

1811-1812

1813-1814

1815-1816

1817-1818

1819

1820-1821

1822-1823

1824-1825

1826-1827

1828-1829

1830-1831

1832-1833

1834-1835

1836-1837

1838-1839

1840-1841

1842-1843

1844-1845

1846-1847

1848-1849

1850-1851

1852-1853

1854-1855

1856-1857

1858-1859

1860-1861

1862-1863

1864-1865

1866-1867

1868-1869



**Proceedings of the Eleventh Session of the Assam Legislative Assembly assembled after the Second General Election under the Sovereign Democratic Republican Constitution of India**

The Assembly met in the Assembly Chamber, Shillong at 10 a. m. on Monday, the 6th March 1961.

**PRESENT**

Shri MAHENDRA MOHAN CHOUDHURY, B.L., Speaker in the Chair, Seven Ministers, Three Deputy Ministers and Fourty-seven Members.

**QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS**

**STARRED QUESTIONS**

(To which oral answers were given)

**Re: Loan for School building**

**Shri DURGESWAR SAIKIA (Thowra)** asked :

\*12. Will the Minister-in-charge of Education be pleased to state—

- (a) How many High and M. E. Schools in Sibsagar Subdivision have applied for loans for construction and improvement of School buildings during the current financial year ?
- (b) Whether Raidangae M. E. School is also an applicant for loan ?
- (c) What steps to dispose up the applications are being taken ?

**Shri RADHIKA RAM DAS (Deputy Minister, Education)** replied :

12. (a)—No application in the prescribed form has so far been received.

(b)—Does not arise.

(c)—Does not arise.

**Shrimati KOMOL KUMARI BARUA (Katonigaon):** May I know from the Minister in charge of Education whether the application forms were sent to the Inspector of Schools, Upper Assam ?

**Shri RADHIKA RAM DAS :** Yes. Application forms had been sent to different Inspectors of Assam.

**Shimati KOMOL KUMARI BARUA :** May I know the date please ?

**Shri RADHIKA RAM DAS :** It will be, about a fortnight ago.

**Shri MAHI KANTA DAS (Barchalla):** What is the principle for selection of Schools for Granting Loans ?



**Shri RADHIKA RAM DAS (Deputy Minister, Education):** It is laid down in the rules which have been already finalised.

**Shrimati KOMOL KUMARI BARUA (Katonigaon):** May I know from the Honourable Minister what are the terms and conditions?

**Shri RADHIKA RAM DAS:** It will be found in the rules.

**Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA (Saikhowa):** Whether the resolutions have been sent to the Inspectors and Schools?

**Shri RADHIKA RAM DAS:** Yes. These have been sent to the respective Inspectors of Schools.

**Shri TANKAESWAR CHETIA (Nazira):** Whether any board has been constituted for such purpose?

**Shri RADHIKA RAM DAS:** No such proposal has been taken yet.

**Shri HIRALAL PATWARY (Panery):** Whether the loan would be earmarked on area cum population basis to every Subdivision?

**Shri RADHIKA RAM DAS:** Various factors will have to be taken into account for this purpose.

**Shri MAHIKANTA DAS (Barchalla):** Whether selection for such allocation has since been made?

**Shri RADHIKA RAM DAS:** No. Because the date for receiving such applications is upto 31st March next.

**Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA:** Whether plans and estimates will be required?

**Shri RADHIKA RAM DAS:** Yes.

**Shrimati KOMOL KUMARI BARUA:** May I know whether special consideration is given for allocation of such loan for Girls Schools?

**Shri RADHIKA RAM DAS:** No such provision is made. But in the Third Five Year Plan there will be some special consideration for the education of Girls.

**Shri SARAT CH. GOSWAMI (Kamalpur):** In the forms for loans one of the provisions is that the school is to submit audited report of accounts for last three years but.....

**Shri RADHIKA RAM DAS:** They will have to submit their requirements after they are audited.

**Shri MAHIKANTA DAS:** Whether all the schools which have fulfilled these conditions will be entitled to get the loans?



**Shri RADHIKA RAM DAS (Deputy Minister, Education):** No. We have only 12 and half lakhs for this purpose.

**Shri HIRALAL PATWARI (Panery):** Whether information of every Mahkuma Parishad will be obtained before such allotment?

**Shri RADHIKA RAM DAS:** No such information will be obtained.

**Rs : Principle of recruitment in Government Service**

**Shri BHUBAN CHANDRA PRADHANI (Galakganj) asked :**

**\*13. Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state—**

- (a) Whether Government of Assam have paid any attention to the news item published in the *Hindustan Standard* on 14th October 1960 and the same in the *Assam Tribune* on 15th October 1960, regarding the policy laid down by the West Bengal Government under the caption "Job reserved for Bengalis only" carrying a salary not exceeding Rs. 350 per mensem?
- (b) Whether Government of Assam have adopted similar principle for this State also?
- (c) If so, what are they?
- (d) If not, why not?

**Shri BIMALA PRASAD CHALIHA (Chief Minister) replied :**

13. (a)—Yes. Government have also examined the instructions issued by the West Bengal Government about recruitment policy. From these, it appears that the press report does not accurately convey the decisions of the West Bengal Government. In the West Bengal Government's instructions, there is no provision about reservation of posts for Bengalees, as reported in the press. They relate to preference being given to the local people under certain circumstances when adequate qualifications and experience are forthcoming and also utilisation of the Employment Exchanges for filling up vacancies in the State Government departments or offices.

(b)—Government's policy regarding employment in the private sector was given out in the form of a resolution published on 22nd October 1959. Government have also issued instructions that Employment Exchanges should be consulted for filling up certain categories of vacancies under the State Government. Government are examining whether any further instructions should be issued in this behalf.

(c) and (d)—Do not arise.



**Shri DEBESWAR SARMA (Jorhat):** Will the Chief Minister be pleased to repeat the answer of question No. 13 (b) ?

**Shri BIMALA PRASAD CHALIHA (Chief Minister):** Government policy regarding employment in the private sector was given out in the form of a resolution published on 22nd October 1959. Government have also issued instructions that Employment Exchanges should be consulted for filling up certain categories of vacancies under the State Government are examining whether any further instructions should be issued in this behalf.

**Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA (Saikhowa):** Sir, whether Government have got any information how far the Government resolution of 22nd October 1959 are being implemented by the Industrialists and the Private concerns ?

**Shri BIMALA PRASAD CHALLIHA:** With the regard to implementation all that I can say is that since publication of the Government resolution, the response from the industrialists is good in the sense that the industrialists have also appreciated the need for giving preferential consideration to the people of the State. But if the Honourable member wants to know how many people were appointed so on and so forth, I will require notice.

**Shri DURGESWAR SAIKIA (Thowra):** নাজিৰা অসম চাহ কোম্পানীয়ে যে অলপতে তিনিজন Assistant মেনেজাৰ নিযুক্ত কৰিছে সেই সকলোকেইজন যে অসমৰ বাহিৰৰ মানুহ সেইটো চৰকাৰে তদন্ত কৰিবলৈ আৰু যথাযথ ব্যৱস্থা লবলৈ ?

**Shri BIMALA PRASAD CHALIHA:** কেতিয়া কৰিছে ?

**Shri DURGESWAR SAIKIA:** এই তিনি মাহ মানৰ ভিতৰত তিনি জন Assistant মেনেজাৰ নিয়োগ কৰিছে আৰু তিনিও জনেই অসমৰ বাহিৰৰ মানুহ।

**Shri BIMALA PRASAD CHALIHA:** সেইটো খবৰ কৰি চোৱা হব।

**Shri DEBESWAR SARMA:** What is the response from the I. T. A. to the Government resolution and what is the response from other organisation excluding the I. T. A. ?

**Shri BIMALA PRASAD CHALIHA:** The I. T. A. has actually co-operated in the sense that they agreed to the Screening Committee. The Screening Committee interviewed a large number of candidates. But for other organisations they have yet to show their co-operation.

**Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA:** May I know whether candidates recommended by the Screening Committee have been appointed ?

**Shri BIMALA PRASAD CHALIHA:** The normal absorption, as we were informed, is about 50 annually. As against these 50 probable vacancies, nearabout hundred names were recommended by the Screening Committee a few months back. How many out of them have actually been appointed I cannot say without notice.



**Shri MAHI KANTA DAS (Barchalla):** Is there any Government machinery to follow up the implementation of the Government Resolution?

**Shri BIMALA PRASAD CHALHA (Chief Minister):** The machinery which we created was a Liaison Officer for Industries. As the hon. members know the gentleman died some time back and we have recently given appointment to another gentleman in his place.

**Shri GOPESH NAMASUDRA [Patharkandi (Reserved for Scheduled Castes)]:** May I know whether nativity and domicile certificates are necessary for appointment in Government service?

**Shri BIMALA PRASAD CHALIHA:** It was the practice formerly to call for domicile certificates. But since the Public Employments Act came into force that practice has been abandoned.

**Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA (Saikhowa):** May I know whether the Central Government is responding to our request to employ local persons in different central Government offices?

**Shri BIMALA PRASAD CHALIHA:** I think so, but with regard to the degree, we would like them to be more liberal.

**Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA:** Is the Chief Minister aware that even after the publication of the Government Resolution a large number of persons from outside the State have been appointed in tea and other industries?

**Shri BIMALA PRASAD CHALIHA:** If the hon. member gives me more precise information about particular industries and categories of employment, etc. it would be advantageous for me to reply.

**Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA:** Assistant Managers in tea gardens.

**Shri RAMNATH DAS [Dergaon (Reserved for Scheduled Castes)]:** May I know who is the present Liaison Officer?

**Shri BIMALA PRASAD CHALIHA:** We have given appointment to Mr. Surendra Nath Barua, retired Deputy General Manager, Southern Railway. We are going to employ him for two purposes, one as Liaison Officer, Employment and the other as Officer on Special Duty in the Transport Department. In the Transport Department from some time past we have been utilising the services of an officer from the Railway. But the Railway has recalled that officer and in view of great shortage of officers they have advised us to re-employ a retired officer in his place.

**Shri HIRALAL PATWARY (Panery):** I put a question some time last year as to how many local persons had been appointed as Assistant Managers. I want to know whether Government have collected the information by now.



**Shri BIMALA PRASAD CHALIHA (Chief Minister):** I do not remember what was the hon. member's question last year.

**Mr. SPEAKER:** How can you expect that your last year's question will be remembered without notice?

**Shri DEVENDRA NATH HAZARIKA (Saikhowa):** Is the Chief Minister aware that in different departments of Central Government like Railway, Post and Telegraphs, etc., the local candidates are still put on an equal footing and no preferential treatment is given to them?

On enquiry it is learnt that there is no such instruction from the Government of India.

**Shri BIMALA PRASAD CHALIHA:** There is no question of preference in Government of India posts that way. But for public sector industries under the Government of India, there is a policy directive that for posts carrying a salary upto Rs. 350 per month, as far as possible local people should be given preference. For posts carrying a salary above that the recruitment should be on an All-India basis.

So far as employment in the Posts and Telegraphs Department is concerned, there is some kind of protection for the local people as the candidate are required to know the regional language. But so far as the Railway is concerned, they do not have anything of that type but nevertheless there has been some improvement in recruitment to the Railway also, according to the figures which were supplied to us some time ago by the Railway Minister.

**Shri SARAT CHANDRA GOSWAMI (Kamalgur):** Does the Chief Minister know that in the Posts and Telegraphs Department, this requirement of the knowledge of regional language has been subverted by inclusion of Sanskrit as one of the languages? Formerly it was Assamese, Bengali, Khasi, Garo and so on, now Sanskrit has also been added and thereby the regional language has been pushed to the background.

**Shri BIMALA PRASAD CHALIHA:** I do not have this information.

**Shri RAMNATH DAS [Dergaon (Reserved for Scheduled Castes)]:** Will the Chief Minister please make an enquiry about this?

**Shri BIMALA PRASAD CHALIHA:** Yes, Sir.

(Starred Question No.14 standing in the name of Shri Probhat Narayan Choudhury was not put and answered as the hon. Member was absent).



## UNSTARRED QUESTIONS

(To which answers were laid on the table)

**Assault on Shri Gopesh Namasudra, M.L.A.**

**Shri BISHWANATH UPADHYAYA (Patharkandi)** asked :

29. Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state—

- (a) Who are the persons arrested in connection with the assault on Shri Gopesh Namasudra, M.L.A. ?
- (b) Whether it is a fact that on previous occasions complaints of serious nature have been lodged to the Patharkandi Police Station against these persons ?
- (c) If so, what action the Patharkandi Police took against them ?

**Shri BIMALA PRASAD CHALIHA (Chief Minister)** replied :

29. (a)—1. Badal Chand Singh, s/o Madan Chand.

2. Pabi Sing, s/o Late Kala Sing of Bilbari.

3. Ram Mohan Singh, s/o late Babu Sena Singha of Nalugram.

4. Birkumar Singh, s/o Kshirogopal Singha of Rajargram.

(b)—Complaints had been lodged against (1) and (2) above, on two previous occasions.

(c)—One case started U/S 379 I.P.C. which was returned in F.R. for want of sufficient evidence and another case started U/S 147/447/324/325/149, I.P.C. is subjudice.

**Allegations against Shri L. C. Patwari, S. D.O. (P.W.D.), Patharkandi**

**Shri BISHWANATH UPADHYAYA (Patharkandi)** asked :

30. Will the Minister, P.W.D. (R. & B.) be pleased to state—

- (a) Whether Government lately received any representation from the prominent citizens of the Karimganj Subdivision in which serious charges were levelled against Shri L. C. Patwari, the S.D.O. (P.W.D.), Patharkandi, under the Karimganj Division ?
- (b) If so, what is the nature of the allegations made in the representations referred to above ?
- (c) What action Government have taken in the matter ?



**Shri GIRINDRA NATH GOGOI** [Deputy Minister, P.W.D. (R.&B.)] replied :

30. (a)—Yes (in 1959 only), but not from the prominent citizens.

(b)—The allegations were of such nature that they reflected against the character of the officer.

(c)—The allegations were duly enquired into but could not be established.

**Inclusion of roads from Moranhat to Kachumari and Raidongea to Barpathar in the Third Plan**

**Shri DURGESWAR SAIKIA** (Thowra) asked :

31. Will the Minister, P.W.D. (R.&B.) be pleased to state—

(a) Whether the proposals to take up the roads from Moranhat to Kachumari and Raidongea to Barpathar (under Art. 275) respectively are included in the Third Plan ?

(b) Whether Government propose to take up these roads within the next financial year, i.e., 1961-62 ?

(c) If not, when they will be taken up ?

**Shri GIRINDRA NATH GOGOI** [Deputy Minister, P.W.D. (R.&B.)] replied :

31. (a), (b) & (c) —The proposals have been recommended by the Mahakuma Parishad and will be placed before the Assam Road Communication Board for advice after which Government decision will be taken.

**Shrimati KAMAL KUMARI BARUA** (Katonigaon) : May I know when the Road Communication Board will be held to finalise the roads for Third Plan. ?

**Shri GIRINDRA NATH GOGOI** : It will be held on 21st March next.

**Complaint about small number of Questions coming before the House**

**Shri GOPESH NAMASUDRA** : অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, প্রশ্নের জন্য এক ঘণ্টা সময় থাকে। কিন্তু বিগত কই দিন দেখেছি খুব কম প্রশ্ন দেওয়া হয়েছে। আমার নিজের কথা বলি যে গত ৩০-১০-৬০ তারিখে নটিশ দেওয়া প্রশ্নে উত্তর এখন পর্যন্ত আসে নাই। আশাকরি এর সুব্যবস্থা করবেন।

(a voice—নাহে নাহে আহিব) (১)



**Mr. SPEAKER :** Yes, I think the hon. Member has rightly referred to this matter. We received notice of 276 unstarred and 88 starred questions. These questions were sent to different Departments before 27th February 1961, but up till now we have received replies only to 14 starred and 29 unstarred questions. The progress is really deplorable. I wish the Chief Minister will kindly look into this. He has already been given a list of questions department-wise. I hope he will kindly see to it and try to expedite replies to these questions. This is a phenomenon which has been referred to every time in every Session of the Assembly and the Members are put to great inconvenience thereby. I hope the hon. Ministers will kindly look into it.

**Adjournment motion re: Situation arising out of killing of some people in East-Pakistan**

**Mr. SPEAKER :** I have received notice of an adjournment motion from Shri Hiralal Patwary, the Chief Whip of the Opposition Party. Before taking it up, I want to emphasise that adjournment motions must be on very important matters regarding which there is no other way to raise a discussion. An adjournment motion is also in the nature of a censure motion on Government for their inaction or not taking action in time. Our Assembly Rule in this regard is very clear. Under Rule 57 of The Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business of our House the conditions for adjournment motions are clearly laid down. Some time we find that members led by certain motions or exuberance table adjournment motions which do not satisfy the conditions laid down by the Rules. I wish the hon. Members desist from tabling such motions for adjournment in future. For this motion now in my hand, I wish that Shri Patwary to make it clear under what Assembly Rule he has tabled this motion.

†**Shri HIRALAL PATWARY (Panery) :** মাননীয় অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, এই স্বগিত প্রস্তাব দ্বাৰাই এই কথা কব খুজিছো যে বিধান সভাৰ ৫৬ কলত কৈছে, that it must be of public importance of recent occurrence গতিকে মই কব খুজিছো যে সেইটো আমাৰ ৰাজ্যৰ লগত সৰ্ব্বত্ব আছে। হৈ যোৱা জহৱলপুৰৰ ঘটনাত এজনী ছোৱালীৰ ওপৰত কেইটামান লৰাই অত্যাচাৰ কৰিলে আৰু Government of India ই action ললে।

**Mr. SEAKER :** Order, Order. Sub-rule (8) of Rule 57 says that "any question which does not relate to matter which is not primarily the concern of the Government of the State should not be a subject-matter of the adjournment motion". Your motion is regarding certain incidents that occurred in Pakistan and is based on paper report. Have you got any authentic information about it or is it a paper report only? Sometimes papers do not report correctly. Therefore, we cannot base the discussion fully on paper report. Have you got any information other than paper reports?

\***Shri HIRALAL PATWARY :** Yes, সেই বিলাক আছে কিন্তু মই অন্য নাই। তথাপিও মই কব খুজিছো যে এইবিলাক ঘটনাই আমাৰ ৰাজ্যৰ মানুহৰ অন্তৰত খুন্দিয়াই আছে আৰু আমাৰ ৰাজ্যতো তেনে ঘটনাৰ পুনৰাবৃত্তি হ'ব পাৰে আৰু যাতে তেনে নহয় তাৰ কাৰণে মই এই প্রস্তাব আনিছো। এই সদনত আলোচনা কৰি.....



MESSAGE OF GOOD-WILL AND WELCOME  
TO Shri VINOBABI ON HIS ENTERING  
INTO ASSAM

[6th Mar.,

**Mr. SPEKER:** On what date that report was published ?

**\*Shri HIRALAL PATWARY (Panery):** On the 5th of March of Natun Assamiya আৰু 6th March, 'বিশ্বাসিত'।

**Mr. SPEKER:** Order, order. As the hon member has failed to satisfy me that the subject-matter of the adjournment motion has any direct bearing on our State, it is difficult for me to allow his motion.

**\*Shri HIRALAL PATWARY:** এই ঘটনাই জনসাধাৰণৰ অন্তৰত খুন্দিয়াই আছে।

**Mr. SPEAKER:** Therefore, I am sorry, there is no alternative. I am to rule the adjurnment motion as out of order.

**Message of good will and welcome to Shri Vinobaji  
on his entring into Assam.**

**Mr. SPEAKER :** Before I take up to-day's business; I want with the permission of the House, to refer to a matter which does not strictly come under our Assembly Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business. Of course, before I raise this issue I had a discussion with the Leader of the House and the Finance Minister, the Leader of the Opposition and the Leader of the Communist Group who are both absent now as well as the Chief Whip of the Opposition Party. They agreed with me that we should give a fitting welcome to Shri Vinobaji who is coming to our State.

We are all aware that Shri Vinobaji has entered Assam yesterday and will be here for some time. It is a great privilege for us all to have the advise and guidance of a personality like that of Sri Vinobaji from his own mouth directly. But as most of the hon. Members are busy with the Assembly session and could not attend the reception, if the house permits me I may send a message on behalf of the House and on behalf of the hon. Members welcoming Shri Vinobaji to our State and wishing him success in his mission of peace, goodwill and amity. If you agree, we may send a message to him.

**\*Shri BIMALA PRASAD CHALIHA (Chief Minister):** Mr. Speaker, Sir, I am indeed very happy that you have raised this question. It is a rare privilege on our part to get in our State a person like Acharya Vinoba Bhave, with his mission of peace and love with which he has been walking for ten years all over India. We consider ourselves very lucky to have him in our State. Sir, while I fully agree with you that it would be in the fitness of things to send a mesasge of welcome to Acharya Bhave to our State, we also wish all success to his mission in our State. Therefore, I agree to your proposal.



**\*Shri HIRALAL PATWARY (Panery):** মাননীয় অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, আপুনি আগবঢ়োৱা প্ৰস্তাবটোত আন্তৰিকতাৰে সৈতে সমৰ্থণ কৰি শলাগ লৈছো। আচাৰ্য্য বিনোৱা ভাবে, আজি অমৰ সীমাত পদাৰ্পণ কৰিছে আৰু তেখেতৰ আগমণত আমি সকলোৰে সকলো বকনে সহযোগ কৰা দৰ্কাৰ আৰু মাননীয় অধ্যক্ষই এই সদনৰ তৰফৰ পৰা আগবঢ়াব খোজা প্ৰস্তাব সম্পৰ্কত আমি আনন্দ পাইছো আৰু সেই বিষয়ে আমাৰো একমত। তেখেতৰ আগমণে অসমৰ জনসাধাৰণক আপ্লুত কৰি তুলিব বুলি মোৰ বিশ্বাস।

**Shri PHANI BORA (Nowgong):** মাননীয় অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, আজিৰ এই সদনত আচাৰ্য্য বিনোৱা ভাৱৰ অসম আগমণ উপলক্ষে সদনৰ তৰফৰ পৰা অভিনন্দন জনোৱাৰ যি প্ৰস্তাব হৈছে তাত মই, আমাৰ পাৰ্টিৰ তৰফৰ পৰা এই মত প্ৰকাশ কৰিছো। মই এই কথা পৰিস্কাৰ কৈ কব খোজো যে আচাৰ্য্য ভাবেৰ দৰ্শণৰ লগত আমি এক মত নহয়। কিন্তু তথাপি আচাৰ্য্য ভাবে এজন ভাৰতৰ বিখ্যাত ব্যক্তি। তেখেতে সমগ্ৰ নিপীড়িত জনসাধাৰণৰ বিশেষকৈ শোষিত কৃষক সম্প্ৰদায়ৰ স্বাৰ্থৰ কথা কৈ সমগ্ৰ ভাৰতবৰ্ষ ভ্ৰমণ কৰিছে। বিশেষকৈ মই তেখেতৰ আমাৰ ইয়াত বৰ্ত্তমান ভ্ৰমণটোক ওলগ জনাব খোজো এই কাৰণেই যে যোৱা জুলাই মাহত হোৱা ঘটনা বিনায়েক অসমৰ জনসাধাৰণৰ মাজত যি বিভ্ৰান্তি আৰু পৰস্পৰৰ মাজত বিভেদ সৃষ্টি কৰিলে, তাৰ পিচত নিৰপেক্ষ ভাবে সকলো দলৰ উৰ্দ্ধে থাকি অসমৰ জনসাধাৰণৰ মাজত পুনৰ মিলাপ্ৰীতি আৰু সম্ভাৱ স্থাপন কৰাৰ কাৰণে আচাৰ্য্য বিনোৱা ভাবেৰ দৰে লোকৰ ভ্ৰমণৰ খুবেই প্ৰয়োজন আছে। সেই প্ৰয়োজনৰ কথা মই আগতেই অনুভৱ কৰিছিলো। এতিয়াও তেখেতে সেই কামত সহায় কৰিব বুলি আশা কৰি মই তেখেতক অভিনন্দন জ্ঞাপন কৰিছো।

দ্বিতীয় কথা তেখেতে সাধাৰণ মাটি হীন দুখীয়া খেতিয়কৰ দুৰবস্থাৰ কথা অন্যান্য জনসাধাৰণৰ সমুখত, চৰকাৰৰ সমুখত গণতান্ত্ৰিক শক্তিবিনাকৰ সমুখত ডাঙি ধৰিবলৈ চেষ্টা কৰা দেখা যায়। তেখেতৰ নীতিৰ দ্বাৰা দেশৰ কৃষি দস্যৰ প্ৰকৃত সমাধান হব পাৰে বুলি বহুতেই বিশ্বাস নকৰে আৰু আমিও নকৰো। কিন্তু তথাপি তেখেতে যে ভূমিহীন আৰু দুখীয়া শোষিত আৰু নিজজাতিত খেতিয়ক সকলৰ অবস্থাটো বাইজৰ সমুখত গণতান্ত্ৰিক শক্তি আৰু চৰকাৰৰ সমুখত ডাঙি ধৰিছে সেইকাৰণেও তেখেতক অভিনন্দন জনাইছো। আশা কৰো তেখেতৰ অসম ভ্ৰমণে অসমৰ খেতিয়ক সকলৰ অৱস্থা অস্পৰ্কে গোটেই জাতিকে অলমান সজাগ কৰি তোলে যেন।

**Mr. SPEAKER:** Then I may send the message with your approval.

### The Assam Appropriation (No. 1) Bill, 1961

**Mr. SPEAKER:** Before we come to the next item, I have a Message from the Governor:

“Under the provision of Article 207 (I) of the Constitution of India, I, S. M. Srinagesh, Governor of Assam, recommend the introduction in the Assam Legislative Assembly of the Assam Appropriation (No. I) Bill, 1961.

S. M. SRINAGESH,  
Governor of Assam.”



There is another message and it is this :

"Under the provision of Article 207 (3) of the Constitution of India, I, S. M. Srinagash Governor of Assam, recommend that the Assam Appropriation (No. 1) Bill, 1961, be taken into consideration by the Assam Legislative Assembly.

S. M. SRINAGESH,  
Governor of Assam."

\*Shri HIRALAL PATWARY (Panery): On a point of order Sir, under Rule 72 sub-section (2) of the Assembly Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business it is provided that no Bill should be introduced in the Assembly unless copies of such Bill are sent to the hon. Members at least 4 days before. I have seen Sir, that copies of many Bills were not supplied to us ; for instance, copy of the Bill also we received only today. This Rule reads like this: "Provided further that no such motion shall be made until after copies of the Bill have been made available for the use of the members, and that any member may object to any such motion being made unless copies of the Bill have been so available for four days before the motion is made and such objection shall prevail unless the Speaker, in his discretion, allows a motion to be made". So Sir, it is very inconvenient for us to study the Bill and if we cannot study the Bill properly we cannot give our correct opinion on the Bill, hence this point of order.

Mr. SPEAKER : Yes, Mr. Ahmed ?

\*Shri FAKHRUDDIN ALI AHMED (Minister, Finance): Mr. Speaker Sir, I am really surprised that such a point of order should have been raised in respect of a matter where it has been the practice of this House that soon after the Demands of Supplementary Demands are passed such Appropriation Bills are placed before this House. Accordingly this Bill is also placed before the House now after the House has been pleased to pass the Supplementary Demands on the 4th March 1961. In this case I do not think that the four days, previous circulation of Bill is necessary under the Rule pointed out by my friend. For his information I may draw his attention that there is also a provision in the Rules under which the Speaker has the power in his discretion to allow a motion to be made. I personally feel Sir, and I wish to submit that this is the Bill in which the Speaker has the right to exercise his discretion in allowing this Bill to be taken into consideration by this House. Then there is also Rule 154 which, if the hon. Member care to read, provides that: 1. "As soon as may be after the grants have been made by the Assembly there shall be introduced a Bill to provide for the appropriation out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of all moneys required to meet:—(i) the grants so made by the Assembly ; and (ii) the expenditure charged on the Consolidated Fund of the State, but not exceeding in any case the amount showing the Budget presented to the Assembly. 2. The provisions of the rules relating to Bill shall apply to the Appropriation Bills introduced under this rule". This supports the contention that I have placed before the House that consideration of this Bill does not require the four days' notice in respect of my hon. friend has raised the point of order.



Mr. SPEAKER: This Bill does not come under the purview of Rule 72 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business of the Assam Legislative Assembly but it comes under Rules 154, as already pointed out by the hon. Minister, that is, it is a special Bill covered by Rule 154. At the same time it is a consequential Bill and it is only introduced after the Demands have been passed. The Demands have been passed by this House on 4th March 1961 last. Therefore, I feel that the point of order raised by my friend Shri Hiralal Patwary is not correct and I rule it out.

\*Shri FAKHRUDDIN ALI AHMED (Minister, Finance): Sir, I beg to introduce the Assam Appropriation (No. 1) Bill, 1961.

Mr. SPEAKER: Motion moved is that the Assam Appropriation (No. 1) Bill, 1961 be introduced.

\*Shri HIRALAL PATWARY (Panery): মাননীয় অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, সিদিনা কিছুমান grant discussion হৈ পাচ হৈ গৈছে আৰু কিছুমান discussion নোহোৱাকৈ গিলটিনত পাচ হৈ গৈছে। যি বিষয় আলোচনা হৈ গৈছে সেই বাবে একো নকও কিন্তু আজি এই বিলত কিছুমান টকা ধৰিছে যাব দ্বাৰা জনসাধাৰণৰ একো ভাল নহয়। সেইকাৰণে ২টা item ৰ ওপৰত মোৰ অলপ কবলগিয়া আছে। সেইকেইটা হৈছে Grant No.59 আৰু 61 regarding State Trading loan, and advances to Agriculture etc.

মজলদৈ মহকুমাত বানপানী হৈ গল তাত কিছুমান টকা চৰকাৰে allotment কৰিলে।

Mr. SPEAKER: You cannot discuss the grant but you can discuss the Appropriation Bill itself.

\*Shri HIRALAL PATWARY: এই টকা খিনি ৩১ মাৰ্চৰ আগতে খৰছ কৰিব লাগিব কিন্তু নিদ্ধাৰিত সময়ৰ ভিতৰত খৰছ কৰিব নোৱাৰে।

Mr. SPEAKER: Government has taken the earliest opportunity to pass the demand but they could not foresee that all their expenditure would come.

\*Shri HIRALAL PATWARY: কিছুমান খৰছ কৰিব পাৰিছে আৰু কিছুমান খৰছ কৰিব পৰা নাই।

\*Shri BIMALA PRASAD CHALIHA (Chief Minister): Mr. Speaker, Sir, I would refer to rule 154(3) of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in Assam Legislative Assembly—

“The debate on an Appropriation Bill shall be restricted to matters of public importance or administrative policy implied in the grants covered by the Bill which have not already been raised while the relevant demands for grants were under consideration.”



I am not quite certain whether the questions raised by the Hon'ble Member are permissible under this Rule.

**\*Shri HIRALAL PATWARI (Panery):** গৱৰ্ণমেণ্ট যোৱা বাজেট চেননত আৰু ডিচেম্বৰ চেননত এই বিল আনিলে কিছু কম কৰিব পাৰিলেহেতেন।

**M. MOINUL HAQUE CHOUDHURY (Minister, Food, Agriculture):** Mr. Speaker, Sir, If such details are allowed to be raised and discussed on an Appropriation Bill, then it will not be possible for us to reply.

**Mr. SPEAKER:** It is covered by the relevant rules that the matters which were not raised in course of the debate during supplementary demands, can be raised.

**M. MOINUL HAQUE CHOUDHURY :** The demands which were not discussed during debate on supplementary demands can be raised. If the hon. Members did not chose to raise them during the discussion of demand itself what would happen.

**Mr. SPEAKER:** I do not understand why the hon. Minister should be so sensitive. He is raising certain matters of public importance and the House will be in a position to know all the facts and you will also get opportunity to reply.

**M. MOINUL HAQUE CHOUDHURY:** Such discussion is not covered by any rule. And if such details are allowed to be raised then we might not anticipate and come prepared to reply to them.

**Mr. SPEAKER:** I cannot compel the hon. Minister to reply to matters raised by the hon. Member. But the hon. Member has a right to raise the question. How can I prevent him?

**M. MOINUL HAQUE CHOUDHURY:** I only point out the Rules, Sir.

**Mr. SPEAKER:** Order, order.

**\*Shri HIRALAL PATWARI:** গতিকে মই কব বিচাৰিছো যে চৰকাৰে বিচৰা টকা ঠিকমতে খৰচ হলে আমাৰ দিয়াত আপত্তি নাই। মই মজলদৈতে দেখিছো মূল বাজেটত হৰিচিঙা Laprosy colony, ওদাল গুৰিত থানা, E. & D. works নানা ঠাইত দং আৰু কিছুমান Public Health Dispensary, Veterinary Dispensary, আদিৰ বাজেটত টকা ধৰা হৈছিল কিন্তু কাম হোৱা নাই। এতিয়া এই মাৰ্চ মাহৰ ৬ তাৰিখে টকা লৈ খৰচ কৰিব কত? কিছুমান খৰচ কৰিছে Contingency Fund ৰ পৰা সেইটো ঠিক হৈছে, কিন্তু খৰচ নকৰাকৈ থকা টকা খৰচ হবনে নহয় ভাবিব লগা কথা। কিন্তু এইটো ঠিক যে গোটেই টকা ৩১ মাৰ্চৰ আগতে খৰচ কৰিব নোৱাৰে। গতিকে এই টকা অনাৰ কি দৰ্কাৰ? যোৱা বাজেটত ধৰা টকা গৱৰ্ণমেণ্টৰ খৰচ নোহোৱাকৈ পৰি আছে। আজিও কিমান বিভাগৰ টকা যোৱা নাই উদাহৰণ স্বৰূপে E. & D. Education, Medical, Agriculture, Veterinary আদিৰ কথাই কব পাৰি। এই বিলাক বিভাগত এতিয়াও বাজেটৰ টকাই খৰচ হোৱা নাই। এই টকা বিলাক জুন বা জুলাই মাহত দিলে খৰচ হ'লহে।



বিত্তমন্ত্রীয়ে কৈছে যে ১৫ মাৰ্চৰ আগতে কিমান টকা খৰচ হ'ল ক'ব নোৱাৰে। হৰিচিঙত Laprosy Colony হ'ব লাগে ওদালগুৰিত E. & D. ৰ কাম হ'ব লাগে দুনি, টংলা, পশ্চিম পটলা আদি ঠাইত পশু চিকিৎসালয় ইত্যাদি আজিলৈ Administrative Approval য়েই যোৱা নাই, খৰচ কৰিব কেতিয়া? আৰু টকালৈ কি কৰিব? অকলে মঙ্গলদৈত অনুমান ২০ লাখৰ Approval পোৱা নাই। সেই পৰিমাণে সকলো মহকুমাত আছে। যিখিনি Scheme বাজেটত ধৰা আছে Administrative Approval যাবলৈ কিয় ইমান দিন লাগে?

সেইকাৰণে আগৰ যিখিনি টকা আছে, সেই টকা ঠিকমতে খটালেহে জনসাধাৰণৰ মনত আশাৰ সঞ্চাৰ হয়।

Irrigation ৰ টকা দিবলৈ এতিয়াও বহুত বাকী, আমগুৰি Reclamation Scheme ৰ মাটি এনেয়ে পৰি আছে, তাত পানী নাই। চৰকাৰে Tractor দি মাটি ভাঙিলে পানী অভাবত অকামিলা হৈ পৰি আছে। মই গুৱাহাটীত E. & D. কৰ্তৃপক্ষক সোধাত কৈছিল যে তাত Deep Tube Well দিয়াৰ কাৰণে বাবস্থা কৰা হ'ব—কিন্তু আজিলৈ Administrative Approval য়েই যোৱা নাই। তেনেকৈ ওদালগুৰী ধনশী প্ৰজেক্ট আদিবো Approval যোৱা নাই। লেবাৰ ওয়াৰ ফিয়াৰ সেন্টৰ এটাত ৯৬ হাজাৰ টকা খৰচ হ'ব লাগে ভেটেৰিনেৰী ডিস্পেন্সাৰী এটাৰ কাৰণে মাটি লাগে—মাটি দিয়া হ'ল, কিন্তু আজিও Administrative Approval নগল।

এনেধৰণে যোৱা মাৰ্চত ধৰা টকা পৰি আছে।

**Mr. SPEAKER :** It is better that hon. Member should refrain from making observations based on heresay reports.

**\*Shri HIRALAL PATWARI :** ইয়াত হয়ত বহুত কোটি টকাৰ বিল অনা হৈছে। নানা শিতানত টকা লবলৈ বিচাৰিছে। আৰু যে কিমান প্ৰজেক্টত খৰচ কৰিবলৈ পাও তাত মোৰ সন্দেহ আছে।

আমি জনাত দ্বিতীয় পঞ্চবাৰ্ষিক পৰিকল্পনাত বহু কোটি টকা খৰচ কৰিব পৰা নাই। এইবিলাক অসময়ত টকা ৰবি কেন্দ্ৰীয় চৰকাৰক এটা Explanation দিবলৈ চেষ্টা কৰিছে বুলি সন্দেহ হয়।

এই বিলাক Paper Scheme তাৰ কাৰণে তৈয়াৰ কৰিছে।

ইয়াৰ নতুন নতুন বহুতো Scheme আছে। কিন্তু ৩১ মাৰ্চৰ আগতে টকা খৰচ কৰিব নোৱাৰিলে Scheme লোৱাৰ কি দৰ্কাৰ? এই টকা কেনেকৈ কামৰ কাৰণে পাওনা কৰিব। সময় বা কত? সেই কাৰণে বৰ্তমান চৰকাৰৰ বিত্ত-বভাগীয় যন্ত্ৰ চলিছে তাত জনসাধাৰণ সন্তুষ্ট নহয় বুলি মই ভাবো।

গতিকে মই ভাবো যে এই দৰে শেষ মুহূৰ্তত paper scheme কৰি দেখুৱাই কেন্দ্ৰীয় চৰকাৰৰ মুখ বন্ধ কৰিবলৈ চেষ্টা কৰা হৈছে। ইয়াত বহুত নতুন আচনি আছে আৰু সেইবোৰৰ টকা ৩১ মাৰ্চৰ ভিতৰত কেনেকৈ খৰচ কৰি পাৰিব? যদি টকা খৰচ কৰিব নোৱাৰে তেনেহলে টকা লোৱাৰ কোনো আৱশ্যক নাই। গতিকে মই ভাবো ইয়াৰ দ্বাৰা জনসাধাৰণ কেতিয়াও সন্তোষ হ'ব নোৱাৰে। নতুন আচনিৰ ভিতৰত



Irrigation Drainage আৰু Construction আদি কেন্দ্ৰীয় চৰকাৰে এতিয়াহে অনুমোদন কৰিছে। কিন্তু এই আচনি সমূহৰ টকা ৩১ মাৰ্চৰ ভিতৰত কেনেকৈ খৰচ কৰিব পাৰিব? গতিকে টকা surrender কৰাৰ বাহিৰে আন উপায় নাই।

**\*Shri MOHI KANTA DAS (Barchalla):** অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, মাননীয় সদস্যই জোৰ দিছে টকা খৰচ কৰিব পাৰিবনে নোৱাৰে, তেখেতে অন্য কথাত জোৰ দিয়া নাই। ইয়াত বহুত খৰচ আছে যেনে Agriculture loan, Stipends for Technical Education and other scholarships. কিছুমান কথা বাজেট কৰাৰ সময়ত envisage কৰা সম্ভৱ নহয়। কামত আগবাঢ়ি যোৱাৰ লগে লগে সেই বোৰত দৃষ্টি পৰে আৰু কৰিব লগা হয়। তেখেতে কৈছে যে বাজেট কৰাৰ সময়তো বহুত টকা savings হয়। তেখেতৰ মতে যেতিয়ালৈকে চৰকাৰে গাৰাটি দিব নোৱাৰিব তেতিয়ালৈকে বাজেট পাচ কৰিব নোৱাৰিব। সেইদৰে যেতিয়ালৈকে গাৰাটি দিব নোৱাৰিব তেতিয়ালৈকে Supplementary বাজেটৰ টকাও পাব নোৱাৰিব। এইদৰে হলে কোনো চৰকাৰে বাজেট পাচ কৰিব নোৱাৰিব। চৰকাৰে যি শিতানত টকা ধৰে তাক খৰচ কৰিবৰ বাবে আশ্ৰয় চেষ্টা কৰে। যদি টকা খৰচ কৰিব নোৱাৰে তেনেহলে সমালোচনা কৰিবলৈ আমাৰ অধিকাৰ আছে। Public Accounts Committee আদিত সমালোচনা কৰিবলৈ যথেষ্ট সুবিধা পাব। সেইবিলাক আৱশ্যকীয় item কিছুমান খৰচ Contingency Fund ৰ পৰা কৰিছে। গতিকে শুভ কামৰ খৰচ যদি পাচ কৰিব নিদিয় (ইয়াৰ লগতে দুখীয়া লৰাছোৱালীৰ বৃত্তিৰ টকাও ধৰা আছে) তেন্তে সমাজৰ হিতসাধন হ'ব বুলি মই নেভাবো। গতিকে আমাৰ কৰ্তব্য হ'ব চৰকাৰৰ লগত যথেষ্ট সহযোগিতা কৰি এই Supplementary বাজেট পাচ কৰা। মই এই Supplementary বাজেট পাচ কৰা সমৰ্থন কৰিছো।

**Mr. SPEAKER:** Shri Ahmed.

**\*Shri FAKHRUDDIN ALI AHMED (Minister, Finance):** Mr. Speaker, Sir, some objections have been raised by an hon. Member on this Bill when the motion has been moved. Sir, we cannot set a new convention in this house. Such observations.....

**Mr SPEAKER:** Order, order. I want to make the point clear to the hon. Minister. Rule 154, sub-rule 4 of the Assembly Rules is very clear on this point. It says—"The Speaker may, in order to avoid repetition of debate, require members desiring to take part in discussion on an Appropriation Bill to give advance intimation of the specific points they intend to raise, and he may withhold permission for raising such of the points as in his opinion appear to be repetitions of the matters discussed on a demand for grant or as may not be of sufficient public importance." Therefore, the hon. Minister can only object for not giving previous intimation; otherwise he cannot have any at all. It is upto the Speaker to see whether there are repetitions of the matters already discussed and if there are repetitions then the Speaker can withhold permission raising such points. As I have seen in the previous discussion also the practice was that generally these things are not discussed by the members and automatically the bill was passed. If any member want to raise certain discussion and if those do not form part discussions which were already made in the passing of the demands, then there can be no objection at all.



**M. MOINUL HAQUE CHOUDHURY (Minister, Food, Agriculture, etc):** May I speak one word, Sir? I refer to sub-clause No. (3) and (5) of Rule 154 rule No. 3. I stood Sir, to raise an objection about the speech of the hon. Member when he was speaking at length about irrigation project and that no sanction has been given. Is there word there in the demands for grant Sir, asking for anything about irrigation?

**Mr. SPEAKER:** He can discuss the policy implied in the grants.

**\*Shri HIRALAL PATWARY (Panery):** Embankment and also.

**M. MOINUL HAQUE CHOUDHURY:** There is nothing for any embankment and drainage projects also.

**Shri HIRALAL PATWARY:** Rupees 18 lakhs is there for embankment and drainage.

**Mr. SPEAKER:** Which item? At what page?

**Shri HIRALAL PATWARY:** Item No. 9.

**M. MOINUL HAQUE CHOUDHURY:** There is nothing for irrigation in the whole grant, Sir.

**\*Shri HIRALAL PATWARY (Panery):** These is an amount.

**Mr. SPEAKER:** For the satisfaction of the Hon. Minister, I want to place before for consideration one rule from the Lok Sabha rules of procedures and conduct of business. In Lok Sabha rule 218 (4), it is provided that the debate on an Appropriation Bill shall be restricted to matters of public importance or administrative policy implied in the grants covered by the Bill which have not already been raised while the relevant demands for grants were under consideration.

Therefore, the only restriction is that there should be no repetition. If he raise certain new points and criticises the administrative policy of the Government, I do not see any scope in the rules to debar the Member from doing so. I cannot appreciate therefore the hon. Minister.

**\*Shri FAKHRUDDIN ALI AHMED (Minister, Finance):** I was not referring to the rule which you have mentioned, Sir. What I was pointing out in this House is that new convention is being set for discussing these matters on a motion for introduction. Whatever discussion is permissible or not permissible, that ought to have been made after I had moved the motion for consideration of the Bill. I submit, Sir, that the introduction of the Bill cannot be opposed on this ground. I mean, there must be some inherent defect in the Bill which requires opposition at the introduction stage. But so far as the consideration of the various other things to which the Hon'ble Member wants to draw his attention, that ought to have been made at a time after the motion for consideration was moved. I do not know whether the purpose of the Hon'ble Member is that he wants to throw out the Bill. I do not know whether he is objecting to the introduction of the Bill at all. Anyhow, Sir, I wish to repeat again that it would have been profitable both for the House and the Hon'ble Member that.....



**Mr. SPEAKER :** I draw the attention of the Hon'ble Minister, Irrigation, to page 2 of the schedule, item No. 9—Charges on account of navigation, embankments and drainage works—voted by the Assembly Rs. 5,62,001. He should not mislead the House like this.

**M. MOINUL HAQUE CHOUDHURY :** I did not mislead the House, Sir,...

**Mr. SPEAKER :** Order, order please. I hope the Hon'ble Minister has sufficient amount of responsibility and he should not behave like this.

**\*Shri BIMALA PRASAD CHALIHA (Chief Minister) :** This particular item which he was referring to in this provision is only for building.

**Mr. SPEAKER :** My point is that this item refers to irrigation and embankment and drainage and that item is there clearly written in the schedule.

**\*Shri FAKHRUDDIN ALI AHMED (Minister, Finance) :** What I was submitting before you was that it would have been more profitable for the house if these specific questions had been raised when that particular grant was moved. So far as the item under irrigation, embankment and drainage was concerned, as far as I remember, this question was raised on a cut motion and this only refers to the expenditure incurred on the Secretariat building, and not on any other irrigation project either in Mangaldai or in any other district and my friend here has raised objection that certain administrative approvals have not been given to irrigation project in Mangaldai. As far as I am aware, Sir, under the 2nd 5-Year Plan, no amount was allotted under Major Irrigation. So, the question of administrative approval cannot arise as far as the major irrigation projects are concerned and I do not know wherefrom the Hon'ble Member learnt that the administrative approval for this major irrigation project had not been given, an irrigation project can be taken either under the Community Project or minor irrigation or some other irrigation and ten lakhs of rupees which were taken by the Agriculture Department and unless and until specifically things are mentioned that such administrative approval with regard to such and such schemes have not been given, is not possible for the Government to make an enquiry about this matter and see where the defect rises. Only making a general observation sometime referring to the case of some Anchalik Panchayat that there you see, this rumour has been spread, I do not know how a particular Anchalik Panchayat gets the information that so much amount will be surrendered. Then, sir, the other day, I made it clear that even the Finance Department does not know what actual surrender will be under every Department. We can only work on the basis of guess. We can only what is likely to be the Position after seeing the expenditure, but unless and until the figures are available after the 15th of March, then only it will be possible for me to say what actually will be surrendered in a particular department, and if that is the position I do not know how could the Anchalik Panchayat in the Mangaldai Subdivision could get the information that a Particular Department

\*Speech not corrected.



will be saving the expenditure. That really is beyond my comprehension. Now, Sir, when these matters are raised they should be raised on some specific instances and not merely for the purpose of criticising refer to all kinds of things generally. So I would not take the time of the House.

So far as the Supplementary Demands are concerned, they are mainly in connection with 3 or 4 things. One is the expenditure incurred on account of Mautom relief; expenditure incurred on account of disturbances, expenditure incurred for the State Trading purpose and expenditure incurred for grants received from the Government of India for a particular purpose, may be under article 275 the Constitution and I am sure that it is not the purpose of the Hon'ble Members to say that if we receive any grant from Government of India, we should not spend that amount. But that expenditure has to be approved by the House. In my opinion the grants which we have received from Government of India for a specific purpose have been spent or some portions out of those are likely to be spent during this year and for this we have come forward to get approval of this House.

Then also in a number of places when the expenditure was put under different heads when they had had to be transferred or changed, we have to come forward before the House explaining that the expenditure had to be shown under a particular head and it may not be the case that everything provided under the budget or under the Supplementary Grants—that every pie of it has to be spent. In no State, if no country it is ever done I have never come across such instance.....

**\*Shri HIRALAL PATWARI (Panery) :** At least 90 per cent they can spend.

**\*Shri FAKHRUDDIN ALI AHMED (Minister, Finance) :** If I may submit, Sir, if the Hon'ble Member would carefully consider all these things—expenditure which are in the nature of social services more than 90 per cent of which are spent in our State also. Expenditure involving construction works are sometimes held up because of lack of materials like iron, cement and steel. Only in that direction expenditure is not made according to what has been provided in the budget. Sometimes there is the difficulty of land acquisition where a building has to be constructed. On account of litigation and on account of rights of the person whose property has to be acquired sometimes the matter cannot be taken up in course of the year. If that persons choose to go to the District Court or High Court, of course expenditure provided in the budget cannot be spent. Only in these matters there is saving and I think what I have said will be justified from the facts and figures if the Hon'ble Members will be pleased to look at them. Money provided for scholarships, grants to school and other social service activities, practically everything has been spent and only where it is not possible on account of lack of material or land difficulty that expenditure is not incurred.

*\*Speech not corrected*



So far as the question of giving administrative approval is concerned surely it is our concern to see that these matters are expedited and if the Hon'ble Member had cited one specific instance under one particular department that because of such and such thing something was not done, the Minister-in-charge would have taken note of it and proper action would have been taken to see that the matter is expedited. But unfortunately in the whole of his speech except a general observation, we have not been able to get any concrete instance where the administrative approval is lacking or where on account of administrative approval some work has been held up. With these words, Sir.....

**Mr. SPEAKER:** He referred to certain specific cases also.

**\*Shri HIRALAL PATWARY:** I can give the names—  
Harisinga Leprosy Colony.

Hatigarh Labour Welfare Centre.

Duni Veterinary Dispensary.

Tongla Veterinary Dispensary.

Pachim Patla Veterinary Dispensary.

Udalguri Thana.

Tongla Outpost.

Local Board Dispensary.

**\*Shri FAKHRUDDIN ALI AHMED (Finance Minister):** I was saying .....

**Mr. SPEAKER:** He made references in course of his speech. You cannot say that he did not refer to specific matters.

**\*Shri FAKHRUDDIN ALI AHMED:** I am still wanting to hear from the hon. Member in which particular project administrative approval.

**Mr. SPEAKER:** My point is that the hon. Member referred to certain matters and the hon'ble Minister says that those matters were not specifically stated and he wants the informations on them. The hon. Member has given certain informations. The Minister did not give him any reply in connection with those specific projects.

**\*Shri FAKHRUDDIN ALI AHMED:** As I said it is very difficult for the Finance Minister to reply to those specific.

**Mr. SPEAKER:** Then how can you reply ?

**\*Shri FAKHRUDDIN ALI AHMED:** The Minister-in-charge will take note of it—this is what I am saying. It is difficult for the Minister to reply if these objections are taken at this stage. I again repeat that it will

*\*Speech not corrected.*



be good for the House and good for the Government if these matters are specially raised when these grants come before the House. Then we shall be able to find out if there is any difficulty there.

With these words I oppose his motion to pass the introduction of this Bill.

**Mr. SPEAKER:** I put the question. The question is that the Assam Appropriation Bill, 1961 be introduced.

(The question was adopted).

(The Secretary, Legislative Assembly read the title of the Bill.)

**\*Shri FAKHRUDDIN ALI AHMED (Minister, Finance):** I beg to move that the Assam Appropriation (No.1) Bill, 1961 be taken into consideration.

**Mr. SPEAKER :** The motion is moved.

The question is that the Assam Appropriation (No.1 Bill, 1961 be) taken into consideration.

(The question was adopted).

**\*Shri FAKHRUDDIN ALI AHMED:** There is no amendment. I beg to move that the Assam Appropriation (No.1) Bill, 1961 be passed.

**Mr. SPEAKER:** Motion moved is that the Assam Appropriation (No.1) Bill, 1961 be passed.

**\*Shri HIRALAL PATAWARY (Panery):** মাননীয় অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয় সিদিনা কিছুমান থ্রাণ্ট discussion কৰি পাচ কৰিছে আৰু কিছুমান discussion নো-হোৱাকৈয়ে গিলোটিন কৰি পাচ কৰিলে যিহওক আজিৰ বিলত কিছুমান টকা থকা হৈছে সেই টকা জনসাধাৰণৰ একো ভালৰ কাৰণে নহয়। তাৰে মাত্ৰ দুটা item ৰ বিষয়ে মই আলোচনা কৰিম। সেইটো হৈছে Grant No.59 আৰু Grant No.61.

**Mr. SPEAKER:** I cannot allow same thing to be repeated here.

**\*Shri HIRALAL PATAWARY:** মজলদৈ মহকুমাত বানপানী হৈ গল তাত কিছুমান টকা allotment কৰিছে।

টকা খিনি ৩১ মাৰ্চৰ আগতে খৰচ কৰিব লাগে কিন্তু নিৰ্দ্ধাৰিত সময়ত খৰচ কৰিব নোৱাৰে।

**Mr. SPEAKER:** Give it to me.

**\*Shri HIRALAL PATWARY:** কিছুমান খৰচ কৰিছে আৰু কিছুমান খৰচ কৰিব পৰা নাই।



**Shri FAKHRUDDIN ALI AHMED** : Here, I may just inform the hon. Member that so far our planning expenditure is concerned, ours is the lowest in comparison with other States.

**Mr. SPEAKER** : Now I put the question. The question is that the Assam Appropriation (No.1) Bill, 1961 be passed.

(The question was adopted)

**Laying out copies of the Report of the Rules Committee under Assembly Rule 260**

**M. MOINUL HAQUE CHAUDHURY (Minister, Parliamentary Affairs)** : I beg to lay under Assembly Rule 260 the Report of the Rules Committee.

**Election to the Committee on public Accounts.**

**M. MOINUL HAQUE CHOUDHURY** : I beg leave to move that this Assembly do elect seven Members, under Assembly Rule 242 to the Committee on Public Accounts to function from the 15th June, 1961.

**Mr. SPEAKER** : Motion moved.

The question is that this Assembly do elect seven members under Assembly Rule 242 to the Committee on Public Accounts to function from the 15th June, 1961.

(The question was adopted)

**Election to the Committee on Estimates.**

**M. MOINUL HAQUE CHOUDHURY** : I beg leave to move that this Assembly do elect ten members, under Assembly Rule 244 to the Committee on Estimates to function from 15th June, 1961.

**Mr. SPEAKER** : Motion moved.

The question is that This Assembly do elect ten Members, under Assembly Rule 244 to the Committee on Estimates to function from 15th June, 1961.

(The question was adopted)

**Laying out copies of the Assam Panchayat (Financial) Rules, 1960**

**Shri FAKHRUDDIN ALI AHMED (Minister, Panchayat)** : I beg leave to lay out copies of the Assam Panchayat (Financial) Rules, 1960.



**Laying out copies of the Assam Municipal (qualifications), conditions of Service, Power, Function and Duties of Executive Officers) Rules, 1960**

**Shri FAKHRUDDIN ALI AHMED (Minister, L.S.G.) :** I beg to lay out copies of the Assam Municipal (Qualification, Condition of Service' Powers, Functions and Duties of Executive Officers) Rules, 1960.

**Laying out copies of the Assam Tea Plantations Employees Welfare Fund Rules, 1960**

**Shri KAMAKHYA PRASAD TRIPATHY (Minister, Labour) :** I beg to lay out the Assam Tea Plantation Employees Welfare Fund Rules, 1960.

**Laying out the Hindu Marriage (Assam) Rules, 1961**

**Shri RUPNATH BRAHMA :** I beg to lay out the Hindu Marriage (Assam) Rules, 1961.

**The Assam Opiums Prohibition (Amendment) Bill, 1961**

**Shri HARESWAR DAS (Minister, Excise) :** I beg leave to introduced the Assam Opium Prohibition (Amendment) Bill, 1961.

**Mr. SPEAKER :** The motion moved is that leave be granted to introduce the Assam Opium Prohibition (Amendment) Bill, 1961.

(The question was adopted.)

**Shri HARESWAR DAS :** Sir, I beg to introduce the Assam Opium Prohibition (Amendment) Bill, 1961.

**Mr. SPEAKER :** The motion moved. The question is that the Assam Opium Prohibition (Amendment) Bill, 1961 be introduced.

(The question was adopted.)

(The Secretary Legislative Assembly read the title of the Bill.)

**The Assam Ganja and Bhang (Prohibition) (Amendment) Bill, 1961**

**Shri HARESWAR DAS :** I beg leave to introduce the Assam Ganja and Bhang (Prohibition) (Amendment) Bill, 1961.

**Mr. SPEAKER :** Motion moved :

The question is that leave be granted to introduce the Assam Ganja and Bhang (Prohibition) (Amendment) Bill, 1961.



**\*Shri HIRALAL PATWARY (Panery):** মাননীয় অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, মাননীয় মন্ত্রী মহোদয়ে যিখন বিল সদনত দাঙি ধৰিছে সেই খনৰ Statement and objects ত আছে।

The Principal Act., suffers from a draw back since the two articles viz, ganja and bhang when possessed together make it a criminal offence and not when either of them is possessed alone. As it is the intention to prohibit possession of both the articles whether possessing joint or separately, it is proposed to make an amendment to the Act to achieve the end in view. Hence the Bill also proposes to give power to the Assistant Inspector of Excise and Sub-Inspector of Police for search and seizure of contraband goods.

ইয়াৰ গাঞ্জা আৰু ভাঙত আমি দেখিবলৈ পোও যে Opium Prohibition including গাঞ্জা আৰু ভাং এই তিনি ক্ষেত্ৰতে আমি গাঞ্জা আৰু ভাঙৰ কথা কও। সেই ভাং সাধাৰণতে জব্দলত হয়। এতিয়া ভাং বুলিলে কিবুজো Main Act ত তাৰ সূত্ৰ দিয়া নাই। গতিকে যোৰ বোধেৰে এই বিলত অলপ খেলি-মেলি হ'ব যেন লাগে।

**Mr. SPEAKER:** Being the Chief Whip of the Opposition Party, you should behave in the manner which does not impinge on your dignity.

**Mr. SPEAKER:** We have already finished the Principal Act, this is an amending Bill.

**Shri HARESWAR DAS (Minister, Excise):** This is an introduction stage, at consideration stage these points may be raised.

**Mr. SPEAKER:** The question is that leave be granted to introduce the Bill.

(The question was adopted).

**Shri HARESWAR DAS:** Sir, I beg to introduce the Assam Ganja and Bhang (Prohibition) Amendment) Bill, 1961.

**Mr. SPEAKER:** The motion moved. The question is that the Assam Ganja and Bhang (Prohibition) (Amendment) Bill, 1961 be introduced.

(The question was adopted).

(The Secretary, Legislative Assembly read the title of the Bill).



**Government Resolution re: approved of an expenditure of Rs.4,36,329 under the head "50—Civil Works—State (excluding establishment and Tools and Plants)"**

**Shri BIMALA PRASAD CHALIHA (Chief Minister) :—**

That this Assembly do approve of an expenditure of Rs.4,36,329 under the head "50.—Civil Works—State (excluding Establishment and Tools and Plant)" for the items below. The amount involved will be met from the sanctioned grant.

**"50.—Civil Works—State—(excluding Establishment and Tools and Plant)" :—**

	General	Sixth Schedule (Part A) Areas	Total
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
I.—Grant originally voted by the Assembly ..	5,37,46,000	1,98,64,600	7,36,10,600
II.—Supplementary Grant voted by the Assembly during the year.	12,92,850	9,91,921	22,84,771

III.—Sub-head under which the appropriation will be accounted for :—

#### NORMAL

##### A.—Original Works—

##### (a) Buildings—Voted

Veterinary .. .. .	5,000	..	5,000
Civil Works .. .. .	32,000	..	32,000
<b>Total—(a) Buildings ..</b>	<b>37,000</b>	<b>..</b>	<b>37,000</b>

##### (b) Communications—

Ordinary Roads .. .. .	..	11,380	11,380
Do.—Restoration of flood damage ..	2,57,000	72,000	3,29,000
Boats, Brcges and Ferries .. .. .	18,949	..	18,949
Petrol Tax Projects—Ordinary .. .. .	30,000	..	30,000
Motor Taxe Projects .. .. .	10,000	..	10,000
<b>Total—(b) Communications ..</b>	<b>3,15,949</b>	<b>83,380</b>	<b>3,99,329</b>
<b>GRAND TOTAL ..</b>	<b>3,52,949</b>	<b>83,380</b>	<b>4,36,329</b>







## APPENDIX 'A'

## Schedule of New Schemes proposed to be included in the Budget for the year 1960-61

Major, minor and sub-head under which the provision should be made	Name of the Schemes	Estimate of ultimate cost		Estimate of expenditure in 1960-61			Remarks (Explanatory Notes)	
		Non-recurring	Recurring	Total	Non-recurring	Recurring		Total
		(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)		(8)
(1)	(2)	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
50.—Civil Works—State —A.—Original works— (a) Buildings—Veterinary—General— Voted".	1. Construction of Class room in extension of Pathology Laboratory in the Compound of Veterinary Department, Gauhati.	17,120	..	17,120	5,000	..	5,000 The construction of Class room is urgently required for smooth running of the classes.	



Major, Minor and sub-head under which the provision should be made	Nature of scheme	Estimate of ultimate cost				Estimate of expenditure in 1960-61		Remarks (Explanatory Notes)
		Non-recurring	Recurring	Total		Non-recurring	Recurring	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		(6)	(7)	(8)
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
50.—Civil Works—State —A.—Original Works— (a) Buildings—Civil Works—General—Voted.	1. Construction of an office building for Subdivisional Officer, Public Works Department, Gologhat.	26,500	..	26,500		15,000	..	15,000
								Construction of the office building for Subdivisional Officer, Public Works Department, Gologhat is imperatively necessary to accommodate the staff as it is not possible to accommodate both the Subdivisional and Divisional Offices in the same house as at present due to increase of staff.
	2. Construction of Public Works Department Magazine and two units of Chowkidar's shed at Mangaldoi.	19,800	..	19,800		10,000	..	10,000
								Due to heavy programme of Border Areas Works and other normal and plan works, the construction of Magazine and Chowkidar's sheds are urgently necessary.



3. Extension of Executive Engineer's office at Karingaj. 13,000 .. 13,000 7,000 .. 7,000] There is no accommodation in the Executive Engineer's Office at Karingaj, to keep record which are lying at present in the verandah of the said building. It is therefore essential to extend the building immediately.

Total—Civil Works ..	—	..	..	32,000	..	32,000
----------------------	---	----	----	--------	----	--------

“50.—Civil Works—State  
—A.—Original Works—  
(b) Communications  
Ordinary Roads Sixth  
Schedule (Part A)  
Area—Voted”.

1. Construction of manned level crossing near Diphu Railway Station on Diphu-Mohendijua Road.

11,380 .. 11,380 11,380 .. 11,380 Construction of the level crossing is essentially necessary as the existing level crossing falls within the shunting limit which causes regular obstruction to traffic.

Total—Ordinary Roads ..	..	..	..	11,380	..	11,380
-------------------------	----	----	----	--------	----	--------

Do—Restoration of Flood  
Damages—General—  
Voted.

1. Flood damage repairs to Dhandasyam Ali bridge No. 8/1 and 10-2.

10,240 .. 10,240 4,000 .. 4,000 Items 1-27—Under General and 1-11 under Sixth Schedule (Part A) Areas.

2. Flood damage repairs to Kordoiguri Ali bridge No. 4-2.

10,100 .. 10,100 3,000 .. 3,000 The flood in Assam during the last monsoon (1960-61) have caused serious damages to the Public Works Department Roads. To make the roads open to regular traffic and to restore the damages done by the flood, these works are essentially necessary.

3. Flood damage repairs to unmetalled Golaghat Dimapur road length 0-83 miles.

80,000 .. 80,000 10,000 .. 10,000



APPENDIX "A"—*contd.*

Major, Minor and sub-head under which the provision should be made

Name of the Scheme	Estimate of ultimate cost			Estimate of expenditure during 1960-61		
	Non-recurring		Total	Non-recurring		Total
	(3)	(4)	(5)	(7)	(8)	
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
4. Flood damage repairs to unmetalled Nogra Ali.	1,35,000	..	1,35,000	20,000	20,000	
5. Flood damage repairs to Roha Kalgachia road for 1959-60.	58,300	..	58,300	10,000	10,000	
6. Flood damage repairs to Howli Jania road for 1959-60.	1,02,700	..	1,02,700	10,000	10,000	
7. Flood damage repairs to Hudukhata Naosali road for 1959-60.	25,900	..	25,900	2,000	2,000	
8. Flood damage repairs to Bhowanipur Simlaguri road for 1959-60.	30,800	..	30,800	2,000	2,000	
9. Flood damage repairs to Sedari Anandapur Nityane anda Sathisamka road for 1959-60	60,800	..	60,800	2,000	2,000	



10. Flood damage repairs to Tiha Lechima road for 1959-60.	76,700	..	76,700	10,000	..	10,000
11. Flood damage repairs to Jania Lengla road (remaining Length) for 1959-60.	70,600	..	70,600	15,000	..	15,000
12. Flood damage repairs to Bortola Kaldia Kachua road for 1959-60.	32,000	..	32,000	6,000	..	6,000
13. Flood damage repairs to Rangiya Goreswar for 1959-60.	74,400	..	74,400	8,000	..	8,000
14. Flood damage repairs to unmetalled N. T. Road East section I in Ghilamara Subdivision for 1960-61 (bridge in 9th mile).	19,950	...	19,950	7,000	..	7,000
15. Flood damage repairs to Mangaldai Bhuttachang road in Tangla Subdivision for 1959-60.	17,548	...	17,548	15,000	...	15,000
16. Flood damage repairs to extended portion of Mal-low Public Works Department Road.	54,000	..	54,000	10,000	..	10,000
17. Flood damage repairs to unmetalled Majuli road damaged by flood in 1957-58.	81,200	..	81,200	8,000	..	8,000
18. Flood damage repairs to Roklamukh Kalbari road in West Subdivision for 1958-59.	2,27,600	..	2,27,600	10,000	...	10,000



APPENDIX 'A'—*contd.*

Major, Minor and Sub-head under which the provision should be made	Nature of the Scheme	Estimate of ultimate cost				Estimate of expenditure in 1960-61				Remarks (Explanatory Notes)
		Non-recurring		Recurring		Non-recurring		Recurring		
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)		
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.			
	19. Flood damage repairs to unmetalled J. C. Road in Bilashipara Subdivision for 1959-60 (timber bridge No. 9/1, 9/2 and 11/1).	1,10,000	..	1,10,000	40,000	..	40,000			
	20. Flood damage repairs to unmetalled Barangajuli Khoirabari road in Tangla Subdivision for the year 1960-61.	19,000	..	19,000	10,000	..	10,000			
	21. Flood damage repairs to unmetalled Bhutach ng Jitabhola road in Tangla Subdivision for 1960-61.	13,500	..	13,500	6,000	..	6,000			
	22. Flood damage repairs to unmetalled Mackenzia road in Tangla Subdivision for 1960-61.	11,200	..	11,200	4,000	..	4,000			
	23. Flood damage repairs to Tangla Bhergaon road in Tangla Subdivision for 1960-61.	14,500	..	14,500	6,000	..	6,000			



24. F. D. R. to Dipila Bari- gaon road in Subdivi- sion for 1960-61.	30,000	..	30,000	10,000	..	10,000
25. F. D. R. to Dhubri- Gauripur road (metall- ed) in Dhubri Subdivi- sion for 1960-61.	78,000	..	78,000	10,000	..	10,000
26. F. D. R. to Bridge No.3/1 on Kaldoba- Gharaldanga-Jink at a- Halakura-Boterhat- Tamorhat Road for 196-61.	16,750	..	16,750	5,000	..	5,000
27. F. D. R. to Mangaldai- Patharighat-Khoirabari road in Tangla subdivi- sion for 1960-61.	12,000	..	12,000	4,000	..	4,000
28. Permanent Restora- tion of F. D. to Chow- kinghat Ferry approach Road.	1,85,000	..	1,85,000	10,000	..	10,000

---

Total—Restoration of Flood  
Damages—General.

---

..

..

..

..

..

..

..

..

..

..

..

..

..

..

..

..

---

1. F. D. R. to Diphu-  
Mahendijua road for  
1959-60

---

50,370

..

..

..

..

..

..

..

..

..

..

..

\*50—Civil Works—State-  
—A.O.W.—(b) Com-  
munications —Ordinary  
Roads—Restoration of  
flood damages—Sixth  
Schedule (Part A)  
Areas=Voted"



## APPENDIX—A

Major, Minor Sub-head under which the provision should be made	Nature of Schemes	Estimates of ultimate cost				Estimate of expenditure in 1960-61				Remarks (Explanatory Notes)
		Non-recurring		Recurring		Non-recurring		Recurring		
		(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)		
(1)	(2)	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
	2. F. D. R. to D. M. D. road in Diphu I subdi- vision (miles 24/4F to 50) for 1959-60.	24,080	..	24,080	5,000	..	5,000	..	5,000	
	3. F. D. R. to Tura-Man- kaehar road (VI Sche- dule).	48,000	..	48,000	10,000	..	10,000	..	10,000	
	4. F. D. R. to Tura- Phulbari road (VI Schedule).	14,500	..	14,500	7,000	..	7,000	..	7,000	
	5. F. D. R. to Aidoba- Pipulbari-Singimari road (G).	25,000	..	25,000	5,000	..	5,000	..	5,000	
	6. F. D. R. to Baghmara- Mohadev road section I.	30,000	..	30,000	10,000	..	10,000	..	10,000	



7. F. D. R. to Rongram-Rongrengiri road (34 miles).	14,500	..	14,500	30,000	..	10,000
8. F. D. R. to Tura-Dalu road.	22,000	..	22,000	6,000	..	6,000
9. F. D. R. to Dalu-Baghmara road 'O' to 41/1F.	18,000	..	18,000	6,000	..	6,000
10. F. D. R. to Kampur-Singmari road section I 1st to 9th mile in Baitalanesoo subdivision for 1960-61.	23,600	..	23,600	5,000	..	5,000
11. F. D. R. to Tumpreng-Daukomakan road section I (1st to 25 miles).	11,400	..	11,400	3,000	..	3,000

---

Total—Restoration of Flood damages—Sixth Schedule.	..	...	..	72,000	..	72,000
--	----	-----	----	--------	----	--------

---

#50—Civil Works—State—A.O.W.—(b) Communication—Ordinary Roads—Boats,—Bridges, Ferries—General—Voted.

1. Compensation for loss of life and property due to Jhabhorali Boat disaster on the 7th October 1957.

It was decided in the Cabinet meeting held on 3rd September 1960 to compensate the loss of life and property of the passengers in the Jhabhorali Boat disaster on the 7th October 1957.

---

Total—Boats, Bridges and Ferries.	..	...	..	18,949	...	18,949
-----------------------------------	----	-----	----	--------	-----	--------

---



## APPENDIX "A"—contd.

Major, Minor and sub-heads under which provision should be made.	Nature of Scheme	Estimate of ultimate cost		Estimate of expenditure for 1960-61		Remarks		
		Non-recurrent	Recurring Total	Non-recurrent	Recurring Total			
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
“50.—Civil Works—State—A.O.W.—(b) Communications—Ordinary Roads—Petrol Tax Project—Ordinary—General—Voted”.	1. Timber bridge over Jinari river on Harimara Krishnai road.	55,000	..	55,000	30,000	..	30,000	This project has been taken-up in place of “Extension of Manipur-Bachbari road” as later on it was found that construction of the road will not stand. Hence the road is substituted by the bridge to spend the approved amount of Government of India. Separation approval has also been obtained from them for this work.
	Total—Petrol Tax Project	..	..	..	30,000	..	30,000	
“50.—Civil Works—State A.O.W.—(b) Communication—Ordinary Roads, Motor Tax Projects—General—Voted”.	1. Improvement of Quinton road.	12,500	..	12,500	10,000	..	10,000	The road has been taken over by Public Works Department after the current year's (1960-61). Budget had been passed. Hence the proposal to meet the current year's requirement through Resolution.
	Total—Motor Tax Projects	..	..	..	10,000	..	10,000	

**Mr. SPEAKER** The resolution moved is that this Assembly do approve of expenditure of Rs.4,36,329 under the head “50 Civil Works State (excluding establishment and Tools and Plants)” for the items above. The amount involved will be met from the sanctioned grant.

(The resolution was put as a question and adopted)

**Mr. SPEAKER** The resolution moved is that this Assembly do approve of expenditure of Rs.4,36,329 under the head "50 Civil Works State (excluding establishment and Tools and Plants)" for the items above. The amount involved will be met from the sanctioned grant.

(The resolution was put as a question and adopted)



**Government Resolution re: approved of an expenditure of  
Rs.3,38,000 under the head "81—Capital Account of Civil  
Works outside the Revenue Account, etc."**

Shri BIMALA PRASAD CHALIHA (Chief Minister):

That this Assembly do approve of an expenditure of Rs.3,38,000 under the head—"81.—Capital Account of Civil Works Outside the Revenue Account, etc." for the items below. The amount will be met from the sanctioned grant.

**"81.—Capital Accounts of Civil Works Outside the Revenue Accounts,  
etc".**

	General	Sixth Schedule (Part A) Areas	Total
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
I.—Grant originally voted by the Assembly.	3,96,91,200	35,52,300	4,32,43,500
II.—Supplementary Grant voted by the Assembly during the year.	40,000	...	40,000
III.—Sub-head under which appropriation will be accounted for—			
A.—Original Works—(a) Buildings—			
General Administration ...	1,20,065	8,000	1,28,065
—Do— Expenditure in connection with the Naga Hills Operation—Sixth Schedule (Part A) Areas—Voted.	...	68,235	68,235
Police ...	10,000	...	10,000
Education ...	2,000	...	2,000
Medical ...	5,000	...	5,000
Public Health ...	2,000	10,000	12,000
Veterinary ...	20,000	...	20,000
Total—(a) Buildings ..	1,59,065	86,235	2,45,300
(b) Communications—			
Ordinary Roads ...	15,000	51,000	66,000
—Do— Restoration of flood damages.	4,000	...	4,000
Boats, Bridges and Ferries	23,000	...	23,000
Total—(b) Communications—	42,000	51,000	93,000
GRAND TOTAL ...	2,01,065	1,37,235	3,38,000



## EXPLANATORY NOTES

(i) Necessity of each work has been explained against each in Appendix "A".

(ii) A sum of Rs.2,00,000 was provided for the works—Construction of students Hostel for Engineering College at Jorhat. The classification of this work has since been changed to "Development Scheme" from "normal". Hence the whole provision will remain under "81—Capital Account, etc., Normal" as savings.

2. Another sum of Rs.3,00,000 was provided for the work "Construction of an Additional Court building at Gauhati". The whole amount will remain as saving as the site selected for the work has not yet been vacated.

The fund required for the works detailed in Appendix "A" during the current financial year will be met out of the above savings. These works have cropped up after the current year's budget (1960-61) had been passed.



## APPENDIX A

### Schedule of new Schemes



## APPENDIX 'A'

## Schedule of New Schemes proposed to be included in the Budget for the year 1960-61

Major, Minor and Sub-heads under which the provision should be made (1)	Name of the schemes (2)	Estimate of ultimate cost			Estimate of expenditure for 1960-61			Remarks (Explanatory Notes)
		Non-recurring	Recurring	Total	Non-recurring	Recurring	Total	
		(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
"81—Capital Ac- count of Civil Works outside the Revenue Account A. O. W.—(a) Buildings—Gene- ral Administra- tion—General— Voted."	1. Carrying out certain additional work in Legislative Assembly, Shillong.	52,400	..	52,400	30,000	..	30,000	The work for "Carrying out certain Additional work in the Legislative Assembly Building at Shillong" is imperatively necessary to accommodate the increased staff.
	2. Resumption of Rencot Property at Shillong.	19,274	..	9,274	19,274	..	19,274	The amount is urgently required for payment of compensation for resumption of Rencot Property.
	3. Construction of Additional building for District Judges Court at Silchar.	20,440	..	20,440	5,000	..	5,000	Expenditure is urgently required to be incurred as High Court pointed out some urgency for this work.
	4. Proposal for purchasing the Mission buildings and land near the Sibsagar tank in Sibsagar Town.	35,000	..	35,000	35,000	..	35,000	The building is urgently required to accommodate S. D. O. (Civil), Sibsagar and hence the expenditure is required urgently as there is no other building to accommodate the S.D.O.



81—Capital Ac- count of Civil Works outside the Revenue Account A. O. W.—(a) Buildings—General Administration—General—Voted.”

14,450	..	14,450	10,000	..	10,000
--------	----	--------	--------	----	--------

The Enumerator's shed and Chowkider's shed attached to Election Office building at Goalpara have not been constructed before. As these two sheds are urgently required to run the office smoothly it is proposed to construct these sheds during the current financial year at an estimated cost of Rs.14,450 only.

6. Cost of acquisition of land and buildings of Kumar S. N. Deb and others for construction of E.A.C.s quarters at Dhubri.

The amount is urgently required to pay the cost of acquisition of land and building which have been acquired for Government purpose (E. A. C.'s quarters).

Total—General-Administration-General

20,791	..	20,791	20,791	..	20,791
..	..	..	1,20,065	..	1,20,065

81—Capital Ac- count of Civil Works outside the Revenue Account A. O. W.—(a) Buildings—General Administration—Sixth Schedule (Part A) Areas—Voted.”

The creation of a new Subdivision in Garo Hills is for efficient Administration and this work is both important and urgent.

29,700	..	29,700	8,000	..	8,000
..	..	..	8,000	..	8,000

Total



## APPENDIX "A"—*contd.*

Major, Minor and sub-heads under which the provision should be made	Name of Schemes	Estimate of ultimate cost				Estimate of expenditure for 1960-61		Remarks (Explanatory notes)
		Non-recurring	Recurring	Total	Non-recurring	Recurring	Total	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
		Rs.	Rs	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
“81—Capital Account of Civil Works outside the Revenue Account A. O. W.—(a)—Buildings—General—Administration Expenditure in connection with the Naga Hills operation Sixth Schedule (Part A)”—	1 <sub>a</sub> Construction of Godown for storing foodstuffs in Naga Hills.	68,235	..	68,235	68,235	..	68,235	This amount is required urgently to adjust the unadjusted amount from the Sub-head A(a)4—General Administration Sixth Schedule Part A) Areas in Grant No.55-C.A. of C.W. outside the Revenue Account for 1959-60.
Voted.	Total	..	..	..	68,235	..	68,235	
“81—Capital Account of C. W. outside the Revenue Account A. O. W.—(a)—Buildings—Police—General—	1. Construction of residence for Principal P.T.C., at Dergaon.	36,200	..	36,200	10,000	..	10,000	As the Principal, P.T.C. is experiencing great difficulties for want of suitable accommodation, it is proposed that construction of his residence should be taken up during the current financial year. This Projects belongs to Police Housing Scheme.
Voted.	Total	..	..	..	10,000	..	10,500	



In view of dearth of accommodation to raised the building to Public Works Department standard it is essentially necessary to accommodate the staff and smooth running of the Institute.

2,000

..

2,000

12,460

..

12,400

'81—Capital Ac- 1. Conversion of Principi-  
count of C. W. pal's office I. T. I.,  
outside the Reve- Jorhat to Public  
nue Account Works Department  
A. O. W.—(a) Standard.  
Buildings  
Education—Ge-  
neral—Voted".

2,000

..

2,000

12,460

..

12,400

Total ... ..

The existing T. R. Phukan Leprosy, asylum is situated at Santipur which is very thickly populated. Hence it is not much desirable to keep such Asylum in such a congested area, which should be far away from the town. Further the present Asylum is meant for thirty patients only without any provision for further expansion and as such it is quite inadequate for further need. Hence the proposed reconstruction is urgently necessary.

5,000

..

5,000

2,32,000

..

2,32,000

'81—Capital Ac- 1. Reconstruction of  
count of C. W. T.R. Phukan Lepro-  
outside the Reve- sy, Asylum at Naga-  
nue Account para (1st phase).  
A. O. W.—(a)  
Buildings  
Medical—Gene-  
ral—Voted".

5,000

..

5,000

2,32,000

..

2,32,000

Total ... ..



APPENDIX—'A'—*contd.*

Major, Minor and Sub-heads under which the provision should be made	Name of scheme	Estimate of ultimate cost			Estimate of expenditure for 1960-61			Remarks (Explanatory Notes)
		Non-recurring	Recurring	Total	Non-recurring	Recurring	Total	
		(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	
(1)	(2)	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	(9)
"81.—Capital Account of Civil Works outside the Revenue Account A.—Original Works—(a) Building—Public—Health—General—Voted".	1. Construction of Dispensary buildings and staff quarter at Titapani State (P.H.) Dispensary in Barpeta Subdivision.	86,824	..	86,824	2,000	..	2,000	There is no well equipped Public Health Dispensary at Titapani (Langla) in Barpeta Subdivision. Necessity of such a Dispensary at Titapani is badly felt, so a decision has been taken to construct a Public Health Dispensary at Titapani during the current financial year.
	Total	..	..	..	2,000	..	2,000	

There is no well equipped Public Health Dispensary at Titapani (Langla) in Barpeta Subdivision. Necessity of such a Dispensary at Titapani is badly felt, so a decision has been taken to construct a Public Health Dispensary at Titapani during the current financial year.

"81.—Capital Account of Civil Works outside the Revenue Account A.—Original Works—(a) Building—Public—Health—Sixth Schedule (Part A) Areas—Voted".	1. Construction of Dispensary buildings at Kwanpui in the Mizo District.	77,700	..	77,700	10,000	..	10,000
	Total—	..	..	..	10,000	..	10,000

The amount is urgently required for construction of Public Health dispensary with staff quarter at Kwanpui in the Mizo District.



'81.—Capital Account of Civil Works outside the Revenue Account A.—Original Works—(a) Building—Veterinary—General—Voted.

1. Construction of Veterinary dispensary building at the township of No-Sadiya-Chapakhowa.

86,000 .. 85,000 10,000 .. 10,000

The project is very important in connection with the transfer of H. Q. for No-Sadiya to Chapakhowa.

2. Construction of Manager's quarter at Upper Shillong Cattle farm.

16,700 .. 16,700 10,000 .. 10,000  
.. .. 20,000 .. 20,000

The construction is urgently required to accommodate the manager who is to remain with the farm premises. These quarters were burnt.

'81.—Capital Account of Civil Works outside the Revenue Account A.—Original Works—(b) Communications Ordinary Roads—General—Voted.

1. Improving Mohkuti Ali in Sonari Sub-division (six miles.)

1,50,000 ... 1,50,000 .. 15,000 .. 15,000

This is the only road that passes through Bokota Mouza consisting of about 20 villages and is the only communication by which the people could go to Mohkuti Railway Station. So improvement of this road is urgently necessary.

Total

.. .. 15,000 .. 15,000



[6th Mar.,

## APPENDIX 'A'—contd.

Major, Minor and sub-heads under which the provision should be made

Major, Minor and sub-heads under which the provision should be made	Name of schemes	Estimate of ultimate cost			Estimate of expenditure for 1960-61			Remarks (Explanatory notes)
		Non-recurring	Recurring	Total	Non-recurring	Recurring	Total	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
§81.—Capital Account of Civil Works outside the Revenue Account—A.—Original Works—(b) Communication—Ordinary Roads—Sixth Schedule (Part A) Areas Voted.	1. Construction of Sobbar-Mahadev Road.	80,000	..	80,000	25,000	..	25,000	Construction of the Sobbar-Mahadev Road is essentially necessary to help the Border People for transportation of the Border produce.
	2. Construction of Nongthymmai Nongshillong area at Shillong.	27,400	..	37,400	2,000	..	2,000	The public of the area are pressing very hard for the construction of this Road.
	3. Construction of Roads and Drains in Umpling area for non-displaced persons, Shillong.	45,150	..	45,150	5,000	..	5,000	Government Employees and tribal populations of the Umpling area will be greatly benefitted by this road. As such it has been decided to take up the work during the current year.



15,000 Matinagar area is mostly occupied by Government Servants who were allotted with Government lands for residential purposes. They are pressing hard for improvement of the roads in that locality.

Hence this work has been taken up during this year.

4,000 The project is one of great urgency. The colony is mostly inhabited by Government servants and moreover the existence of some of the Government offices in that locality required a good road for regular Vehicular traffic. It is apprehended that the entire colony will be cut off from the town if the road is not improved before the next monsoon.

In view of the above it has been decided to take once the road during this year.

Total ..	42,350	15,000	..	51,000
----------	--------	--------	----	--------

4. Improvement of Roads in Matinagar area Shillong.

5. Improvement of the Road to Risa Colony, Shillong.

Total ..	42,350	15,000	..	51,000
----------	--------	--------	----	--------

4,000 It has become necessary to take up the work in the current financial year to save the road from breaches which occurs almost every year during the rainy season in the portion of the road from 10th to 21st mile.

1. Diversion of Pohumora Garamur-Jangrainukh Road from 10th mile to 21st mile in Majuli Sub-division.

Total ..	4,73,600	4,000	..	4,000
----------	----------	-------	----	-------



## APPENDIX—"A"—contd.

Major, Minor and Sub-heads under which the provision should be made (1)	Name of Schemes (2)	Estimate of ultimate cost			Estimate of expenditure for 1960-61			Remarks (Explanatory Notes) (9)
		Non-recurring (3)	Recurring (4)	Total (5)	Non-recurring (6)	Recurring (7)	Total (8)	
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Items 1-7.—
—Do—Boats, Bridges and Ferry	1. Providing Marboat and Single Boat at Mohraghat on Gola-bil River.	20,000	..	20,000	20,000	..	20,000	It has been proposed to declare Mohraghat ferry as a P.W.D. ferry in the interest of Public Service with effect from 1st April 1961 and provision of Marboat and Single Boat at Mohraghat is essentially necessary.
	2. Providing Engine Boat, etc., at Brahmaputra Ferry (Dibrugarh, Sanari, Baurisutia Ferry) passengers have to face a lot of difficulties while crossing the mighty Brahmaputra.	45,000	..	45,000	3,000	..	3,000	Due to insufficient nos. of boats equipments, etc., for the Brahmaputra Ferry (Dibrugarh, Sanari, Baurisutia Ferry) passengers have to face a lot of difficulties while crossing the mighty Brahmaputra.
								It is, therefore, essentially necessary to provide Engine Boat, etc., for this Ferry.
Total .. ..						23,000	23,000	

**Mr. SPEAKER:** The resolution moved is that the Assembly do approve of an expenditure of Rs.3,38,000 under the head "81.—Capital Account of Civil Works outside the Revenue Account, etc.," for the items above. The amount will be met from the sanctioned grant.

(The resolution was put as question adopted).



**Government Resolution re: approved of expenditure of Rs.18,20,000 under the head "18—B and 68A—N. E. D. Works"**

**M. MOINUL HAQUE CHOUDHURY : (Minister,) P. W. D. P. W. D.):**

That this Assembly do approve of an expenditure of Rs.18,20,000 under the head "18B and 68A—N. E. D. Works" for the items below. The mount involved will be met from the sanctioned grant. "18B and 68A—N. E. D. Works".

	General	Sixth Schedule (Part A) Areas	Total
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
(I) Grant originally voted by the Assembly ..	2,63,76,300	91,000	2,64,67,300
(II) Supplementary grant voted by the Assembly during the year.	..	..	..
(III) Sub-heads under which the Appropriations will be accounted for.	..	..	..
(i) 68A—Construction of Irrigation N. E. D. Works (Non-Commercial) Development Schemes (2nd Five Year Plan—Central), Flood Control.	17,84,000	..	17,84,000
(ii) 68A—Construction of Irrigation N. E. D. Works (Non-Commercial Development Schemes (2nd Five Year Plan). Major and Medium Project.	22,000	..	22,000
(iii) 18—Other Revenue Expenditure—Financed from Ordinary Revenues—B.N.E.D. Works (Non-Commercial) Normal—A—Works.	4,000	..	4,000
(iv) 68A—Construction of Irrigation, N.E.D. Works (Non-Commercial,—Normal—Flood Control—Sixth Schedule (Part A) Areas—Voted.	..	10,000	10,000
Total ..	18,10,000	10,000	18,20,000

**EXPLANATORY NOTES**

1. 18B and 68A Construction of Irrigation, N. E. D. Works (Non Commercial), etc.

(i), (ii), (iii) and (iv) For details, please see Appendix 'A'.

2. Savings are due to the following reasons —

(i), (ii), (iii) and (iv)—Finalisation of certain Land Acquisition charges relating to Flood Control, Major and Medium and Normal Schemes will not be possible within the current financial year and as such no payment can be made. It is, therefore, proposed to utilise the savings for meeting the expenditure as per schedule.



## APPENDIX 'A'

## Schedule New of schemes proposed to be included in the Budget for 1960-61

Major, Minor and Sub-heads under which provision should be made	Nature of Schemes	Estimate of Ultimate cost			Estimate of Expenditure 1960-61			Remarks (Explanatory Notes)
		Non-recurring	Recurring	Total	Non-recurring	Recurring	Total	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
3. A—Construction of Irrigation—N. E. D. Works—(Non-Commercial)—Development Schemes (2nd Five Year Plan—Central)—Flood Control General Areas—Voted.	1. Improvement of drainage channel in Harang basin—Phase I in Silchar E and D. Subdivision.	4,18,000	..	4,18,000	2,00,000	..	2,00,000	
	2. Construction of flood embankment along right bank of Barak from Sonaimukh to Madhuramukh (Rangpur).	8,58,000	..	8,58,000	3,50,000	..	3,50,000	These are new flood control schemes and it is imperative to take up the schemes for execution during the current financial year in the interest of the public. The protection works brook no delay and it is considered very urgent to take up all possible measures to resist flood in the areas concerned. These schemes have been approved by Government of India for Central Loan assistance during the current year.
	3. Construction of Brahmaputra dyke from Dibrugarh to Dehingmukh, Phase I.	9,92,000	..	9,92,000	4,00,000	..	4,00,000	
	4. Construction of a ring bund in Beranga village.	30,000	..	30,000	28,000	..	28,000	
	5. Flood protection of Jiamborali left bank near Jamuguri.	1,49,000	..	1,49,000	1,00,000	..	1,00,000	
	6. Construction of marginal embankment along the right bank of the river Bhogdoi from Kam-Sarai Doloni to Chengeliati Public Works Department Road (extension of the existing embankment from J. B. Road to Kam-Sarai Doloni) Phase II.	1,50,000	..	1,50,000	1,50,000	..	1,50,000	

These are new flood control schemes and it is imperative to take up the schemes for execution during the current financial year in the interest of the public. The protection works brook no delay and it is considered very urgent to take up all possible measures to resist flood in the areas concerned. These schemes have been approved by Government of India for Central Loan assistance during the current year.



7. Restoring formation of marginal embankment along the right bank of Sonai river from Amraghat to Sonai Motinagar Road.	1,99,000	..	1,99,000	50,000	..	50,000
8. Restoring formation of marginal embankment along both banks of the river Longai.	2,05,000	..	2,05,000	50,000	..	50,000
9. Restoring formation of marginal embankment along the left bank of Madhura river from Khal Tea Estate to outfall into Barak river.	1,54,000	..	1,54,000	35,000	..	35,000
10. Restoring formation of marginal embankment along the Singla river.	3,91,000	..	3,91,000	90,000	..	90,000
11. Restoring formation of marginal embankment along both banks of the Pagladiya river from Kehura to Bijlighat.	6,48,000	..	6,48,000	1,60,000	..	1,60,000
12. Restoring formation of the marginal embankment along the river Nona from Rangiya Dhamdhama Road to confluence with Borolia and Ghagra guide bund in Kamrup District.	3,45,000	..	3,45,000	85,000	..	85,000
13. Restoring formation of marginal embankment along both banks of Borolia river from Bathian to Borgacha in Kamrup District.	3,47,000	..	3,47,000	86,000	..	86,000

These are new works approved by Government of India for Central Loan assistance for execution during the current financial year.

As the schemes are urgent, it is imperative to take up immediately.



# **APPENDIX 'A'—contd.** **Schedule of New Schemes proposed to be included in the Budget for 1960-61**

Major, Minor and Sub-heads under which provision should be made	Nature of Schemes (2)	Estimate of Ultimate cost			Estimate of expenditure in 1960-61			Remarks (Explanatory Notes) (9)
		Non-recurring (3)	Recurring (4)	Total (5)	Non-recurring (6)	Recurring (7)	Total (8)	
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
68.—A—Construction of Irrigation, N. E. D. Works (Non-Commercial)—Development Schemes (2nd Five Year Plan) Major and Medium Projects—General—Voted.	14. Survey for collection of minimum data required for irrigation and F. C. Scheme in Investigation E. and D. Subdivision, Nowgong.	46,400	..	46,400	22,000	..	22,000	This is a new work and taken up during the current financial year in view of urgency
18.—Other Revenue Expenditure—Financed from Ordinary Revenues—B.-N.E.D. Works (Non-Commercial) Normal—A—Works—General—Voted.	15. Addition and alteration of the E. and D. Divisional Office building of the Nowgong E. and D. Division.	9,720	..	9,720	4,000	..	4,000	This scheme is very urgent for better accommodation and better service and as such this scheme is taken up during the current year financial year.
68.A—Construction of Irrigation, Navigation, Embankment and Drainage Works (Non-Commercial)—Normal—Flood Control—Sixth Schedule (Part A) Areas—Voted.	16. Construction of E. and D. Subdivisional Officer's office building at Halong.	20,000	..	20,000	8,000	..	8,000	Construction of E and D Subdivisional Officer's office building at Halong at an estimated cost of Rs.20,000 has become imperative due to non-availability of vacant departmental buildings and also because of non-availability of private houses for hire. Lands have been arranged already for the purpose of constructing the office building and preliminary



naries have been completed for construction. It has been decided to start the work immediately. The fund required during the current financial year is Rs.8,000, which is proposed to meet from the savings under the same head of expenditure.

The necessity for construction of a godown at Haflong has been keenly felt for storage of building materials, as neither Departmental buildings nor hired house is available. Land is made available at Haflong and it has been decided to construct the godown at an estimated cost of Rs.6,000. Current year's requirement of fund is Rs.2,000 for this work, which is proposed to be met from savings under the same head.

7. Construction of E. and D. Godown at Haflong.	6,000	..	6,000	2,000	..	2,000
Total ..	49,68,120	..	49,68,120	18,20,000	..	18,20,000

Mr. SPEAKER: The resolution moved is that this Assembly do approve of an expenditure of Rs.18,20,000 under the head "18B & 68A—N. E. D. Works" for the items above. The amount involved will be met from the sanctioned grant.



**\*Shri HIRALAL PATWARI (Panery) :** অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, ইয়াত ১৮ লাখ ২০ হেজাৰ টকা বিচৰা হৈছে আৰু Appendix 'A' ত কিছুমান আচনি ধৰি দিয়া হৈছে। তাত কোৱা হৈছে যে "These are new flood control schemes and it is imperative to take up the schemes for execution during the current financial year in the interest of the public. The protection works brook no delay and it is considered very urgent to take up all possible measures to resist flood in the areas concerned. These schemes have been approved by Government of India for Central Loan assistance during the current year". এতিয়া প্ৰশ্ন হৈছে যে এতিয়া মাৰ্চ মাহ আৰু এই Embankment and Drainage কাম কেনেকৈ হব ? ইয়াৰ কিছুমান নতুন আচনি। মই কওঁ যে দুই এটা আচনিৰ কামত এফালৰ পানী ভেটা দি আনফালে ঠেলি দিছে, ধৰক এখন নদীৰ পশ্চিম ফালে বান্ধ দিয়াৰ ফলত পূব ফালে বান বানী হৈছে। সেইদৰে কামকৰণৰ ফালে বান্ধ দিয়াৰ ফলত মজলদৈত বানপানী হৈছে। এই আচনিবোৰ আগতে fully examine কৰিব লাগে আৰু এই বানপানীৰ আচনিবোৰ State as a whole কৰা উচিত। তেতিয়াহে flood control কৰাত প্ৰকৃত সহায় কৰা হব। গতিকে যিবোৰ আচনি লৈছে তাত মোৰ আপত্তি নাই কিন্তু যি আচনিৰ দ্বাৰা অন্য এটা অঞ্চলত বিপদৰ সৃষ্টি হয় সেইটোত মোৰ আপত্তি।

**\*Shri GOPESH NAMASUDR [Pathrkandi (Reserved for Scheduled Castes)] :** মাননীয় অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, মাননীয় মন্ত্ৰী যে প্ৰস্তাব এখানে উত্থাপন কৰেছিল তাৰ সমৰ্থনে কতগুলো কাৰণ তিনি দেখিয়েছিল যেমন তিনি বলেছেন জমি অধিগ্ৰহণ ব্যবস্থা অসুবিধা দেখা দেওয়ায় কতক বন্যা পৰিকল্পনাৰ কাজ হাতে নেওয়া যায়নি কাজেই—ঐসমস্ত টকা অন্য এ খৰচ কৰা হৈছে।

মহোদয়,—আমি বলতে পারি পাথারকান্দি তে লংগাই নদীর জননিয়ন্ত্ৰণের কাজ হাতে নেওয়া হয়েছিল। নদীর উপরের দিকে বামতীরে একটি অংশের কাজ সম্পূর্ণ থাকায় সমস্ত বামতীরের লোক আজ বন্যারজন্য কোন ফসল ফলাতে পারছেন—তাদের বারীঘর বাসের অনুপযোগী হয়েছে। ভাগবতী কমিটি এই দিকটা পরীক্ষা করে তা সম্পূর্ণ কৰবার জন্য recommend করে নিয়েছেন তাঁদের রিপোর্টে। সরকার বলেন টাকা না থাকায় আমরা ঐকাজ হাতে নিতে পারি নাই।

মহোদয়,—আমি অসামের চীফ ইঞ্জিনিয়ার (flood control) মহোদয়ের সংগে দেখা করে বিগত বাজেট অধিবেশনের সময় বলেছিলাম যে বড়ছড়া ড্রেনেজ স্কীম জনসাধারণ চায়না তারা বরঞ্চ জলের washing চায় কাজেই বড়ছড়ার কাজ বন্ধ করে ঐ টাকা দিয়ে—লংগাই নদীত সেই করা সম্ভবপর। এতে প্রায় ২০ বৰ্গ মাইল এলাকা রক্ষা পাবে বন্যার হাত থেকে যে এলাকারজন্য সরকার বহু টাকা খরচ করেছেন। অন্য দিকে বড়ছড়া অঞ্চলের জনসাধারণের অনিচ্ছায় জোর জব্বৰদস্তিকরে পুলিশ দিয়ে তাদেরকে ভয় দেখিয়ে কাজ করা উচিত নয়।

মহোদয়, পরবর্তী সময়ে মাননীয় মন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়কে ব্যক্তিগত ভাবে দেখা করে সমস্ত হাসিয়ে বলেছি। তারা আশ্বাস দিয়েছিলেন এই টুকু করে দিবেন বলে। কিন্তু আজ দেখতে পাচ্ছি এই টাকা অন্যত্র খরচ করে তা অনুমোদন কৰবার প্ৰস্তাব এনেছেন মন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়। কাজেই এই প্ৰস্তাবের আনি বিরোধীতা কৰি।



**M. MOINUL HAQUE GHOUDHURY** [(Minister, P. W. D. (E. & D.))]: Mr. Speaker, Sir, as has been stated in the Explanatory Note, that certain amounts of money provided for payment to certain land acquisition cases could not be utilised or there being no chance for utilising them within the current financial year as the land acquisition proceedings had not yet been completed, so Government has come forward before this House for reappropriating these amounts for executing certain schemes approved by the Government of India for loan assistance—these are numbering 1 to 6 in the Explanatory Note. My Friend Shri Patwary asked if the money was given today whether the schemes could be completed? I can tell the House Sir, that all these schemes are under execution is that, if not the entire amount, but a considerable portion of it, may be up to 90 per cent will be utilised before the close of the financial year. Sir, although these are urgent schemes and approved by the Government of India, the approval of the Government of India came late to us and therefore, we had also to come late before this House. In the meantime we had started work on finding that these amounts could be utilised for this purpose.

Now, with regard to the Longai river my friend Shri Gopesh Namasudra has raised certain objections. This item will be found in item No. 8 in the Explanatory Note—"Restoring formation of marginal embankmen along both banks of the river Longai". These schemes from No. 7 to 13 are some of the existing schemes, which need strengthening. In item 8 we have provided Rs. 5,000 for restoring formation of marginal embankments on both sides of the river Longai. The rest of the items are connected with construction of E. & D. offices at Hafong or survey for collection of data in Nowgong E. & D. Division. He also referred to certain scheme said to be recommended by the Bhogavati Committee. Sir, with regard to that scheme I am not fully posted with facts just now; as such I cannot say much about it but as far as I remember there were some difficulties particularly about acquisition of land. This is about acquisition of new land. The grant does not refer to new land but to old lands which were acquired earlier. Secondly, we are lacking fund also. That is one of the reasons why this scheme could not be taken up however, it will be considered in the 3rd Plan provided it has the requisite priority. In this connection when I went out on tour recently to Silchar and Karimganj, I would like to say my friend Shri Namasudra requested me if we could fit in this scheme against any savings, but unfortunately it was not possible to fit it in because some work had already been taken up out of the savings and some expenditure had already been incurred. However, Sir, only a few months are left for the 3rd Plan to begin, we shall place this scheme alongwith taken up in the 3rd Plan. If this scheme received priority others before the E. & D. Advisory Board which will finalise the schemes be taken in the 3rd plan subject to availability of fund.

With these words Sir, I request my friends not to press their objections.

**Mr. SPEAKER:** The question is that this Assembly do approve of an expenditure Rs. 18,20,000 under the head "18B and 68A—N. E. D. Works". The amount involved will be met from the sanctioned grant.

(The question was adopted).



**Government Resolution regarding approval of an expenditure of Rs. 8,45,000 under this head "29—Police".**

**Shri BIMALA PRASAD CHALIHA (Chief Minister):** Sir, I beg to move:

That this Assembly do approve an expenditure of Rs.8,45,000 (Rupees Eight lakhs and fourty-five thousand only) under head "29—Police" for the items acted below. The amount involved will be met from the sanctioned grants provided through Schedule of new schemes. Hence the resolution.

	General	Schedule Sixth	Total
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
i. Grant originally voted by the Assembly ...	3,56,69,300	12,76,000	3,99,45,300
ii. Supplementary grant voted by the Assembly during the year.	3,06,554	20,000	3,26,554
iii. Supplementary grant voted by the Assembly during the current Session.	.....	.....	.....
iv. Sub-heads under which the appropriation will be accounted for—	....	.....	...
(1) B-D.E.F.—(a) District Police—Sixth Schedule Part (A) Areas—Contingencies.	....	5,45,000	5,45,000
(2) B-D.E.F.—(a) District Police—Contingencies—Contract.	1,90,000	....	1,00,000
(3) C—Police Training College ...	50,000	.....	50,000
(4) G—C.I.D.—(d) Border Security Force ...	1,50,000	.....	1,50,000
Total .. ..	3,00,000	5,45,000	8,45,000

### EXPLANATORY NOTES

(1) (a) A sum of Rs.5,45,000 is proposed to be reappropriated from the anticipated savings from the provision of Rs.8,44,600 under head "29—Police—E—Special Police—(a) Organisation of Fire Service" provided through Schedule of new Schemes. The aforesaid saving are anticipated as the amount provided for the new scheme for opening New Stations and for purchase of fire fighting equipment and appliances is not expected to be utilised within the financial year.



(b) The sum of Rs.5,45,000 proposed to be provided by re-appropriation under the head "29-Police-B-D. E. F.—(a) District Police—Sixth Schedule Part (A) Areas—Contingencies" is to meet the expenditure in connection with the purchase of vehicles.

(2) (a) A sum of Rs.1,00,000 is proposed to be re-appropriated from the anticipated savings from the provision of Rs.61,96,607 under the head "29—Police-B-D.E.F.—(a) District Police—Pay of Establishment". The aforesaid savings are anticipated owing to casual vacancies in the lower ranks as well as non-entertainment of full number of personnel sanctioned through Schedule of new Schemes.

(b) The sum of Rs.1,00,000 proposed to be provided by re-appropriation under the head "29-Police-B-D. E. F.—(a) District Police—Contingencies—contract" is to meet the increased expenditure, on account of purchase and replacement of office furniture, purchase of service postage stamps and entertainment of more casual employees. Fund provided under the aforesaid head in the current year's budget is quite inadequate.

(3) (a) A sum of Rs.50,000 is proposed to be re-appropriated from the anticipated savings from the provision of Rs.11,59,236 under the head "29-Police-B-D. E. F.—(a) District Police—Sixth Schedule Part (A) Areas—Pay of Establishment". The said savings are due to vacancies in the lower ranks as well as non-entertainment of full number of personnel sanctioned through Schedule of new Schemes.

(b) The sum of Rs.50,000 proposed to be provided by re-appropriation under the head "29-Police—G-P.T.C." is to meet the expenditure in connection with the creation of 8 posts of Sub-Inspectors, Havildars and Assistant Sub-Inspectors for which no provision exists in the current year's budget.

(4) (a) A sum of Rs.1,50,000 is proposed to be re-appropriated from the anticipated savings from the provision of Rs.13,66,600 under the head "29-Police—B-D.E.F.—(a) District Police—Sixth Schedule Part (A) Areas—Allowance and Honoraria" which includes provision through Schedule of new Schemes. The said savings are mainly due to less expenditure under D. A., Ration Compensation Allowance and Travelling Allowance of Establishment than estimated.

(b) The sum of Rs.1,50,000 proposed to be provided by re-appropriation under the head "29-Police—G—C.I.D.—(d) Border Security Force," is to meet the increased expenditure under the head "Maintenance of Motor Vehicles" on account of shifting of Headquarters of the Battalions and as well as under the head "Clothing".

**Mr. SPEAKER:** The resolution moved is that this Assembly do approve of an expenditure of Rs.8,45,000 under the head "29-Police" for items above. The amount involved will be met from the sanctioned grant.



**\*Shri HIRALAL PATWARY (Panery):** মাননীয় অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, আমি দেখি আহিছে যে পুলিচ শিতানত দিনক দিনে টকাৰ মঞ্জুৰী বাঢ়ি গৈছে। এই ক্ষেত্ৰত মই এই অভিমত প্ৰকাশ কৰো যে যি কাৰণত চৰকাৰে টকাৰ মঞ্জুৰী লয়, সেই কাৰণত চৰকাৰ প্ৰকৃত কাৰ্য হৈছে। সীমান্ত নিৰাপত্তা ক্ষেত্ৰত নগা উপদ্ৰব, চীনা বিবাদ আৰু পাকিস্থানৰ কাৰ্য্যকলাপ আদি সকলো পৰে। নগা সমস্যালৈ চকুদিলেই দেখাপাও হয় দোকান লুট কৰিছে, 'ট্ৰেইন' আক্ৰমণ কৰিছে—নহয়—কৰ্ত্তব্যত চলাচল কৰা চৰকাৰী কৰ্মচাৰীৰ ওপৰত গুলি চলাইছে। অলপতে এজন ডেকা কৰ্মচাৰী স্বৰ্গীয় চলিহাক—হত্যা কৰিছে—নগা উপদ্ৰৱী সকলে।

**Mr. SPEAKER:** Order, order. The hon. Leader of the House has promised to make a statement on Naga situation before the Assembly and the hon. Member will have the opportunity of discussing that matter then but not now.

**\*Shri HIRALAL PATWARY:** আমি দেখা পাইছো—item (4) —(d) ত ১ লাখ ৫০ হাজাৰ টকা Border Security ত ধৰিছে। অৰ্থচ কাৰ্য্য ক্ষেত্ৰত দেখা যায় যি Border area ৰ জনসাধাৰণৰ জীৱন বা সম্পত্তিৰ নিৰাপত্তা নাই। যোৰহাটৰ বহু অঞ্চল—নগা উপদ্ৰৱী সকলে অবাধে আক্ৰমণ চলাই আছে। অৰ্থচ চৰকাৰে সেই নগা উপদ্ৰব কাৰী সকলক Control কৰিব পৰা নাই। আৰু এই সম্পৰ্কত চৰকাৰৰ কোনো definite policy ও দেখা নাই। যদি এই নগা সকলে অবাধে দোকান পোহাৰ লুট কৰে। মানুহ আক্ৰমণ কৰে—তেন্তে সীমান্ত ৰক্ষা বাহিনীৰ কাম কি? তেওঁলোকে কি কৰে? এই কথাই মই চৰকাৰৰ পৰা জানিব খুজিছো। ইয়াৰ উপৰিও নগাবিলাকে আমাৰ মানুহে নিজৰ বাঁহ কাটোতে তাৰ ওপৰত 'বয়েলটা' আদায় কৰিছে। এই বিলাকৰ গতিবোধ হোৱাত একান্ত প্ৰয়োজন।

**Shri DANDESWAR HAZARIKA (Morongi):** On a point of order Sir. Whenever a motion or a resolution is moved in this House the hon. Members can speak either in support of that motion or oppose it, or can speak when an amendment is tabled. In this case, how can the hon. Member speak without tabling such an amendment or supporting or opposing the resolution?

**Mr. SPEAKER :** He is opposing the resolution.

**\*Shri HIRALAL PATWARY:** I am opposing the motion. পাকিস্থান অথবা চীনা সীমান্তত—মানুহৰ নিৰাপত্তা নাইকিয়া হৈছে।

যদি একইক্ষি ৰক্ষা কৰিব নোৱাৰে তেনেহলে বৰ্ডাৰ চিকিউৰিটি আছে বুলি কেনেকৈ ধৰিব পাৰি?

আমাৰ খেতিৰ মাটি নাই। খেতিৰ মাটিৰ কাৰণে মানুহে হাহাকাৰ কৰি আছে। অন্য ফালেদি মাটি Security force ৰ কাৰণে ৰাখিছে। এই Security force ৰ কাৰণে টকা খৰচ কৰি আছে। অৰ্থচ আমাৰ Border ৰ কোনো Security য়েই নাই। যোৱা disturbance ৰ সময়ত দেখা গৈছে উচিত মতে কাম নকৰাৰ কাৰণে চৰকাৰে পুলিচ বিভাগৰ কিছুমান মানুহ Suspend কৰি থৈছে। গতিকেই আজি আমাৰ পুলিচ বিভাগ কি ভাবে চলিছে সেইটো ভাবিব লগা হৈছে। উদাহৰণ স্বৰূপে কও টংলাত এজন পুলিচ অফিচাৰৰ ঘৰতে এজন



Pick-Pocketeer থাকি অৰাধে Pick-Pocket কৰি আছে। এইটো বৰ লাজৰ কথা সেই পুলিচ আফিচাৰ জন অসম চৰকাৰে পুলিচ বিভাগৰ A. S. I. পিচত সেই পকেটমাৰ টোক arrest কৰা হ'ল, শুনিছিলো সেই A. S. I. জনক Suspend কৰা হৈছিল পিচত চৰকাৰে কি কৰিলে কৰ নোৱাৰে। আনপিনেদি কামৰূপ আৰু মঙ্গলদৈৰ সীমাত ডকাইটি অৰাধে চলি আছে। যোৱা ১৫ তাৰিখে একেদিনাই ৬ টা চুৰি আৰু দুটা ডকাইটি হৈ গ'ল। এতিয়া কামৰূপৰ পুলিচে মঙ্গলদৈৰ পুলিচক কয় সেইটো তোমালোকৰ এতিয়া তোমালোকে control কৰা। সেই কাৰণে আঠাবীঘাটত এটা পুলিচ বিট দিব লাগে চুৰাপুৰ, বামুনজুলী, আজৰীকাঠ, নগাজুলী, চুৰাপুৰ এলকাক চুৰি আৰু ডকাইটি হৈ আছে। অথচ পুলিচে কোনো Action লোৱা নাই।

**\*Shri MOHI KANTA DAS (Barchalla):** সদস্য গৰাকীয়ে এই বিষয়ে গবৰ্নমেণ্টক জনাইছেনে ?

**Shri HIRALAL PATWARY (Panery):** হ'ব Sub-divisional Officer ক জনোৱা হৈছিল গতিকে এনেধৰণৰ চুৰি ডকাইটি দিনে দিনে বাঢ়ি গৈছে। পুলিচ বিভাগৰ প্ৰতি জনসাধাৰণৰ আস্থা নাইকিয়া হৈ গৈছে। সিদিনা মই চিলঙলৈ আহিবৰ সময়ত দেখি আহিছো যে এটা চোৰক ধৰি গছ এদালত বান্ধি মোৰ ঘৰৰ ওচৰতে মানুহে মাৰকিল কৰিছে। এজন চকিদাৰে চোৰটো ধৰিলে। সেই কাৰণে কও আৰ্জি পুলিচৰ ওপৰত জনসাধাৰণৰ আস্থা নাই। আৰু মানুহে পুলিচ বিভাগৰ পৰা Security feel কৰা নাই। সেই কাৰণে মই এই resolution বোৰ বিৰোধিতা কৰিছো। আজি যিবিলাক চুৰি ডকাইটি হ'ব লাগিছে দেখাযায় তাত পুলিচ বিভাগৰ সহযোগীতা আছে।

**Mr. SPEAKER:** Order order, Your time is up. The Chief Minister will reply now.

**\*Shri BIMALA PRASAD CHALIHA (Chief Minister):** মাননীয় অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, মাননীয় সদস্য পাটোৱাৰী ডাঙৰীয়াই যিকেইটা অভিযোগ আনিছে তাৰ উত্তৰত মই ক'ব খোছো যে reappropriation প্ৰস্তাৱ আগতে যি টকা মঞ্জুৰী কৰিছে সেই টকাটো খৰচ নোহোৱাত সেই টকা খৰচ কৰিবলৈ সদনৰ অনুমতি বিচৰা হৈছে।

পুলিচ সম্পৰ্কে তেখেতে ২ টা বিষয়ত সমালোচনা কৰিছে—

তাৰ পিচত মাননীয় সদস্যই প্ৰতিবন্ধাৰ বিষয়ে উল্লেখ কৰি পাকিস্তান সীমান্ত আৰু তাৰ নগাপাহাৰৰ সীমান্তত যিবিলাক ঘটনা ঘটিছে সেইবিলাক পুলিচে বোধ কৰিব নোৱাৰা বিষয়ে সমালোচনা কৰিছে।

মহোদয়, আমাৰ ৰাজ্যপাল মহোদয়ৰ ভাষণতে উল্লেখ কৰি গৈছে, যে পাক-ভাৰত সীমান্তত বিশেষকৈ নৰ্থকাছাৰ আৰু কাছাৰ আদি পূব ভাৰত আৰু পূব পাকিস্তান সীমান্তত ১৯৫৯ চনৰ শেষফালৰ পৰা শান্ত অবস্থাতে আছে। সেই কাৰণে এই কথা এতিয়া নুঠে। তাৰ আগতেহে পূব পাকিস্তান আৰু অসম সীমান্তত কিছু গোলমাল হৈছিল। তেতিয়াও মাননীয় সদস্যই হয়তো নাজানে যে সেই ঠাইত থকা আমাৰ গাঁওবাসী সকলে আমাৰ পুলিচ বাহিনীত তেওঁলোকৰ কৰ্মতৎপৰতাৰ বিষয়ে প্ৰশংসাতে কৰিছিল। মই নিজেও সেই অঞ্চললৈ যাওঁতে দেখিছিলো, যে আমাৰ চিপাহীসকলে নানা দুখকষ্টকো আওকান কৰি কৰ্তব্য কৰিছিল। যিসকল নিজে সেই ঠাই দেখিছে সকলোৰে মত যে পুলিচ যথেষ্ট কৰিছে বৰং পুলিচক যি সা-সুবিধা দিব লাগে সেইটো আমি দিব পৰা নাই।



এতিয়া ভাৰত দূৰভাগত ভাগ হৈছে অথচ সীমা নিৰ্দ্ধাৰণ এতিয়ালৈকে হোৱা নাই— তাৰ কাৰণে আমি পুলিচক দায়ী কৰিব নোৱাৰো। সীমান্ত নিৰ্দ্ধাৰণ পুলিচৰ কথা নহয়। সেই কথাত ভিত্তি কৰি আমাৰ পুলিচ বাহিনীক সনালোচনা কৰাটো মাননীয় সদস্যৰ উচিত হোৱা নাই।

নগা পাহাৰৰ লগত লাগি থকা ষ্টেয়াম বা পাৰ্বত্য অঞ্চলত মাজে মাজে কিছুমান ঘটনা হৈ আছে; সেইটো সীমান্ত নিৰ্ণয় নকৰা কাৰণে বা পুলিচৰ দোষত হৈছে সেইটো ঠিক নহয়। নগা পাহাৰত কিছুমান ঘটনা হৈ থকা কাৰণেই তাৰ ওচৰত থকা ঠাইবিলাকৰ বন্ধনা বেগুনৰ ভাৰ, নগা পাহাৰৰ কৰ্তৃপক্ষৰ লগত সমিলমিল হৈ কান কৰিবলৈ আমাৰ মিলিটাৰীৰ হাতত আছে। তেওঁলোকে তাৰ যিবিলাক প্ৰতিক্ৰিয়াশীল নগাই এইবিলাক ঘটনাৰ সৃষ্টি কৰিছে, তেওঁলোকৰ বিৰুদ্ধে অভিযান চলাই আছে। এইটো ঠিক যে যিসকল নগাই অস্ত্ৰ-সস্ত্ৰ লৈ নগা পাহাৰৰ বাহিৰত ঘটনাৰ সৃষ্টি কৰিছে, তেওঁলোকক সম্পূৰ্ণ দমন কৰিবলৈ আমাৰ সৈন্য অপাৰগ হৈ আছে। অবশ্যে নগা পাহাৰৰ ৰাজনৈতিক পৰিস্থিতি নতুন পৰিবৰ্তনৰ বাবে আমি আশা কৰিছো যে নগা ৰাইজৰো সবহ ভাগে আমাৰ লগত সহযোগীতা কৰাৰ ফলত সেই প্ৰতিক্ৰিয়াশীল নগাসকলৰ সহায় সামৰ্থ নাইকীয়া হৈ আহিব। নতুন শাসন পদ্ধতিয়ে প্ৰতিক্ৰিয়াশীল সকলোকে সীমাবদ্ধ কৰিব।

মহোদয়, অনুমতি ক্ৰমে, মই নগা অত্যাচাৰৰ বিষয়ে তেনে এটা নিৰ্দ্ধাৰণকেই কৰিবলৈ কৈছো।

তাৰ পিচত দৰং জিলাখনক আমি তলনামূৰক হিচাবেই বুজো, যোৰ লগত সকলো তথ্য আজি নাই। তথাপি মই জনাই, Crime position কিছুদিনৰ পৰা কিছু পৰিমাণে উন্নত হৈ আহিছে। পাটোৱাৰী ডাঙৰীয়াই কোন সময়ৰ কথা কৈছে মই কব নোৱাৰো, কিন্তু মই আজি কিছুদিনৰ কথা কৈছো। অবশ্যে আৰু যাতে ভবিষ্যতে পুলিচে চোকা নজৰ ৰাখে, সেই বিষয়ে ব্যৱস্থা লোৱা হব।

মহোদয়, মই আশা কৰো, বৰ্তমানৰ বাবে এই কৈফিয়ৎ খিনিতে সন্তুষ্ট থাকি মাননীয় সদস্যই আনোৱালখিনি উঠাই লব আৰু এই বিলখন সহজসাধ্য কৰিব।

**Shri GOPESH NAMASUDRA [Patharkandi (Reserved for Scheduled Castes)]:** মাননীয় সদস্য নিৰ্দিষ্ট ভাবে বলেছিলেন যে ওখানে পুলিচৰ সাহায্যে ডকাইটি চলেছে। সেৱাৰ কোন উত্তৰ পাই লাই।

**Mr. SPEAKER:** Order, order. The question is that this Assembly do approve of an expenditure of Rs.8,45,000 [Rupees eight lakhs and forty-five thousand only] under head "29—Police" The amount involved will be met from the sanctioned grants provided through Schedule of new Schemes.

(The question was adopted.)

### Adjournment

The Assembly was then adjourned till 10 A. M. on Tuesday, the 7th March, 1961.

R. N. BARUA,  
Secretary, Legislative Assembly,  
Assam.



THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

IN THE  
COURT OF  
COMMON PLEAS  
FOR THE COUNTY OF  
CHICAGO

IN CASE NO. 1000

IN RE

THE ESTATE OF

JOHN J. HARRIS

DECEASED

VS.

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

ADMINISTRATOR

OF THE ESTATE

OF JOHN J. HARRIS

DECEASED

VS.

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

ADMINISTRATOR

OF THE ESTATE

OF JOHN J. HARRIS

DECEASED

VS.

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO