER : There is no amendment to clause I. The question is that clause I does form part of the Bill.

(The question was adopted)

The question is that clauses 2 and 3 do form part of the Bill.

(The question was adopted)

Mr. SPEAKER : Clause 4.

Shri HIRALAL PATWARY : Sir, I beg to move my amendment that the words "one woman" occurring in the clause 4 should be substituted by the words "two women".

মই এই সংশোধনীটো আনিছো কাৰণ সাধাৰণতে গাঁৱলীয়া অঞ্চলত এজনী মাইকী মানুহে বৰ অসুবিধা পায় আৰু দুজনী হলে তেওঁলোক নিজৰ ভিতৰতো কথা-বতৰা কৰিলে সুবিধা আৰু কোনো পৰামৰ্শ আগবঢ়াবলৈকো সুবিধা হয়। চৰকাৰে এজনী কৰিছেই যেতিয়া দুজনী কৰাত বিশেষ একো অসুবিধা নাই। আমাৰ এই সদনতো এতিয়া পাঁচগৰাকী মহিলা সদস্য আছে। তেওঁলোকে পৰামৰ্শ দিয়া বা দাবী কৰাত সুবিধা হৈছে। সেইদৰে পঞ্চায়তটো দুজনী মহিলা কৰিলে বৰ সুবিধা হ'ব।

Mr. SPEAKER : Amendment moved is that in the proposed new Sub-Section (2) (i) of Section 17 of the principal Act going to be inserted by clause 4, for the words "one woman" the words, "two women" shall be substituted.

Shri FAKHRUDDIN ALI AHMED (Minister, L. S. G.) : Sir I oppose the it.

Shri HIRALAL PATWARY : If it is not accepted, I withdraw it.

Mr. SPEAKER : The amendment motion stands withdrawn with leave of the House. The question is that the Clause 4 does form a part of the Bill.

158 THE ASSAM LAND AND REVENUE REGULATION [29th Oct.,
(AMENDMENT) BILL, 1960

(The question was adopted)

Mr. SPEAKER : The question is that the clauses 5 to 12 of the Assam Panchayat (Amendment) Bill, 1960 do form a part of the Bill.

(The question was adopted)

Mr. SPEAKER : Question is that that the long and short title and the preamble of the Assam Panchayat Bill do form part of the Bill.

-(The question was adopted)

Shri FAKHRUDDIN ALI AHMED (Minister, L.S.G.) : Sir, I beg to move that the Assam Panchayat (Amendment) Bill, 1960 be passed.

Mr. SPEAKER : The motion moved is that the Assam Panchayat (Amendment) Bill, 1960 be passed.

Shri HIRALAL PATWARY (Panery) : যিহেতু মোৰ সংশোধনীটো গৃহণ নকৰিলে মই উদাৰ চিন্তে উঠাই লৈছো। মই আশা কৰিছো যে এই পঞ্চায়ত আইনখন যেন আকৌ সংশোধন কৰিব লগা নহয় আৰু কাৰ্য্যকৰী কৰোতেও একো অসুবিধা খহয়।

Mr. SPEAKER : The question is that the Assam Panchayat (Amendment) Bill, 1960 be passed.
(The question was adopted.)

**The Assam Land and Revenue Regulation (Amendment)
Bill, 1960**

Shri HARESWAR D&S (Minister, Revenue) : Sir, I beg to move that the Assam Land and Revenue Regulation (Amendment) Bill, 1960 be passed.

Mr. SPEAKER : The motion moved is that the Assam Land and Revenue Regulation (Amendment, Bill, 1960 be passed.

Shri PHANI BORA (Nowgong) : মই এই বিলখনত বিৰোধিতা কৰিছো। দীঘলীয়া বহুতা দিবলৈ মোৰ ইচ্ছা নাই; কাৰণ এই বিষয়ে বিভিন্ন উপলক্ষত এই সদনত কইবাবাৰো আলোচনা কৰা হৈছে। বিলখনৰ উদ্দেশ্য বখানোতে যি কথা কোৱা হৈছে তাৰ দ্বাৰা মাএ কৃষক সকলক জুলুম কৰাহে হব। মই এটা কথা কওঁ যে এই আইনৰ জৰিয়তে কৃষকৰ ভীষণ অন্যায় কৰা হৈছে। সপ্তৰথীয়ে অভিমন্ত্যক চাৰিউফালৰ পৰা বেৰি ধৰি অন্যায় ভাবে বধ কৰাৰ দৰে আজি কৃষক সকলকো চাৰিউফালৰ পৰা জাউৰীয়ে-জাউৰীয়ে আইন পাচ কৰি থকা সৰকা কৰা হৈছে। এফালে কৈছে অধিক শস্য উৎপাদন কৰা আৰু আন ফালে হাতী লগাই সেই খেতিয়কৰে ঘৰ ভাঙি নষ্ট কৰিছে। আজি বহুতেই আইনখন সমৰ্থন কৰিছে। কিন্তু মাটি সমস্যা দেখিব আমি আগবাঢ়িব পাৰিছোনে? কোনো পৰিকল্পনা নোহোৱাকৈ কৃষকক জুলুম দিবলৈ এই দৰে আনি পাচ কৰাৰ কোনো অৰ্থ নেদেখো। অসম চৰকাৰৰ আইন পাচ কৰাত ওজা বুলি সকললোৱে কয়; কিন্তু কামৰ বেলিকা কোন ঠাই পাব কোৱা টান। এই আইনখন কৃষকক উপকাৰ কৰিবৰ কাৰণে অনা নাই—চাৰিউফালৰ পৰা শৰ মাৰি অভিমন্ত্যক বধ কৰাৰ দৰে কৃষকক জুলুম দিব পাৰি। গতিকে মই আইনখন বিৰোধিতা কৰিছো।

Shri HARESWAR DAS (Minister, Revenue): Mr. Speaker, Sir, I understand that Shri Phani Bora is labouring under a misconception. He has suggested that there should be planned settlement of land. If the hon. Member looks at the bill, he will find that this bill is exactly aims at that Sir, land cannot be settled with peasants in a planned manner so long the violaters of law occupy the lands. So to drive away the violaters and to make planned settlement possible, this bill has been brought. So I do not understand why Shri Bora should object to this bill. He has given certain illustration about 'Abhimunya'. This is a past illustration of my friend and he cited this several times in this House. He may remain satisfied with that. But as far as this Bill is concerned it is not appropriate. This Bill intends to effect a planned settlement for the benefit of the law abiding peasants by punishing the violaters of law.

Maulavi JAHANUDDIN AHMED (Bilasipara): On a point of information, Sir, Is there any planned settlement ?

Shri HARESWAR DAS: Yes, there is I request the hon'ble friend to read the Law.

Mr. SPEAKER: I put the question. The question is that the Assam Land and Revenue Regulation (Amendment) Bill, 1960 be passed.

The House Divided

AYES—41

1. Shri Fakhruddin Ali Ahmed.
2. Shri Debeswar Sarmah.
3. „ Hareswar Das.
4. „ Mahendra Nath Hazarika.
5. „ Biswadev Sarma.
6. „ Radhika Ram Das.
7. „ Girindra Nath Gogoi.
8. „ Lalit Kumar Daley.
9. „ Sai Sai Terang.
10. „ Chatrasingh Teron.
11. Maulavi Abdul Matlib Mazumdar.
12. Shri Baikuntha Nath Das.
13. „ Dandeswar Hazarika.
14. „ Dandi Ram Dutta.

AYES 41—*contd.*

15. Shri Devendra Nath Hazarika.
16. „ Dhirsingh Deuri.
17. „ Durgeswar Saikia.
18. „ Hakim Chandra Rabha.
19. „ Harinarayan Baruah.
20. „ Maham Singh.
21. „ Joga Kanta Barua.
22. „ Khogendra Nath Nath.
23. Maulavi Kobad Hussain Ahmed
24. Prof. (Shrimati) Komol Kumari Barua.
25. Swami Krishnananda Brahmachari.
26. Maulavi Mahammad Idris.
27. Shri Mohananda Bora.
28. „ Mohidhar Pegoo.
29. „ Narendra Nath Sarma.
30. Maulavi Nurul Islam.
31. Shri Omeo Kumari Das.
32. „ Purnananda Chetia.
33. Maulavi Rahimuddin Ahmed.
34. Shri Rajendra Nath Barua.
35. „ Ram Nath Das.
36. „ Ramnath Sarma.
37. Dr. Ram Prasad Chaubey.
38. Shri Sarat Chandra Goswami
39. „ Sarbeswar Bardoloi.
40. „ Surendra Nath Das.
41. „ Tankeswar Chetia.

NOES—5

1. Shri Bishwanath Upadhyaya.
2. „ Ghanashyam Talukder.
3. Maulavi Jahan Uddin Ahmed.
4. Shri Phani Bora.
5. „ Hiralal Patwary.
6. „ Khogendra Nath Barbaruah.

(The question was adopted)

The Assam Aid to Industries (Small and Cottage Industries) Amendment Bill, 1960.)

Shri BISWADEV SARMA (Deputy Minister, Labour): Mr. Speaker, Sir, I beg to move that that Assam Aid to Industries (Small and Cottage Industries) (Amendment) Bill, 1960 be taken into consideration.

Mr. SPEAKER: Motion moved. Now the question is that Assam Aid to Industries (Small and Cottage Industries) (Amendment) Bill, 1960 be taken into consideration.

(The question was adopted)

Shri BISWADEV SARMA: Mr. Speaker, Sir, I beg to move that the Assam Aid to Industries (Small and Cottage Industries) (Amendment) Bill, 1960 be passed.

Mr. SPEAKER: Motion moved. Now the question is that the Assam Aid to Industries (Small and Cottage Industries) (Amendment) Bill, 1960 be passed.

(The question was adopted)

The Assam Khadi and Village Industries Board (Amendment) Bill, 1960

Mr. SPEAKER: There is a message from the Government of Assam.

“RAJ BHAVAN

Shillong,

The 10th October, 1960.

I recommend under Article 207(3) of the Constitution of India that the Assam Khadi and Village Industries Board (Amendment) Bill, 1960 be taken into consideration by the Assam Legislative Assembly.

Sd./- S. M. SHRINAGESH,
Governor of Assam.

Shri MOHENDRA NATH HAZARIKA (Minister, Khadi) : Mr. Speaker, Sir, I beg to move that the Assam Khadi and Village Industries Board (Amendment) Bill, 1960 be taken into consideration.

Mr. SPEAKER : Motion moved. As there are no amendments, I put the question. The question is that the Assam Khadi and Village Industries Board (Amendment) Bill, 1960 be taken into consideration.

(The question was adopted)

Mr. SPEAKER : The question is that Clauses 1 and 2 of the Assam Khadi and Village Industries Board (Amendment) Bill, 1960 do form part of the Bills.

(The question was adopted).

Mr. SPEAKER : Mr. Talukder is absent. I put the question. The question is that Clauses 3 and 4 of the Assam Khadi and Village Industries Board (Amendment) Bill, 1960 do form part of the Bill.

(The question was adopted).

Mr. SPEAKER : There are amendments to clauses 5 and 8 by Shri Tarun Sen Deka but the hon. Member is absent, I now put the question. The question is that Clauses 5 to 13 of the Assam Khadi and Village Industries Board (Amendment) Bill, do form part of the Bill.

(The question was adopted).

Mr. SPEAKER : The question is that the long and short title and the preamble of the Bill do form part of the Bill.

(The question was adopted).

Shri MAHENDRA NATH HAZARIKA : I beg to move that the Assam Khadi and Village Industries Board (Amendment) Bill, 1960 be passed.

Mr. SPEAKER : Motion moved. The question is that the Assam Khadi and Village Industries Board (Amendment) Bill, 1960 be passed.

(The question was adopted).

The Assam non-Trading Companies Bill, 1960

Shri FAKHRUDDIN ALI AHMED (Minister, Finance) : Mr. Speaker, Sir, I beg to move that the Assam non-Trading Companies Bill, 1960 be passed.

(The question was adopted).

Mr. SPEAKER : The motion is moved. The question is that the Assam non-Trading Companies Bill, 1960 be passed.

(The question was adopted).

The Assam Special Powers (Press) Bill, 1960

Shri FAKHRUDDIN ALI AHMED (Minister Law): Mr. Speaker, Sir, I beg to move that the Assam Special Powers (Press) Bill 1960 as reported by the Select Committee be taken into consideration.

Mr. SPEAKER: Motion moved is that the Assam Special Powers (Press) Bill, 1960 be taken into consideration.

Shri HIRALAL PATWARY (Panery): চাৰ, এইটো বিল বৰ সাজাতিক গতিকৈ এই বিলৰ দ্বাৰা আমাৰ democracy ক হত্যা কৰা হ'ব। বৰ্তমান বাতৰি কাকতৰ স্বাধীনতা দিয়া হৈছে। যদিও এই বিলাকত কিছুমান অধিক প্রকাশ হয় তথাপি এইটো সঁচা কথা যে বাতৰি কাকতৰ জৰিয়তে বৰ্তমান জনসাধাৰণে বহুতখিনি কথা গম পায়। কিন্তু যদি এনে ধৰণৰ বিল এখন পাচ কৰা হয় তেনে হলে সেইটোৰ দ্বাৰা চৰকাৰৰ ক্ৰটি আৰু ভুল লুকাবৰ কাৰণেহে কৰা হ'ব। আজিৰ জগতত গোটেই পৃথিৱীৰ মানুহ বাতৰি কাকতৰ ওপৰত নিৰ্ভৰ কৰি আছে। যদিও কিছুমানে যোৱা disturbance ত যিখিনি সহযোগ চৰকাৰৰ লগত কৰিব লাগিছিল, সেইখিনি সহযোগ কৰা নাই। কিন্তু মই কব বিছাৰিছো যে বাতৰি কাকত লাগে কোনো মানুহে এইটো চৰকাৰৰ লগত মই সহযোগীতা নকৰো। এইটো চৰকাৰৰ লগত কোনে সহযোগ কৰিব? আমাৰ এজন Cabinet মন্ত্ৰীয়ে কলিকতাত গৈ যি Statement দিছে আৰু আমাৰ মুখ্য-মন্ত্ৰী চালিহা ডাঙৰীয়াই যি বিলাক কথা কৈছে সেই মতে বুজায় তেওঁলোক গান্ধীত যেনে তেনে বহি থাকিব খুজে। বিধান সভাত এক কথা, বাহিৰত এক কথা আৰু Cabinet ত আৰু এক কথা। এনে ধৰণৰ চৰকাৰৰ লগত কোনে সহযোগ কৰিব? এই চৰকাৰ গান্ধী এৰি বাঢ়িত যোৱা উচিত।

Mr. SPEAKER: Irrelevant.

Shri HIRALAL PATWARY: চাৰ, আজি question hour ৰ সময়ত কমল কুমাৰী বৰুৱাৰ এটা—

Mr. SPEAKER: Unparliamentary, say Srimati Komol Kumari Barua.

Shri HIRALAL PATWARY: শ্ৰীমতি কমল কুমাৰী বৰুৱাৰ চিলঙৰ ঘটনাৰ বিষয়ত চৰকাৰে যি কৈছে। Report ত দেখুৱাইছে যে 40 persons assaulted আৰু ৫ জনক ছুৰি মাৰিছে আৰু তাত কোনো mob Violence হোৱা নাই। যদি mob violence হোৱা নাই তেন্তে ছুৰি মাৰিলে কেনেকৈ? গতিকে চৰকাৰৰ ভুল কোনেও ধৰিব নোৱাৰে। যদি opposition member এ ধৰে চৰকাৰে কব আমি ঠিক আছো এই বুলি সদায় কৈ থাকে। মই কব খুঁজিছো বৰ্তমান চৰকাৰ জনপ্ৰিয় চৰকাৰ নহয়। গতিকে এনে বিল তেওঁলোকে আনিছে গান্ধীত বহি থাকিবৰ কাৰণে সহায় হ'বৰ কাৰণে যাতে জনসাধাৰণে কোনো কামত আগ বাঢ়িব নোৱাৰে। গনতান্ত্ৰিক যুগত press ক সঁচা কথা কবৰ কাৰণে অধিকাৰ দিব লাগে। আজি চৰকাৰে বিছাৰে পুলিচৰ জৰিয়তে ভয় দেখুৱাই শাসন কৰিব। মই বেছি criticise কৰিব নিবিছাৰো। চাৰ সঁচা কথা কবলৈ হলে ২টা বাকচ ৰাখি চাওক যে এই চৰকাৰ জনপ্ৰিয় হয় নে নহয়। মই ব্যক্তিগতভাবে কোৱা নাই। কিন্তু জনপ্ৰিয় নহয় বাকচত শতকৰা ৯০ ভাগ ভোট পৰিব আৰু হয়তো ১০ ভাগ তেখেত সকলে লৈ যাব পাৰে।

আজি দেশত, সমাজত যিবিলাক দুৰ্নীতি প্রচলিত, সেই বিলাক কোনে গুচাব ? চৰকাৰৰ তাত কান ঘাব নাই। প্রেচ বিলাকৰ শক্তি ইমান যে, তেওঁলোকে ইচ্ছা কৰিলে এই বিলাক বন্ধ কৰাত আন্দোলন কৰিব পাৰে। তেনে স্থলত যদি প্রেচ বিলাকক restrict কৰিবলৈ যোৱা যায় তেন্তে সংবিধানিক Freedom of speech and Freedom of expression ত গুৰুতৰ ভাবে বাধা দিব, সেই বুলি মই কব খুজো নাই যে, যি ইচ্ছা তাকই, নোহোৱা নোপজা কথা তেওঁলোকে প্রকাশ কৰিব। যোৱা ভাষা আন্দোলন সংক্ৰান্তত আজি বহুতো কৰ্মচাৰীক চৰকাৰে চাচপেঙ কৰিছে সেই বিলাকৰ মাজত হয়তো দুই একজন যে, দোষী নহয় সেইটোও মই কব খোজা নাই। দুই এজন জড়িত থাকিব পাৰে। সেই বুলি আইন কৰি, জৰে মৰে মানুহক শাস্তি দিয়াৰ মই পক্ষপাতি নহয়। মই কৈয়ে আহিছো যে, আজিৰ দিনত Press বিলাকৰ ক্ষমতা অপৰিসীম, তেওঁলোকে লাখ লাখ কোটি কোটি মানুহৰ প্রতিনিধিত্ব কৰে। যেনেকৈ ‘অসম টিবিউন’ বা ‘অসমীয়াই’, অসমৰ প্রতিনিধিত্ব কৰে। পশ্চিম-বঙ্গৰ প্রেচত পশ্চিম-বঙ্গৰ প্রতিনিধিত্ব কৰে। কাষেই দেখা যায় যে অসমৰ এই প্রেচ প্রতীবোধক আইনে, অসমৰ কাগজ কেইখন জব্দ কৰিব পাৰিব ; অসমৰ বাহিৰৰ কেইখনক কি কৰিব ? সেই বিলাকে বেচিহে অন্যায় কৰিব। তাৰ ফলত, অসমৰ লগত অন্যান্য ৰাজ্যৰ বিভেদৰ সৃষ্টি হব। কাষেই এই বিলখন সম্পূৰ্ণ বেয়া হৈছে আৰু ই পাচ হব নালাগে। এই বিলৰ পৰিবৰ্ত্তে আমি জানো, All India lead ত Press Association আছে ; তাৰো বেফোৰ কৰিলেই, সকলো ঠিক হব বুলি ভাবো। এই কথাও মাননীয় সদস্য সকলে জানে—যে এই নিচিনা গুণগোল অসমৰ বাহিৰেও বম্বে, অন্ধ্ৰ, পাঞ্জাব আদিতো হৈছে। সেইবাবে আইন আনিব লাগা কিয় ? চৰকাৰেই এইবাবে দায়ী। অসমৰ দুৰ্ঘটনাৰ বাবে চৰকাৰেই সম্পূৰ্ণ দায়ী। যোৱা ২১ মেত, যেতিয়া ৰাজধানীৰ শোভাযাত্ৰাত, অসমীয়া ভাষা “গাধৰ ভাষা” বুলি চিৎকাৰ কৰিলে তেতিয়া চৰকাৰ সেই কথা শুনিও মনে মনে থাকিল তাৰ পিচতেই দুৰ্ঘটনা আৰম্ভ কৰিলে লামদিং মৰিয়নী আৰু ছিলংত। এই বিলাক হোৱাৰ পিচতো কুন্তকৰ্ণ চৰকাৰৰ টোপনী আধা নাভাঙিল। কব লাগিব ২১ মেৰ পৰা ৪ জুলাইলৈকে আমাৰ কুন্তকৰ্ণ চৰকাৰ শুয়ে থাকিল। এই ধৰণৰ ‘কুন্তকৰ্ণ’ চৰকাৰৰ কাৰণে Press বিলাক হল, টোপনি ভাঙিবলৈ ‘ৰাম’।

(সময়ৰ সংকেট)

সেই কাৰণে মই কওঁ, একমাত্ৰ Press বিলাকেই এনে চৰকাৰৰ টোপনি ভাঙে। এনে অৱস্থাত যদি Press বিলাকে, ভুল, ত্ৰুটি, দোষ আদি দাঙি ধৰি ৰাইজক নেদেখুৱাই তেন্তে কোনে সেই কাম কৰিব ? এই কাম কৰাটো Press ৰ ধৰ্ম ; ৰাইজেও এই দায়িত্ব বুজি পায় কাষেই অন্যায় অধৰম হলে ৰাইজৰ মাজত প্রতিক্ৰিয়াই দেখাদিয়ে। সেইবাবে Press বিলাকক দোষী সাব্যস্ত কৰিলে নহব। প্রত্যেক কাগজৰ একো একোটা ideology আছে সেই মতে তেওঁলোকে কাম কৰিবই। হিন্দু-ধৰ্ম ৰ কাৰণে “আলোক” কাগজ আছে ; সেইদৰে মুচলমান ধৰ্মৰ কাৰণেও নিশ্চয় কাগজ আছে। সেই কাগজ বিলাকে নিজৰ ideology প্রকাশ কৰিবই ; সেই বিলাকত কোনো “কমিউনেলিজম” ৰ কথা নাই। Ideology মাত্ৰ। কাষেই.....।

Mr. SPEAKER: আপোনাৰ সময় শেষ হৈছে।

Shri HIRALAL PATWARI (Panery): আৰু দহ মিনিট সময় দিলে ভাল হয়।

Mr. SPEAKER: বাক দুই মিনিট।

Shri HIRALAL FATWARY (Panery): সেই কাৰণে মই কও যে, বিভিন্ন পাৰ্টিৰো বাজনীতি ক্ষেত্ৰত একো একোটা ideology আছে। কি কংগ্ৰেছ, কি P. S. P. কি কমিউনিষ্ট সকলোৰে আছে সেই মতে পাৰ্টিৰ কাম চলিছে। তাত যদি কোনো এক পাৰ্টিয়ে, অন্য পাৰ্টিৰ কামত শোষণ কাৰ্য্য চলাইছে বুলি কয় তেন্তে দেশ খনৰ কাম কেনেকৈ চলিব? আজি ভাৰতত যেতিয়া সমাজ তান্ত্ৰিক সমাজ ব্যৱস্থাৰ আদৰ্শত ধাৰিত, তেনে অৱস্থাত চৰকাৰৰ এনে নিষেধ মূলক কাৰ্য্য কলাপে কি বুজায়? এই প্ৰসঙ্গতে মই ফাঁটলাইজাৰ স্ক্বেণ্ডেলৰ কথাও আনিব খুজিছোঁ যি, এইটো এটা গুৰুত্বৰ কথা। ইয়াৰ দ্বাৰাই শতকৰা ৯০ ভাগ খেতিয়কে effect কৰিছে যদিও, সিদিনা মুখ্য মন্ত্ৰীয়ে কলে যে ২৭ জন ব্যৱসায়ী মানুহে arrest কৰা হৈছে। তাৰ লগত জড়িত অন্যান্য বৰমুৰীয়া সকলৰ কি ব্যৱস্থা হৈছে? এইবিলাকক পোহৰলৈ অনাৰ এক মাত্ৰ উপায় প্ৰেচ বিলাক। কায়েই মই এই মত প্ৰকাশ কৰোঁ যে, এই 'স্ক্বেণ্ডেল' কাৰণে চৰকাৰেই দায়ী; প্ৰেচ নহয়। নোৱা গণ্ডগোলক সময়তো গণ্ডগোলৰ কাৰণে চৰকাৰ দায়ী; Press নহয়। কাছাৰত যোৱা গণ্ডগোলৰ সময়ত যি হৈছিল সেই বিলাক কি নোহোৱাকৈ Press এ প্ৰকাশ কৰিছিল? দৰক সিদিনা মুখ্য মন্ত্ৰী ডাঙৰীয়াই যি বিবৃতি দিলে সেইটো কি নহয় নেকি? ভাষা বিল খন কি জোৰ কৰি পাচ কৰা হৈছে? ভাষাবিলখন জোৰৰ ওপৰত পাচ হোৱা নাই। অসমৰ জনসাধাৰণৰ অন্তৰৰ কথা।

ভাষা বিল খন আমি জোৰকৈ পাচ কৰা নাই। এইটো অসমৰ জনসাধাৰণৰ অন্তৰৰ কথা এই বুলি সদনৰ সদস্য সকলে কৈ ফুৰো সেইটোতও জানো। exitement নহয় নেকি? প্ৰেছে জনসাধাৰণক ইন্ধোন যোগায় জনমত সৃষ্টি কৰে। চৰকাৰে এটা কথা কৈছে যে প্ৰেছে চৰকাৰৰ লগত সহযোগীতা কৰা নাই, তেওঁলোকে অতিৰিক্ত বাতৰি প্ৰচাৰ কৰে, কিন্তু মই কও চৰকাৰে ভুল বাতৰি প্ৰচাৰ কৰিলে ৰাষ্ট্ৰপতিৰ ক্ষমতা আছে, গৱৰ্ণৰ ক্ষমতা আছে। দৰকাৰ সময়ত সেইবোৰ ক্ষমতা খটাব পাৰে।

Shri EAKHRUDDIN ALL AHMED (Minister, Law): Mr. Speaker, Sir, my burden has been lightened by the very speech that has been delivered by the hon. Member because he has spoken mostly on subjects which have no concern or relevancy to the matter under consideration. He has made comments on the statement made recently by the Chief Minister, other Ministers in the Calcutta, the language issue and the arrest of the businessmen. I do not know what these things have to do far as this Bill is concerned. Whatever doubt existed in the minds of the hon. Members as regards the scope of this Bill that it will be used to repress the Press to take away the fundamental right of freedom of expression guaranteed under the constitution to individuals or to the press has been removed by the very satisfactory amendment that has been suggested by the Select Committee wherein it has been provided that at first, the Governor will declare that an emergency situation exists, where only communal harmony is affected, involving danger to public order and peace; after that emergency has been declared, it will be open to the Government to take actions under circumstances as provided for. We have not come towards for sweeping powers. Therefore apprehension should be felt by the press particularly because of a provision of a warning as provided by the declaration under sub-clause (1). Then I think that it is necessary for the press to exercise caution and if that caution is exercised, there will be no reason for us to take recourse to the provision of this Act. With these observations, I commend this motion to the hon. Members.

Mr. SPEAKER: The question is that the Assam Special Powers (Press) Bill, 1960 (as reported by the Select Committee) be taken into consideration.

(The question was adopted).

The question is that clause I of the Assam Special Powers (Press) Bill, 1960, does form part of the Bill.

(The question was adopted)

Shri PHANI BORA (Nowgong): Sir, I beg to move the following amendments to be incorporated in the body of the Bill.

“Substitute sub-clause 1 of the first paragraph of sub-clause (2) of clause 2 by the following :—

(1) If the President being satisfied that a situation exists whereby the maintenance of communal harmony is threatened in the whole or any part of the State of Assam, declares a State of Emergency under Article 352 of the Constitution of India, the State Government or any authority so authorised in this behalf may, by order in writing addressed to the printer, publisher or editor of any publication or the keeper of a press,”

(2) Delete item (b) of sub-clause (2) of clause 2”

I have noticed that the amendment made by the Select Committee have no doubt has restricted the power of the Government to misuse it and so I must thank the Members of the Select Committee. Yet Sir, it is admitted even by the Hon'ble Minister that they have no intention to use this power lavishly or they will use it when they must, i. e., in extreme cases. Sir, if this is so, and I believe this is the genuine intention on the part of the Government, then Sir, I do not find any reason whatsoever why the Government will hesitate to accept the amendments that I have moved which seeks to restrict the scope still further. Sir, Government want a weapon, a very strong weapon. As I said and many hon. Members of this House also said that the Government is armed with various provisions of law under the I.P.C., Cr. P. C. and also the Public Safety and P. D. Act and the like. All these weapons are not sufficient for the Government it seems to deal with any situation that may arise which might threaten the public life and peace and the maintenance of law and order as well as communal harmony among the people of the State. Sir, I believe that these weapons were sufficient but still the Government felt that they want a very strong weapon in their hand a sort of “Pashupat Astra”. Sir, I do not believe that this Government can use such a deadly weapon even if it is handed over to them against those people, very powerful, the monopolists. Standing before the monopolists, I believe, Sir, that the Government's knees will tremble. Their heart will beat quicker but they will pounce upon the poor, they will pounce upon the weak with this weapon. That is why it is very dangerous for the freedom of the press, for the freedom of the individual, of the human rights guaranteed under the Constitution under Art. 19 (1). It may be violated, it may be abused because Sir.....

Adjournment

The Assembly was then adjourned for lunch till 2 p. m.

After lunch

Shri PHANI BORA (Nowgong): Because, Sir, this Government, as I found, is no respecter of the freedom of the people, democracy and fundamental rights. I do not mean to say that freedom should mean independence from responsibility and obligation. But I feel, I believe, that freedom itself contains certain amount of obligation and responsibility and, therefore, it is up to the people, up to the Press and those who are working in the Press to judge every issue and it should be left to themselves and that liberty should be enjoyed by the Press and the people. Sir, sometime it is said that freedom is independent of responsibility and obligations. I agree. Sometime it might happen but freedom with responsibility also should not be pushed to a point where the emphasis on responsibility becomes in effect the negation of freedom itself. Sir, our Government refuses to allow the Bill to go to the public in order to give facilities to the public to give their opinion. Is it because that the Government already knows what the public opinion is? Government may be correct because, Sir, so far as public opinion is concerned, they have expressed itself in no uncertain terms. I can give only one example what the Premier [daily in Assam, the Assam Tribune said with regard to this Press Bill. It said, Sir.

"The Assam Government's proposed measure is aimed at the curtailment of the rights of the editor as well as those of the printing press from which the paper is published. While the editor will be deprived of his power to comment freely and publish or not publish any news item, the Government are trying to arm themselves with the power to dictate to the press."

Again, Sir, it said:

"Democracy and such control of the newspapers as is contemplated by the Assam Government go ill together. We strongly urge that members of all shades of opinion in the House will rise to the occasion and prevent the passage of such a reactionary Bill."

This is the public opinion and in spite of this expressed public opinion the Government has come out with the Bill, of course later on with certain amendments. Sir, it looks like as if the Government is determined to do certain things in spite of the fact that the people are opposed to it. It is as if "Let the dogs bark but the caravan will proceed". This is the attitude shown by the Government in this respect. It is purely and simply thrusting on the unwilling people something with the strength of brute majority that is under the Command of the Government. This is not democracy. It is called mockery of democracy. If the Government is so eager to bring such a repressive Bill then they could have taken the help of certain provisions of the Constitution. The other day the Hon'ble Finance Minister was pleased to refer about certain other procedures of other countries in the world. I will say, Sir, we are more concerned with the Constitution of India than anything else. Let us confine ourselves within the limitations of the Constitution in whose name we always swear. What does our Constitution say in the matter? It gives the right of freedom of the Press, freedom

of individual and other things by Article 19(1). Article 19(2) give the power to the Legislature to pass certain restrictions—restrictive law's. I know that, but under what conditions? If there is certain emergency, if there is external or internal disturbance threatening the security of the State and there is a threat to peace and tranquillity and law and order, only then. Sir, previously this was not there in this provision, this has been brought subsequently. Now I ask the Government what is the position in our country? What has happened in the past is the past is not the point. We can apply the provision of the Constitution when there is a threat of external or internal aggression. But there is no such situation now. Sir, if the Government want to do certain things under certain extremely difficult condition, under certain extremely rare case, then I would suggest: Let the Government take recourse to provision of articles 352 of the Constitution where the President will be pleased to declare a national emergency if certain type of things develop. According to that article the President will come to the picture. Sir, here in this Select Committee Report certain restrictions are sought to be imposed, that is the Governor has been brought to the picture. Sir, I want to bring the first citizen of India, the President of the Republic of India, who should declare that a situation has arisen where it is necessary to restrict the freedom of the Press, the freedom of the individual. Sir we have the experience of the past. In the name of communal disturbances, in the name of maintaining law and order certain Public Safety Acts were passed, the Preventive Detention Acts were passed. They were imposed on the political opponents; the political opponents were put inside the prison bar without any trial in a court of law. Our experience may be limited, may be less than that of the Hon. Finance Minister. Still whatever experience we have, whatever experience we have been able to gather from the history of the past.

It cannot be denied, Sir, that this kind of weapon is used against the political opponent by those forces which are in power. This bill, inspite of opposition, is brought only because the Government wants certain weapon in their hands so that they can use it whenever necessary for the preservation of their rule, their Gaddi. That is why, Sir, I strongly commend for the acceptance of this amendment in this House. Since the Government agreed that they are not going to use this, unless they are compelled to do, unless there arises such situation of law and order or whether there is threat of peace, then Government will declare that such a restrictive order is necessary and then Government will impose this order. If this principle is agreed upon, then I only suggest that if such a situation arises, let the President of India come into the picture. If that is so, I do not see why the Government is not willing to accept the amendment. Sir, the second amendment which I have pressed is to eliminate the source of corruption. By this Bill, the Government is going to encourage bribery. Government wants corruption and evil of that will corrupt our society itself. Here, Government comes with a proposal by means of which they want to compel certain section of the Press to act according to the suggestions and dictate of the Government. If one or two lakhs of rupees are given.

Mr. SPEAKER: Mr. Bora, you have already taken more than ten minutes, when you are going to finish your speech?

Shri PHANI BORA (Nowgong): I am finishing Sir, So, Sir, if two lakhs of rupees are spent i.e. the Government gives this money to print certain articles according to their wish to certain Press, does the Press can do it? Can the Government compel them. If I am to maintain a Press on behalf of the Communist Party. If I am asked to do so, certainly, I will not do. Then, Sir, why these things are brought. Only from the point of view of corruption. By this provision in the Bill, the Government is going to dictate terms to the Editors, to the Press. Sir, I am not going to take much time of the House only I shall quote a reference from the Assam Tribune which reads.—

“Among other provisions the Bill provides that the Government may require any matter, covering not more than two columns to be published in any particular issue or issues of a newspaper of periodical on payment of adequate remuneration”.

Sir, the term remuneration is used here. Is it not intended to bribery? Sir, it should be left to the moral judgment of the Editors or those who are directly concerned with it. That goes surely against the very principle of democracy. Therefore, with these words, I commend that these two amendments be accepted by this August House. Because if these things are allowed to be passed, which may likely to corrupt the freedom of press and freedom of independence, we will be blamed, we will be rebuked by the people in the whole country. Because these are other people in India, in other States who will also followed as and press such legislation to tighten their misrule by autocratic means. Therefore, I hope, this August House will accept these amendments.

Mr. SPEAKER: The Amendments are moved.

***Shri FAKHRUDDIN ALI AHMED (Minister, Law):** Mr. Speaker, Sir, I have with attention listened to the speech made by my friend Shri Phani Bora in support of his amendments which have been placed before this House. As I have stated before, I do not wish to repeat the same question, It is not the intention of the Government by bringing this bill, either to suppress the press or the freedom of people guaranteed by the Constitution of India. Sir, I have made it clear that while everything is done for the development of democratic society and freedom of expression for the press or the people, this amendment is necessary. We should see that freedom is given as much as it is possible. But, at the same time, I have also pointed out that the right of freedom of expression either so far as the press is concerned or individual is concerned, is a right based on the fact that it should be used and utilised for the purpose of common good. If that freedom becomes a licence and is utilised not for the interest of the common good, but only for bringing inconceivable conditions and to disturb the people in general, then it becomes necessary that some sort of check should be exercised and people in general should be ensured with the fundamental right guaranteed under the provision of Article 19 (2) of the Constitution of India. Therefore, what we are doing here is not something against what has been guaranteed by the Constitution or what has been declared under the Constitution. I have made it clear that the freedom for which provision is sought is not the provision of the general nature. It will be used only when declaration is made that on account of certain activities certain communal feeling is created. If a declaration is made that if anyone is found indulging and inciting a situation, only in those cases this legislation will be sought. My friend is trying to imply as if this legislation is going to curtail the common right which is enjoyed by the Press and the people. I have not understood him. He is trying to assert and convince that this bill is brought only to muzzle the Press and to take away the freedom of expression enjoyed by the people.

Now, Sir, so far as amendments are concerned, my friend wants to substitute the provisions by his amendments under which he wants that emergency should be declared by the President and not by the Governor. Sir, this emergency can only be declared, as he has already stated, under Article 352 of the Constitution of India. I do not know if my hon. Friend has carefully read the provisions of Article 352. The emergency can only be declared under Article 352 when there is either danger to the country from outside or there is internal disorder within the country. Does my hon. Friend realise that if emergency is declared by the President in any part of the country then the State Legislature or any authority in that State ceases to exist and the State cannot take any action whatsoever. What we intend to do is not to provide for such an emergency but only to provide for an emergency as is likely to arise in our State as a result of any incitement or propaganda which will endanger the peaceful existence of the people of the State. For that purpose it is necessary that the State should have some power so that it may take some action in such a situation. It is not that we should look to the President to declare emergency and surrender the rights enjoyed by the State Legislature and the State Government. Therefore, Sir, we want to provide for a situation for which there can be no justification for the President to interfere with the internal affairs of the State and the State Government can take preventive measures so that the peace and order within the State may be established. Now, Sir, as I have already stated, so far as Article 19 is concerned, it does give certain rights to the citizens but it does not create an absolute right. Article 19 provides that in such a circumstance there can be check on the freedom of expression, and so what we are doing is not contrary to the provisions of Article 19 of the Constitution.

Now, Sir, so far as the second amendment is concerned, I am surprised to hear from my hon. Friend that the provision of remuneration as provided in the Bill has been interpreted by him as something smacking of corruption and bribery of the Press. I do not know wherefrom my friend got such an idea. I think my friend is aware that whenever anything is published by way of advertisement by the newspapers, they do realise money for that. This provision has been made to enable the Government to pay remuneration to the Press for publication of any news item which the Government would like to be published in order to make the public know as to what are the facts with regard to any trouble. Otherwise the Press may refuse to publish any news item. My hon. Friend should know that if we do not make such a provision then the Bill will be *ultra-vires* and illegal. Therefore, we have provided here that if at any time it becomes necessary for the Government to publish any news item just to give to the public the actual fact, we shall pay remuneration to the Press for publication of the news item. I do not think the Press will be so generous as to publish any news which the Government wants them to publish, without any remuneration. So, Sir, there is no question of corruption and bribery and I do not know how my friend could get an idea that this provision has been made only to encourage corruption, and I think his observations are uncalled for and unwarranted.

Then Sir, so far as his first amendment is concerned, he says: "substitute sub-clause (1) and the first paragraph of sub-clause (2) of clause 2 by the following:" I find that there is only one paragraph in sub-clause (2) and I do not know what my hon. Friend meant by this kind of amendment. Anyhow I oppose his amendments and I hope my Friend will accept the reasons given by me and will withdraw his amendments.

Mr. SPEAKER : Mr. Bora are you going to withdraw your amendment ?

***Shri PHANI BORA (Nowgong) :** No, Sir.

Mr. SPEAKER : I put the question. The question is that substitute sub-clause (1) and the first paragraph of sub-clause (2) of clause 2 by the following:—

“(1) If the President being satisfied that a situation exists whereby the maintenance of communal harmony is threatened in the whole or any part of the State of Assam, declares a State of Emergency under Article 352 of the Constitution of India, the State Government or any authority so authorised in this behalf may, by order in writing addressed to the Printer, publisher or editor of any publication or the keeper of a press,—”.

Delete item (b) of sub-clause (2) of clause 2.

(The question was negatived).

Then I put the question. The question is clauses 2 to 4 (inclusive) do form part of the Assam Special Powers (Press) Bill, 1960.

(The question was adopted).

Now the question is that the short and long title and the Preamble of the Assam Special Powers (Press) Bill, 1960 do form part of the Bill.

(The question was adopted).

***Shri FAKHRUDDIN ALI AHMED (Minister, Law) :** Sir, I beg to move that the Assam Special Powers (Press) Bill, 1960 be passed.

Mr. SPEAKER : The motion moved is that the Assam Special Powers (Press) Bill, 1960 be passed.

***Shri KHAGENDRA NATH BORBORUAH (Amguri) :** Mr. Speaker, Sir, I have gone through the original Bill. The Bill contains 75 lines and 184 words (*laughter*). The amendments to this Bill are very few. The amending Bill has brought the Governor to the forefront. The entire Bill offends the Press whereas the co-operation of the Press in every walk of life is very necessary. So, Sir, I oppose the passing of the Bill.

Sir, I rise to oppose the motion for passing the Assam Special Powers (Press) Bill, 1960. I do so, firstly, because it has of late become a practice of the executive Government to bring forward Bills without prior publication of the same in the *Assam Gazette*, taking recourse to rule in our Assembly Rules which in my opinion, should be involved rarely rather than as an almost a regular routine as now. Such prepublication gives the hon. Members of the House a chance to study adequately the provisions of the relevant Bill thoroughly to ensure a dispassionate consideration of all the points and issues involved from every angle. Pre-publication also enables the public to ventilate opinion for the benefit of us all. Such non-publication of Bills in advance, has, it seems, become more a rule rather than exception and my considered view is that such by-passing of the normal procedure in favour of adopting the extraordinary one, is definitely against the best parliamentary traditions and in fact against democracy itself, brings me to my second point which relates to the Bill itself in question. Its provisions are such as would strike at the very root of our concept of a Free Press. The powers which the Government seeks for it to suppress the universally recognised right of the Press, are indeed extraordinary. I am not aware of similar power having been assumed in any other States in India. The Central legislation in regard to the Press in my mind should be considered

adequate, and if it is found wanting in any respect, the Central Government have power to bring in, an amending legislation. I do not suggest that this House is not competent to legislate in the manner, it is proposed to do, What I do suggest is that, for the sake of uniformity, if not for anything else, we should choose to leave the matter to the safe custody of our august Parliament, in a subject, in which that august body has identical jurisdiction.

As for Assam's special needs, should an extraordinary situation develops in the State to justify imposition of restriction on the press, it can always be done, for a temporary period by promulgating an ordinance. But it is somewhat extraordinary, to permanently put on the Statute Book a legislation which is actually intended for an emergency which does not exist now, nor can we foresee it, and in fact, may not at all come about.

There is then, the more reasonable method of persuasion, even in an emergency, by meeting the Press round a table and arriving at something like a Gentlemen's Agreement, and I am sure that the gentlemen of the press would always be prepared to adequately respond to the Government's appeal, in such a situation. It is unfortunate that the large scale murders, loot, arson and what not in the last July disturbances, the Government failed to check. It is no less unfortunate that having failed to check it, it failed even more miserably in coming out with accurate versions of the incidents, for the consumption of the Press, and through it, the public at large. The Press, in the vacuum had inevitably to rely on its own limited resources to collect news in a difficult period, as much objectively and accurately as possible, but I am quite prepared to admit that in this effort, the Press might have in some cases, been guilty of exaggeration, and even of reporting the untruth, although it must, in fairness be admitted that such exaggeration or mis-reporting, may not in all cases have been done deliberately. But the remedy for the Government to resort to, was to come out with prompt contradictions, and here also the Government failed equally miserably. Contradictions did come in most cases, but there were weeks and months after the incidents, and naturally the Press could not be expected to publish the same after such a long span of time. But what has been the behaviour of the Press since the State Government issued its appeal to it through the policy statement of August 30 last? It has indeed been exemplary. I should have thought that the Government would feel flattered by such a wide and generous acceptances of the appeal, but far from it, the Chief Minister, of course, just now the Finance Minister has come out with a legislation which would have the effect of alienating the co-operation which the Press had been holding out to Government to the extent it was possible for it to do, while also serving in its mission to the public at-large.

I hope and trust that the House will join me in opposing the motion for passing this Bill.

***Shri HIRALAL PATWARI (Panery):** Sir, I take this Bill to be a Black Bill and cannot support its enactment at any rate and I shall like to assert my opposition to this piece of legislation now and for all time. Sir, I again strongly and emphatically protest the very nature and the purpose of the Bill which smacks of the cruel and autocratic designs of the present Government and which are hostile to people's basis forms in a democratic way of life and the functioning of the administration.

As a mark of this protest and condemnation of this piece of legislation as is Assam Press (Special Powers) Bill, 1960 in this House, I tear this Bill and demand of its withdrawal forthwith.

***Shri FAKHRUDDIN ALI AHMED:** Sir, I have nothing to say than what I have already said. The special power has been provided for emergent circumstances and the people, I hope, will not be afraid that this Bill will take away any right to enjoying by the Press.

Mr. SPEAKER: The question is that the Assam Special Powers (Press) Bill, 1960, be passed.

THE HOUSE DIVIDED

Ayes—41

- | | |
|--|---------------------------------|
| 1. Shri Fakruddin Ali Ahmed | 21. Shri Lila Kanta Bora |
| 2. Shri Hareswar Das. | 22. Shrimati Lily Sen Gupta |
| 3 Shri Mahendra Nath Hazarika | 23. Shri Mahadev Das |
| 4. Shri Biswadev Sarma | 24. Maulavi Mahammed Idris |
| 5. Shri Radhika Ram Das | 25. Shri Manik Chandra Das |
| 6. Shri Girindra Nath Gogoi | 26. Shri Mohananda Bora |
| 7. Shri Lalit Kumar Daley | 27. Shri Mohidhar Pegoo |
| 8. Shri Sai Sai Terang | 28. Shri Mohi Kanta Das |
| 9. Shri Chatrasingh Teron | 29. Shri Molia Tati. |
| 10. Shri Baikuntha Nath Das | 30. Shri Narendra Nath Sarma |
| 11 Shri Dandeswar Hazarika | 31. Shri Nilmoney Borthakur |
| 12. Shri Dandi Ram Dutta | 32 Shri Omeo Kumar Das |
| 13. Shri Devendra Nath Hazarika. | 33. Shri Purnananda Chetia |
| 14. Shri Dhirsing Deuri | 34. Shri Radha Kishan Khemka |
| 15. Shri Durgeswar Saikia | 35. Maulavi Rahimuddin Ahmed |
| 16. Shri Joga Kanta Barua | 36. Shri Rajendra Nath barua |
| 17. Shri Kamala Prasad Agarwala | 37. Shri Ram Nath Das |
| 18. Shri Khagendra Nath Nath | 38. Shri Ramnath Sarma |
| 19. Maulvi Kobad Hussain Ahmed | 39. Shri Sarat Chandra Goswami. |
| 20. Prof. (Shrimati) Komol Kumari Barua. | 40. Shri Sarbeswar Bordoloi. |
| | 41. Shri Surendra Nath Das. |

Noos—6

- | | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|
| 1. Shri Bishwanath Upadhyaya. | 4. Shri Phani Bora |
| 2. Shri Gaurisankar Bhattacharyya | 5. Shri Hiralal Patwari |
| 3. Shri Khogendra Nath Barbarua | 6. Shri Pakhirai Dekka |

(The question was adopted)

*Speech not corrected.

**Government resolution regarding approval or an expenditure
Rs.2,00,000 under the head 18-B and 68-A—Navigation,
Embankment and Drainage works**

Shri BISWADEV SARMA [Deputy Minister, Labour for Minister, P.W.D. (E. & D.)]: Sir, I beg to move that this Assembly do approve of an expenditure of Rs. 2,00,000 under the head "18-B and 68-A—Navigation, Embankment and Drainage Work" for the items below. The amount involved will be met from the sanctioned grant.

"18.-B and 68-A—Navigation, Embankment and Drainage Work"—

	General	Sixth Schedule (part C) areas	Total
	(2)	(3)	(4)
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
I.—Grant originally voted by the Assembly.	2,63,76,300	91,000	2,64,67,300
II.—Supplementary Grant voted by the Assembly during the year.
III.—Sub-head under which the appropriation will be accounted for—			
68.A—Construction of Irrigation, N. E. D. Works (Non-Commercial)— (i) Development Schemes (Second Five Year Plan Central)—Flood Control	2,00,000	...	2,00,000
Total	2,63,76,300	91,000	2,64,67,300

EXPLANATORY NOTES

(i)—For details please see Appendix 'A' at page 3

2. There is a lump provision of Rs. 5.00,000 in the current year's budget (196^a-61 under the head '68-A.—Construction of Irrigation, N. E. D. Works (Non-Commercial) Development Schemes (Second Five Year Plan—Central) Flood Control' for construction of new flood embankments and the expenditure on the schemes mentioned in the Appendix will be met from this lump provision. But, as there is no specific provision for these schemes in the Budget so a formal vote on the Legislature is only sought for.

APPENDIX A

Major, Minor and Subheads under which the provision should be made	Serial No.	Nature of Scheme	Estimate of ultimate cost			Estimate of expenditure, during 1960-61			Remarks (Explanatory Notes)
			Non recurring	Recurring	Total	Non recurring	Recurring	Total	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
68.A.—Construction of Irrigation, N. E. D., Works (Non Commercial)—Development Schemes (Second Five Year Plan Central) Flood Control-General Voted.	1.	Training of new course of Aie river near Srijangram phase I Construction of marginal embankment along right bank from Rajaguli to Baraguri.	1,88,450	..	1,88,450	1,00,000	..	1,00,000	The course of river Aie and Manas in Rajaguli and Baraguri reach has been very unstable since the earthquake of 1950 and the great flood of 1954. During the high flood of August, 1959 the river Aie changed its course, short circuiting near Sastragun through spill channels badly effecting the areas on its banks by flood and erosion. So this scheme was undertaken to stabilise the course of the river.
	2.	Construction of flood embankment on the right bank of Beki from its confluence with Manas to Kahitoma Reserved forest	5,92,300	..	5,92,300	1,00,000	..	7,00,000	On completion of the scheme areas of about 6,090 area will be benefited. The execution of the scheme was imperative to protect an area of about 50 square mile from the annual copious spilling of the Beki river on its right bank, in its upper reaches from Kahitoma Reserve Forest to Railway line near Sorbhog.

Mr. SPEAKER The resolution moved is that this Assembly do approved or expenditure of Rs. 2,00,000 under the head "18-B and 6-A—Navigation, Embankment and Drainage works, for the items mentioned above. The amount involved will be met from the sanctioned grant.

(The resolution was put as a question and adopted.)

Further discussion on the motion regarding draft Third Five Year Plan moved by Shri Kamakhya Prasad Tripathi, Minister, Planning and Development, on 25th October, 1960

Mr. SPEAKER We have finished all the items of the Agenda, If the hon. Members are willing to sit longer, then we may take up discussion on the Third Five Year Plan.

(A Voice-yes)

***Shri DEBESWAR SARMAH (Jorhat):** অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, কামিহীয়া বিয়লি বেলিকা বদকাচলিত বহিলেও সচৰাচৰতে মন সেমেকা লাগে। সেই দৰেই এই অধিবেশনৰ শেষৰ দিনাখনো এনেকুৱা লাগিছে যেন সকলোৱে পাবিলে মেলানি নাগি ঘৰমুৱা হয়। তদ্বাস এই অত্যাৱশ্যকীয় বিষয়টো আলোচনাৰ কাৰণে এঘণ্টা ১০ মিনিট সময় পায় সদনৰ অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়ৰ ওচৰত আৰু চৰকাৰৰ ওচৰত কৃতজ্ঞ। প্ৰথম পক্ষ বাৰ্ষিকি পৰিকল্পনাৰ আমি আঁও-ভাঁও বুজোতেই গল। দ্বিতীয় পক্ষবাৰ্ষিকি পৰিকল্পনাৰ বিষয়ে আলোচনা কৰিবলৈ আমাৰ এম্ পিসকলোকো মাতিছিল; তেতিয়া মই লোকসভাত আছিলো। প্ৰায় ৬০০ পৃষ্ঠাৰ এখন ডাঙৰ কিতাপ আলোচনা কৰিবৰ কাৰণে ৬ ঘণ্টাতকৈও কম সময় পালো। এতিয়া তৃতীয় পক্ষবাৰ্ষিকি পাবকল্পনাখন গ্ৰায় দুবছৰৰ আগৰে পৰা যো-বা কৰিছে। আমি সকলোৱে আশা কৰিছিলো এই সদনত আলোচনা কৰিবলৈ যথেষ্ট সময় পাম। কিন্তু হৈ নুঠিল। সময় আমাৰ নিচেই তাকৰ। সেই কাৰণে মই যিমান পাৰো চমু কৰিবলৈ চাম, অত্যাৱশ্যকীয় বিষয় কেইটাৰ কথাহে কম আৰু একেটা কথাকে ঘূৰাই নকওঁ।

প্ৰথম কথা হৈছে, পৰিকল্পনা মানি কি বুজো? এখন দেগৰ ভবিষ্যত বচনা কৰাৰ কাৰণে ৫ বছৰ বা ১০ বছৰ বা ১৫ বছৰত কি কি কাম কৰিম বা কৰিবলৈ হাতত লম; বাইজৰ আৰ্থিক অৱস্থাৰ উন্নতি কৰিবৰ কাৰণে আৰু জীৱনৰ মানদণ্ড উন্নত কৰিবৰ কাৰণে এই পৰিকল্পনা হাতত লোৱা হৈছে। দেশখন উন্নত কৰিবৰ কাৰণেই এই পৰিকল্পনা কৰাৰ দৰকাৰ হৈছে। এইটো পৰিস্ফুট আৰু সম্পূৰ্ণ স্পষ্ট।

বৰ্ষত আজি পঞ্চবাৰ্ষিকি পৰিকল্পনাৰ আচনি যিদৰে লব পাৰি অসমত সেইদৰে লোৱা সম্ভৱ নহয়? কাৰণ বৰ্ষে উদ্যোগত আগবাঢ়ি গৈছে আৰু কাৰিকৰি বিদ্যাত পাণ্ডত লোক বহুত আছে। সেই কাৰণে তাত যিদৰে আচনি লব পাৰি আমাৰ ইয়াত নোৱাৰি। পশ্চিম বঙ্গৰ লোকসংখ্যা যিমান বেচি, সেই অনুপাতে দেশখন সৰু। তথাপি তাত কাৰিকৰি শিক্ষানুষ্ঠান কেইবাটাও আছে আৰু বহুত পুৰণি। Sibpur Engineering College হোৱা প্ৰায় ১২৫ বছৰ হল। তাত বহুত কাৰিকৰি বিদ্যাত পাণ্ডত লোক আছে। গতিকে পশ্চিম বঙ্গত যেনেকুৱা আচনি লব পাৰে আমাৰ ইয়াত লব নোৱাৰি।

আমাৰ এই তৃতীয় পঞ্চ বাৰ্ষিক পৰিকল্পনাৰ খচৰা খন কি আহিত হৈছে ? ইয়াৰ পেটান কি ? আমি শিল্প কৰণৰ ফালে ঢাল খামনে—কৃষিৰ উন্নতিকে কৰি থাকিম ? এইটোৰ স্পষ্ট ধাৰণা একো কৰিব নোৱাৰি। এইটোত ঠিক যে আমাৰ যোৱা দুটা পৰিকল্পনাত একো ডাঙৰ আচনি লোৱা নহল। পৰিকল্পনা আয়োগ আৰু ৰাজ্য পুনৰ গঠন আয়োগ, দুয়োটা আয়োগে প্ৰতিবেদনত কৈছিল যে পৰিকল্পনাৰ ক্ষেত্ৰত ভাৰতৰ বিভিন্ন অঞ্চলৰ সমতা (Balance) ৰক্ষা কৰিব লাগিব। সেইটো অৱশ্যে কোৱা হ'ল কিন্তু কাৰ্য্যত একো নহল। মধ্য প্ৰদেশ আৰু উৰিষ্যা অসমৰ দৰে পিচপৰা আছিল। কিন্তু এতিয়া উদ্যোগৰ ক্ষেত্ৰত ভাৰতৰ ভিতৰতে প্ৰথম শ্ৰেণীৰ হৈ পৰিছে। যিবোৰ প্ৰদেশ আগৰে পৰা উদ্যোগ ক্ষেত্ৰত উন্নত আছিল তাতকৈও আগবাঢ়ি গৈছে। অসমত উল্লেখযোগ্য কিবা উদ্যোগ বা শিল্প হ'বনে নহয় ক'ব নোৱাৰি ; কাৰণ কপিলী নৈত বিদ্যুত উৎপাদনৰ যি পৰিকল্পনা লোৱাৰ কথা আছিল—তাৰ পৰা আমাৰ যথেষ্ট কৃষি উৎপাদন বৃদ্ধি পালেহেতেন ; কিন্তু আমাৰ সাধাৰণ জ্ঞান আৰু কেন্দ্ৰীয় চৰকাৰৰ কাৰ্য্যৰ পৰাও যি দেখিছো তাত আমাৰ সন্দেহ হয়। এই খিনিতে এটা কথা উদ্ভৱ হয় যে আমাৰ পৰিকল্পনা বোৰৰ উদ্দেশ্য কি ? সমূহ ৰাইজৰ সামূহিক ভাৱে উন্নতি কৰি সেই অনুপাতে সমাজবাদী আদৰ্শত আগবাঢ়িব লাগে—যে অতীতৰ পৰিকল্পনাৰ দৰেই থাকিব লাগে। আমি চাৰ লাগে অতীতৰ পৰিকল্পনাবোৰত আমি কিমান দূৰ আগবাঢ়িব পাৰিছো। আমাৰ গণ অনুসৰি আয় আৰু জাতীয় আয় কিমান বাঢ়িছে।

মই বিনীত ভাবে নিবেদন কৰো যে, এই জনমূৰি আয় (Per capita Income) আৰু জাতীয় আয় (National Income) ৰ যি দুটা অঙ্ক আমাৰ মন্থুখত দাঙি ধৰিছে। সেই দুটা অঙ্কত ভয়ঙ্কৰ খত আছে—মোৰ বোৰেৰে এই অঙ্ক দুটা বাস্তবিকতে অমূলক। মই কিয় অমূলক বুলি আখ্যা দিছো, সেই বিষয়ে অলপ চমুকৈ কও অৱশ্যে তাৰ কাৰণে মই বিভাগীয় মন্ত্ৰী গৰাকীৰ দোষাৰোপ কৰা নাই ; মই অকল আমাৰ দেশৰ বৰ্ত্তমান পৰিস্থিতি কেনে পৰ্য্যায়ত উপনীত হৈছে তাৰেহে এটা চমু আভাস দিম।

পূৰ্বৰে পৰা সমৃদ্ধিশালী হৈ অহা, ৰোদে, মাদ্ৰাজ, পশ্চিম বঙ্গৰ আদিৰ উন্নত চিত্ৰখন সন্মুখত ৰাখি ধাবাহিক ভাবে পিচপৰি থকা অসমৰ আয়ৰ অঙ্ক কেইটা একে ধৰনেৰে দেখুৱাবলৈ গলে ডাঙৰ ভুল কৰা হ'ব। প্ৰথম আৰু দ্বিতীয় পঞ্চ-বাৰ্ষিক পৰিকল্পনাৰ পিচত, অসমৰ নিৰ্ছিনাকৈ পিচপৰি থকা মধ্য প্ৰদেশ আৰু উৰিষ্যাও আজি অসমতকৈ বহুক্ষেত্ৰত উন্নত। বৰ্ত্তমান সেই অনুপাতেও অসমৰ আয় কম নহয়।

আমাৰ আভ্যন্তৰিণ পৰিস্থিতিটো বিতংভাবে বিশ্লেষণ কৰি তাৰ পিচত যদি এই আয়ৰ অঙ্ক কেইটালৈ চোৱা যায়, তেতিয়া সকলোৰে বিশ্বাস জন্মিব যে সি এটা প্ৰবোচনাহে। ইয়াত যি শতকৰা হিচাবত আয় দেখুওৱা হৈছে। সেইটো এট Fraudulent অঙ্ক ই ৰাইজৰ চকুত ভুৱা নাজ।

আজি আমাৰ অসম ৰাজ্যৰ চাৰিওফালে যদি চোৱা হয়, আমি কি দেখো ? আমাৰ ইকোনে সিকোনে নিশৰাকতীয়াভাবে গঢ়ি উঠা দুই এটা ক্ষুদ্ৰ শিল্প বাদ দিলে আমাৰ অসমত কুটিৰশিল্পই হোৱা নাইবুলি ক'লে বচাই কোৱা নহয়।

সিদিনা বিভাগীয় মন্ত্ৰী গৰাকীয়ে যেতিয়া সেই বিষয়ৰ বিবৰণী এখন দিয়ে তেতিয়াও মই কৈছিলো—এতিয়া ধৰক আমাৰ বুকুতে নাহৰকটীয়াত তেল উদ্ধৃত কৰি তাৰ পৰা কোটি কোটি টকা উপাৰ্জন কৰিব। সেই আয়ৰ অঙ্কটো জন-মুৰি আয় (Per capita Income) আৰু ৰাজ্যিক আয় বুলিয়েই আখা দিও, এই বিলাকৰ ভিতৰত আহিবনে? সেইটো আহিবই। তাৰ পিচত, ধৰা যাওক তেল কোম্পানী আমাৰ হাতলৈ আহিল, কিন্তু অসমীয়াৰ হাতত কি থাকিব? চাবুৰা চাহ কোম্পানী, আসাম চাহ কোম্পানীয়ে লাখ লাখ টকা উপাৰ্জন কৰে তাৰ নিচেই নগণ্য অংশ এটা মজুৰী হিচাবে খিলজীয়া শ্ৰমীক আৰু বনুৱাই পায় আৰু চাহৰ বাকচ যদি কোনো খলুৱা মানুহে বিক্ৰি কৰিছে তাৰ কাৰণে অলপ পায় তাৰ বাহিৰে আমাৰ বৃহৎ অংশটো যায় ক'লৈ? এই অংশটো অসমৰ মানুহে পায়নে অসম চৰকাৰে পায়? এই অংশটো সুদূৰ সাগৰৰ সিপাৰে বাহিৰলৈ যোৱা নাই নে? এই আয়ে আমাৰ জন-মুৰি আয়ৰ (per capita Income) ভিতৰলৈ আহিছে। তেন্তে দেখা যায় আমাৰ হাতত ধন থাকক বা নাথাকক, আমাৰ আয়ৰ হিচাবত আছে।

গতিকে এই ফালৰ পৰা দেখা যায় আমাৰ অসমৰ বুকুৰেই খনি বা সম্পদ, তেল, কয়লা অসমৰো কৃষিজাত চাহপাত এই সম্পদ বিলাকৰ পৰা যি উপাৰ্জন হয়, সেইটো অসমীয়াৰো নাথাকে আৰু অসম চৰকাৰেও নাপায়। ইয়াৰ বাহিৰে মৰাপটাকে আদি কৰি যিবিলাক অৰ্থকাৰী কৃষিজাত দ্ৰব্য তাৰ মাত্ৰ নিম্নতম মূল্য এটা অসমৰ খেতিয়ক সকলে পায় তাৰ পিচত যিটো প্ৰকৃত লাভ বুলি আখ্যা দিব পাৰি, সেইটো যায় মধ্যস্থ মুনকাৰী শ্ৰেণীৰ লোক, যিসকলে ব্যবসায় কৰে, সেইসকলৰ হাতলৈ। অন্যান্য কৃষিজাত দ্ৰব্যৰ ওপৰতো যি লাভ হয় সিও উৎপাদনকাৰী সকলৰ হাতত নাথাকে আমাৰ খেতিয়কে অভাৱৰ তাৰণাত কম মূল্যতে কৃষিজাত দ্ৰব্য বাহিৰা ব্যবসায়ী আৰু মিল মালিক সকলৰ হাতত অপন কৰিব লগীয়া হয়, তাৰ লাভটো তেওঁলোকেহে পায়। এতিয়া কথা হল, এই যে ব্যবসায়ী শ্ৰেণী আৰু মিলমালিক সকল আমাৰ খলুৱা মানুহ হয়নে নহয়? মই জনাত, এই লোকসকলৰ, কম পক্ষেও শতকৰা ৯০ জনেই বাহিৰৰ মানুহ— গতিকে কৃষিজাত দ্ৰব্যৰ ওপৰত যি লাভ হয়—সিও যায় বাহিৰলৈ—কিন্তু চাব লাগিব এই আয় বিলাক আমাৰ জন্মুৰি আয় (per Capita Income) ৰ অন্তৰ ভুক্ত।

তাৰ পিচত আহক—State Income—অৰ্থাৎ ৰাজ্যিক আয়। এই ক্ষেত্ৰত আমি যিটো ৰাজ্যিক আয় বুলি নিৰ্দ্ধাৰণ কৰিছো তাৰ ভিতৰতে এই ব্যবসায়ী শ্ৰেণীৰ আয়টো সোমাই আছে। মই আগতে কৈ আহিছো যে এই শ্ৰেণীৰ শতকৰা ৯০ জনেই অসমৰ বাহিৰৰ মানুহ গতিকে আমাৰ আগত যিটো অসমৰ আয়ৰ হিচাবৰ অঙ্ক দেখুৱাইছে সেই সকল কাগজতে সোমাই আছে। অসমবাসীৰ হাতত হলে সেই পইছা এটাও নাথাকে।

গাতকে এই বিলাকৰ পৰা সহজে অনুমান কৰিব পাৰি যে প্ৰথম আৰু দ্বিতীয় পৰিকল্পনাত আৰু এতিয়াও অসমৰ আয়ৰ যিটো অঙ্ক দিয়া হৈছে সিকেবল আয় প্ৰতাৰণাৰ হে পৰিচায়ক প্ৰকৃততে তাৰ কোনো মূল্য নাই। তাৰ পিচত আমি দেখিছো, আজি আমাৰ পৰিকল্পনা বিলাক কৰোতে যিটো সভ্য জগতৰ আহি লোৱা হৈছে, সেইটো অৱশ্যে ভাৰতৰ দুই চাৰিখন সমৃদ্ধিশালী ৰাজ্যৰ কাৰণে অনু-গামী হব পাৰে কিন্তু অসমৰ নিচিনা পিচপৰা আৰু অনুন্নত ৰাজ্য এখনৰ এই আহিত ক্ৰম-বিকাশ হওক চাৰি দিনে দিনে নিশ্চিত হৈ হৈ গৈছে। মই আজি এনে অৱস্থা দেখিছো যে সেইটো কলেও নহয়।

আমাৰ অৰ্থনীতিৰ যি সকল কৰ্ণধাৰ আছে, সেইসকলে সুদূৰ ইংলণ্ডৰ পুৰ্জিবাদী পদ্ধতিৰে আহিত প্ৰভাবান্বিত হৈ আছে। বাটৰ বিনা হস্তক্ষেপে ব্যৱসায় বাণিজ্য কৰিব পৰা এই পদ্ধতিটোৱে ওঠৰ শতিকাত পৰা পৃথিৱীৰ বেচি অংশতে প্ৰাধান্য বিস্তাৰ কৰিছিল; কিন্তু আধুনিক জগতত সেই Laissez Faire নীতিটো ক্ৰমে অৱস্থা বিশেষে অপ্রচলিত হৈ আহিছে—আমি দেখিছো, অসমৰ পিচপৰা ৰাজ্য এখনত ব্যৱসায়ৰ ক্ষেত্ৰত সেই Laissez Faire অৰ্থাৎ বিনা হস্তক্ষেপে খোলা বজাৰত ব্যৱসায় কৰাৰ ক্ষমতা অবাধে চলি আছে, আৰু তাৰ দ্বাৰা অসমৰ আভ্যন্তৰীণ পৰিস্থিতিৰ পিনে আওকাণ কৰা হৈছে—পৰিণামত অসমৰ দুৰৱস্থা।

আমি সচেতন হব লাগিব যে আমাৰ বাটুনীতি অনুসাৰে আমাৰ ৰাজ্যতো ব্যৱসায় বাণিজ্যৰ জৰিয়তে আমি Socialist Economy অৰ্থাৎ সামাজিক অৰ্থনীতিৰ ফালে আহিব খুজিছো। কিন্তু কাৰ্য্যতঃ তাৰ বিপৰীত হৈছে। সেই কাৰণে সিদিনা মহাজানী মাননীয় সদস্যই, সমাজতন্ত্ৰবাদী সমাজ (Socialistic Pattern of Society) গঠনৰ ক্ষেত্ৰত মহাত্মা গান্ধীৰ পবিত্ৰ নামটো উচ্চাৰণ কৰোতে যোৰ মনত জাগিছিল যে এই বিধান সভাৰ আগৰ আশেপাশে বা ইয়াৰ Protected Area ৰ ভিতৰত মহাত্মা গান্ধীৰ নামটো নললেই ভাল। (হাঁহি)

ভাৰতত অন্যান্য উন্নত ৰাজ্যৰ কথা বাদ দি অসমৰ নিচিনা পিচপৰা মধ্যপ্ৰদেশ আৰু উৰিষ্যাৰ পিনেই চোৱা যাওক প্ৰথম আৰু দ্বিতীয় পৰিকল্পনাৰ পিচত সেইবোৰৰো অসমৰ লগত তুলনা নহয়। আজি প্ৰথম পঞ্চবাৰ্ষিক পৰিকল্পনা হৈ গল, দ্বিতীয় পঞ্চবাৰ্ষিক পৰিকল্পনাও শেষ হয় লাগে কিন্তু অসমৰ অৱস্থা তথৈবচ। বিগত পৰিকল্পনা দুটাৰ উন্নয়নৰ কাম যেনেকৈ চলিল, তৃতীয় পঞ্চবাৰ্ষিক পৰিকল্পনাও যদি সেই দৰেই চলে তেন্তে অসমৰ জনগোষ্ঠীৰ জীৱনৰ মানদণ্ড উন্নত হব নে নহয় তাত যথেষ্ট সন্দেহ আছে বুলি মই ভাবো। বৰ্তমান দুটা পৰিকল্পনাৰ ফলাফল হিচাবে উৎপাদনৰ ক্ষেত্ৰত ২১৩.০ বৃদ্ধি হোৱা দেখুৱাই অসমবাসীৰ জীৱনৰ মান বঢ়োৱাৰ কথা উঠিছে; কিন্তু অসমৰ লোকসংখ্যা যি পৰিমাণে বাঢ়িছে, সেই অতিৰিক্ত উৎপাদনখিনি সেইবিলাকে গ্ৰাস কৰিছে অৰ্থাৎ মুখ আৰু পেটৰ একৈ জন্ম হৈছে, সেইবিলাকেই এই উৎপাদনখিনি খাই পেলাইছে।

আজি আৰু এটা ডাঙৰ কথা হৈছে যে অসমৰ কাৰণে যিখন তৃতীয় পঞ্চবাৰ্ষিক পৰিকল্পনাৰ কাৰণে Draft Plan প্ৰযোচিত কৰিছে তাত মূল্য নিৰূপণ কৰা আৰু মূল্য স্থিতিকৰণ (Price Stabilise) কৰাৰ কোনো ব্যৱস্থা নাই। বৰ্তমানে দেখা যায় যে আমাৰ ৰাজ্যৰ যিবিলাক উৎপাদন বস্তু সেইবিলাক কম দামত বেচি বাহিৰৰ পৰা অহা শিল্পজাত বস্তু বিলাক বেচি দামত কিনিবলগা হোৱাত আমাৰ দেশৰ উপাৰ্জজন হোৱা টকাতকৈ বেচি টকা বাহিৰলৈ গুচি যায়। অন্য ঠাইত কি বস্তুৰ কিমান দাম বাঢ়িছে, সেইটো খবৰ অসম পাবলৈ বেচি সময় নলয়।

এতিয়া কি হৈছে? ধৰক, কলিকতাৰ কোনো বস্তুৰ দাম বাঢ়িল বা আহমদাদত Wholesale Market ত বস্তুৰ দাম বাঢ়াৰ লগে লগে আমাৰ গুৱাহাটী, যেনেবাহাট, তিনচুকীয়া বা ডিব্ৰুগড়ত থকা ব্যৱসায়ী লোকসকলৰ ওচৰলৈ টেলিগ্ৰাম আহিল আৰু লগে লগে এইবিলাক ঠাইতো সেই বস্তুৰ দাম বাঢ়ি গল। কিন্তু যেতিয়া অসমৰ বাহিৰত দাম কমিল, তেতিয়া হলে টেলিগ্ৰাম নাহে; (হাঁহি) তাৰ ফলত যেতিয়া এবাৰ দাম বাঢ়িল সেইটো আৰু নকমে। গতিকে আমাৰ যিসকলে কৃষিজাত বস্তু বেচি যি দুপইছা পায়, অন্য বস্তুৰ দাম বাঢ়িলে সেইটো বাহিৰৰ ব্যৱসায়ীৰ হাতলৈ গুচি যায়।

তেনেস্থলত আজি পৰিকল্পনা কৰি যদি সেই বাহিৰৰ পৰা অহা বস্তুবিলাকৰ দাম নিয়ন্ত্ৰণ কৰিব নোৱাৰে তেন্তে অসমৰ লোকৰ অৱস্থা কি হব?

এইখিনিতে মই আমাৰ State Trading ৰ বিষয়েও এটা কথা কওঁ। মই সদায়েই এই State Trading সমৰ্থন কৰি আহিছোঁ আজি এইটো এটা আমাৰ ৰাষ্ট্ৰীয় নীতি যদিও, তাক প্ৰয়োগ কৰোতে, স্থান, কাল পাত্ৰ নিৰ্ণয় কৰিহে প্ৰয়োগ কৰিব লাগিব কিন্তু আমাৰ ইয়াত কি হৈছে? আমাৰ ইয়াত তাৰ সপক্ষে দুই এটি মতামত লৈ, পদ্ধতিৰ কোনো দোষ-ত্রুটি বিচাৰ নকৰি প্ৰয়োগ কৰা হৈছে। দেশৰ সম্পদ যদি আমাৰ নিজৰ সম্পদ বুলি নাভাবো, তেন্তে আমাৰ দেশত State Trading কেনেকৈ হয়, কব নোৱাৰো।

মহোদয়, মই ভাবো State Trading মানে অকল কিনাই নহয়, বেচাও—অকল আমাৰ দেশতে কিনা নহয়, বাহিৰৰ পৰা অহা জিনিষ পত্ৰবো দাম নিয়ন্ত্ৰণ কৰা আৱশ্যক। আজি আমাৰ দেশলৈ বাহিৰৰ পৰা অহা বস্ত্ৰৰ দাম বাঢ়িছে অথচ আমাৰ ধানৰ বৈ গৈছে। বোৰহাটতে মই জনাত আজি দহ বছৰৰ ভিতৰত ধানৰ দাম ৯।১০ টকাতকৈ কম হোৱা নাছিল। কিন্তু আজি State Trading হোৱাৰ পিচত ৭।৮ টকাও হোৱা দেখা গৈছে। এইবিলাক হবলৈ পায় কিয়? মোৰ অনুমান হৈছে State Trading যদি এনেধৰণেই চলি থাকে তেন্তে তাৰ পৰা আমাৰ বিপদ আছে। আমাৰ চৰিত্ৰৰ যদি বিশ্লেষণ নকৰাকৈ এই ধৰণে আমি State Trading চলাবলৈ যাও, তেন্তে State Trading কৃতকাৰ্য্য কৰি দেশৰ মঙ্গল সাধন কৰা টান হৈছে।

অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, আমাৰ বিবিলাকে Plan কৰিছে সেই সকলোবিলাকেই আমাৰ কৃষিৰ ওপৰত ভিত্তি কৰিছে; ইয়াৰ উপৰিও অসমৰ কথা একেবাৰে সুকীয়া—অসমত হ'ল, কৃষিয়েই প্ৰধান সম্বল—আমি যদি এই কথাটো বিশেষ লক্ষ্য ৰাখি বিষয়টো বিশ্লেষণ কৰো যে এই Plan ৰ জৰিয়তে, আমাৰ কিমানখিনি আশাৰ সঞ্চাৰ হৈছে—কৃষিৰ উন্নতিৰেই বা কি উপায় হৈছে, তেনেহলে মই ভাবো আমি লাহে লাহে কৃষিৰ ভেটি উচ্ছেদহে কৰিছো।

আমাৰ অসমত কি আছে? কিমান কৃষিৰ মাটি আছে, কিমান কৃষি বৃদ্ধি হৈছে? এইটো ভাবিব লগীয়া কথা—আমাৰ দেশৰ মাটিৰ Acreage দেখুৱাইছে সিদিনা বিভাগীয় মন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ে অসমৰ Per Capita acreage এটাও দেখুৱাইছে। তাৰ জৰিয়তে অসমত আজি বহুতো Area দেখুৱাই দিয়া হৈছে কিন্তু আমি ভাবি চাব লাগিব যে যিখিনি মাটি দেখুওৱা হৈছে সেইখিনি খেতিৰ বা বসবাসৰ উপযোগী হয় নে নহয়। অসমত বিস্তীৰ্ণ পাহাৰী অঞ্চল এটা আছে য'ত খেতিবাতি নহয় তাৰ উপৰিও নানা ব্লক আছে, য'ত বেয়েসেয়ে বাস কৰিব নোৱাৰে। বৰ্তমান সেইসকলোবিলাক মাটি এই Acreage ৰ ভিতৰত চামিল কৰা হৈছে, তাৰ ফলত বাহিৰৰ মানুহৰ মনত এটা ভল ধাৰণাৰ সৃষ্টি কৰা হৈছে।

অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, এই কথা আমি ভালকৈ ভাবি চাবলগীয়া হৈছে আৰু বাহিৰত প্ৰচাৰ কাৰ্য্য চলাব লগা হৈছে যে অসমত লোকসংখ্যা কিমান—কৃষিৰ কাৰণে আমাৰ কিমান মাটি আছে আৰু কৃষকেই বা কিমান?

বাহিৰত বহুতে ভাবে যে অসমত বহুতো মাটি এনেয়ে পৰি আছে, অথচ বাহিৰৰ মানুহক নিদিয়ৈ। অসমীয়া সকলে Dog in the manger poping লৈছে।

মহোদয়, আমি এতিয়াও নাজানো যে আমাৰ অসমতনো কিমান কৃষিৰ উপযোগী মাটি ঐকান্তিকৰ্তে এইটো কথা এ'জন pilot এ অসমৰ মাটিৰ বিষয়ে কৈছিল। ই সিৰাৰ যেতিয়া কলিকতাৰ পৰা পু্যানত আহো, তেজপুৰ হৈ pilot জনে মোক ধৰিছিল যে অসমৰ ইমানখিনি মাটি এনেয়েই পৰি আছে কিয় তেনালোকে আমাক

লবলৈ নিদিয়া ? আমি জানো ২৩ ঠাইৰ বাহিৰে এই বিলাক মাটি অন্ততঃ ৬।৭ হাত পানিৰ তলত পাবি আছে। cultivating area আমাৰ জন প্ৰতি কিমান আছে— ৫ বিঘা নে বেছি কম সেইটো নাজানিলে গোটেই national economy কেনেকৈ গঢ়িব পাৰো। মই ইয়াৰ বিতং আলোচনা কৰিবলৈ নাযাও কাৰণ তেতিয়া হলে ৫৬ ঘণ্টা সময় লাগিব। সেই গতিকে মই মাত্ৰ চমুকৈ কবিলো।

অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয় আমাৰ মানুহ দুখীয়া কোপাৰেটিত আদি উন্নয়নৰ কাম আমি কৰিছো কোনোটোৱেই সিমান কৃতকাৰ্য্য হোৱানাই। মই গাওঁৰে ভূয়ে যোৱা ১০ বছৰৰ ভিতৰত বাইজৰ সভাৰ মাজত দেখিছো কিতাপ ধৰি দেখুৱাই দিৰে একমাত্ৰ স্কুলত লৰা পঢ়াবলৈ হলে খালি কাকতৰ কাৰণে গৃহস্থৰ কিমান টকা অসমৰ বাহিৰে যায়। বৰ্তমান অবস্থাত পঞ্চ বাষিকী পৰিকল্পনা কেনেকৈ আগ বাঢ়িব পাৰে বাইজ আৰু চৰকাৰ এক হৈ খেতিৰ ভেটি ডাঙৰ কৰিব লাগিব। শিল্পায়তনৰ ক্ষেত্ৰত সৰু সৰু কৃষি শিল্প গঢ়ি তুলিব লাগে—যেনে নৰাৰ পৰা কুহিয়াৰৰ বাকলীৰ পৰা agricultural side industry নহলে অসম দেশৰ উপায় নাই। চৰকাৰে মজপীয়া তৰফৰ, সৰু তৰফৰ আচনি industry গড়াৰ কাৰণ লৈছে। কিন্তু, অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, এইটো আমাৰ মানুহে কৰিব পাৰিছে নে? যদিও চৰকাৰে কাৰবাক এখন permit দিছে কিন্তু এনেকুৱা ক্ষেত্ৰত সহায় কৰো এনে কথা কৈছে নে? সেই সেই কাৰণে আমাৰ মানুহে একো কৰিব নোৱাৰে পৰা নাই। ফলত চৰকাৰে কয় যে অসমীয়া মানুহ বিলাক এনেহুৱা আৰু শ্ৰম কৰিব নোৱাৰে। সদস্য সকলে চিন্তা কৰি চাওকচোন কৰিব পাৰেনে—নানা বকমৰ শিল্প নালগে এখন সৰু চ-মিল কৰিব পাৰেনে। কোনোবা সদস্যই, যাৰ চাহৰ বাগান আছে নাইবা আগৰ মাটি আছে বাদদি যদিও এতিয়া ceiling আইনত পৰাত তেনেকুৱা মাটি কাৰো নাই, ২০।২৬ হাজাৰ টকা ধাৰে পাব পাৰেনে? কাৰণ ১৯৫০ চনৰ পৰা আজিলৈকে অসমত এনেকুৱা কোনো অনুষ্ঠান গঢ়া নাই য'ৰ পৰা অসমীয়া ডেকাই ১৫।২০ হাজাৰ টকা ধাৰলৈ পাব পাৰে। আমাৰ দেশত সেই ধৰণৰ কোনো organisation ই নাই। অৱশ্যে State Bank এখন আছে। কিন্তু অসমীয়া ডেকা এজনে চাওকচোন বাক কাৰবাৰ কৰিবৰ কাৰণে ১৫।২০ হাজাৰ টকা তাৰ পৰা ধাৰলৈ পায়নে। State Bank ৰ কথাত মই ব্যক্তিগত ভাবে কববাৰ বেয়া পাইছিলো এনে নহয়। অসমীয়াৰ বাহিৰে অন্য কোনো মানুহে পাব পাৰে কিন্তু অসমীয়া ডেকাই বাক চাওকচোন ১০।১৫ হাজাৰ টকা পায়নে। আন কি Government Security জমা দিও পায়নে। কাৰণ কলিকতাৰ যদি আজি সেই বিলাক security ৰ মূল্য কমি যায় কালিলৈ Bank এ নোটিশ দিব। চৰকাৰে যিবিলাক ভাল ব্যবস্থা কৰিছে তাৰদ্বাৰা আমাৰ ডেকাই কোনো সুবিধা পোৱানাই। অন্য মানুহে আমাৰ ইয়াত কাৰবাৰ কৰি ধনা হওক আমাৰ ডেকা বিলাকে তাৰ অভিযোগ নকৰে বা অপকাৰ চিন্তা নকৰে। কোনো পাৰে, কেইজনে পাৰে? ২০ হাজাৰ টকা loan দিব পৰা আমাৰ কি machinery আছে? কি machinery আমি পাব পাৰো? গতিকে আমি যদি এটা ফাল commercial bank কৰিব নোৱাৰো তেতিয়া হলে আমাৰ উপায় নাই। Apex Bank এ দিছিলে কিন্তু এতিয়া co-operative society ৰ কাৰণেয়েই তাৰ finance পোৱা টান গতিকে financing organisation আমাৰ নাই। অসমৰ ডেকাই ২০।২৫ হাজাৰ টকা ধাৰ ক'ত পায় কাৰবাৰ কৰিবৰ কাৰণে যাৰ দ্বাৰা নিজে চলিব পাৰিব আৰু আনকো সহায় কৰিব পাৰিব। এইটো কথাৰ সুবিধা কৰিব শোৱাৱিলে আমাৰ পৰিকল্পনা অসমৰ কাৰণে হোৱা নাই মই কও। কিন্তু তথাপি যদি কোনোবাই কয় হৈছে তেন্তে ভাল হৈছে। অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, মই ভাবো যে আমাৰ যি industrialisation ৰ আঁচনি কৰা হৈছে সেইখিনি অসমৰ বাইজৰ জীৱনৰ মান উন্নয়ন কাৰণে হোৱা নাই। মোৰ যদি ভুল হৈছে তেন্তে কোনোবাই দেখুৱাই দিলে ভাল প্লাম। যিটোৱে ২০।২৫ হাজাৰ টকা ধাৰ পোৱাৰ ব্যবস্থা কৰিব নোৱাৰে সৰু ক্ষুদ্ৰ

industry কৰিবৰ কাৰণে সেইটো পঞ্চ বাৰ্ষিকী পৰিকল্পনা হব পাৰেনে? এই কৈই দিনৰ ভিতৰত ৯টা 'মিল' হৈছে। আমি সকলোৰে জানো so and so পদ্ধতিৰ 'মিল' হৈছে—ইয়নে বাক? কিন্তু তাত কোনে কৰিবৰ কৰিব, আমাৰ মানুহে তাত কৰিবৰ কৰিবনে? এতিয়া paper 'মিল'ৰো সেই একেয়েই অবস্থা। যেতিয়ালৈকে finance agency নহয় এইটো পৰিকল্পনা দ্বাৰা মানুহৰ সহায় কেনেকৈ হব পাৰে! যিটো আছিলে পঞ্চ বাৰ্ষিকী পৰিকল্পনা কৰা হৈছে তাত ভাল বই গৈছে। আমাৰ পৰিকল্পনা ভাৰতৰ আন আন প্ৰদেশৰ যেনে Bombay, West Bengal, Uttar Pradesh, Madhya Pradesh, Orissa ইত্যাদিৰ আহিত নহলে অসমৰ বাইজৰ উন্নতি নহয়। অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, আমাৰ গাঁওয়ে ভুঁয়ে মানুহে কয় যে এতিয়া আমাৰ স্বাধীনতা হল, আপোনালোকে ঠাইত ভাল কাম কৰিছে। আপোনালোকক ভোট দি দি আমি বুঢ়া হলো কিন্তু আমাৰ কাৰণে কি কৰিছে—খেতিয়ক বাইজৰ কাৰণে? আমি তাৰ কোনো ভাল উত্তৰ দিব পৰা নাই। খেতিব উন্নতিৰ ভেটিত আমি যদি কৃষিজাত দ্ৰব্যৰ উন্নতিৰ ব্যৱস্থা কৰি দিব নোৱাৰো তেতিয়া হলে আমাৰ খেতিয়ক আৰু অন্যান্য সাধাৰণ উন্নতিৰ ব্যৱস্থা কৰিব নোৱাৰো। আৰু লগে লগে যদি ১০।১৫ হাজাৰ টকা finance ৰ কাৰণে ব্যৱস্থা কৰি দিব নোৱাৰো তেতিয়া হলে আমাৰ মানুহে ক্ষুদ্ৰ industry গঢ়িবলৈ সহায় নাপায়। আমাৰ যিটো ওয় পঞ্চবাৰ্ষিকী পৰিকল্পনা কৰা হৈছে তাপ ৫০ কোটি টকা আমাৰ State ৰ ভাগত দিব লগীয়া হব।

Mr. SPEAKER: আপোনাৰ ৩২ মিনিট হল। আৰু কিমান সময় লাগিব? সোনকালে শেষ কৰিলে ভাল হব।

***Shri DEBESWAR SARMA (Jorhat):** দুখৰ কথা। আৰু বেচি সময় নলও। অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয় Finance বিষয়ত অসমত কিবা Elacity আছেনেকি? কব পৰা নাই। ইয়াৰ কাৰণ আছে। যেনেকৈ, বৃটিচৰ দিনতো মূল্যধিক এই দৰেই আমাৰ তেলৰ পৰা চাহপাতৰ কাৰণে যি অংশ অসমে পাই আহিছে, তাতকৈ কেন্দ্ৰীয় চৰকাৰে বেচি পায়; অৱশ্যে কেন্দ্ৰীয় চৰকাৰৰ পৰা সহয়ো পায়। অলপতে যিটো বিত্ত আয়োগ হৈছিল; তাতো আমাক অলপ টকাহে দিছে। এনেস্থলত, আমাৰ তেল আৰু চাহ পাতৰ পৰা যি টকা বৰ্তমান আয় হৈছে তাতকৈ যদি বেচি আমি নাপাও তেন্তে তৃতীয় পৰিকল্পনাত ৫০ কোটি টকাৰ contribution আমি দিব নোৱাৰিম। এই কথা মই উচ্চ পৰ্যায়ত স্মৃতিছিলো আৰু তেতিয়া কৃষি বা কৃষিজাত দ্ৰব্যৰ ওপৰত কৰৰ কথা উল্লেখ কৰা নাই। মই দিল্লী আৰু A. I. C. C. ৰ তৰফৰ পৰা, শুনিছো যে, কৃষি আৰু কৃষিজাত দ্ৰব্যৰ ওপৰত কৰ কাটল লগোৱা হব। এতিয়ালৈকে, আমাৰ সমাজত যি বিলাক মধ্যবিত্ত লোক আছে সেই শ্ৰেণীটোৰ মানুহৰ ওপৰত বেচি হেচা পৰিছে বিশেষকৈ যি বিলাক মানুহৰ income fixed. আন হাতে অসমৰ কৃষক আৰু কৃষিজাত দ্ৰব্যৰ ওপৰত আৰু চাহ বাগিচাৰ ওপৰত থকা কৰৰ পৰা শতকৰা ৪০ ভাগো কিজানি নহব। আনহাতে Indirect Taxation ৰ হেচাও আমাৰ মধ্যবিত্ত শ্ৰেণীৰ লোক সকল আৰু কৃষক সকলৰ ওপৰত যথেষ্ট পৰিছে। তেনেস্থলত ৫০ কোটি বা ৪০ কোটি টকা যদি অসমে Contribute কৰিব লগীয়া হয় নেনেহলে এই টকা কৰ পৰা দিব পাবিব তাৰ কোনো উত্তৰ নাপাও। কৃষিৰ সামগ্ৰী কৃষক আৰু চাকবীয়াৰ সকলে যদি এই টকা যোগাব লগীয়া হয় অৱশ্যে ইয়ৰে প্লেণৰ কিমান অংশ বৰ আৰু তাৰ পৰা কি উপকাৰ হব বুজি নাপাও। মই এই কথা চমুকৈ ইঙ্গিত দিলে

আশাকৰো কৰ্তৃপক্ষই বিতংকৈ ভাবি চাব। আমি যেতিয়া ধন দিব লগায়া হৈছে, তাৰ পৰা প্ৰতিষ্ঠিত হব খোজা কাগজৰ কল, বা মৰাপাটিৰ কল বিলাকৰ পৰা কি বিলাক দ্ৰব্য উৎপাদন হব সেইবিলাক যদি আমাৰ নিজৰ ৰাজ্যত ব্যৱহাৰ নহয় আয়াব বাহিৰলৈ গুচি যাব লগা হয় তেন্তে আমাৰ দেশ আৰু দুখীয়া হব। সেই কাৰণে মই কৰ্তৃপক্ষক নিবেদন কৰিব খুজিছো যেন planning ৰ Fundmental কথা বিলাক যেন ভাবি চায়।

অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, কালি মই আমাৰ ৰাজ্যিক চিৰিয়াখানালৈ (State zoo) গৈছিলো। এই চিৰিয়াখানা খনৰ কাৰণে তৃতীয় পৰিকল্পনাত ১৩ লাখ টকা দিছে। মই কব খুজিছো যে এই চিৰিয়াখানা খনৰ কাৰণে বছত বেচি টকা দিব লাগে। এই খন অকল ভাষ-ভালুক বা অন্য জন্তুৰ কাৰণেই নহয়; এই ঠাই ডোখৰ গোহাটি যেতিয়া চহৰত পৰিণত হব তেতিয়া, সকলো শূণী মানুহৰে ঘূৰি পকি ফুৰিবলৈ আৰু অকল অগমৰ নহয় ভাৰতৰ সকলো ঠাইৰে, পৃথিবীৰ সকলো ঠাইৰে ভ্ৰমণ বিলাসী লোকৰে কেন্দ্ৰ হৈ উঠিব যদি এই 'জু' খন ভালকৈ গঢ়ি তুলিব পৰা যায়। সেই কাৰণে মই কও যে এই 'জু' (zoo) খনৰ কাৰণে কমপক্ষেও ৭৫ লাখ মান টকা খটুৱাব লাগে। মোৰ বোধেৰে ১৩ লাখ টকা অতি কমহৈছে বছৰি পঙ-পক্ষি বিলাক খোৱাওঁতে আৰু কৰ্মচাৰীক বেতন দিওঁতে তিনি চাৰি লাখ টকা লাগিব। বাকী ধৰক ৮ লাখ টকাৰে আজিৰ মহাৰ্ষিৰ দিনত একো কৰিব পৰা নহব। ইয়াৰ কাৰণে ঠাইও কম হৈছে। বনবিভাগৰ মন্ত্ৰীৰ বাহিৰে অন্য বিভাগৰ মন্ত্ৰী তালৈ বোধ কৰো যোৱা নাই। বিত্তমন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ে তালৈ যোৱা উচিত। যদি মোৰ ভুল হৈছে কিতাখ মানিম। এই 'জু' খনলৈ যোৱা আলিটোৰ কাৰণে মাটি লব লাগে আৰু আলিটো আৰু ঠাই ডোখৰ develope কৰিব লাগে। এইদৰে কাম কৰিলে এই চিৰিয়াখানা ভাৰততে কিয় গোটেই পৃথিবীৰ তিতৰতে এটি আকৰ্ষণীয় বস্তু হৈ পৰিব। আশাকৰো চৰকাৰে এই বিষয়ত মনোযোগ দিব।

ধন্যবাদ।

Shri RAJENDRA NATH BARUA (Golaghat-East):

মাননীয় অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, তৃতীয় পঞ্চবাৰ্ষিকী পৰিকল্পনাৰ প্ৰসঙ্গত, মাননীয় শ্ৰীযুত শ্ৰী ডাঙৰীয়াই বহুতো আলোচনা কৰি গৈছে। এই প্ৰসঙ্গত মই মাত্ৰ তিনিটা কথা কবলৈ চিয় হৈছে আৰু নিৰ্দিষ্ট সময়ৰ ভিতৰতে শেষ কৰিম।

তৃতীয় পৰিকল্পনাৰ draft plan খনত ১৭৬ পৃঃ এটা কথা কোৱা হৈছে (1) "The need for a Homeopathic College has been felt" এই কথা সাৰি পঢ়ি যেনে ভাল লাগিল। ইয়াৰ পিচত (2) "But due to paucity of funds the scheme could not be taken up" এই কথা সাৰি দেখি তেনেকৈ অতিশয় বেজাৰ পালো।

এই প্ৰসঙ্গত, মই চৰকাৰক এই কথাই কব খুজিছো যে 'হমিউপেথিক' চিকিৎসা প্ৰথাটো আজি আমাৰ ৰাইজৰ মাজত ভালদৰে প্ৰচলিত হৈছে। এই সম্বন্ধত মাননীয় সদস্য শ্ৰীযুত তৰুণ সেন ডেকাই হৈছে জীৱন্ত উদাহৰণ। এজন সদনৰ সদস্যই এবাৰ কৈছিল "Shri Tarun Sen Dekha is the having

example how Homeopathy could save his life, when all other systems failed to care him” যিহ হওক এই বিলাক কথা অক বহলাই নকও। মই কব খুজিছো তৃতীয় পৰিকল্পনাত এখন ‘হমিওপেথিক কলেজ’ পাতিব লাগে। অবশ্যে এখন কলেজ পাতিবলৈ বহুতো টকা লাগে। সেই কাৰণে মই কওয়ে, আজি আমাৰ যি বিলাক মেডিকেল কলেজ আছে তাতেই অন্ততঃ দুবছৰীয়া কালৰ এটা ‘হমিওপেথিক কৰ্চ’ পঢ়োৱাৰ ব্যৱস্থা কৰি দিব লাগে। প্ৰথম দুবছৰ অন্যান্য Medical College আৰু ছাত্ৰৰ যি course তাক একে লগে পঢ়িব পাৰে অৰ্থাৎ anatomy, physiologie আদি তাৰ পিচত ২ বছৰ organon, Homeo Matria Medica আদি পঢ়িব পাৰে। এনে কৰিলে, ‘হমিওপেথিক’ শিক্ষা দিয়াৰ ব্যৱস্থা কম খৰচতে কৰিব পৰা হব বুলি মই ভাবো।

অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, আমাৰ জনসাধাৰণেও আজি ‘হমিওপেথিক’ ঔষধ বেটিকে ব্যৱহাৰ কৰিব ধৰিছে আৰু তাৰ পৰা উপকৃত হৈছে। ভাৰতৰ বিভিন্ন ৰাজ্যত ইয়াৰ প্ৰচলন বেচি হৈছে আৰু এই পদ্ধতিয়ে উত্তৰোত্তৰ উন্নতি কৰিছে। বিশেষকৈ বোম্বাই উত্তৰ প্ৰদেশ, বিহাৰ আদি ৰাজ্যত। আগ্ৰা ইউনিভাৰ্চিটিত ‘হমিওপেথিক কৰ্চ’ subject হিচাবে গৃহীত হৈছে। সেই কাৰণে মই কও যে, ‘হমিওপেথিক’ বিষয়টো আমাৰ মেডিকেল কলেজ দুখনৰ যোগেদি কৰিব পাৰিব আৰু তাৰ কাৰণে নতুন বিষয় অৰ্থাৎ ‘হমিওপেথিক’ পদ্ধতি, টো সোমোৱাই নলেই হ'ল। এতিয়ালৈকে Registered হমিওপেথিক চিকিৎসকৰ সংখ্যা ৪৪১ জন পোৱা হৈছে আৰু তাৰে ১৩ জনে বিভিন্ন চৰকাৰে অনুমোদন কৰা কলেজত অধ্যয়ন কৰি অহাৰ বাবে ‘A’ শ্ৰেণীত ভুক্ত হৈছে।

এনে অৱস্থাত আমি পলম কৰিলে দেশৰ স্বাৰ্থৰ বহুতো হানী হ'ব। সেই কাৰণে মই কও যে ‘হমিওপেথিক’ পদ্ধতিটোৰ শিক্ষা অতি সোনকালে যাতে কলেজত দিয়া হয়। তেতিয়াহে আমাৰ দেশত হমিওবিজ্ঞানৰ উন্নতি হ'ব। আশা কোৱা তৃতীয় পৰিকল্পনাৰ ভিতৰতেই এই বিষয়টি চৰকাৰে হাতত লয় তেতিয়াহে শিক্ষাৰ্থী সকলে হমিওপেথিক বিজ্ঞানত আগ বাঢ়ি যাব পাৰিব। এই বিষয়ে চৰকাৰক মই টানি অনুৰোধ কৰিলো।

মোৰ দ্বিতীয় কথা হ'ল Flood Control. এই শিতানত ৭ কোটি টকা ধৰিছে এই টকা অতি কম হৈছে। প্ৰত্যেক বছৰে বছৰে বানপানীয়ে গোটেই অসমৰ যি ভাবে অনিষ্ট কৰিছে তাৰ তলনাত ৭ কোটি টকা অতি নগন্য। তাৰ পাছত আৰু এটা কথা শিৱসাগৰ, যোৰহাট টাউনৰ erosion ৰ বাবে টকা ধৰা দেখা যায় কিন্তু গোলাঘাট টাউনৰ উল্লেখ নথকত দুঃখ পালো। গোলাঘাট টাউনৰ erosion ৰ বিষয় চৰকাৰৰ টেলিগ্ৰাম আৰু দৰ্শাস্ত্ৰ যোগে দৃষ্টি আকৰ্ষণ কেবা বৰো কৰা হৈছে।

অসমৰ ভিতৰত গৰমপানী এখন বিক্ষ্যাত ঠাই, এই ঠাই গোলাঘাট টাউনৰ পৰা প্ৰায় ১৪ মাইল আঁতৰত। ইয়ালৈ বহুত দুৰৰ পৰা মানুহৰ চাবলৈ আৰু গাচুবলৈ আহে কিন্তু এই গৰম পানীৰ বাবে কোনো টকা ধৰা নাই। জাক্ৰেমৰ গৰমপানী উহৰ বাবে ৬২ হেজাৰ টকা ধৰিছে অথচ গোলাঘাটৰ গৰম পানীৰ উল্লেখই নাই জাক্ৰেমৰ কাৰণে ৬২ হাজাৰ টকা ধৰাত মোৰ কোনো আক্ষেপ নহয়। কিন্তু গোলাঘাটৰ গৰম পানীৰ কাৰণে তাদৃশ বা অন্ততঃ ৪৫ হেজাৰ টকা ধৰা উচিত আছিল বুলি মই ভাবো। গৰম পানীলৈ Tourism ৰ বাবে অহা লোক সকলে যাতে সকলো সুবিধা পায় তাৰ ব্যৱস্থা কৰি দিব লাগে যেনে bathing platform ইত্যাদি ইত্যাদি। সবশেষত মই আকৌ কব খোজো যে এই ৩য় পৰিকল্পনাৰ ভিতৰত হোমিওপেথিক প্ৰতি বিশেষ জোৰ নিদিলে আমি অতদিনে হোমিওপেথিক বাবে যি কৰি আহিছে সকলো খিনি অথলৈ যাব। ইমানকৈ কৈ মই সামাৰণি মাৰিলো।

†**Shri MOHI KANTA DAS (Barchalla)**: অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয় আজি তৃতীয় পঞ্চবাৰ্ষিক পৰিকল্পনাৰ আন্তৰ্গত যি আচনী দাঙি ধৰিছে সেই আচনীৰ বিভিন্ন শিতানত যি টকা ধৰা হৈছে সেইটো অতি সংযত ভাবে ধৰা হৈছে এই টকা অসমৰ অৱস্থাতলৈ চাই অতি কম হৈছে। বৃটিছৰ দিনৰ Transport bottleneck বুলিয়েই আজিলৈকে যিবোৰ industry অসমত নহল। আমাৰ ইয়াত Electricity নাই বুলিলেই হয়। অসমৰ North Bank ৰ চিচি, ধেমাজী—মঙ্গলদৈ আদি ঠাই আজিও একেবাৰে পিচপৰি আছে। Planning Commission ৰ মতে গোটেই ভাৰততে শিক্ষাৰ ক্ষমতা আনিব লাগে—কিন্তু আন্য ৰাজ্যৰ তুলনাত অসম শিক্ষা ক্ষেত্ৰতো একেবাৰে পিচপৰি আছে। আমাৰ যিবিলাক চিডিউল কাষ্ট আৰু চিডিউল ট্ৰাইব আছে সেইবিলাক আজি অতদিনেও আমি সমপৰ্যায়লৈ আনিব পৰা নাই। তেওঁলোককো আমি সমপৰ্যায়লৈ আনিব লাগে। এওঁলোকৰ উন্নয়নৰ বাবে মাত্ৰ ১ কোটি টকা ধৰা হৈছে—কিন্তু আজি ১০ বছৰেও তেওঁলো যি অৱস্থাত আছে তালৈ চাই—১ কোটি টকাৰে একোৱেই নহব। Planning Commission ৰ মতে regional disparity থাকিব নালাগে সেই কাৰণে এই শিতানত টকাও যথেষ্ট হোৱা নাই। সেই কাৰণে আমি ভাৰত চৰকাৰৰ ওচৰত দাবি জনাব লাগে যে আমাৰ যি টকা ধৰা হৈছে সেইটো ভো কাটিব নালাগেই বৰং পাৰিলে বঢ়াব লাগে। গতিকে আমাৰ চৰকাৰে ভাৰত চৰকাৰক জোৰ দাবি জনাব বুলি আশা কৰি সামৰণি মাৰিলো।

***Shri HIRALAL PATWARI (Panery)**: অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, আমাৰ তৃতীয় পাঁছ বছৰীয়া পৰিকল্পনা জাতীয় জীৱনৰ এটা ডাঙৰ কথা গতিকে এই পৰিকল্পনা কৰিবলৈ যাওঁতে আমি দকৈ চিন্তা কৰিব লাগে যাতে এই ৰাইজৰ ধনৰ পৰা ৰাইজৰ উপকাৰ হয়। গাঁৱলীয়া ৰাইজে কিন্তু উপলব্ধি কৰিব পাৰিছে যে ইয়াৰ পৰা খেতিয়কৰ উপকাৰ হোৱাৰ আশা বৰ কম। আটাইতকৈ ডাঙৰ কথা হৈছে অসমৰ গৌ সম্পদ উন্নতিৰ কথা। যেতিয়ালৈকে গাখীৰ বেচি উৎপাদন কৰিব নোৱাৰি তেতিয়ালৈকে দেশৰ উন্নতি হ'ব নোৱাৰে। গাখীৰ উৎপাদন বঢ়াবৰ কাৰণে V. G. R. আৰু P. G. R. উন্নতিৰ কোনো যত্ন লোৱা নাই। মাত্ৰ ২ লাখ টকা ইয়াৰ কাৰণে ধৰা হৈছে।

দ্বিতীয় কথা হৈছে যে গৌ-সদনৰ আৱশ্যকতাৰ কথা ৰাইজে ভানকৈ নেজানে বা অভ্যস্ত নহয়। যিবোৰ গৰু মৰে তাৰ চামৰা হাব আদি অন্য ৰাজ্যৰ দৰে ইয়াতো ব্যৱহাৰ কৰিব। ইয়াৰ দ্বাৰা ৰাইজৰ আৰ্থিক অৱস্থা উন্নত হোৱাৰ লগতে উৎপাদন বাঢ়িব। এই উৎপাদনৰ কাৰণে আৰু এটা দৰকাৰী কথা হৈছে জলসিঞ্চন। জলসিঞ্চনক ১ম স্থান দিব লাগিব যদি আমি গাঁৱলীয়া ৰাইজৰ উন্নতি কৰিব খোজো। এই কালে ২য় পৰিকল্পনাত বিশেষ গুৰু দিয়া নহল আৰু ৩য় পৰিকল্পনাতো বিশেষ জোৰ দিয়া দেখা নাই। গতিকে আমাৰ পৰিকল্পনাবোৰ Productive হ'ব লাগে যাতে এটকা খৰছ কৰি দুটকা পাব পাৰো। কিন্তু আমি দেখিছে টকা খৰছ কৰিও উন্নত হ'ব পৰা নাই। ইয়াৰ কাৰণ হৈছে পৰিকল্পনাবোৰ সুপৰিকল্পিত হোৱা নাই। আজি উত্তৰ লক্ষীমপুৰৰ পৰা ধুবুৰীলৈকে এই বিৰাট অঞ্চলটো বাদ পৰি গৈছে। সেইকাৰণে তাৰ মানুহবোৰ বিপ্লৱী হৈ পৰিছে। সেই কাৰণে কওঁ যে শুষ্ক মনেৰে পৰিকল্পনা কৰিব লাগে যাতে কোনো অঞ্চল বাদ নপৰে আৰু সমানে উন্নত হয়।

Shri KHAGENDRANATH NATH (Goalpara): অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, তৃতীয় পাঁছ বছৰীয়া পৰিকল্পনা সম্বন্ধে আজি এই সদনত আলোচনা কৰা হৈছে। এইটো বৰ গুৰুত্বপূৰ্ণ বিষয়। ১ম আৰু ২য় পৰিকল্পনাত ১০ বছৰ হৈগল আৰু ৩য় পৰিকল্পনাত আৰম্ভ হ'ব। যদি পৰ্যালোচনা কৰা যায় তেনেহলে দেখা যায় আমি কি পাইছো?*

পৰিকল্পনাবোৰ হব লাগে জাতীয় পৰিকল্পনা। কিন্তু আমি দেখিবলৈ পাইছো যে অসমৰ পৰিকল্পনাবোৰ আঞ্চলিক হৈছে। অসমৰ মানচিত্র খন চালে দেখিব বহুত মহকুমাৰ ১৯৪৭ চনত যিদৰে আছিল এতিয়াও ঠিক সেইদৰেই আছে। যোৱা ১০ বছৰত আমাৰ দাবিদৰতাৰ কিমান উন্নতি কৰিবলো? শ্রীশৰ্মা ডাঙৰীয়াই কৈ গৈছে যে দেশৰ উন্নতি কৰিবলৈ হলে যেনেকৈ কিছু মান মানুহ পুজিপতি হৈযাব সেইদৰে কিছু মান মানুহ গৰীব হৈয়ে থাকিব। কিছুদিনৰ আগতে যাক দেখিছিলো একো নাই আজি দেখিছো মটৰ গাড়ী লৈ ঘূৰিছে। গতিকে পৰিকল্পনা জাতীয় ভিত্তিত নহলে কাৰো লাভ নহব।

বহুতো মহকুমা এতিয়াও আছে; যিবিলাক ১৯৪৭ চনত যি অৱস্থাত আছিল। আজিও সেই অৱস্থাতে আছে, তাৰ কোনো উন্নতি হোৱা নাই। পৰিকল্পনাৰ আচল উদ্দেশ্য হল, পিচপৰা ঠাইবিলাক উন্নত কৰি আগবাঢ়ি যোৱা, কিন্তু আজি ১০ বছৰে কি কৰিছে? এই পৰিকল্পনাৰ ফল সৰূপে এক শ্ৰেণীৰ মানুহ দুখীয়া হৈ গৈছে আৰু আন শ্ৰেণীয়ে কোটিপতি হব ধৰিছে। আজি ৫ বছৰ আগতে যি মানুহ গৰীব আছিল সি আজি আৰু গৰীব হৈছে আৰু মাত্ৰ এবোলা খাইছে যদি এয়ে অৱস্থা হয় তেন্তে পৰিকল্পনা ব্যৰ্থ হৈছে।

আমি এনে ধৰণে পৰিকল্পনা কৰিব লাগিব যে আমাৰ যিবোৰ দুখীয়া মানহ আছে, সেই বিলাক অৰ্থনৈতিক ক্ষেত্ৰত আগবাঢ়ি ধনীৰ সমান হব পাৰে। আমাৰ ৫ বছৰত দেশৰ উন্নয়নৰ কামত কি টকা খৰচ হব তাৰ আচনি লোৱা হৈছে কিন্তু আমাৰ দেশৰ ডেকা লবাই সেই আচনিত কোনো অংশ গ্ৰহণ কৰিব পৰা নাই। এই বিষয়ে আমাৰ শ্রীশৰ্মা ডাঙৰীয়াই পৰিস্কাৰ কৰি কৈ গৈছে। আমাৰ টকাৰে উদ্যোগ হব আমাৰ মানুহে সেই টকা নাপায়। পশ্চিম-বঙ্গৰ পৰা বা কলিকতাৰ পৰা মানুহ আনিব চৰকাৰে কব আমাৰ প্ৰশিক্ষণ প্ৰাপ্ত লোক নাই। কিন্তু যথা সময়ত আমাৰ ডেকা সকলক প্ৰশিক্ষণ দিয়া নহল কিয়? ইয়াৰ কাৰণে চৰকাৰে দায়ী নহয় নে? তাৰ পিচত, এই বাহিৰৰ মানুহৰ লগত আমাৰো কিছুমান ডেকাক নিয়োগ কৰি প্ৰশিক্ষণ দিয়া হোৱা নাই কিয়? উদ্যোগ কৰিছে বাহিৰৰ মানুহে কিন্তু চৰকাৰে যদি আমাৰো কিছুমান মানুহক লগ লগাই দি সমবায়ৰ ভিত্তিতেই কৰিলেহেতেন, তথাপি আমাৰ মানুহে কিছু সুযোগ পালেহেতেন কিন্তু সেইটো হোৱা নাই। পৰিকল্পনাৰ মুখ্য উদ্দেশ্য হৈছে, জনসাধাৰণৰ অৰ্থনৈতিক সমস্যাৰ সমাধান কৰা। কিন্তু আমাৰ পৰিকল্পনাৰ ফলত দুই চাৰিটা মানুহৰ অৱস্থা সুচল কৰাৰ বাহিৰে বাকী উপকাৰীতা হল বাহিৰৰ মানুহৰ। গতিকে ই কিছুমান ধনীক ধনী কৰিছে আৰু দুখীয়াত আৰু দুখীয়া কৰিছে। ইয়াত কেন্দ্ৰীয় চৰকাৰেও কিছুমান টকা আমাৰ মানুহৰ উন্নয়নৰ কামৰ কাৰণে দিছে কিন্তু উন্নতি হৈছে বাহিৰৰ মানুহৰ। মই দেখাত পঞ্চ-বাৰ্ষিক পৰিকল্পনাত এই দহ বছৰে ৫১১০ লাখ টকাৰ কিছুমান খৰচ উঠিছে কিছুমান ঠাই স্তৰনী কৰিছে দুই এখন চহৰত নতুন মটৰগাৰী কিনি ঘূৰোৱা হৈছে—প্ৰকৃত দেশৰ কাম একো হোৱা নাই।

যোৱা দহ বছৰে আমি কি দেখিছো? আমি দেখো যে উত্তৰ-লক্ষীমপুৰ, বৰপেটা, গোলাঘাট, নঙ্গলদৈ গোৱালপাৰা আদি ঠাইৰ অৱস্থা উন্নত হওক চাৰি দিনে দিনে শোচনীয় হৈছে। ইয়েই নুবুজাইনে যে আমাৰ প্ৰকৃততে কোনো সুপৰিকল্পিত আচনি নাই। মই যোৰ দিও যে কিছুমান সৰু সৰু আচনি কৰি হলেও এই ঠাই বিলাকত উন্নয়নৰ কাম কৰিব লাগে।

আমাৰ শ্রীশৰ্মা ডাঙৰীয়াই এটা স্পষ্ট ইঙ্গিত দিছে যে এই পৰিকল্পনাৰ ফল আমাৰ মানুহক দিবলৈ হলে প্ৰথমতে পূজৰ ব্যৱস্থা কৰিব লাগিব। কিন্তু মই দেখাত তাৰ ব্যৱস্থা নাই আমি যদি কোনো এটা উদ্যোগ কৰিবলৈ ১০।১২ হাজাৰ টকা খৰ্জে তেন্তে সেই টকা পোৱাৰ ব্যৱস্থা নাই। এনে কোনো বেঙ্ক নাই যাৰ পৰা জনসাধাৰণে সেই টকা পাব লাগে। আমাৰ মানুহৰ কোনো ব্যৱসায় নাই। গতিকে অসমবাসীৰ ভৱিষ্যৎ অন্ধকাৰ। ব্যৱসায়ৰ ক্ষেত্ৰত বাহিৰৰ মানুহ আহি এনেভাবে অধিকাৰ কাৰণে যে আমাৰ মানুহৰ কোনো আঁপা নাই।

Shri MOHIDHAR PEGOO [Jorhat (Reserved for Scheduled Tribes)]: মাননীয় অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয় অসমৰ তৃতীয় পঞ্চবাৰ্ষিক পৰিকল্পনা সম্পৰ্কে আলোচনা প্ৰসঙ্গত ময়ো দুটা মান আৱশ্যকীয় কথা এই সদনত দাঙি ধৰিব খোজোঁ।

এইটো সকলোৰ স্বীকাৰ কৰিব লাগিব যে, অসমত প্ৰায় শতকৰা ৭০ জন লোকেই খেতিয়ক, আৰু শতকৰা ২০ জনেই খেতিৰ ওপৰত নিৰ্ভৰ কৰে মুঠৰ ওপৰত অসমৰ মুঠ জনসংখ্যাৰ প্ৰায় ৩ ভাগ লোকেই প্ৰত্যক্ষ বা পৰোক্ষভাৱে কৃষিৰ ওপৰত নিৰ্ভৰ পৰে। গতিকে কৃষি বিষয়ত আৰু অধিকতৰ মনোনিবেশ কৰাটো নিতান্ত বাঞ্ছনীয়। আনকি ৰাষ্ট্ৰীয় শক্তিৰ ৩ ভাগ এই ক্ষেত্ৰত প্ৰয়োগ কৰিলেহে সমস্যাৰ সমাধান হ'ব বুলি মই ভাবোঁ।

যিহেতু অদূৰ ভবিষ্যতে আমাৰ ইয়াত বিৰাট আকাৰৰ শিল্প গঢ়ি তোলা সম্ভব নহয় যেতিয়া আমি কিছ দিনলৈ খেতিৰ ওপৰত নিৰ্ভৰ কৰি থাকিব লাগিব।

এইটো কথা সকলোৰে স্বীকাৰ কৰিব যে অসমত যদিও বা কৃষিৰ উপযোগী মাটি যথেষ্ট পৰিমাণে নাই, বাহিৰত ইয়াত বহুতো পতিত মাটি আছে বুলিয়েই প্ৰচাৰ হৈছে। তদুপৰি যিখিনি আছে তাৰো সৰহখিনি জলাহ। এই জলমগ্ন অঞ্চল বিলাক খেতিৰ উপযোগী কৰি তুলিবৰ বাবে জল সিঞ্চন, মথাউৰি বন্ধা আদি কামত যি ধৰণে আগৰে পৰা মনোযোগ দিব লাগিছিল, সেই ধৰণে দিয়া নাই বুলি ভাবিবৰ যথেষ্ট অৱকাশ আছে।

এই সদনৰ মাননীয় দুই এক সদস্যই মথাউৰি আদি ভগা-চিগা সম্পৰ্কে সমালোচনা কৰোঁতে মাজুলীৰ প্ৰতি দৃষ্টি আকৰ্ষণ কৰি কৈছে যে তাত মথাউৰি বন্ধা উচিত হৈছেনে নাই সন্দেহ আছে। অতীতৰ যোগীনীত্বৰ দিনৰে পৰা বৃষ্টিৰ যুগো পাৰ হৈগল মাজুলীয়ে কোনো আলি মথাউৰি দেখিবলৈ পোৱা নাছিল। আনকি লৰাই বাটত উজুটি খাবলৈ ঘিলপুটি এটা পাবলৈ এটা গড়কপ্তানী আলি নোহোৱাকৈয়ে গল। তেনেস্থলত সদস্য গৰাকীৰ মন্তব্যৰ সাৰ্থকতা কোন খিনিত পিছটো ভাবিব লগীয়া কথা। এতিয়া কথা হল, মাজুলীক আলি পদুলী দি বন্ধা কৰিব লাগেনে নেনাগে? এখন ঠাইৰ প্ৰাথমিক উন্নতিৰ কাৰণেই প্ৰথমতে আলি পদুলিৰ দৰ্কাৰ। খাদ্য দ্ৰব্য সংগ্ৰহৰ বাহিৰেও বাহিৰৰ হাব ভাবৰ আদান প্ৰদানৰ কাৰণেও আলি পদুলীৰ নিতান্ত দৰ্কাৰ হয়।

আজি মাজুলী দুটা মান মাত্ৰ সাধাৰণ আলি বাটৰ বাহিৰে আৰু বিশেষ একো দেখিবলৈ নাই।

এই অঞ্চল অস্থায়ী বুলি ইয়াৰ উন্নয়ন আৰু ৰক্ষনাবেক্ষণৰ ব্যৱস্থা তেনেই এৰি দিয়াটো কোনো বকমেই যুক্তি সঙ্গত হ'ব নোৱাৰে। এই অঞ্চলৰ প্ৰায় একলক্ষ লোক পুনৰ্বৰসতিৰ ব্যৱস্থা কৰাৰ পূৰ্বেই এনে কথা ধাৰণা কৰাও অমূলক আৰু অযুক্তি কথা.....

Prorogation

Mr. SPEAKER: Order, order. I read out the message of the Governor.

“Raj Bhavan
Shillong
The 29th October, 1960.

ORDER

In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (2) (a) of Article 174 of the Constitution of India, as amended upto date, I, S. M. Shrinagesh Governor of Assam, hereby prorogue the Assam Legislative Assembly at the conclusion of its sitting on the 29th October, 1960.

S. M. Shrinagesh,
General
Governor of Assam”

The Assembly was then prorogated.

R. N. BARUA,
Secretary, Legislative Assembly, Assam.

