



Assam Legislative Assembly Debates

OFFICIAL REPORT

**SIXTH SESSION OF THE ASSAM LEGISLATIVE
ASSEMBLY ASSEMBLED AFTER THE FIFTH
GENERAL ELECTIONS UNDER THE
SOVEREIGN DEMOCRATIC RE-
PUBLICAN CONSTITUTION
OF INDIA**

SIXTH SESSION

VOL. III

No. 12

The 6th December, 1973



**PRINTED AT BHASKAR PRINTERS, KACHARIBASTI
DISPUR, GUWAHATI-5, 1989**

DEBATES OF THE ASSAM LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY
(*Sixth Session*)

Vol. III

No. 12

Dated the 6th December, 1973

Contents

	Page
1. Questions	1
2. Statement by Minister	65
3. Calling Attention	69
4. Govt. Bill	72
5. Govt. Resolution	76
6. Discussion Under Rule 49	79
7. Adjournment	86

Proceedings of the Sixth Session of the Assam
Legislative Assembly assembled after the Fifth
General Elections under Sovereign Democratic
Republican Constitution of India.

The House met in the Assembly Chamber, Dispur,
Gauhati on Tuesday the 6th December, 1973 with the
Hon. Deputy Speaker in the Chair, 14 (Fourteen)
Ministers, 12 (Twelve) Ministers, 5 (Ministers of State)
1 (One) Deputy Minister, 62 (Sixty two) Members
present.

STARRED

Questions and Answers

Date : 6th December, 1973.

(To which oral replies were given)

Pending Question (St. No. 168).

Supplementaries.

Shri Dulal Ch. Barua—Sir, it is not too much that under
the Head 'T. A. expenses,' Rs. 7 Lakhs have been
spent in comparison with the cost of establishment
and buildings etc;

Shri Md. Idris (Minister)—Sir, I do not think it too much.

This is a project of Rs. 25 crores. the company originally estimated the T. A. expenses for this project at the rate of 0.5%, which is a reasonable figure. At that rate the company also estimated the total T. A. expenses up the completion of the project, the cost of which comes to Rs. 15 lakhs. Subsequently, the company revised the figure under the Head T. A. of Rs. 12 Lakhs. Now the amount already spent is only Rs. 7 Lakhs. The balance of Rs. 5 Lakhs is there and I was also given an understanding that the project work has been completed to 65%.

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua—Sir, what are the amounts that have been spent for the club House itself?

Shri Md. Idris (Minister)—Sir, I have replied that question on the other day. The club House has not yet been started but the estimate for construction is Rs. 1 Lakh 3 thousands something.

Shri Dulal Ch. Barua—Sir, may I know from the Hon'ble Minister what are the transportation cost of machineries and other materials to reach its destination?

Shri Md. Idris (Minister)—Sir, it must have been included, along with the heads of expenditure. But I am sorry I cannot give the exact figure now as it is not with me.

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua—Sir, is it a fact that if the transportation cost is added on the head of the cost of machinery then the total expenditure will be much

more and that has not been shown in the total expenditure?

Shri Md. Idris (Minister)—Sir, no expenditure could be excluded from the total expenditure. All the miscellaneous expenditure, such as Factory Buildings, office charges, Godown charges, etc., must have been included in the total expenditure.

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua—Sir, whether it is a fact that the major amount which has been shown under the head T. A. Expenses has been spent mainly by the Managing Director?

Shri Md. Idris (Minister)—Sir, I don't think it is so. I can give the figure that has been spent by the managing Director. Sir, the total T. A. as I have stated, comes to Rs. 7 lakhs and out of these Rs. 7 lakhs managing Director's actual T. A. comes to Rs. 24 thousands.

Shri Atul Chandra Saikia—Sir, is it a fact that Ashok Paper Mill has got 4 offices—one at Gauhati, one at Jogigopa and two are at Calcutta? If so may I know from the Hon'ble Minister why there are two offices at Calcutta? Why two establishments are being financed by the Ashok Paper Mill Limited at present?

Shri Md. Idris (Minister)—Sir, at Calcutta there are only one office and that is the registered office.

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua—Sir, what are the reasons of that office being managed and financed at Calcutta?

Shri Md. Idris (Minister)—Sir, the reason is perhaps best

known to the Hon'ble member. Sir, this is a project which was under-taken with joint collaboration of two States—Bihar and Assam. Their registered office was at Calcutta earlier also. At the time of rehabilitation, by an order of the Hon'ble High Court of Calcutta on 4.2.73. this registered office was maintained at Calcutta and this has got some advantages also as in the midway of Assam and Bihar.

Shri Ataur Rahman—Sir, now the Ashok Paper Mill has started functioning from Jogigopa and they have constructed the building. May I know from the Hon'ble Minister what is the necessity of maintaining that office at Calcutta now ?

Shri Md. Idris (Minister)—Sir, I think company will now take into consideration this proposal.

Shri Giasuddin Ahmed—Sir, may I know from the Hon'ble Minister whether the location of the registered office of this Company at Calcutta will affect the financial position of the state, i.e., so far as the question of state-tax and other taxes are concerned ?

Shri Md. Idris (Minister)—No, Sir. This helps us to bring the machineries etc.

Re : Cold Storage

Shri Jagannath Sinha asked :

* 178. Will the Minister, Agriculture be pleased to state—

(a) Whether it is a fact that one cold storage was sanctioned

for the district of Cachar by the Agriculture Department ?

(b) If so, when ?

(c) Whether this has been established ?

(d) If not, the reasons thereof ?

Shri Upendra Das (Minister, Agriculture) replied :

178. (a)—Yes.

(b)—In March 1967.

(c)—Not fully.

(d)—The suppliers who were asked to supply the Machinery and install the same including commissioning of the plant as a whole, have failed to erect the plant fully so far.

Shri Jagannath Sinha—Sir, may I know from the Hon'ble Minister whether any action has been taken against the suppliers ? If not, why ?

Shri Upendra Das (Minister)—Sir, the suppliers were asked to supply the machinery and instal the same. But they have shown some grounds.

Shri Jagannath Sinha—Sir, may I know from the Hon'ble Minister what are those grounds ?

Shri Upendra Das (Minister)—Sir, they have given certain grounds. Their grounds are that they are not in a position to erect the plant fully because of the high cost of machineries and for this reason they want that the security money as well as the balance amount to

be refunded to them. But the Govt. is not in a position to refund the security money and as well by balance amount of contract.

Shri Jagannath Sinha—Am I to understand that under the circumstances this Cold Storage Plant will be in a hanging position for all time to come.

Shri Upendra Das (Minister, Agriculture)—No. Sir.

Shri Manabendra Nath Sarma—What was the cost of installation of this Cold Storage Plan and how much has been already paid to the firm?

Shri Upendra Das (Minister, Agr.)—The cost of the machinery was Rs. 3 lakhs including mutation clause and Rs. 2,73,676.20 has been already paid.

Shri Premadhor Bora—What are terms of the Agreement with the contractor for completion of the Cold Storage?

Shri Upendra Das (Minister, Agr.)—Sir, the Agreement is not with me at the moment.

Shri Dulal Chandra Khaund—May I know from Hon. Minister whether there was any Agreement for the erection of the plant and whether there was any time stipulation?

Shri Premadhar Bora—Sir, my question is not replied.

Shri Upendra Das (Minister, Agr.)—They were to instal the plant according to the terms and conditions of the Agreement.

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua—What were the terms and conditions?

Shri Upendra Das (Minister, Agr.)—I have already replied Sir, the Agreement is not with me.

Shri Jagannath Sinha—What is the name of the firm with whom Government made the contract ?

Shri Upendra Das (Minister, Agr.)—Meassr. Arya Refrigeration & Air Conditioning Co., Calcutta.

Shri Giasuddin Ahmed—May I know from the Hon. Minister what is the reason for selection of the particular district of Cachar for the Cold Storage Plant ? Whether any more Cold Storage Plants will be set up in any other district of Assam ?

Shri Upendra Das (Minister, Agr.)—There is a proposal set up one at Gauhati also.

Shrimati Anowara Taimur—May I know from the Minister what are the other places where cold storage plants will be set up in the State ?

Shri Upendra Das (Minister, Agr.)—I have already replied to that question.

Shri Giasuddin Ahmed—What is the reason for selection Gauhati for the cold storage ?

Shri Upendra Das (Minister, Agr.)—I do not have the records with me at the moment.

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua—On what date the work order was given to the Contractor ?

Shri Upendra Das (Minister, Agr.)—the work order was

given on 7.3.67.

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua—When it was to be completed ?

Shri Upendra Das (Minister, Agr.)—It is not yet been completed.

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua—When it was to be completed ?

Shri Upendra Das (Minister, Agr.)—It will be completed without delay.

Mr. Speaker—এইটো 'কন্সট্রাকশন'ৰ কাৰণে কিবা নিৰ্দিষ্ট সময় আছিল নেকি ?

শ্রীউপেন দাস—নাই।

Shri Atawar Rahman—May I know whether regarding the site for the proposed cold storage there is actually some dispute between the Community Development Department and the Agriculture Department ?

Shri Upendra Das (Minister, Agr.)—That information is not with me.

শ্রীতুলাল চন্দ্র বৰুৱা—এই টকা যিনি কি ভিত্তিত খৰচ কৰা হ'ল ?

Shri Upendra Das (Minister, Agr.)—Machineries have already been supplied.

Shri Jagannath Sinha—May I know from the Hon. Minister whether this project will be completed within six months ?

Shri Upendra Das (Minister, Agr.)—We are trying.

Re : Construction of Chowkidars Shed

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua asked :

* 179. Will the Minister, Agriculture be pleased to state—

- (a) Whether it is a fact that a sum of Rs. 12,000 or so was sanctioned for construction of a Chowkidar's shed by dismantling the old one within the premises of office of the Subdivisional Agricultural Officer Karimganj ?
- (b) Whether it is a fact that an Assistant of the said office managed to get contract of the said work in the name of his own brother in collusion with the said officer and other subordinate officers ?
- (c) Whether Government is aware that ten bundles of C.I. Sheets on the roof of the old Chowkidar's shed were used on the roof of the new shed, but bill was made for the purchase of new C.I. Sheets for the entire sheds ?
- (d) Whether most of the materials of the old shed including the C.I. Sheets were utilised in the new shed and the cost of construction of the new sheds thus come down to Rs. 3,000 only but full payment of Rs. 12,000 was made against the bills ?
- (e) Whether Government propose to make a thorough investigation into the matter and take appropriate action against the officers concerned ?

Shri Upendra Das (Minister, Agriculture) replied :

179. (a)—Only a sum of Rs. 6,862 was sanctioned for construction of Chowkidar's shed in the premises of the Subdivisional Agricultural Officer, Karimganj during

1970-71 in place of the old dilapidated shed.

(b)—The lowest valued tender was accepted for the work as such the question of collusion does not arise.

(c)—The old Chowkider's shed was a temporary bamboo shed with thatched roof. As such the question of using old C.I. Sheets in the new Assam type shed did not arise. Further only 5.5 bundles of C.I. Sheets were used in the building.

(d)—Since the old shed was temporary with bamboo and thatch there was nothing that could be used in new Assam type house. The Contractor was paid the billed amount of Rs. 6.810 only.

(e)—Does not arise.

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua—Wheter it is a fact that the contractor who was given the work is a brother of one office Assistant of that office ?

Shri Upendra Das (Minister, Agriculture)—That information is not with me.

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua—Whether it is a fact that between the amount that had been paid and the work done and the materials that has been utilised, there is a big difference,

Shri Upendra Das (Minister, Agr.)—No, Sir.

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua—May I know from the Hon'ble Minister whether it is not a fact that there were C.I. Sheet roofing in that particular chowkider's shed ?

Shri Upendra Das (Minister Agr.)—No, Sir, the old house which was in a dilapidated condition had no C. I. Sheet roofing.

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua—If I say the old chowkidar's shed had C. I. Sheet roofing, will the minister deny it?

Shri Upendra Das (Minister, Agr.)—Sir, I have already stated the old house was a temporary one with bamboo and thatch and there was nothing that could be used in the new house.

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua—What was the final amount paid to the contractor?

Shri Upendra Das (Minister, Agr.)—Rs. 6,810/-

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua—How many tenders were received for this work and what were the names of the contractors tendering for it.

Shri Upendra Das (Minister, Agr.)—Three tenders were received and their names are—

(1) Shri Amiendu Das Karimganj,

(2) Shri S. R. Das, and,

(3) Shri S. Das. These are three contractors. The contract was settled with the first named contractor.

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua—Is Shri Amiendu Das, contractor is related to any office Assistant?

Shri Upendra Das (Minister, Agr.)—That information is not with me.

Re : Private practice by Gazetted Medical Officers
Shri Atul Chandra Saikia asked : .

* 180. Will the Minister, Health be pleased to state—

- (a) Whether all the Gazetted Medical Officers of Gauhati Medical College are allowed to carry private practice as attending Physicians ?
- (b) If so, how many of them are allowed to have private practices as attending Physicians and (ii) how many of them are allowed to have private practices as consult Physicians ?
- (c) Whether those concerning Medical Officers, who were debarred to carry private practice, are getting any non-practising allowance ?
- (d) If so, whether Government is aware of these Medical Officers, who are receiving non-practising allowances, are carrying private practices ?

Shri Chatrasing Teron (Minister, Health) replied :

180. (a)—No. Those Gazetted Medical Officers of Gauhati Medical College, who are not granted non-practising allowance have been debarred from doing private practice.

(b)—There is no such separate category of doctors as attending Physicians and as Consult Physicians. There are 38 doctors working as Professor and Assistant Professor of Clinical Department who are not granted non-practising allowance and are not debarred to do private practice. Some of them could be doing private practice.

(c)—Yes.

(d)—Government have no information.

Shri Mal Chandra Pegu—What is the rate of consulting fee realised by the Professors of Medical Colleges ?

Shri Chatrasing Teron (Minister, Health)—As per Government Circular, the permissible fee that can be charged for such consultation outside the duty hours is Rs. 14 for Professors and Rs. 10/- for Assistant Professors.

Shri Atul Saikia—May I know from the Hon. Minister whether Professors and Assistant Professors of the Clinical Section, Surgery, Medicine, Eye, E.N.T.O.N.G. and Dental are only allowed to private practice and the Resident Surgeons, Regtstrars, Resident Physician are not allowed private practice ?

Shri Chatrasing Teron (Minister, Health)—My reply is that those who have been allowed non-practising allowance, they are not supposed to carry on any private practice.

Shri Atul Saikia—Whether the Professor of the Pathology Deptt. Dr. P. Goswami sits in a particular Pharmacy and does clinical job ? Similarly Dr. Bhuyan a demonstrator of the Pathology Deptt. is having pharmacy just behind the guest house and whether Dr. Hojai is also having a pharmacy.....Whether the hon. Minister will enquire into it ?

Mr. Speaker—Mr. Saikia you need not give the names.

Shri C. S. Teron (Minister)—Sir, I would request the hon. members to furnish the names so that I can enquire into it.

Shri Dulal C. Barua—Sir, is Govt. aware of the fact that a serious complaint has been lodged by a hon. member of this house against an officer? If so, whether any punishment was given to that officer?

Shri C.S. Teron (Minister)—I do not think Sir, that this question can be replied in that connection. Anyway those information which the hon. member is asking for is not with me now, I am sorry Sir, as I have not been able to answer that question.

Shri Dulal C. Barua—This question arose in connection with 'Private Practice'. I wanted to know whether any action was taken against the officer for his behaviour on receipt of a complaint from a hon. member? The doctor was absent from his duty and a complaint was lodged against him.

Shri C. S. Teron (Minister)—I have said that this information is not with me now and I am sorry for that as I have not been able to answer that.

শ্রীভূমিধৰ বৰ্মন—অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, অন্যান্য বাজ্যৰ তুলনাত আমাৰ অসমত থকা মেডিকেল কলেজৰ অধ্যাপক সকলৰ প্ৰাইভেট প্ৰেক্টিচ বন্ধ কৰাৰ কাৰণে তেখেত সকলক কমপেনচেটৰী এলাউন্স দিয়াৰ কথা চিন্তা কৰিছে নে? শ্ৰীছদ্ৰচিং টেৰণ—আমাৰ এচিষ্টেণ্ট প্ৰফেচৰ সকলেও নন প্ৰেক্টিচ এলাওৱেন্স পায়। যদি তেখেতসকল নন ক্লিনিকত থাকে। কিন্তু ক্লিনিকত থাকিলে নন প্ৰেক্টিচ এলাওৱেন্স দিয়া নহয় আৰু ডিউটি আৰাৰ বাহিৰে তেওঁলোকে প্ৰাইভেট প্ৰেক্টিচ কৰিব পাৰে।

Shri Jagadish Das—Sir, may I know from the hon. Minister what is the definition of 'private practitioner'?

Shri C.S. Teron (Minister)—I do not know how to define it. The hon. member may consult the Dictionary and if necessary we may consult together and find out the definition. There are many doctors who know what does 'private practice' means. But what I feel is this—those persons who are employed by Govt. if they do something outside their normal duties assigned to them are called private practice. That means, the duties which have no relation with the Govt. duties.

Shri Giasuddin Ahmed—In view of the dearth of specialists in different branches of the medical science there are circumstances when a patient in his critical condition cannot be removed to the hospital, if a specialist is given a call at home—whether that will be considered as private practice?

Shri C.S. Teron (Minister)—When such emergencies are there the doctors are to be given a call and it depends upon the doctor. If he attends the call it will be considered as private practice.

Shri Atul Saikia—What is the total number of gazetted doctors at the Gauhati Medical College?

Shri C.S. Teron (Minister)—It is 148 + 38. 38 doctors are not getting non-practicing allowance. 148 doctors including Professors, Assistant Professors etc. are getting non-practising allowance. The total number of doctors are $148 + 38 = 186$.

Shri Dulal C. Barua—Will the Minister circulate the list of Doctors to the members of the House ?

Shri C.S. Teron (Minister)—Unfortunately, that is not with me now. Sir, I can furnish it later on.

Shri A. Saikia—Sir, there are certain private nursing homes at Gauhati. One is situated at Kalapahar run by Dr. Kalicharan Das and a Red Cross run by Dr. P. Dowerah where there are facilities for surgical operation. Whether it is a fact certain surgical operations are done with the help of an anaesthaicists of the Medical College Hospital ?

Shri C. S. Teron (Minister)—Sir, a similar question was there previously also. I shall have to make an enquiry about the matter. As I have said earlier, I again request the hon. member to furnish the list of such institutions and names of doctors so that I can make an enquiry.

বি : দৰং কলেজৰ ছাত্ৰ গ্ৰেপ্তাৰ

শ্ৰীসোনেশ্বৰ ববাই সুধিছে :

* ১৮১। মাননীয় মুখ্যমন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ে অনুগ্ৰহ কৰি জনাবনে—

(ক) দৰং কলেজৰ বিজ্ঞান বিভাগৰ তৃতীয় বাৰ্ষিকৰ ছাত্ৰ শ্ৰীভূপেন চক্ৰৱৰ্তী নামৰ ল'ৰাজনক ১৯৭৩ চনৰ জুন মাহত ভাৰত প্ৰতিৰক্ষা আইন অনুসৰি গ্ৰেপ্তাৰ কৰি জেইলত বখা সঁচা নেকি ?

(খ) যদি সঁচা, কলেজীয়া ছাত্ৰ জনক গ্ৰেপ্তাৰ কৰাৰ কাৰণ কি ?

(গ) কিমান দিন ছাত্ৰজনক জেইলত বন্দী কৰি বখাৰ পাচত মুক্তি দিয়া হ'ল ?

(ঘ) কি কি চুক্তিত বা চৰ্ত্তত ল'ৰাজনক মুক্তি দিয়া হ'ল ?

শ্ৰীশৰৎ চন্দ্ৰ সিংহ (মুখ্যমন্ত্ৰী) য়ে উত্তৰ দিছে :

১৮১। (ক) — হয়, সঁচা।

(খ) — শ্ৰীভূপেন চক্ৰৱৰ্ত্তীয়ে 'একুৰা নীলা জুই' নামৰ এখন নাটক লিখে আৰু গুৱাহাটীত নাট্যাভিনয়ৰ বাবে 'চতুৰঙ্গ' নামৰ অস্থায়ী এটাৰ লগত বন্দ-বস্ত কৰে। নাটকৰ বিষয় বস্তু আৰু ইয়াৰ প্ৰদৰ্শনে বিভিন্ন সম্প্ৰদায়ৰ মাজত সাম্প্ৰদায়িক বিদ্বেষ, শত্ৰুতা আৰু অসন্তোষৰ কাৰণ হৈ উঠিব পাৰে বুলি ভাবি গ্ৰেপ্তাৰ কৰা হয়।

(গ) — এদিন মাথোঁ।

(ঘ) — প্ৰথম চৰ্ত্ত হ'ল উল্লেখিত নাটকখন ১৮।৩।৭০ তাৰিখত বা ভৱিষ্যতে প্ৰদৰ্শন কৰিব নোৱাৰে। দ্বিতীয়তে তেওঁ কেতিয়াও এই নাটক প্ৰদৰ্শনৰ প্ৰস্তুতিৰ লগত জড়িত থাকিব নোৱাৰে।

শ্ৰী:সানেশ্বৰ বৰা — অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, এই নাটক অভিনয় কৰাটো মুখ্যমন্ত্ৰীয়ে দেখিছেনে ?

শ্ৰীশৰৎ চন্দ্ৰ সিংহ — দেখা নাই।

শ্ৰীহুলাল বৰুৱা — এই নাটকৰ বিষয়বস্তু সম্পৰ্ক মুখ্যমন্ত্ৰীয়ে জনেনে ?

শ্ৰীশৰৎ চন্দ্ৰ সিংহ — নাজানো।

শ্ৰীহুলাল বৰুৱা — মুখ্যমন্ত্ৰীয়ে কোৱাৰ দৰে তাত কোনো ধৰণৰ বিষয়বস্তু নাই — অথবা ল'ৰাজনক জুলুম দিছে। মুখ্যমন্ত্ৰীয়ে নাটকখনৰ বিষয়বস্তু নজনাকৈ এই সদনত কেনেকৈ বিবৃতি দিব পাৰে ?

শ্ৰীশৰৎ চন্দ্ৰ সিংহ — অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, মই নাটকখন চাব নালাগে আৰু পঢ়িবও নালাগে। এইবিলাকৰ কাৰণে চৰকাৰে বিষয়া বাখিছে আৰু সেই বিষয়া সকলে চাইছে আৰু জনাইছে যে নাটকখনত প্ৰি-জুডিচ মনোভাব আছে আৰু তাৰ কাৰণে গ্ৰেপ্তাৰ কৰা হৈছে।

শ্ৰীহুলাল বৰুৱা — এনেধৰণৰ কথাবিলাক গৃহ বিভাগৰ মূৰব্বী হিচাবে মুখ্যমন্ত্ৰীয়ে জনা উচিত বুলি নাভাবে নে? কাৰণ এজন ছাত্ৰক গ্ৰেপ্তাৰ কৰা হৈছে,

ইয়াত বহুত ইমপ্লিকেচন থাকিব পাৰে। এই সম্পৰ্কত বাঞ্ছনৈতিক দিশৰ পৰা কথাটো ধৰি নিবাবণ নিবোধ আইনমতে গ্ৰেপ্তাৰ কৰা হৈছে—গতিকে এই বিষয়ে জনা উচিত বুলি নাভাবেনে?

শ্ৰীশৰৎ চন্দ্ৰ সিংহ—মই আগতেই গোটেই কথা জনাইছো যে মুখ্যমন্ত্ৰীয়ে সেই বিলাক জানিব নালাগে। সেইবিলাকৰ কাৰণে বিশেষ বিশেষ বিষয়া হৈছে আৰু সেইমতেই সকলো ব্যৱস্থা কৰা হয়।

শ্ৰীতুলাল বৰুৱা—কিতাপখনৰ কোনো ধৰণৰ বিশেষ কথা নাই যে সাম্প্ৰদায়িক বা অন্যধৰণৰ কিবা ঘটিব পাৰে। গতিকে এইটো নজনাকৈ মুখ্যমন্ত্ৰীয়ে কেনেকৈ সদনত উত্তৰ দিব পাৰে?

শ্ৰীশৰৎ চন্দ্ৰ সিংহ—বিষয়াসকলৰ মতে প্ৰি-জুডিকেল মেটাৰ আছে।

শ্ৰীসোনেশ্বৰ বৰা—অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, নাটকখনৰ মূল চৰিত্ৰ কি আছিল?

শ্ৰীশৰৎ চন্দ্ৰ সিংহ (মুখ্যমন্ত্ৰী)—অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, সেই কথাটো মই আগতেই কৈছো।

শ্ৰীবদন তালুকদাৰ—অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, এই নাটকখন গুৱাহাটীত মঞ্চস্থ কৰাৰ আগতে আন কোনো ঠাইত মঞ্চস্থ কৰা হৈছিল নে নাই?

শ্ৰীশৰৎ চন্দ্ৰ সিংহ (মুখ্যমন্ত্ৰী)—হৈছিল।

শ্ৰীবদন তালুকদাৰ—অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, এই নাটকখন যি ঠাইত মঞ্চস্থ কৰা হৈছিল তাত কিবা প্ৰতিক্ৰিয়া হৈছিল নেকি? যাৰ ফলত ল'ৰাজনক গ্ৰেপ্তাৰ কৰা হৈছিল?

শ্ৰীশৰৎ চন্দ্ৰ সিংহ (মুখ্যমন্ত্ৰী)—প্ৰতিক্ৰিয়া হোৱাৰ সম্ভাৱনা আছিল। কিন্তু কতৃপক্ষই বিহিত ব্যৱস্থা ললে।

শ্ৰীতুলাল চন্দ্ৰ বৰুৱা—অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, যি ঠাইত নাটক মঞ্চস্থ কৰা হৈছিল, সেই ঠাইত চৰকাৰৰ ফালৰ পৰা কিবা ব্যৱস্থা লোৱা হৈছিল নে নাই?

শ্ৰীশৰৎ চন্দ্ৰ সিংহ (মুখ্যমন্ত্ৰী)—তাত ব্যৱস্থা লোৱা হোৱা নাই যদিও অন্য ঠাইত ইয়াৰ ব্যৱস্থা লোৱা হৈছে।

শ্ৰীসোনেশ্বৰ বৰা—অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, এইটো এটা অগণতান্ত্ৰিক ব্যৱস্থা হৈছে

বুলি মুখ্যমন্ত্ৰীয়ে নাভাবেনে ?

শ্ৰীশৰৎ চন্দ্ৰ সিংহ (মুখ্যমন্ত্ৰী)—নাভাবে।

বি : গোলাঘাটৰ শিল্প উদ্যোগ পাম

শ্ৰীছত্ৰগোপাল কৰ্মকাৰে সুধিছে :

* ১৮২। মাননীয় উদ্যোগ বিভাগৰ মন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ে অনুগ্ৰহ কৰি জনাবনে—

(ক) গোলাঘাটৰ শিল্প উদ্যোগ পামখন কেতিয়া প্ৰতিষ্ঠা কৰা হ'ল ?

(খ) এতিয়ালৈকে এই পামখনত কি কি উদ্যোগ প্ৰতিষ্ঠা কৰা হৈছে ?

শ্ৰীমহম্মদ ইদ্ৰিছ (উদ্যোগ মন্ত্ৰী) য়ে উত্তৰ দিছে :

১৮২। (ক)—গোলাঘাটত কোনো শিল্প উদ্যোগ পাম প্ৰতিষ্ঠা কৰা হোৱা নাই।

কিন্তু Growth Centre আঁচনিত চৰকাৰে ২৭ বিঘা মাটি যোগাব কৰি ৰাখিছে। এই আঁচনিৰ বাবে প্ৰাৰম্ভিক কাম যেনে—মাটি ঠিক কৰা, চাৰি সীমাৰ বেৰ দিয়া, পানী আৰু বিজুলী বাতিৰ যোগান ধৰা কাম হাতত লোৱা হৈছে। কোনো স্থানীয় উদ্যোগী লোক আগবাঢ়ি আহিলে চৰকাৰৰ তৰফৰ পৰা ফেক্টৰী ঘৰ আদি সাজি দিয়া হ'ব।

(খ)—এই উদ্যোগ এলেকাত এতিয়ালৈকে কোনো উদ্যোগ প্ৰতিষ্ঠা হোৱা নাই।

শ্ৰীছত্ৰগোপাল কৰ্মকাৰ—অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, মন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ে কৈছে যে, কিবা গ্ৰুপ থা চেন্টাৰ পাতিছে বুলি, সেইটোনো কি বস্তু ?

শ্ৰীমহম্মদ ইদ্ৰিছ (মন্ত্ৰী)—সেইটো আগতেই কৈ দিয়া হৈছে। গ্ৰুপ থা চেন্টাৰ বুলিলে মাটি ঠিক কৰা, চাৰি সীমাৰ বেৰ দিয়া পানী আৰু বিজুলী বাতিৰ যোগান ধৰা কাম হাতত লোৱা হৈছে। কোনো স্থানীয় উদ্যোগী লোক আগবাঢ়ি আহিলে চৰকাৰৰ তৰফৰ পৰা ফেক্টৰী ঘৰ আদি সাজি দিয়াৰো ব্যৱস্থা কৰা হ'ব।

শ্ৰীজগন্নাথ সিং—অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, মন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ে কৈছে যে তাত পানী যোগান ব্যৱস্থা কৰা হৈছে। কিন্তু—What is the source of water ?

শ্ৰীমহম্মদ ইদ্ৰিছ—I shall have to find out ?

Shri Jagannath Sinha—This is not the answer Sir. What will be the source of water? Water is very important for running an industry. The water may be hard, it may be soft and there may not be perennial source. So what will be the source of water.

শ্রীমহম্মদ ইদ্রিচ (মন্ত্রী)—অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, এই উদ্যোগ পামলৈ চৰচ অৱ
ওৱাটাৰ কৰ পৰা পাম কৰ নোৱাৰে। কিন্তু মই ভাবো যে এইটো
টিউব ওৱেলৰ দ্বাৰাই সম্ভৱ হ'ব।

শ্রীমোনেস্বৰ বৰা—অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, এই পাম খন গোলাঘাট টাউনৰ পৰা দূৰত
হোৱা আৰু তাত ওচৰে পাজৰে যে কোনো মানুহ নাই এই কথা চৰ-
কাৰে উপলব্ধি নকৰেনে?

শ্রীমহম্মদ ইদ্রিচ (মন্ত্রী)—উদ্যোগ পামখন যে চহৰৰ পৰা অলপ দূৰত সেইটো
সঁচা কিন্তু পামখন যে ক্ৰমবৰ্দ্ধমান হৈ আছে তালৈ চাই অনতিপলমে
তালৈকে মানুহ শিপাই যাব।

শ্রীনগেন বৰুৱা—অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, উদ্যোগ পাম কেতিয়া আৰম্ভ কৰা হৈছে?
আৰু আৰম্ভণীৰে পৰা কিমান টকা ব্যয় কৰা হৈছে? আৰু এতিয়ালৈকে
কেইজন উদ্যোগপতিয়ে তাত কি কি উদ্যোগ স্থাপন কৰিছে?

শ্রীমহম্মদ ইদ্রিচ (মন্ত্রী)—উদ্যোগ পামত কি কি কৰা হৈছে সেইটো মই
প্ৰশ্নৰ উদ্ভবত কৈছোঁ, টকা কিমান খৰচ হৈছে সেইটো মই পিছত
জানাম।

শ্রীঅতুল চন্দ্ৰ শইকীয়া—অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, চৰকাৰে বৰ্তমান যিবিলাক উদ্যোগ
হাতত লৈছে সেইবিলাক মিনি নে মেঞ্জি?

শ্রীমহম্মদ ইদ্রিচ (মন্ত্রী)—সেইবোৰ মিনিও নহয় মেঞ্জিও নহয়।

Shri Jagannath Singh—What is the amount sanctioned for this growth centre?

শ্রীমহম্মদ ইদ্রিচ (মন্ত্রী)—মহোদয় ঘৰ সজাৰ কাৰণে এমাউণ্ট চেংচন নহয়।

It is sanctioned according to requirements. If anybody indicates the requirement sanction will be made. But the amount already sanctioned is not with me.

Shri Atul Chandra Saikia—Classification of industry whether it will be a mini or maxi is done by the Industries Department. Therefore, I want to know specially what class of industrial estate will come up there, whether it is mini or maxi?

Mr. Speaker—He has already replied that it is neither mini nor maxi.

বি : বিশ্বনাথ চাৰিআলি আৰক্ষী চকীৰ ভাৰপ্রাপ্ত বিষয়া

ডাঃ কোষেশ্বৰ বৰাই মুখিছে :

* ১৮৩। মাননীয় গৃহ বিভাগৰ মন্ত্রী মহোদয়ে অনুগ্রহ কৰি জনাবনে—

- (ক) তেজপুৰ মহকুমাৰ বিশ্বনাথ চাৰিআলি আৰক্ষী চকীৰ ভাৰপ্রাপ্ত বিষয়া শ্রীকান্ধীনাথ দত্ত একেবাহে সেই পুলিচ চকীত কিমান দিন আছে?
- (খ) এই পুলিছ বিষয়া জন উক্ত চকীত থকা কালছোৱাৰ ভিতৰতে তেখেতে কৰা কিছুমান অসং কামৰ কাৰণে বিভাগীয় তদন্ত হৈছে নেকি?
- (গ) যদি হৈছে, কি কি অসং কামৰ কাৰণে তদন্ত হৈছে আৰু তদন্ত বিপৰ্ট দাখিল হ'লনে আৰু তদন্ত কৰাৰ পাচত বিষয়া জনৰ ওপৰত চৰকাৰে বা বিভাগে কি ব্যৱস্থা গ্ৰহণ কৰিছে।
- (ঘ) প্ৰশ্নকাৰক সদস্যজনে বিধান সভাৰ যোৱা বাজেট অধিবেশনত এই বিষয়া জনৰ বিষয়ে উল্লেখ কৰা অভিযোগটোও তদন্ত হ'লনে?

শ্রীহিতেশ্বৰ শইকীয়া (গৃহ বিভাগৰ ৰাজ্যিক মন্ত্রী) য়ে উত্তৰ দিছে :

১৮৩। (ক)—সহ-পৰিদৰ্শক শ্রীতুলসী নাথ দত্তই (শ্রীকান্ধীনাথ দত্ত নহয়) ২০-৩-৭৩ত চাৰি আলি আৰক্ষী চকীৰ ভাৰপ্রাপ্ত বিষয়া হিচাবে যোগদান

কৰে আৰু তেতিয়াৰ পৰা ভাৰপ্ৰাপ্ত বিষয়া হিচাবে তাতৈ আছে ?

(খ) — হয়। বিভাগীয় তদন্ত হৈছিল।

(গ) — তদন্ত হোৱা অভিযোগ বিলাক হ'ল—

(১) মহম্মদ খলিল শ্বেখৰ ঘৈণীয়েকৰ ওপৰত অসং ব্যৱহাৰ কৰা আৰু

(২) বিশ্বনাথ চাৰিআলিত হোৱা হত্যাৰণ্ডাবোৰৰ সংক্ৰান্তত থানাৰ ভাৰ-
প্ৰাপ্ত বিষয়া আৰু চাৰ্কোল পৰিদৰ্শকে নীৰবিহ মাফুহক গ্ৰেপ্তাৰ
কৰি অযথা জুলুম দিয়া অভিযোগবিলাক প্ৰমাণ নহ'ল, সেই
বাবে বিষয়া জনৰ বিৰুদ্ধে কোনো ব্যৱস্থা লোৱাৰ প্ৰশ্ন নুঠে।

(ঘ) — তদন্ত হৈছিল।

ডাঃ কোষেশ্বৰ বৰা — অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, এই তদন্ত কাৰ যোগেদি হৈছিল ?

শ্ৰীহিতেশ্বৰ শইকীয়া (মন্ত্ৰী) — অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, এজন উচ্চ পদস্থ বিষয়ৰ
যোগেদি এই তদন্ত কৰা হৈছিল।

ডাঃ কোষেশ্বৰ বৰা — অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, কেনে ধৰণৰ উচ্চ পদস্থ বিষয়া ?

শ্ৰীহিতেশ্বৰ শইকীয়া (মন্ত্ৰী) — অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, সেইজন এচ, দি, পি অফিচাৰ ?

Shri Jagannath Singh — Whether the officer concerned was
put under suspension at the time of enquiry ?

Shri Hiteswar Saikia (Minister) — No.

শ্ৰীকবীৰ বায় প্ৰধানী — অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, এইটো সঁচানে যে পুলিচ বিভাগৰ
কোনো তদন্তৰ ক্ষেত্ৰত ওপৰৰ পৰা তললৈ বিষয়া সকলৰ মাজত কিবা
এটা যোগাযোগ থকা কাৰণে তলতীয়া কৰ্মচাৰী জনৰ কিবা দোষ
থাকিলেও সেইটো ভাল কৰি ৰিপৰ্ট দিয়ে বুলি চৰকাৰে নাভাবেনে ?

শ্ৰীহিতেশ্বৰ শইকীয়া (মন্ত্ৰী) — অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, সেইটো নাভাবো।

শ্ৰীহুলাল চন্দ্ৰ বৰুৱা — অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, যিজন অফিচাৰৰ বিৰুদ্ধে অভিযোগ
দিয়া হৈছিল, সেই কাগজ পত্ৰ খিনি নোপোৱাকৈ বিষয়া জনে তদন্ত কৰা
কথা সঁচানে ? আৰু কোনো সাক্ষী বাদী নোলোৱাকৈ ৰিপৰ্ট দাখিল কৰা
কথা সঁচানে ?

শ্রীহিতেশ্বৰ শইকীয়া (মন্ত্ৰী)—অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, এই সংক্ৰান্তত বহুতো সাক্ষী লোৱা হৈছে। মানুহ গৰাকীৰ বিষয়েও সাক্ষী লোৱা হৈছে।

Shri Dalal Chandra Khound—In view of the allegation of serious crime what was the reason that Govt. did not depart a senior officer higher than the S.D.P.O. who enquired into it and whether the S.D.P.O. who conducted the enquiry was of the same sub-division ?

শ্রীহিতেশ্বৰ শইকীয়া (মন্ত্ৰী)—অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, যি জনক তদন্ত কৰিবলৈ দিয়া হৈছে, সেইজনে তদন্ত কৰিব পাৰে বুলিয়েই দিয়া হৈছে।

শ্রীআটাউৰ বহমান—অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, থানাৰ ভূতপূৰ্ব বিষয়া আৰু মহকুমাৰ ভূতপূৰ্ব বিষয়াৰ মাজত গাখীৰ মিঠৈ সনাৰ সম্বন্ধ বখা হেতুকে তেনে বিষয়াৰ দ্বাৰা অভিযোগতো তদন্ত নকৰাই অন্য বিভাগৰ বিষয়াৰ দ্বাৰা এই বিষয়টো তদন্ত কৰাবনে?

শ্রীহুলাল খাউন্দ—অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, এচ, দি, পি, অ জন সেই ডিভিজনৰে হব লাগিব নেকি ?

শ্রীহিতেশ্বৰ শইকীয়া (মন্ত্ৰী)—অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, বিষয়াজন মহকুমাৰে হব লাগিব।

শ্রীহুলাল চন্দ্ৰ খাউণ্ড—এচ-ডি-পি-ও জন সেইটো মহকুমাৰ হয়নে নহয় ?

শ্রীহিতেশ্বৰ শইকীয়া—এচ-ডি-পি-ও জন সেইটো মহকুমাৰে হব লাগিব আৰু দ্বিতীয়তে যিটো কথা তেখেতে কৈছে সেইটো নহয়।

শ্রীসোণেশ্বৰ বৰা—এই কথাটো সঁচা নে যে যিহেতু এই ধৰণৰ ঘটনাটো সেই থানাত সেই পুলিচ বিষয়া জন থকা অৱস্থাতেই সংঘটিত হ'ল, তেওঁৰ বিৰুদ্ধে অভিযোগ আহিলেতো সেই মহকুমাৰ পুলিচ বিষয়াকে তদন্ত কৰিবলৈ দিয়া হ'ল সেই থানাৰ ভাৰপ্ৰাপ্ত বিষয়া জন সেই থানাত কাম কৰি থকা অৱস্থাতেই। সেই কাৰণেই অভিযোগকাৰী আৰু অভিযোগকাৰীৰ সমৰ্থন থকা সাক্ষী সকলক ভয় প্ৰদৰ্শন কৰি সাক্ষী লৈ গোটেই তদন্তটো অপ্রমাণিত কৰিবলৈ চেষ্টা কৰিছে সেই কথাটো বিচাৰ

বিবেচনা কৰি মন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ে জনাবনে ?

শ্ৰীহিতেশ্বৰ শইকীয়া—সেইটো নহয়, দৰখাস্ত যাক যেনেকৈ কোৱা হৈছিল সেই মতেই কৰা হৈছে।

শ্ৰীহুলাল চন্দ্ৰ বৰুৱা—এই গুৰুতৰ অভিযোগতো বিধান সভাৰ সদস্য এজনে চৰকাৰৰ দৃষ্টিগোচৰ কৰিছিল কিন্তু তদন্তৰ সময়ত বিধান সভাৰ সদস্য জনক কোনো সোধা-পাচা কৰা হৈছিল নে নাই আৰু দ্বিতীয়তে মই জানিব খুজিছো যে ইমান এটা গুৰুতৰ অভিযোগ সেই বিষয়া জনৰ লগত সন্মত থকা এজন বিষয়াক কিয় বিচাৰ কৰিবলৈ পঠিওৱা হৈছিল ?

অধ্যক্ষ—আপোনাৰ প্ৰশ্নটো কি ?

শ্ৰীহুলাল চন্দ্ৰ বৰুৱা—মই জানিব খুজিছো যে এই অনুসন্ধানত আমি সন্তুষ্ট হোৱা নাই গতিকে অৱস্থাৰ গুৰুত্বলৈ চাই আৰু এই বিষয়টোৰ গুৰুত্বলৈ চাই এজন অভিযোগ নথকা আৰু সন্মত নথকা বিষয়াৰ দ্বাৰাই গোটেই ঘটনাটো তদন্ত কৰাৰ কথা চিন্তা কৰিবনে ?

শ্ৰীহিতেশ্বৰ শইকীয়া—দৰখাস্তত যিবিলাক কথা খলিল শ্বেথে লিখিছিল আৰু বিধান সভাৰ সদস্য জনে যিখিনি লিখি দিছিল সেই সকলো বিলাক কথাই পৰীক্ষা কৰি চোৱা হৈছে আৰু বিধান সভাৰ বাজেট অধিবেশনত আৰু পুৰিচকাটৰ বিষয়তো দুই গৰাকী মাননীয় সদস্যই যিবিলাক কথা কৈছিল সেই বিলাকো গুৰুত্ব সহকাৰে চৰকাৰে গ্ৰহণ কৰিছে আৰু সকলো বিলাক কথা সাক্ষী লৈহে যিটো পোৱা হৈছে সেইটো ইয়াত জনোৱা হৈছে।

Shri Md. Umaruddin—Sir, may I ask, in view of the complaint involving criminal offence why was not a Judicial Inquiry ordered, i.e., Inquiry by a Magistrate ?

Shri Hiteswar Saikia (Minister)—Because it was not a matter of such great importance.

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua—How can the minister say

it was not an important matter ? It was a question of raping....

Shri Hiteswar Saikia (Minister) - It was not a question of raping at all.

শ্রীহুলাল চন্দ্ৰ খাউণ্ড—অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, অভিযোগ আছিল এগৰাকী মহিলাৰ ওপৰত ধৰ্ষণ কৰা আৰু.....

অধ্যক্ষ—অসং ব্যৱহাৰ কৰা।

শ্রীহুলাল চন্দ্ৰ খাউণ্ড—সেইটো তেখেতে চিৰিয়াচ ক্ৰাইম বুলি নাভাবেনে ? ভাতে আকৌ মাইন'ৰিটি কমিউনিটিৰ মহিলা গতিকে কেৱল এফালৰ সাক্ষী লৈয়েই আচল কথাটো পোৱা সম্ভৱনে ?

শ্রীহিতেশ্বৰ শইকীয়া—কথাখিনি গোটেই কবলৈ হলে আটাইখিনিয়েই কব লাগিব। চাৰ্কোল ইলপেক্টৰ যিজন তেওঁ মাইন'ৰিটি কমিউনিটিৰ, গতিকে সেই ফালৰ পৰা প্ৰটেকচন পাইছে। এই বিষয়া জনৰ এটা শক্তিশালী গোষ্ঠি আছে। এজন বিষয়াক তাৰ পৰা বদলি কৰাৰ সংক্ৰান্ততে এই ঘটনাটো ঘটিবলৈ পাইছে। আগৰ বিষয়া জনৰ বিৰুদ্ধেও আপত্তিৰ দৰ-খাস্ত পাইছিল কিন্তু চৰকাৰে বিপ্ৰিমেণ্ড কৰিছে, বদলি কৰি দিয়া নাই। সেই বিষয়া জনে চিনেমাও কৰিছে কিন্তু প্ৰদৰ্শন কৰিবলৈ চৰকাৰৰ পৰা অনুমতি লোৱা নাই। সেই ঘটনা ঘটিবৰ দিনা নিশা খলিল ষ্ঠেখক ধৰি আনিছিল যদিও এই গোটেই ঘটনাটোতেই এক শক্তিশালী ৰাজনৈতিক হেঁচাৰ চক্ৰান্ত আছিল। এই আটাইবিলাক কথা তদন্তৰ ওপৰত ভিত্তি কৰিহে কোৱা হৈছে।

শ্রীহুলাল চন্দ্ৰ বৰুৱা—এই ঘটনাত ৰাজনৈতিক বেক্‌গ্ৰাউণ্ড কিবা আছে নে নাই সেই বিলাক আমি নাজানো কিন্তু এইটো দেখ দেখ কথা যে যিজন বিষয়াৰ বিৰুদ্ধে অভিযোগ অনা হৈছে সেই অভিযুক্ত বিষয়া জনৰ এজন সম্বন্ধীয় লোকৰ দ্বাৰাই এই তদন্ত কৰা হৈছে। গতিকে আমি মন্ত্ৰীয়ে কোৱা কথাও নহয় বুলি নকওঁ আৰু অন্যান্য ফালৰ পৰাও কোৱা কথা নহয় বুলি নকওঁ কিন্তু আমি বিচাৰো ইয়াৰ এটা ভাল তদন্ত হ'ব লাগে।

শ্রীহিতেশ্বৰ শইকীয়া — চাৰ, তদন্ত ভালকৈয়ে কৰা হৈছে।

শ্রীজলাল চন্দ্ৰ বৰুৱা — এইটো পাৰ্চিয়েল তদন্ত হৈছে বুলি আমি কৈছো। নিৰপেক্ষ তদন্ত কৰাৰ ব্যৱস্থা কৰিবনে?

শ্রীহিতেশ্বৰ শইকীয়া — এইটো ইমপাৰ্চিয়েল তদন্ত হৈছিল পাৰ্চিয়েল হ'ব নোৱাৰে।

ডাঃ কোষেশ্বৰ বৰা — এই তদন্তৰ কথা বিলাক সত্য নহয়। এই কথাত বিটো বাস্তৱনৈতিক কথাৰ কথা.....

অধ্যক্ষ — আপুনি প্রশ্ন কৰক কি প্রশ্ন।

ডাঃ কোষেশ্বৰ বৰা — মই বিচাৰিছো যিজনৰ হতুৱাই তদন্ত কৰা হৈছে সেই জনৰ এচ-পিলৈকে লিংক আছে। বিধান সভাত কথাটো উত্থাপন কৰিছিল। যদিও তদন্তৰ সময়ত আমাক নজুনোৱাকৈয়ে তদন্ত কৰি আহিছে। মানুহৰ ঘৰত সোমাই আহি তদন্ত কৰিছে, সাক্ষী-বাদী নাই এইটো তদন্ত কেনেকৈ হয়। এইটো জুডিচিয়েল তদন্ত কৰিবনে নকৰে সেইটো মই জানিব বিচাৰিছো।

অধ্যক্ষ — সেইটোৰ উত্তৰ তিনিবাৰমান দিছে।

শ্রীগিয়াচুদ্দিন আহমদ — ইয়াতে য' প্রশ্নৰ উত্তৰত কৈছে বিধানে সভাৰ বাজেট অধিবেশনত উত্থাপন কৰা কথাটো তদন্ত কৰা হ'ল। মই জানিব বিচাৰিছো তদন্তৰ সময়ত এই সদস্যজনৰ সাক্ষী গ্ৰহণ কৰা হৈছিল নে নাই?

শ্রীহিতেশ্বৰ শইকীয়া — তেখেতে লিখিত জনাইছিল আৰু সাক্ষী লোৱাৰ কথা নাহে।

শ্রীগিয়াচুদ্দিন আহমদ — এইটো প্ৰচিডিউৰ হ'ব নোৱাৰে। এইটো কথা কেনেকৈ হ'ব।

Mr. Speaker — He said that is has not been examined.
What move do you what?

শ্রীগিয়াচুদ্দিন আহমদ — লিখিত ভাৱে জনাব পাৰে কিন্তু তদন্তৰ সময়ত সাক্ষী গ্ৰহণ নকৰিলে কেনেকুৱা তদন্ত হয়। অভিযোগকাৰীৰ যদি সাক্ষ্য গ্ৰহণ কৰা নহয় তদন্ত কেনেকৈ হয়?

শ্রীহিতেশ্বৰ শইকীয়া—তেখেতে দৰখাস্ত বিক'মেণ্ডে কৰিছে অভিযোগ কৰা নাই ?

শ্রীদুলাল চন্দ্ৰ বৰুৱা—মন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ে কৈছে উত্তৰ প্ৰসঙ্গত যে আগৰ বিষয়া জনক বদলি কৰাৰ কাৰণে এই বিলাক অৱস্থাৰ সৃষ্টি হৈছে কিন্তু—

শ্রীহিতেশ্বৰ শইকীয়া—অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, মই বেকৰ্ডৰ পৰাহে কৈছো।

বি : এড়ি, মুগা আৰু পাটপলুৰ উৎপাদন

শ্রীমতী প্ৰণীতা তালুকদাৰে সুধিছে :

* ১৮৪। মাননীয় বেচম বিভাগৰ মন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ে অনুগ্ৰহ কৰি জনাবনে—

(ক) অসমত এড়ি, মুগা আৰু পাটপলুৰ উৎপাদন বৃদ্ধি কৰিবলৈ চৰকাৰে কেনে ধৰণৰ ব্যৱস্থা গ্ৰহণ কৰিছে ?

(খ) অসমত উৎপাদিত এড়ি, মুগা আৰু পাটপলুৰ কিমান অংশ কোন কোন ঠাইলৈ পঠোৱা হয় ?

শ্রীমতী স্বৰ্ণপ্ৰভা মহন্ত (বেচম আৰু বয়ন বিভাগৰ বাজ্যিক মন্ত্ৰী) য়ে উত্তৰ দিছে :

১৮৪। (ক)—অসমত এড়ি, মুগা আৰু পাটপলুৰ উৎপাদন বৃদ্ধিৰ কাৰণে পলু-পোহোতা সকলক চৰকাৰৰ ফালৰ পৰা নিৰোগী সঁচ যোগান ধৰা হয়। সমূহীয়া নুনিবাগান, এড়ি সমাহাৰ কেন্দ্ৰ, আৰু গৰু চৰণীয়া পথাৰত মুগা পলুৰ খাদ্য গছ ৰোপন কৰাৰ ব্যৱস্থা আছে। সাধাৰণ ঋণ মঞ্জুৰীৰ ওপৰিও বিশেষ নিয়োগ অঁচনিৰ জৰিয়তে পলু পোহোতা সকলক ঋণ দিয়াৰ ব্যৱস্থা কৰা হৈছে। পেট্ৰ'কেমিকেল কমপ্লেক্স অঁচনিৰ জৰিয়তে নতুন এড়ি, সমাহাৰ কেন্দ্ৰ আৰু গৰুচৰণীয়া পথাৰত মুগা পলুৰ খাদ্য গছ ৰোপন কৰা ব্যৱস্থা হৈছে। বিভাগীয় প্ৰদৰ্শক সকলে পোহোতা সকলক সঁচ যোগোৱাৰ ওপৰিও কাৰিকৰী জ্ঞান দিয়াৰো ব্যৱস্থা বখা হৈছে। ক্ষেত্ৰ বিশেষে পলু পোহাৰ কাৰণে বিনা মূল্যত সা-সজুলিও দিয়া হয়।

(খ)—অসমত উৎপাদিত এড়ি, মুগা আৰু পাটসঁচ অসমৰ বাহিৰৰ কোনো ঠাইলৈ পঠিওৱা নহয়।

শ্রীমতী প্ৰণীতা তালুকদাৰ—মাননীয় অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, মাননীয়া মন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ৰ

পৰা জানিব পৰা মতে অসমৰ এড়ি মুগা পাটপলুৰ উৎপাদন বৃদ্ধি কৰাৰ সম্পৰ্কত আমাৰ চৰকাৰৰ ফালৰ পৰা বিশেষ ব্যৱস্থা লোৱা বুলি আমি গম পাই সুখী হৈছোঁ। এই ক্ষেত্ৰত মই জানিব বিচাৰিছোঁ যে আমাৰ যিবিলাক পিচপৰা গাৱলীয়া অঞ্চল আছে ব'ত আমাৰ পাট-পলুৰ উৎপাদন বেচি হয় আৰু তাত আমাৰ পাৰ্গত শিপিনী সকল আছে তাত অৰ্থাৎ ঠিক তেনে ধৰণৰ ঠাইত এই বিশেষ ব্যৱস্থা বিলাক লোৱাৰ কাৰণ বিশেষ ভাৱে চিন্তা কৰিবনে?

শ্ৰীমতী স্বৰ্ণপ্ৰভা মহন্ত (মন্ত্ৰী)—অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, সাধাৰণ জ্ঞানৰ পৰা দেখা যায় যে গোৱালপাৰা আৰু লক্ষীমপুৰ জিলাত এই এড়ি মুগা পাট-পলু বেচিকৈ উৎপাদন হয়, আমাৰ যিবিলাক অঞ্চলত উৎপাদন এতিয়াও কম হৈ আছে সেই বিলাকত উৎপাদন বৃদ্ধি কৰিবৰ কাৰণে আমাৰ বিভাগৰ ফালৰ পৰা চেষ্টা কৰা হৈছে। বেচম শিল্পৰ ক্ষেত্ৰত মই কও যে অসমত ইমান ব্যাপক শিপিনী আছে, সেই সকলোকে একেলগে সংগঠিত কৰি আঁচনি লবলৈ হলে এক বৃহৎ ব্যাপক আঁচনিৰ আৱশ্যক হব। কিন্তু সেই আঁচনি হাতত লবলৈ হলে অসম চৰকাৰৰ বাজহৰে হব নে নহয় তাত সন্দেহ হয়। ইতিমধ্যে যিবিলাক আঁচনি চৰকাৰৰ ফালৰ পৰা লোৱা হৈছে সেইবিলাকতে যদি আমাৰ মাননীয় সদস্য সকলে সহায়-সহযোগ আগবঢ়াই তেতিয়াহলে আমি নিশ্চয় কৃতকাৰ্য হব পাৰিম গতিকে বৰ্তমান ব্যাপক আঁচনি নললেও এই ক্ষেত্ৰত আমি কিছু আগবাঢ়িব পাৰিম। ইয়াৰ উপৰিও আমাৰ 'চেল্ফ জেনেৰেটিং' স্কীমত আমাৰ শিপিনী সকলক সংগঠিত কৰি কাম কৰিবলৈ দিহা-পৰামৰ্শ আগবঢ়োৱা হৈছে বাতে তেওঁলোকে নিজে নিজেই এই ক্ষেত্ৰত আগবাঢ়িব পাৰে।

শ্ৰীমতী তৰুলতা বৰা—অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, মাননীয় মন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ৰ পৰা জানিব পাৰোনে যে অসমত যিহেতু নানা ধৰণৰ আঁচনি লোৱা হৈছে তেনে ক্ষেত্ৰত যোৱা ১৯৭২ চনৰে পৰা বৰ্তমান ১৯৭৩ চনলৈকে অসমৰ কোন কোন জিলাত এই এড়ি মুগা পাট-পলুৰ উৎপাদন কেনে ধৰণে বৃদ্ধি কৰা হৈছে সেইটো জনাবনে?

শ্রীমতী স্বর্ণপ্রভা মহন্ত (মন্ত্রী)—জিলাৰ ভিত্তিত কেনে ধৰণে উৎপাদন বৃদ্ধি কৰা হৈছে সেইটো দিটেইলচ কৰলৈ গলে অলপ বিচাৰিব লাগিব আৰু সময়ো কিছু বেচি লাগিব। মই ১৯৭১ চনৰ হিচাবটো কৈছোঁ—এড়ি খোলা-২,২০,০০০ কেজি, মুগা চিক্ক-৭২,০০০ কেজি আৰু পাট চিক্ক-১২.৬০০ কেজি আৰু ১৯৭২ চনত—২,২৫,০০০ কেজি এড়ি খোলা, ৭২,৫০০ কেজি মুগা চিক্ক আৰু ১২,৬০০ কেজি পাটচিক্কৰ উৎপাদন বৃদ্ধি কৰা হৈছে।

শ্রীমতী ব্ৰজী দাস—মাননীয় মন্ত্রী মহোদয়ে জনাবনে অসমৰ বিখ্যাত শিল্প নগৰী গুৱালকুছিত এই এড়ি মুগা পাট-পলুৰ উৎপাদন বৃদ্ধিৰ কাৰণে চৰকাৰে কি ব্যৱস্থা লৈছে? আমি জনাত গুৱালকুছিত এখন 'চেৰি-কালচাৰ ফাৰ্ম' আছে কিন্তু সেই ফাৰ্মৰ পৰা উৎপাদিত বস্তু ওলোৱা নাই বুলি জনা গৈছে, তেনে ক্ষেত্ৰত চৰকাৰৰ ফালৰ পৰা কি ব্যৱস্থা লোৱা হৈছে সেই বিষয়ে চৰকাৰে জনাবনে?

শ্রীমতী স্বর্ণপ্রভা মহন্ত (মন্ত্রী)—এইটো বেচম শিল্পৰ কথা নহয় বয়ন শিল্পৰহে কথা। গুৱালকুছিৰ উইভিং শিল্পটো বয়ন শিল্পৰ কাৰণে লেখত লবলগীয়া। যি সকল লোকে এই বয়ন শিল্পৰ প্ৰতি অলুৰক্ত সেই সকলেহে এই বয়ন শিল্পৰ ফালে আগবাঢ়িছে। দৌলখালৰ ওচৰত যোৱা বছৰত বেচম শিল্পৰ আঁচনি লোৱা হৈছে।

শ্রীমতী আনন্দী বালা বাভা—মাননীয় মন্ত্রী মহোদয়ে জনাইছে যে এড়ি মুগা পাট-পলু শিল্পটোৰ উন্নতিৰ কাৰণে আৰু তাৰ উৎপাদন বৃদ্ধি কৰাৰ কাৰণে ঋণ দিয়াৰ ব্যৱস্থা কৰিছে, মন্ত্রী মহোদয়ে উল্লেখ কৰা মতে জানিব পৰা গৈছে গোৱালপাৰা আৰু লক্ষীমপুৰ জিলাত বেচিকৈ সুবিধা দিয়া হৈছে। যোৱা ১৯৭১-৭২ চনৰ ভিতৰত গোৱালপাৰা আৰু লক্ষীমপুৰ জিলাত এই বেচম উৎপাদন বৃদ্ধিৰ ক্ষেত্ৰত কিমান জন লোকক ঋণ দিয়া হৈছে আৰু এই ঋণ কোনো ফাৰ্ম বা মহিলা সমিতি বা কোনো ব্যক্তিগত লোকৰ জৰীয়তে বা ব্যক্তিগত লোকৰ নামত দিছে নেকি? সেই বিষয়ে জনাবনে?

শ্রীমতী স্বর্ণপ্রভা মহন্ত (মন্ত্রী)—ঋণ দিয়াৰ ক্ষেত্ৰত সাধাৰণ নিয়ম-কানুনেই

পালন কৰা হৈছে। যোৱা বছৰ অৰ্থাৎ ১৯৭২ চনত অকল গোৱালপাৰা বা লক্ষীমপুৰ জিলাই নহয় প্ৰতি জিলাতেই ঋণ দিয়া হৈছিল। বিশেষকৈ এই আঁচনিৰ জৰীয়ে প্ৰায় চাৰে ছয় লাখ টকাৰ ঋণ দিয়াৰ ব্যৱস্থা হৈছিল। যি সকল বেচমৰ বোৱনি আছে সেই সকল মহিলাক আঢ়ৈ হাজাৰ টকাকৈ ঋণ দিয়াৰ ব্যৱস্থা কৰা হৈছে যাতে তেওঁলোকে শিল্পটোত আগবাঢ়ি যাবলৈ উৎসাহ পায়। গোৱালপাৰা জিলাৰ বাহিৰেও অইন ঠাইত তেনে ধৰণে ঋণ দিয়াৰ ব্যৱস্থা কৰা হৈছে, সম্পূৰ্ণ লিষ্ট খন গাই দিবলৈ সময় যথেষ্ট লাগিব।

শ্ৰীমতী আনোৱাৰা টাইম্ব—মাননীয় মন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়্যাই 'খ'ৰ প্ৰশ্নৰ উত্তৰত কৈছে যে এড়ি মুগা পাট-পলু বাহিৰলৈ পঠোৱা নহয় কিন্তু অসমৰ বজাৰ বিলাকৰ পৰা এড়ি মুগা পাট-পলু কোন বিলাক বাহিৰলৈ গৈ থাকে সেই খবৰটো জনাবনে? আৰু বেচম আৰু বয়ন বিভাগৰ পৰা এড়ি মুগাৰ কোকন বিলাক প্ৰোকিয়ৰ কৰাৰ ব্যৱস্থা কৰিছেনে? আৰু যাতে সেই কোকন বিলাক যাতে বাহিৰলৈ যাব নোৱাৰে তাৰ ব্যৱস্থা কৰিছে অৰ্থাৎ 'কন্ট্ৰোল' কৰিছে নে?

শ্ৰীমতী স্বৰ্ণপ্ৰভা মহন্ত (মন্ত্ৰী)—এইটো কন্ট্ৰোল কৰা নাই, চৰকাৰে দেখোন আজি ধান-চাউল কন্ট্ৰোল কৰিছে তথাপিও জানো ধান-চাউল বাহিৰলৈ যোৱা নাই? অৱশ্যে অসমত এড়ি মুগা পাট-পলু উৎকৃষ্ট কোকন তৈয়াৰ হয় গতিকে বাহিৰলৈ কোকন যোৱাত কি বাধা থাকিব পাৰে? অৱশ্যে যিমান পৰা যায় এই কোকনবিলাক যাতে বাহিৰলৈ বাপকভাৱে নাযায় তাৰ বাবে ব্যৱস্থা কৰিব লাগে।

শ্ৰীমতী তৰুলতা বৰা—মই এটা প্ৰশ্ন সুধিব বিচাৰিছোঁ। সেইটো হ'ল অসমত আজি এই শিল্পৰ উন্নতিৰ কাৰণে চৰকাৰৰ ফালৰ পৰা নতুন সঁচ ভাল আৰু নিৰোগী দিয়া হয়, গতিকে ইতিমধ্যে অসমৰ কোন কোন জিলাত কিমান সঁচ দিলে জনাবনে?

শ্ৰীমতী স্বৰ্ণপ্ৰভা মহন্ত (মন্ত্ৰী)—এইটো এটা নতুন প্ৰশ্ন, ইয়াৰ উত্তৰ দিবলৈ সময় যথেষ্ট লাগিব আৰু বেলেগে প্ৰশ্ন কৰিলে ভাল হ'ব।

শ্ৰীমতী বেৱতী দাস—মাননীয় মন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়্যাই প্ৰশ্নৰ উত্তৰত কলে গুৱালকুছিত যি

খন চেৰিকালচাৰ ফাৰ্ম আছে তাত আমি জনাত বাইজে বিশেষ সহাৰী দিয়া নাই যিহেতু চৰকাৰে সেই ফাৰ্মখন হাতত হৈছে, গতিকে মন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ে ইয়াৰ ব্যৱস্থা কৰিবনে ?

শ্ৰীমতী স্বৰ্ণপ্ৰভা মহন্ত (মন্ত্ৰী)—অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, এই বিষয়ে মোৰ জনা নাই, মাননীয়া সদস্য গৰাকী সেই সমষ্টিৰে বিধায়িকা। তেখেতেই এই বিষয়ে দৃষ্টি দি চৰকাৰক জনাব পাৰে আৰু সেইমতেই প্ৰয়োজনীয় ব্যৱস্থা হাতত লোৱা হ'ব।

Mr. Speaker—

The question hour is over.

ASSAM LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY SHORT NOTICE

Questions and Answers

Date 6th December, 1973

Re : Jonai Area

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua asked :

5. Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state—

- (a) The reason for which Jonai Area of Dhemaji Sub-division of Lakhimpur District has been shown as a Transferred Area in the Administrative map of Assam ?

- (b) Whether Government is aware that some of the reactionary and anti-social elements have capitalised these words i.e. Transferred Area for their political gains ?
- (c) If so, whether Government proposes to re-organise the area by abolishing the above term for integrity, peace and amity in that area ?
5. (a)—The Jonai area of the Dhemaji Sub-division of Lakhimpur District has not been shown as a "Transferred Area" in the Administrative map of Assam published by the Government of Assam. The Jonai Transferred area along with other transferred areas, viz, Sadiya, Charduar and Tirap were parts of the Plains at the foot of the hill in Kameng, Siang, Lohit and Tirap Districts of NEFA. Formerly these areas were included in NEFA which were then known as Balipara, Sadiya and Lakhimpur Frontier Tracts. Under Tribal Areas Department Notification No. TAD/R/35/50/102, dated 23rd February, 1951 certain areas were excluded from NEFA and ceased to form parts of the then Tribal Areas of Assam as specified in part B of the table appended to para 20 of the sixth Schedule to the Constitution and under Revenue Department Notification No. RSS. 135/41/ 4 and RSS. 135/51/5, dated 25th April, 1951 these excluded areas were incorporated in the adjoining districts of Darrang and erstwhile Lakhimpur and came to be known as "Transferred Areas". The

words "transferred areas" were used with a view to explain the position of laws applicable to these areas as then obtaining.

(b)—No.

(c)—Steps are being taken by Government to abolish the term "Transferred Areas."

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua—Sir, may I know from the Hon'ble Chief Minister why the designation of the officer who is posted there is Assistant political officer?

Shri Sarat Chandra Sinha (Chief Minister)—Sir, as we have already explained the difficulty is that the people who are living there are scheduled tribes and if any crime is committed between two tribes the criminal justice is administered through a different set of regulations. The post of Assistant political officer has been necessary so that these regulations can be applied to the cases. Sir, when both the tribes and other people living there can be brought under the same set of law then the name 'transferred area' will be abolished and also the post of the Assistant political officer will be abolished.

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua—Are we to understand that the rules of the state are not prevailing in that area?

Shri Sarat Chandra Sinha (Chief Minister)—Certainly. If a crime is committed between two persons belonging to a particular tribe then they are administered by

separate regulations.

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua—The question is these things were prevailing at the time of the British regime but after independence the question of separate rules and regulations cannot arise there. So, under what circumstances these are as have been termed as “transferred areas” and the officer posted there has been termed as Assistant Political officer and rules and regulations are different from the state of Assam in respect of that particular area ?

Shri Sarat Chandra Sinha (Chief Minister)—I have already explained Sir, that the Assam Frontier (Administration of Justices) Regulation, 1945 is still being operated there and so till this is abolished this will continue. We have, that is the cabinet has decided that the term ‘transferred area’ should be abolished and all the people living there should be brought under the same law and in order to do that we have to amend these rules.

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua—Under these circumstances explained by the chief Minister, is Government aware of the fact that the Arunachal Administration has included that area in their map as their own ?

Shri Sarat Chandra Sinha (Chief Minister)—That is not to my knowledge, Sir.

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua—By considering the gravity of

the situation, will the government take immediate steps to abolish this system in the interest of peace, amity and integrity in that area ?

Shri Sarat Chandra Sinha (Chief Minister)—We are taking early steps.

শ্রীমতী আনন্দি বালা বাভা—এই টেন্সফাৰ এৰিয়াৰ বাইজে অসমৰ অন্যান্য ঠাইৰ বাইজে যি দৰে অৰ্থনৈতিক, বাজৰ নৈতিকি আৰু শিক্ষা আদিত সুবিধা পাইছে, এই সুবিধা বিলাক সমান ভাবে পাব নোৱাৰেনে ?

শ্রীশৰৎ চন্দ্ৰ সিংহ—পাৰে ।

শ্রীমতী তৰুণতা বৰা—এই টেন্সফাৰ এৰিয়াৰ এই বিশেষ ঠাই খনত যি ৰোল বা 'ল' মেইনটেইন কৰা হৈছে সেই বিলাক অন্য জিলা বা মহকুমাত কৈ বেলেগ । আৰু সেই কাৰণেই এই খন এখন বেলেগ বাজাৰ দৰে হৈছে বুলি জনসাধাৰণৰ অনুভৱ হৈছে । এই কথা মুখ্যমন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ে অনুভৱ কৰিছেনে ?

শ্রীশৰৎ চন্দ্ৰ সিংহ—এই কথা অনুভৱ কৰো আৰু তাৰ কাৰণেই পৰিবৰ্ত্তন সাধন কৰাৰ যথায়থ ব্যৱস্থা গ্ৰহণ কৰা হৈছে ।

Re : Hail-storm at Bilasipara

Shri Giasuddin Ahmed asked :

6. Will the Minister, Revenue be pleased to state—

- (a) Whether Government is aware that a large area of various crops including paddy within Bilasipara Block was completely devastated by an unprecedented hail-storm on 2nd November, 1973 ?

(b) If so, the steps taken by Government to relieve the distress of the affected people ?

Shri Paramananda Gogoi (Minister, Revenue) replied :

6. (a)—Yes, standing crops over an area of 9558 bighas have been damaged.

(b)—A sum of Rs. 10,000 has already been sanctioned as Seed Grant to Deputy Commissioner, Goalpara for distribution of Rabi Seeds to the most deserving cultivator affected by this Storm. Deputy Commissioner, Goalpara has also directed the Block Development Officer concerned to start Test Relief work to relieve the distress of these storm victims along with flood affected people.

শ্রীকবির চন্দ্র বয় প্রধানী—হিল স্তম্ভৰ কাৰণে কোন কোন গাওঁ ক্ষতিগ্ৰস্ত হৈছে সেই কথা কবনে ?

শ্রীপৰমা নন্দ গগৈ—গাওঁ বিলাক বিলাসী পাৰা সমষ্টিত পৰে ।

শ্রীকবির চন্দ্র বয় প্রধানী—মই এইটো কথা জানিব বিচাৰিছো যে যি বিলাক মানুহ ক্ষতিগ্ৰস্ত হ'ল সেই বিলাক মানুহে টেষ্ট বিলিফ পালেনে নাপালে ?

শ্রীপৰমা নন্দ গগৈ—গাওঁৰ লিষ্ট খন মোৰ হাতত আছে । গাওঁ বিলাক হৈছে বৰকাক্কা, যোগী, মহামায়া, মচলাপাৰ, বগবীবাৰী আৰু আমবাৰী । এই লোক সকলৰ কাৰণে ১০ হাজাৰ টকাৰ বৰিষাৰ কাৰণে ঋণ দিয়া হৈছে । আৰু বৰ্তমান টেষ্ট বিলিফৰ আঁচনি ধুবুৰীত যিটো লোৱা হৈছে সেইটো তাৰেই অংশ । ধুবুৰী মহকুমাত টেষ্ট বিলিফৰ কাৰণে ৭ লাখ টকা দিয়া হৈছে আৰু তাত টেষ্ট বিলিফৰ কাম দেভেলোপমেণ্ট অফিচাৰক কৰিবলৈ দিয়া হৈছে ।

শ্রীকবির বায় প্রধানী—অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, ঘৰ কেইটা ভাঙিলে আৰু তাৰ কাৰণে
কিমান বিলিফ দিয়া হৈছে ?

শ্রীপৰমানন্দ গগৈ (মন্ত্ৰী)—সেই খৰৰ বৰ্ত্তমান মোৰ হাতত নাই।

Re : Arrest of B. D. O.

Shri Kabir Chandra Roy Pradhani asked :

7. Will the Minister, Panchayat be pleased to state—

(a) Whether it is a fact that 7 (seven) bags of wheat was sold by the B. D. O. i/c. Golokganj Development Block which was detected by the people and handed over to police 17th November, 1973 ?

(b) If so, whether any action has been taken by the Department against the officer concerned ?

(c) If not, the reasons thereof ?

Shri Sayed Ahmed Ali (Minister, Panchayat) replied :

Sir, before I go to reply I would like to submit that this notice was received by me on 30th November in the afternoon. The materials on this are to be collected from Golokganj and Dhubri. So, whether was possible within this short time, I collected over phone; the information may not be exhaustive and my reply may not be to the point.

7. (a)—Yes. There is an instruction from Joint Director of Agriculture that the surplus wheat can be sold out and accordingly the i/c: B. D. O. sold it out in auction.

(b) and (c)—Does not arise in view of reply at (a) above.

(d)—No.

শ্রীকবিৰ ৰায় প্ৰধানী—অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, এই অকচন নটিচ কোন তাৰিখে দিছে ?

শ্রীচৈয়দ আহমেদ আলি (মন্ত্ৰী)—অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, তাৰ তাৰিখ মোৰ হাতত নাই যদিও অকচন হৈ গৈছে।

শ্রীত্বনাল চন্দ্ৰ বৰুৱা—অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, ইনচট্ৰাকচন কিমান তাৰিখত দিছে ?

শ্রীচৈয়দ আহমেদ আলি (মন্ত্ৰী)—সেইটো বৰ্তমান মোৰ হাতত নাই। পিছত জনাম।

শ্রীত্বনাল চন্দ্ৰ বৰুৱা—অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, মই জনাত কৃষি বিভাগৰ পৰা দিচপজ কৰিবৰ কাৰণে তেনে কোনো ইনচট্ৰাকচন যোৱা নাই। এইটো সঁচানে ?

Shri Syed Ahmed Ali (Minister)—Sir, so far as my information goes, there was instruction like this. If any surplus wheat remains undisposed or undistributed or unutilised, then such instruction has been said to have been issued for disposing these in the open market by auction.

শ্রীনগেন বৰুৱা—অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, সেই অভিযোগ কোন তাৰিখে পাইছে ? আৰু সেই বিষয়ে তদন্ত কোনোবাই কৰিছেনে ?

শ্রীচৈয়দ আহমেদ আলি (মন্ত্ৰী)—অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, মই এইটো পোৱা বেছি দিন হোৱা নাই। গতিকে এইটো চাবলৈ টাইম পোৱা নাই।

শ্রীকবিৰ ৰায় প্ৰধানী—অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, নবেম্বৰ মাহৰ ২১ তাৰিখৰ কাগজত ওলাইছে যে, এই গম বিলাক খাব নোৱাৰে আৰু তাৰ ফলত বাইজৰ মাজত এই ঘটনা লৈ এটা ছলস্থলৰ সৃষ্টি হৈছে। এই গম বিলাকৰ নমুনা এটা থানাটো জমা দিয়া হৈছে। এই বেয়া গমবিলাকৰ ১ কুইণ্টল বজাৰতো বিক্ৰি কৰিছে। এই বিষয়ত তদন্ত কৰাৰ এটা বিহিত ব্যৱস্থা লবনে ?

শ্রীচৈয়দ আহমেদ আলি (মন্ত্রী)—অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, তদন্ত কৰাৰ পিছত যিটো উপযুক্ত ব্যৱস্থা বুলি ভাবো সেইটো লম।

শ্রীআটাউৰ বহমান—অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, এই গম বিলাক কঠিয়াৰ কাৰণে ব্যৱহাৰ কৰাৰ কথা আছিল। বৰ্তমানে বজাৰত বিক্ৰি কৰাৰ কাৰণে উপযুক্ত হয়নে নহয়?

শ্রীচৈয়দ আহমেদ আলি (মন্ত্রী)—অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, সেই বিলাক তথ্য মোৰ হাতত নাই।

শ্রীকবির বায় প্রধানী—অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, বস্তাৰ ওপৰত যে পয়জন বুলি লিখা আছে, সেইটো কথা মন্ত্রী মহোদয়ে জানেনে নাজানে?

শ্রীচৈয়দ আহমেদ আলি (মন্ত্রী)—অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, সেই কথা মই সঠিক নাজনো।

Re : Assam and Meghalaya State Road Transport Corporation.

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua asked :

8. Will the Minister, Transport be pleased to state—
- (a) Whether it is a fact that 4 (four) high ranking officers of the Assam and Meghalaya State Road Transport Corporation have been put under suspension since August, 1972 for alleged corruption charges against them?
- (b) If so, who are these officers and what are the specific charges levelled against them?

- (c) Whether they have been formally charge-sheeted and if so, when ?
- (d) If not why there is undue delay in disposing of the cases ?

Shri Harendra Nath Talukdar (Minister, Transport) replied :

8. (a)—Yes, All the officers were placed under suspension with effect from August, 1972 except Shri R. N. Bordoloi, Controller of Stores who was placed under suspension with effect from 20.10.72.

(b)—The following officers were placed under suspension :

- (i) Shri B. N. Hazarika, General Manager.
- (ii) Shri J. C. Choudhury, Chief Automobile Engineer.
- (iii) Shri R. N. Bordoloi, Controller of Stores,
- (iv) Shri P. K. Saikia, Chief Accounts officer.

The copies of charges and statement of allegations against the officers are placed on the Table of the House.

(c) The charges & statement of allegations in the departmental proceedings against the officers were issued on dates as indicated below.

- (i) Shri B. N. Hazarika, 22.12.72, General Manager.
- (ii) Shri J. C. Choudhury, 6.1.73. Chief Automobile Engineer.
- (iii) Shri R. N. Bordoloi, 15.3.73. Controller of Stores.

(iv) Shri P. K. Saikia, 30-12.72. Chief Accounts officer.

(d)—As stated in (c) above the Charges have been framed and issued. As however all relevant documents and other materials have been seized and taken away by the C. B. I. the departmental proceedings have not made any progress.

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua—Sir, may I know from the hon'ble Minister—they were put under suspension in August, 1972 and they were charge-sheeted in January and some of them in February/73-as per Government rule, within 15 days show-cause notice are to be framed; why there has been so much delay in framing the charges and giving them charge-sheet in proper time?

Shri Harendra Nath Talukdar (Minister)—We were to examine certain documents and that took time and so there was some delay.

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua—Sir, who has referred the matter to C. B. I and when it has been referred?

Shri Harendra Nath Talukdar (Minister)—Sir, the Government of Assam had referred the case and referred on 27. 10. 72.

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua—Sir, if the Govt. of Assam referred the case, why they had not referred at the

beginning ? Why after charge-sheet they referred it ?

Shri Harendra Nath Talukdar (Minister)—The question of reason does not occur; when the Govt. of Assam thought it necessary, they referred it.

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua—Sir, the whole thing is—for nearabout one year, they were not charge-sheeted; after one year they were charge-sheeted and then they have decided to send the matter to CBI. As per Govt. rule, all these cases should be disposed within 6 month. God knows how long the CBI will take to dispose of the cases. May I know from the hon'ble Minister under which government rule, fundamental rules, this matter has been delayed and referred to the CBI after charge-sheet.

Shri Harendra Nath Talukdar (Minister)—Sir, before charge sheeting, we had referred it to the CBI.

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua—What is the date ?

Shri Harendra Nath Talukdar (Minister)—I have already said 27.10.72 and we charge sheeted one officer on 27.12.72.

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua—When were they referred to the C. B. I. and under what rule Govt. charge sheeted them ?

Shri Harendra Nath Talukdar (Minister)—As soon as charges were framed, they were charge sheeted.

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua—May I know from the hon'ble

Minister under what provision of the rule Govt. has referred the matter to the C. B. I. Unless the C. B. I. establishes the case no officer can be charge sheeted and all the proceedings have to be stayed. I want to know under what provision of the rule Govt. have charge sheeted the officer after referring the matter to the C. B. I.

Shri Harendra Nath Talukdar (Minister)—When we charge-sheeted the officer, there were some departmental proceedings also besides the charges referred to the C. B. I. So we wanted to proceed with the departmental proceedings and when this was known to us, we have kept the departmental proceedings in abeyance.

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua—Are we to understand that we are to proceed in two ways in the matter of drawal of departmental proceedings—one through C. B. I. and another departmentally? My question is that the matter which has been referred to the C. B. I. is quite different from the contention of the charges drawn up against him departmentally, i. e. if the charges referred to the C. B. I. is quite different from the charges brought in by the Corporation, then why at the instance of the Appointment Department, the departmental proceedings were stayed?

Shri Harendra Nath Talukdar (Minister)—I have already stated that there were several charges: some depart-

mental and some were referred to the C. B. I. But it appears from the report of the C. B. I. that they will enquire into all connected charges and that is why we have kept the departmental proceedings also in abeyance.

Shri Atul Chandra Saikia—Sir, while disposing of question No. 104, the hon'ble Minister has stated that a sum of Rs. 35,761.29 was spent as subsistence allowance for giving subsistence to this officer. Now, in other words, another set of people was there. How long the State Transport will pay one and half weightage to each post?

Shri Harendra Nath Talukdar (Minister)—Sir, it is a simple question—so long as the case is not decided.

Shri Ataur Rahman—Sir, the hon'ble Minister has stated that delay is due to the time factor involved in examining the relevant document. Could not and did not the Govt. examine the relevant document before referring the case to the C. B. I.?

Shri Harendra Nath Talukdar (Minister)—I have already replied to this.

Shri Mal Chandra Pegu—Whether Government could refer the case to the C. B. I. during the pendency of the departmental proceedings?

Shri Harendra Nath Talukdar (Minister)—I think Government can refer the case during the pendency of

the investigation.

Shri Ataur Rahman—Judicial pronouncement says that the departmental proceedings and court cases or such other cases are not inter-dependent on each other.

Shri Harendra Nath Talukdar (Minister)—When there is Court cases

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua—The hon'ble Minister has stated that when the charge were framed, all relevant documents could not be examined properly. May I know under what charges initially, the officers were put under suspension ?

Shri Harendra Nath Talukdar (Minister)—I have placed the relevant materials on the table of the House.

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua—Sir, I am talking on procedure. My question is whether at the instance of certain rumours or on the basis of definite allegations, these officers were proceeded against and put under suspension; if so, before they were put under suspension, whether any notice was served ?

Shri Harendra Nath Talukdar (Minister)—On the basis of recorded evidence, we have put these officers under suspension.

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua—Was any notice served ?

Shri Harendra Nath Talukdar (Minister)—Not necessary

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua—How long Government will take to decide the case ?

Shri Harendra Nath Talukdar (Minister)—I have already replied.

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua—When ?

Shri Harendra Nath Talukdar (Minister)—As soon as the case will be disposed of in the Court on the basis of the charge-sheet submitted by the C. B. I.

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua—How long will it take ? will it continue for indefinite period or whether there is any time limit ?

Shri Harendra Nath Talukdar (Minister)—That is upto the Court.

Re : Assam and Meghalaya State Road Transport Corporation.

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua asked :

9. Will the Minister, Transport be pleased to state—

- (a) The name of the Additional General Manager of the Assam & Meghalaya State Transport Corporation ?
- (b) Since how long he is holding this post and before joining his present assignment what post/posts he was holding (details of past services be furnished) ?
- (c) Whether it is a fact that (previously) he was involved in many alleged misappropriation and criminal charges in different capacities (while he was holding

different assignment) ?

- (d) If so, whether those cases were disposed of finally ?
- (e) If not, the consideration on the basis of which he was (how he could be accommodated and) allowed to hold the charge of present post ?

Shri Harendra Nath Talukdar (Minister, Transport) replied :

9. (a)—Shri D. K. Goswami.

(b)—Shri D. K. Goswami joined as Addl. General Manager Assam State Road Transport Corporation on 13.1.72. Prior to that he was holding the following posts for periods shown against each :

- (i) Managing Director, Assam Small Industries Development Corporation from 31.1.68 to 17.5.71.
- (ii) Industrial Engineer, Assam Industrial Development Corporation from 1.9.67 to 29.3.68.
- (iii) Deputy General Manager, Central Road Transport Corporation from 15.1.63 to 23.8.67 on deputation.
- (iv) Chief Automobile Engineer State Transport from 15.9.53 to 15.1.63.

(c)— (i) While he was Chief Automobile Engineer in the erstwhile State Transport Organisation, he was found guilty by a Committee for incurring heavy loss to the departmental H. S. D. and petrol pump, Gauhati.

(ii) While he was Dy. General Manager Central Road

Transport Corporation, Central Bureau of Intelligence Govt. of India filed 3 (three) criminal cases under prevention of Corruption Act in the Court of Special Magistrate, Gauhati.

- (iii) As regards his services with the A. I. D. C. and A. S. I. D. C. Govt. is not aware of any pending enquiry against Shri Goswami.

(d)—No.

- (e)—On his reversion from Assam Small Industries Development Corporation he was to be absorbed in the Transport Deptt. where he had lien in the post of Chief Automobile Engineer. As the post of the Chief Automobile Engineer was then held by another officer for a number of years and as that officer was also already confirmed as C. A. E. it was not possible to accommodate Shri Goswami in the post of C. A. E. Action could not be taken against Shri Goswami in view of the Criminal cases pending against him as he had meanwhile moved High Court for quashing the criminal proceedings against him. He obtained orders of High Court to stay the proceedings pending in the Court of Special Magistrate. It was then necessary to absorb him in the Corporation. As however the post of C. A. E. was already permanently filled up and the only vacant post in the Corporation was that of the Additional General Manager, he was appointed to this own grade pay. Now that the High Court has dism-

issued his petitions for quashing the criminal proceedings, the matter is under examination.

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua—Sir, may I know from the Hon'ble Minister whether it is a fact that charges were brought against this officer for corrupt practices including possession of assets disproportionate to his income ?

Shri Harendra Nath Talukdar (Minister)—Sir, I have already stated here that while he was in the Transport Department, he was found guilty by a Committee for incurring heavy loss to the departmental H.S.D. and Petrol Pump at Gauhati.

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua—Sir, may I know from the Hon'ble Minister whether this officer was charge-sheeted and found guilty for misappropriating nearly Rs. 85 thousands while he was Chief Automobile Engineer and Govt. wanted to fix up the responsibility by giving him compulsory retirement ?

Shri Harendra Nath Talukdar (Minister)—Sir, I have already stated the position of the office held by Mr. Goswami.

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua—Sir, in some cases we find that some I.A.S. officers' were put under suspension. Therefore, may I know from the Hon'ble Minister why this particular officer was not placed under suspension even when the Hon'ble High Court has

dismissed his petition quashing the criminal proceedings ?

Shri Harendra Nath Talukdar (Minister)—Sir, as I have stated this is under examination of the Govt.

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua—Sir, this matter was brought to the notice of the Govt. and to the Appointment Deptt. for taking proper action against this officer. But why no action was taken against him ?

Shri Harendra Nath Talukdar (Minister)—Sir, I have already stated the position and we have recently received the judgement of the Hon'ble High Court. we received it on 3.9.73.

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua—Sir, the judgment was received on 3.9.73. But now it is December. May I know why the Govt. did not take any action against this officer on the basis of judgement given by the Hon'ble High Court so long ?

Shri Harendra Nath Talukdar (Minister)—Sir, as I have stated the matter is now pending in the Court of Special Magistrate and that is why whole thing is under examination.

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua—Sir, the Hon'ble High Court has given judgement on 3.9.73. Then why the Govt. do not take any action against this officer as yet ? May I know whethre he is related to anybody ?

Shri Harendra Nath Talukdar (Minister)—Sir, I am sorry, I have no relation with the officer. I have taken strong exception about this. I request the Hon'ble Member to withdraw it.

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua—Sir, 3 or 4 I. A. S. Officers have been put under suspension by the Govt. But this officer, while he was in the Transport Deptt. and S. I. D. C., was found guilty of corrupt practices. That is why I want to know why this Officer was not put under suspension as yet.

Shri Harendra Nath Talukdar (Minister)—Sir, I have stated that the matter is under examination because recently we have received the judgement of the High Court.

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua—Sir, as our Hon'ble Minister is very much keen to detect the cases of corruption, may I request the hon'ble Minister to take prompt action in this case ?

Shri Harendra Nath Talukdar (Minister)—Sir, I have already stated that Govt. will take necessary action after proper examination.

শ্রীঅতুল শইকীয়া—এই বিষয়া জনৰ বিৰুদ্ধে কলিকতাত নাইবা দিল্লীত কিবা কেচ চলি আছে নেকি ? যদি চলি আছে মীমাংসাব ফলাফল কি হ'ল ? যদি নাই কেনে অৱস্থাত আছে জনাবনে ?

শ্রীহৰেন্দ্ৰ নাথ তালুকদাৰ—কলিকতাত কি আছে কব নোৱাৰো। আমাৰ লগত কি আছে সেইটো কৈছো।

Shri Mal Chandra Pegu—Sir, will the Hon'ble Minister inform the House whether any charge has been framed by the Court against this officer?

Shri Harendra Nath Talukdar (Minister)—Sir, I have already stated that this is under examination of the Govt.

Shri Mal Chandra Pegu—Sir, my question is whether any charge has been framed by the Court against this officer?

Shri Harendra Nath Talukdar (Minister)—Sir, charge has been framed and that is way it has been referred to the Court of the Special Magistrate.

Shri Ataur Rahman—Sir, is it not a fact that as soon as an officer has been charge-sheeted, he should be put under suspension?

Shri Harendra Nath Talukdar (Minister)—Sir, I have already replied that it is still under examination as it is lying in the court of the Special Magistrate.

Undisposed Starred Question

dated 6-12-73

বিঃগঙ্গাধৰ নৈৰ বন্যাত শালি খেতিৰ ক্ষতি

শ্রীকবিৰ চন্দ্ৰ বায় প্ৰধানীয়ে সুধিছে :

* ১৮৫। মাননীয় বান নিয়ন্ত্ৰণ বিভাগৰ মন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ে অনুগ্ৰহ কৰি জনাবনে—

(ক) যোৱা ১৩ অক্টোবৰ তাৰিখত গঙ্গা ধৰ নৈত অকাল ভয়াবহ আৰু প্ৰলয়ান্বিত বন্যা অহাৰ ফলত ৰাইজৰ শালি খেতিৰ বিপুল ক্ষতি হোৱাৰ কথা চৰকাৰে জানেনে ?

(খ) ১৯৬৮ চনৰ অক্টোবৰ মাহৰ ৫ তাৰিখৰ বন্যাতকৈ এই বছৰৰ ১৯৭৩ চনৰ ১৩ অক্টোবৰত হোৱা বন্যা বহুতগুণে ডাঙৰ, চৰকাৰে তুলনা কৰি চাইছেনে ?

(গ) প্ৰতি পাচ বছৰে এনেকুৱা প্ৰলয় বন্যা হোৱাৰ সম্ভাৱনা হোৱাত আৰু যিহেতু ৰাষ্ট্ৰীয় পথৰ ওপৰত দলং এখন ধকাৰ চৰকাৰে বিশেষ অধ্যয়ন কৰি বিশেষ আঁচনি (Master Plan) নৈ খনতে নিয়ন্ত্ৰণ কৰি ভৱিষ্যতৰ বাবে খেতি পথাৰ ধ্বংস হোৱাৰ পৰা ৰক্ষা কৰিবৰ ব্যৱস্থা কৰিবনে ?

শ্ৰীযিষ্ণু প্ৰসাদ (বান নিয়ন্ত্ৰণ বিভাগৰ ৰাজ্যিক মন্ত্ৰী) য়ে উত্তৰ দিয়ে :

১৮৫। (ক)—জানে।

(খ)—হয় চাইছে। ১৯৭৩ চনৰ বানপানীৰ উচ্চতা ১৯৬৮ চনতকৈ বেচি নহয়।

(গ)—পৰীক্ষা কৰি চোৱা হব।

Re : Compensation for acquisition of land for Railway Yard

Shri Balabhadra Das asked :

* 186. Will the Minister, Revenue be pleased to state—

(a) Whether Government has paid compensation for acquisition of land for Railway Yarn in the year 1959 by L. A. Case No. 20 of 1959 ?

(b) Whether any appeal or appeals is or are pending

before Supreme Court of India against the order of dismissal of 37 time barred appeals by the High Court of Gauhati passed on 14th March, 1973 ?

- (c) Whether the Collector Kamrup has paid compensation awarded by the Reference Court to some of the parties and if so, to whom the amounts have been paid together with the amount paid to each of them ?
- (d) Whether the collector of Kamrup has been deferring and delaying payment of the dues to the awardees by demanding security bonds and clearance Certificates from different Revenue authorities ?
- (e) If so, under what provisions of law the said collector has demanded security bond and clearance certificates and who has directed the said collector to make payments only after furnishing security bonds and clearance certificates ?

Shri Paramananda Gogoi (Minister, Revenue) replied :

186. (a)—The Collector of Kamrup paid up the compensation as per his award. He also paid a part of the compensation as awarded by the reference Court.

(b)—No.

(c)—The Collector of Kamrup has paid Rs. 19,91,250.59 P. to the following eight pattadars out of their decretal amount of Rs. 20,56,152.59 P. Balance of Rs. 64,902.00 was deducted to meet the demand of area land revenue payable to Government by some of the

awardees.

1. Shri Kanakeswar Goswami,
2. Shrimati Satyabati Goswami,
3. Shri Bhubaneswar Goswami,
4. Shri Lakheswar Goswami,
5. Shri Dhanukeswar Goswami,
6. Shri Jibeswar Goswami,
7. Shrimati Renubala Devi,
8. Shrimati Basanta Kumari Devi,

And another sum of Rs. 5,000.00 was paid to Shri Radhakanta Barua an awardee on indemnity bond.

(d) & (e)—The collector was instructed by Government to deposit the decretal amount into the Reference court for payment on proper surety as it was anticipated the appeals would be preferred before the Hon'ble Supreme Court. Although there is no clear provision under the L. A. Act, 1894 for this purpose, Government had to take this precautionary measures to safeguard the Government money in the even of preferring appeal against the award of the Reference court for the purpose of effecting recovery from the awardees in case the higher court gives vendict against the award of the reference court. This instruction would however be modified now as it has been decided not to file appeal to Hon'ble Supreme Court. The Collector insisted Clearance certificate of arrear land revenue and other Government dues

from the awardees in the interest of Government Revenue.

Re : Water Supply in Kokrajhar Town

Shri Charan Narzary asked :

*187. Will the Minister, Health be pleased to state—

- (a) Whether the Government is aware that the water in Kokrajhar town is unfit for human consumption ?
- (b) If so, whether it is a fact that necessary scheme for protected water supply was submitted to the Government long ago ?
- (c) If so, what are the reasons for not implementing the scheme of protected water supply for Kokrajhar town ?

Shri Chatrasing Teron (Minister, Health) replied :

187. (a)—There is complaint of excessive iron contents in under ground water in Kokrajhar. Government have no information that the water there is unfit for human consumption.

(b)—No.

(c)—Preparation of a water supply scheme for Kokrajhar has been taken up by Chief Public Health Engineer. The plan and estimate is likely to be completed by 1973-74. The work on the scheme is likely to be started from 1974-75.

Re : Suspension of Head Assistant of Nowgong Municipality

Shri Pitsing Konwar asked :

* 188. Will the Minister, Municipal Administration be pleased to state—

(a) Whether one Shri Siba Rajkhowa, Head Assistant of Nowgong Municipality has been suspended ?

(b) If the reply to (a) above is in the affirmative, what are the charges levelled against him ?

(c) Whether it is also a fact that the suspension order against Shri Rajkhowa has been vacated ?

(d) If so, the reasons for vacating the said suspension order ?

Shri Syed Ahmed Ali (Minister, Municipal Administration) replied :

188. (a)—Yes.

(b)—Insubordination to Vice Chairman.

(c)—Yes.

(d)—On being satisfied with the explanation of Shri Siba Rajkhowa, the Board in its meeting held on 15th September, 1973 decided to withdraw the suspension order.

Re : Electricity Board in the Hailakandi Subdivision

Shri Santosh Kumar Roy asked :

*189. Will the Minister, Electricity be pleased to state—

(a) The basis laid down by the Government to provide power line to Industrial Projects established by the Individuals?

(b) How many individual Industrial Units has been given connection by the Electricity Board in the Hailakandi Subdivision? (please furnish the names of the owners of the Projects).

Shri Mahammad Idris (Minister, Power Electricity) replied :

189. (a) The Assam State Electricity Board supplies electricity to industries on the Basis of its "General Conditions of Supply and Schedule of Tariff," subject to availability of power and its techno economic feasibility.

(b)—A list is placed on the Table of the House.

Re : Assam Fertilizer Corporation

Shri Jalaluddin Ahmed asked :

*190. Will the Minister, Agriculture be pleased to state—

(a) The number of agencies appointed by the Assam Government for the Assam Fertilizer Corporation, Guwahati in different Civil Subdivisions of Assam (please furnish the name with their full address sub-division-wise)?

(b) The procedure followed by the Government for appointing the agencies for the Assam Fertilizer Cor-

poration ?

Shri Upendra Das (Minister, Agriculture) replied :

190. (a)—Assam Government do not appoint any agents or agencies for the Assam Fertilizer Corporation. There is no Corporation in the name of Assam Fertilizer Corporation.

(b)—Does not arise.

Re : Multi-purpose Co-operative Societies Act

Shri Jagannath Sinha asked :

*191. Will the Minister-in-charge of Co-operation be pleased to state—

(a) Whether under the provisions of the new Multi-purpose Co-operative Societies Act, Managing Committees can be formed at the Inaugural General meeting of the promoters and if so, what will be its tenure of office ?

(b) Whether a Managing Committee once formed can be dissolved, within a year with any valid reason ?

(c) If the answer to (a) is in the negative why the Department allowed to form Managing Committee in some of the Co-operatives and who is responsible for that ?

(d) Whether the sphere of activities of the new multi-purpose Co-operative societies and that of the new

Gaon Panchayats are basically the same ?

Shri Gajen Tanti (Minister, Co-operation) replied :

191. (a) —There is no such act as Multi-purpose Co-operative Societies Act.

(b)—Yes.

(c)—Does not arise.

(d)—No.

Re : Scholarships to the students for higher education

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua asked :

*192. Will the Minister, Education be pleased to state—

(a) Whether there are provisions for awarding Scholarships to the students willing to go for higher education outside the State of Assam, in vocal, music, instrumental music and dance ?

(b) If so, what are the prescribed norms followed by the Government in awarding such scholarships ?

Shri Harendra Nath Talukdar (Minister, Education) replied :

192. (a)—Yes, there is a provision for awarding scholarships for higher studies in Music and Fine Arts.

(b)—Applications are invited through advertisements. On receipts of applications, the applicants are asked to appear before the Selection Board constituted by the Government. The minimum qualification needed

for applying for scholarship is Matriculate with proficiency in subject.

বিঃ গোলাঘাট চক বজাৰত গ্ৰেপ্তাৰ

শ্ৰীসোণেশ্বৰ বৰাই স্মৃতিছে :

*১৯৩। মাননীয় গৃহ বিভাগৰ বাজ্যিক মন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ে অনুগ্ৰহ কৰি জনাবনে—

(ক) যোৱা ৬-১১-৭২ তাৰিখে গোলাঘাট চক বজাৰত শাক-পাচলি আৰু তামোল পানৰ বজাৰ কৰি থকা অৱস্থাৰ পৰা গোলাঘাট পুলিচ থানাৰ অ, চি, য়ে মাতিছে বুলি জনৈক পুলিচ চিপাহীয়ে শ্ৰীডিৱেশ্বৰ শইকীয়া আৰু শ্ৰীঅতুল শইকীয়া নামৰ ল'ৰা দুজনক মাতি আনি গোলাঘাট থানাত গ্ৰেপ্তাৰ কৰি হাজোত বাস দিয়া সঁচানে ?

(খ) উক্ত ল'ৰা দুজনে বজাৰ কৰি থকা অৱস্থাত তেওঁলোকৰ বজাৰত তামোল ৭৫ পোন মূল্য ২৪৩.৭৫ টকা, পান ৪০০ শ মূল্য ২৫.০০ টকা, বেঙেনা ১ কুইণ্টল মূল্য ৫০.০০ টকা, হালধী ২ কিলো মূল্য ২.০০ টকা, জলকীয়া ৫ কিলো মূল্য ১২.০০ টকা, বস্তা ৮ খন, মূল্য ১২.০০ টকা কল ২১.৫০ টকাৰ মূল্য, ৪০ কিলো মূল্য ২০.০০ টকা আৰু দগা পাল্লাৰ মূল্য ১৮.০০ মুঠ ৪১৮.২৪ টকা মূল্যৰ সম্পত্তি খিনি গোটেই চপাইয়ো খবলৈ স্মৃতিছে নিদিয়াকৈ তেওঁলোকক গ্ৰেপ্তাৰ কৰি নিয়া কথাকে সঁচানে ?

(গ) উক্ত ল'ৰা দুজনক বজাৰ কৰি থকাৰ পৰা নিয়াৰ সময়ত তেওঁলোকৰ (খ)ত উল্লেখ কৰা বস্তুখিনি কি হ'ল ?

(ঘ) এই দুখীয়া ল'ৰা দুজনৰ সেইদিনা বজাৰত এৰি থৈ যোৱা বস্তুখিনিৰ ক্ষতিপূৰণ চৰকাৰে দিবনে ?

(ঙ) গোলাঘাট চক বজাৰত বেপাৰ কৰি নিজৰ গৰীবী হটাও কাৰ্য্যসূচী লোৱা মেট্ৰিক পাছ শ্ৰীডিৱেশ্বৰ শইকীয়া আৰু ছাত্ৰ শ্ৰীঅতুল শইকীয়াৰ সেই সময়ৰ গোলাঘাট থানাৰ চিপাহী শ্ৰীইন্দ্ৰেশ্বৰ বৰাৰ লগত কি

সম্বন্ধ বা বিবাদ আছিল জনাবনে ?

শ্রীহিতেশ্বৰ শইকীয়া (গৃহ বিভাগৰ বাজ্যিক মন্ত্ৰী) য়ে উত্তৰ দিছে :

১৯৩। (ক)—শ্রীডিপ্তেশ্বৰ শইকীয়া আৰু শ্রীঅতুল শইকীয়াক গোলাঘাট থানাৰ ৫৭(১১)৭২ নং মোকদ্দমাৰ সম্পৰ্কত পুলিচে আটক কৰে। তেওঁলোকক বাস্তৱ দাঁতি দাখলৰ পৰা আটক কৰা হয়।

(খ)—দাঁচা নহয়। আটক কৰা সময়ত তেওঁলোকৰ লগত কোনো বস্তু নাছিল।

(গ) আৰু (ঘ)—প্ৰশ্ন নুঠে, যিহেতু তেওঁলোকৰ লগত কোনো বস্তু পোৱা নাছিল।

(ঙ)—শ্রীডিপ্তেশ্বৰ শইকীয়া আৰু শ্রীঅতুল শইকীয়াৰ লগত শ্রীইন্দ্ৰেশ্বৰ বৰাৰ কোনো বিবাদ নাছিল। শ্রীইন্দ্ৰেশ্বৰ বৰাৰ পৰিবাৰ শ্রীডিপ্তেশ্বৰ শইকীয়াৰ সম্বন্ধত ভতিজা হয়। আৰু শ্রীঅতুল শইকীয়াৰ ভাগিন হয়।

বি : গোলাঘাট মহকুমাত বানপানী

শ্রীছত্ৰগোপাল কৰ্মকাৰে সুধিছে :

*১৯৪। মাননীয় ৰাজহ বিভাগৰ মন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ে অজুগ্ৰহ কৰি জনাবনে—

(ক) চলিত বছৰৰ বানপানীত গোলাঘাট মহকুমাত কেইটা মৌজাত ক্ষতিগ্ৰস্ত হৈছিল ?

(খ) এই ক্ষয়-ক্ষতি হোৱা পৰিয়ালৰ সংখ্যা কিমান ?

(গ) ক্ষতিগ্ৰস্ত পৰিয়াল বৰ্গক কিবা সাহায্য দিয়া হৈছিল নেকি ?

(ঘ) যদি সাহায্য দিয়া হৈছিল তেন্তে কেনে ধৰণৰ আৰু কি কি সাহায্য দিয়া হৈছিল ?

(ঙ) মুঠ সাহায্যৰ সংখ্যাৰ কিমান ?

শ্রীপবমানন্দ গগৈ (বাজহ বিভাগৰ মন্ত্ৰী) য়ে উত্তৰ দিছে :

১৯৭। (ক)—২ (নটা) মৌজা (কাজিৰঙা, বোকাখাট, মহুবা, বঙ্গামাটি, দেবগাওঁ,

মিছামবা, সৰুপথাৰ, বৰপথাৰ, মৰঙ্গী)।

(খ)—সৰ্বমুঠ ৫১০৪ টা পৰিয়াল।

(গ)—হয়, দিয়া হৈছিল।

(ঘ)—প্ৰত্যেক ক্ষতিগ্ৰস্ত পৰিয়ালক ২৫ (পচিশ) টকাকৈ পাৰিতোষিক সাহায্য (Gratuitous Relief) কঠিয়াৰ শিতানত আনুতোষিক সাহায্য আৰু ক্ষতিগ্ৰস্ত পৰিয়ালক দুখ মোচনৰ কাৰণে পৰীক্ষামূলক সাহায্য দিয়া হৈছে।

(ঙ)—মুঠ সাহায্যৰ পৰিমাণ ২,২০,০০০ (দুই লাখ বিশ হাজাৰ টকা)।

১। পাৰিতোষিক সাহায্য ১,৫০,০০০ (Gratuitous Relief)

২। কঠিয়াৰ শিতানত আনুতোষিক সাহায্য ১০,০০০ (Seed Grant)

৩। পৰীক্ষামূলক সাহায্য ৫০,০০০ (Test Relief Grant)

Re : Scarcity of Tyre

Shrimati Pranita Talukdar asked :

*195. Will the Minister, Transport be pleased to state—

(a) Why there is tyre scarcity in Assam?

(b) What steps Government have taken to remove this scarcity?

Shri Harendra Nath Talukdar (Minister, Transport)

195 (a)—There is no scarcity for all the tyres. The scarcity mainly prevails only in the case of commercial tyres of sizes 700.20, 825.20, 900.20 and 1000.20

It is due to short arrival of these tyres as a result of power-cut (Load-sheding) and frequent labour strikes in the tyre manufacturing factories.

- (b)—Import of tyres for removing present commercial tyre scarcity is under active consideration of the Government.

Re : Lift Irrigation System

Shri Charan Narzary asked :

*196. Will the Minister, Agriculture be pleased to state—

- (a) Whether it is a fact that the Lift Irrigation system has been introduced at Titaguri near Kokrajhar town ?
- (b) If so, whether it is functioning successfully ?
- (c) If so, the area benefitted by it and cost of its installation ?

Shri Upendra Das (Minister, Agriculture) replied :

196. (a)—Yes.

- (b)—Out of the 3 pumping points through which water is to be pumped and delivered to the fields, two points are ready for functioning effectively.
- (c)—The Schemes would benefit 1,500 acres when fully completed. The estimated cost of the Scheme is Rs. 3.25 lakhs.

Re : Acquisition of land of Udharbond
T. E.

Shri Jagannath Sinha asked :

*197. Will the Minister, Panchayat be pleased to state—

(a) Whether it is a fact that some land of Udharbond Tea Estate in Silchar Sub-division had been acquired by the Government for establishing Udharbon Block Development Office and if so, when ?

(b) If so, whether the Management of the said tea estate filed a suit and obtained a “stay order” from the Hon’ble Gauhati High Court against that acquisition order and if so, when ?

(c) What is the position of that Court case at present ?

Shri Syed Ahmed Ali (Minister, Panchayat) replied :

197. (a)—Yes, 1966.

(b)—Yes, 1963.

(c)—The case is still pending in the High Court.

Mr. Speaker—Let us now pass on to the next item.

STATEMENT BY MINISTER

Shri Paramananda Gogoi (Minister)—Sir, I promised to give certain information in the House regarding starvation death in Goalpara Disstrict. We have got the

information from the D. C. Goalpara. So, I may be allowed to read out same.

Mr. Speaker—Yes, you can read out.

Shri Paramananda Gogoi (Minister)—The Deputy Commissioner, Goalpara in his first report dated 19.10.73 stated that one unidentified old woman and one unidentified man died on 3.10.73 at Golokganj Bazar area. The post mortem examination report was not received by the Deputy Commissioner to ascertain the news item about the starvation deaths. He did not receive any report of starvation death from any quarters. Subsequently Government received another message on 26.10.73 from the D. C., Goalpara saying that several cases of starvation deaths unofficially reported from various parts of the District. But on enquiry in some cases he found that the deaths were not directly attributed to starvation but due to eating inedibles or roots of trees etc. This is followed by another detailed report from the S.D.C., Dhubri wherein the S.D. C. reported that 15 persons of Patamari, Sashtarghat, Takimari etc. areas died by eating inedibles. The report further states that due to failure of jute crops and damages caused to Ahu crop by current year's flood, the people of these areas are in distressed condition.

Materials were called for by wire from the D.C., Goalpara. Reply of the D.C. and that of S.D.O.,

Goalpara and S.D.O., Kokrajhar show that no starvation death was there. There is scarcity in the District, however as reported deaths are by eating inedible roots etc. Eating of inedible roots are not necessarily due to want alone.

As regards measures taken by Govt. it may be stated that the following amounts were sanctioned by Govt. for Goalpara District for relief on account of this year's floods etc.

	G. R.	Seed grant	Rehab. grant	Drinking water	Total
Dhubri Sub-Divn.	Rs. 1,65,000/-	Rs. 70,000/-	—	—	Rs. 2,35,000/-
Goalpara „	Rs. 4,20,000/-	Rs. 1,00,000/-	Rs. 40,000/-	Rs. 5,60,000/-	
Kokrajhar „	Rs. 5,000/-	—	Rs. 9,800/-		14,800/-

Mr. Speaker—Mr. Gogoi, I think these figures will not be necessary.

Shri Paramananda Gogoi (Minister)—Alright, Sir.

Mr. Speaker—I shall give my decision afterwards.

শ্রীহুলাল চন্দ্ৰ বৰুৱা—মই এটা কথা কব বিচাৰিছো যে আমি আগতেও কৈ আহিছো আৰু এতিয়াও আমি কৈছো যে অনাহাৰত মানুহ মৃত্যু হৈছে বুলি। কিন্তু চৰকাৰী পক্ষই এইটো কথা কোনো দিনে স্বীকাৰ নকৰে। এই সম্পৰ্কত আপোনাৰ বোলিঙত এটা কথা বিবেচনা কৰিলে ভাল হ'ব। আৰু এই সম্পৰ্কত এটা বিশেষ ধৰণৰ অনুসন্ধানৰ কথা বিবেচনা কৰিলে ভাল হ'ব।

শ্রীকৰিষ চন্দ্ৰ বৰুৱা—বিপৰ্য্যত লিখা আছে যে ডি, চিয়ে অনাহাৰত মানুহ মৰা বুলি টেলিগ্ৰাম পাইছিল—It was not by anybody

but by the people of the village. কিন্তু ডি, চিয়ে পবীক্ষা কৰি চাই কৈছে যে মানুহ বিলাক অনাহাৰত মৰা নাই অখাদ্য খাই মৰিছে বুলি। মানুহে যেতিয়া খাবলৈ নাপায় তেতিয়া শিল বালি যিহকে পায় তাকে খায়। মই মন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ৰ পৰা জানিব বিচাৰিছো যে খাবলৈ নাপায় অখাদ্য খায় মৰিলে যদি অনাহাৰত মৰা নহয় তেনেহলে অনাহাৰত মৰাৰ কি কি নীতি চৰকাৰে মানি চলে ?

শ্ৰীপৰমানন্দ গগৈ—এইটোৰ উত্তৰ দিব লাগিব নেকি চাৰ ?

মিঃ স্পীকাৰ—চমুকৈ কওক।

শ্ৰীপৰমানন্দ গগৈ—অনাহাৰত মৰা মানুহ আমি চকুৰে দেখা পাইছো। ১৯৪৩ চনত বংগ দেশত মৰা মানুহ বিলাক অনাহাৰত মৰা বুলি জানো।

শ্ৰীলক্ষ্মী কান্ত শইকীয়া—বাজধানী গুৱাহাটীলৈ অনা হ'ল। এই সম্পৰ্কত মুখ্য মন্ত্ৰীয়ে এটা বিবৃতি দিয়াৰ কথা আছিল সেইটো দিলে ভাল হয়।

শ্ৰীপ্ৰেম বৰা—গুৱাহাটীলৈ অফিচ বিলাক অনা হৈছে। সেই অফিচ বিলাক কোন ক'ত আছে সেইটো লিখি দিলে ভাল হয়।

শ্ৰীহুলাল চন্দ্ৰ বৰুৱা—আমি সংখ্যাত একেবাৰেই তাকৰ। এই স্পৰ্কত আমি দেখিবলৈ পাইছো যে চৰকাৰৰ আমাৰ প্ৰতি থকা মনোভাব বিলাক দিনে দিনে বেলেগ ধৰণৰ হৈ গৈছে। আজি যেনেকৈ আমি দুখ পাইছো আমাৰ ফালৰ পৰা কিবা এটা প্ৰশ্ন সূধিলে সেই সম্পৰ্কত এনেকুৱা কথা কবলৈ যায়, বিশেষকৈ আজি ৰাজ্যিক মন্ত্ৰী এজনে বিশ্বনাথ চাৰিআলিৰ এটা প্ৰশ্ন উত্তৰ বেলেগ ধৰণেৰে দিবলৈ চেষ্টা কৰিছে। তাত তেখেতে যিটো ৰাজনৈতিক চালৰ কথা কৈছে তাত আমি অন্য ধৰণৰ গন্ধ পাইছো। আমি থাকিলে তেখেত সকল অসন্তুষ্ট হয়। কাৰণ আমি নাথাকিলে তেখেত সকলৰ যি ইচ্ছা তাকে কৰিব পাৰে। আমি কিছুদিনৰ পৰা লক্ষ্য কৰিছো আমাৰ ফালৰ পৰা কথা কবলৈ উঠিলেই সিফালৰ পৰা বিধি পথাৰি দিয়ে। গতিকে অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়,

এইটো কথাৰ প্ৰতিবাদত আমাৰ যি থিনি আঙিৰ কাৰ্যাসূচী বাকী আছে তাত আমি অংশ গ্ৰহণ নকৰো আমি সভাকক্ষ ত্যাগ কৰিলো।

(শ্ৰীহুলাল বৰুৱাৰ গ্ৰুপটে ওলাই যায়)

শ্ৰীসোণেশ্বৰ বৰা—আমাৰ সংশ্লিষ্ট মন্ত্ৰীৰ উত্তৰত আমাৰ সদনৰ ছগবাকী সদস্যৰ বিৰুদ্ধে পুলিচক সহায় কৰাৰ ভাৱমূৰ্ত্তি পৰিলক্ষিত হৈছে। যিটো ভাৱ-মূৰ্ত্তি সলনি নকৰিলে আমি বিধান সভাত মুক্ত ভাবে বাক্ত কৰাত বাধা পৰিব। তৎপৰি মাননীয় সদস্য ছগবাকীৰ বিৰুদ্ধে পুলিচক উৎসাহিত কৰি সাহায্য কৰিব বিচাৰিছে। গতিকে ইয়াৰ প্ৰতিবাদ স্বৰূপে আৰু এই ভাৱ মূৰ্ত্তিৰ প্ৰতিবাদ কৰে আমি সদনৰ বাকী কাৰ্যাসূচীত অংশ গ্ৰহণ নকৰো আৰু আমি সদন কক্ষ ত্যাগ কৰিলো।

শ্ৰীকবিত চন্দ্ৰ বয় প্ৰধানী—আঙি আনাৰ আইন শৃংখলা নিৰ্ভৰ কৰিছে পুলিচৰ ওপৰত। মই এটা উদাহৰণ স্বৰূপে কবলৈ বিচাৰিছো যে এতিয়া এটা এডমিনিষ্ট্ৰেটিভ লেপচেচে কমিটি কৰি দিছে যোৱা ভাষা আন্দোলনৰ সময়ত হোৱা প্ৰশাসনীয় ত্ৰুটি-বিশ্ৰুতি বিলাক অনুসন্ধান কৰিবৰ কাৰণে। আৰু আমি তাত সাক্ষ্য দিবলগীয়া হৈছে। আৰু তাৰ কাৰণেই আমি হিউমিলেট হবলগীয়া হৈছো। আৰু প্ৰশ্নৰ উত্তৰৰ সম্পৰ্কত বিষয়া সকলে একোটা মিছ ৰিপৰ্ট দি মন্ত্ৰী সকলক মিচগাইদ কৰে।

মি: স্পীকাৰ—এইটো বিহব ওপৰত কৈছে ?

শ্ৰীকবিত চন্দ্ৰ বয় প্ৰধানী—আমি সকলোৱেই জনতাৰ নিৰ্বাচিত প্ৰতিনিধি। সেই হিচাবে আমাৰ যি সন্মান সেই সন্মান আমাক বিষয়া সকলে দিবলৈ নিবিচাৰে। এই বিলাক কথা আমি পেকটিকেলি দেখা পাইছো। কাজেই মুখ্য মন্ত্ৰী ডাঙৰীয়াই যদি আমাক এই সম্পৰ্কত এটা আশ্বাস দিব পাৰে তেনেহলে আমি এই কথা বিবেচনা কৰি চাব পাৰো।

শ্ৰীশৰৎ চন্দ্ৰ সিংহ—এইকথাত মই আন্তৰিকতাৰে আশ্বাস দিছো।

CALLING ATTENTION

Shri Jalaluddin Ahmed—Mr. Speaker Sir, I beg to call

the attention of the Chief Minister under Rule 54 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in Assam Legislative Assembly to the news item appearing in Assam Legislative Assembly to the news item appearing in the Dainik Asom, dated 22nd November, 1973 under the caption "Jilar Dabit Barpetat Chatra Dharmaghat".

Shri Sarat Chandra Sinha (Chief Minister)—Mr. Speaker Sir,

The attention of the Government is drawn to the news item published in Dainik Assam dated 22nd November, 1973 under the caption "জিলাৰ দাবীত ছাত্ৰ ধৰ্মঘট"

Information is received from the S. D. O. Barpeta that a call for Strike/Picketing on 21.11.73 given by Barpeta Vidyalaya Chatra Santha on various demands like the conversion of the Barpeta Sub-Division to a District, setting up of a jute mill in Barpeta Sub-division, supplying of essential Commodities in sufficient quantities through Fair Price Shops etc. The Picketing was organised by the Barpeta Vidyalaya Chatra Santha and was restricted to the Barpeta Town only. The students of High School and Government Higher Secondary School in Barpeta Town only abstained from their classes on that day and picketted before the Government offices and thereby prevented the officers and staff from attending to their normal duties. The students of the local M. C. College and the primary schools of the town did not participate

in the picketing and attended their classes. All the Govt. offices including post and telegraph, Banks, Courts of Barpeta town could not function for the day. However, the essential services like Electricity, Telephone Exchange, Hospital functioned normally. The Public like in the town on the day was, other-wise normal.

The demand for conversion of the present Sub-division of Barpeta into a district is engaging the attention of the Government. In this regard demands were raised in the floor of the House and by various organisations like Bar Association, School Chatra Santha Barpeta, Barpeta Youth Sangathan, Barpeta youth Congress etc.

Similar demands for the creation of a district in the North Bank of Kamrup with Head Quarters at Nalbari, Bajali and the creation of a sub-division at Bajali are also raised.

The various demands raised as stated above together with similar demands elsewhere for creation of new districts shall have to be considered in the context of financial constraint, administrative convenience, communication facilities, availability of land, acceptability of the people and better implementation of the development programmes principally intended for the weaker sections of the people.

Government has been considering all these matters.

শ্রীজালালউদ্দিন আহমদ—অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, আমাৰ মুখ্যমন্ত্ৰী ডাঙৰীয়াই যিটো বাক্য কলে যে জিলা এখনৰ কাৰণে কি কি বস্তু লাগে—সেইটো মই জনাব বিচাৰিছো যে সকলো খিনি বস্তু বৰপেটা মহকুমাত উল্লেখ আছে আৰু মই মুখ্যমন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ৰ পৰা জানিব বিচাৰিছো যে আমাৰ লক্ষীমপুৰ জিলা হওঁতে কি বস্তু দি পূৰণ কৰিছিল সেই সম্পৰ্কে আমাক জনাব লাগে।

শ্রীআতাউৰ ৰহমান—এই সন্দৰ্ভত মই মুখ্যমন্ত্ৰীৰ পৰা এটা প্ৰতীকৰণ বিচাৰিছো যে তেখেতে সদায় বাহিৰত কৈ আহে যে এইবিলাকৰ কাৰণে পৰীক্ষা-নিৰীক্ষা আদি কৰাৰ পাছত আঁচনি কৰিব লাগিব, কিন্তু এতিয়ালৈকে তেখেতে আঁচনিৰ বিষয়ে কিবা এটা কৰিছেনে?

শ্রীশৰৎ চন্দ্ৰ সিংহ—এতিয়ালৈকে আটাইবিলাককেই কৰি আছে। তাৰ পাছত মাননীয় সদস্য জালাল উদ্দিনৰ প্ৰশ্নত আপত্তি কৰিব লগীয়া একো নাই।

GOVERNMENT BILL

The Assam Excise (Third Amendment) Bill, 1973

Shri S. C. Sinha, (Chief Minister)—Sir, I beg to move that Assam Excise (Third Amendment) Bill, 1973, be taken into consideration.

Mr. Speaker—The motion is moved. No discussion ? (voices : no, no) The motion is, the Assam Excise (Third Amendment) Bill, 1973 be taken into consideration.

(After a pause)

The Motion is passed.

There are amendments. Yes, Mr. Pegu.

Shri Mal Chandra Pegu—Sir, I beg to move that Sub-

Clause (4) of Clause 2 of the Bill shall be substituted by the following :—

“While framing rules under this Act, the State Government may provide, from time to time, for reservations to be made in favour of persons belonging to the Scheduled Castes, Scheduled Tribes, Other Backward Classes and educated unemployed youths with particular reference to their economic backwardness for the purpose of this Act; and may also provide for discouraging or preventing monopoly in matters relating to such licences, passes and permits”.

Shri S. C. Sinha (Chief Minister)—Sir, I accept it.

Mr. Speaker—I put the amendment: (After a pause) The amendment is passed.

Now I put the question that Clause 2 as amended forms part of the Bill.

(After a pause)

Clause 2 as amended forms part of the Bill.

Now I put the question that Clause 1, preamble, enacting formula and the Short title form part of the Bill.

(After a pause)

Clause 1, preamble, enacting formula and short title form part of the Bill.

Shri S. C. Sinha—Sir, I beg to move that Assam Excise

(Third Amendment) Bill, 1973 be passed.

Mr. Speaker—The motion is moved. I put the question that Assam Excise (Third Amendment) Bill, 1973 be passed.

(After a pause)

The Bill is passed.

Now there is a message from the Governor of Assam which reads as follows :

“Dated, Geneva, 8.7.1972—I recommend under Article 207 (3) of the Constitution of India that the Assam Agricultural Produce Market Bill, 1972 be taken into consideration by the Assam Legislative Assembly.

Sd/- B. K. Nehru
Governor of Assam”

The Assam Agricultural Produce Market Bill, 1972

Shri Upendra Das (Minister, Agriculture)—Sir, I beg to move that the Assam Agricultural Produce Market Bill, 1972 as reported by the Select Committee, be taken into consideration.

Mr. Speaker—The motion moved is that the Assam Agricultural Produce Market Bill, 1972 as reported by the Selected Committee be taken into consideration.

(After a pause)

The motion is passed.

Now there is amendment. Next item please.

Shri Upendra Das—Sir, I beg to move that the Assam Agricultural Produce Market Bill, 1972 as reported by the Select Committee be passed.

Mr. Speaker—I put the question that Assam Agricultural Produce Market Bill, 1972 as reported by the Select Committee be passed.

(After a pause)

The Bill is passed.

Now item No. 6. There is message from the Governor of Assam which reads as follows :

“Rajbhaban, Shillong the 24th October, 1973—under the provision of Article 207 (3) of the Constitution of India, I, Lallan Prasad Singh, Governor of Assam, recommend that the Assam Legislative Assembly Members' Salaries and Allowances (Third Amendment) Bill, 1973 be taken into consideration.

Shri S. C. Sinha—Sir, I beg to move that the Assam Legislative Assembly Members' Salaries and Allowances (Third Amendment) Bill, 1973 be taken into consideration.

Mr. Speaker—No discussion ? (Voices : no, no). Then I put the question that the Assam Legislative Assembly Members' Salaries and Allowances (Third Amendment)

Bill, 1973 be taken into consideration

(After a pause)

The motion is passed

There is no amendment. So the next item please.

Shri S. C. Sinha—Sir, I beg to move that the Assam Legislative Assembly Members' Salaries and Allowances (Third Amendment) Bill, 1973 be passed.

Mr. Speaker—The Motion is that Assam Legislative Assembly Members' Salaries and Allowances (Third Amendment) Bill, 1973 be passed.

(After a pause)

The Bill is passed.

Now item No. 7

GOVT. RESOLUTION

Shri Chatrasing Teron (Minister, Health)—Sir, I beg to move the following Resolution :—“Whereas, this Assembly considers that there should be uniform Legislation in the country to ban the setting up of sub-standard medical colleges by private organisations where the criterion for admission is not merit but the ability to pay huge sums of advance tuition fees and capitation donations and that there is need to regulate the existing private medical colleges in such manner as is feasible and desirable ;

And whereas, the imposition of such a ban medi-

cal colleges for undergraduate education is a matter with respect to which Parliament has no power to make laws for States except as provided for in Articles 249 and 250 thereof;

And whereas, it appears to this Assembly to be desirable that the aforesaid matters should be regulated in the State of Assam by Parliament by law;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of clause 1 of Article 252 of the Constitution, this Assembly hereby resolves that the imposition of a ban on the setting up of private medical colleges and regulations of such colleges already set up and of matters connected therewith and ancillary and incidental thereto should be regulated in the State of Assam by Parliament by law."

Mr. Speaker—Motion moved.

If nobody is taking part in discussion I put the motion.

Shri Ataur Rahman—Sir, just a clarification. This resolution refers only to Alopathic Medical college or other such as Homoeopathic or Ayurvedic colleges also?

Shri Chatrasing Teron (Minister)—This refers to the Alopahic Medical colleges only.

Shri Giasuddin Ahmed—Sir, as the Hon'ble Member Shri Ataur Rahman has said, I also think that the words 'Medical colleges' should be clearly defined. Medical Colleges may also include Ayurvedic or Homoeopathic

colleges. Sir, as you know, we are also eager to encourage establishment of Homoeopathic or Ayurvedic Colleges in the State and also to encourage indigenous method of treatment which is less costly. That is why we should see that the resolution cannot debar establishment of such medical colleges also. Therefore, I think, that the words, "including Ayurvedic and Homoeopathic colleges" should be inserted after the words "substandard" occurring in the 3rd line of the 1st para of the Resolution so that the resolution cannot be interpreted in such a way to debar these colleges, i.e., Ayurvedic and Homoeopathic colleges, to be started.

Mr. Speaker—You want to debar or exempt them?

Shri Giasuddin Ahmed—To exempt them. They should not be debarred. As I understand the Minister, Health, by the words, 'medical colleges' he means the Allopathic medical colleges and not the Homoeopathic or Ayurvedic colleges. The intention is understandable but it should be clearly specified here that this resolution does not debar Ayurvedic and Homoeopathic colleges.

Shri Chatrasing Teron (Minister, Health)—Now a law is proposed to be passed by the Parliament on which the authority is being sought by the Govt. of India to enact a uniform legislation for all the State, to control so that the Sub-standard medical colleges cannot come up. Now, when we mention Medical colleges

we mean Allopathic Medical colleges because the Ayurvedic or the Homoeopathic or the Unani colleges are known by their respective names.

Mr. Speaker— I now put the question.

(The Resolution put and was passed)

Discussion Under Rule 49

Shri Mal Chandra Pegu—Mr. Speaker, Sir, I beg to raise a discussion under Rule 49 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of business in Assam Legislative Assembly on a matter arising out of an answer to Starred Question No. 91 asked by me on 29th November, 1973.

Sir, the Hon'ble Chief Minister placed the report of the Commission on the Table of the House in the form of Government Resolution wherein it is found that the Commission concluded the Police firing to be unjustified and some police officers were also held responsible for it. Sir, as per provisions of Commission of Inquiry Act, as amended upto date, action on the basis of a Commission's Report shall be taken by the Government within 6 months from the date of placing the report on the table of House. But till now no action is found to have been taken by the Govt. and thereby the Govt. has violated the mandatory provision of the Act. Sir, till now no action has been taken against any police officer. The Chief Minister the other day

replied that the matter has been referred to the I.G.P. but what the I.G.P. has been doing is not known to the public. There ought to have been a discussion on the Floor of the House on the report that is submitted to Government. Leaving aside that, whether the Govt. has accepted the report in full or in part is also not known to this august House. The other day the Chief Minister while placing the report on the Floor of the House in reply to my Question said that the whole report was not accepted and that it was accepted partially but which part has been accepted by the Government is not known to us. If that portion of the report concerning the police officers having found guilty was accepted then in that case action under Section 262 IPC ought to have been taken against those police officers for shooting two persons to death and injuring several others. In this particular case, in conducting this case the Govt. has spent about 17000 rupees from the State Exchequer and the persons involved in this case have also spent huge sums of money.

In any sessions case the accused who are found to be poor are provided with a defence lawyer at Government cost. But in this particular case the Government spent a huge amount in engaging lawyers against these poor people. The widows of the deceased although applied to our Chief Minister for granting

them family pension and for bearing the expenses for the education of the children and also to extend financial aid to those injured persons who have been made invalid, no action seems to have been taken on those representations. So I would request the Chief Minister to make matters clear.

Shri Sarat Chandra Sinha (Chief Minister)—Mr. Speaker, Sir, the report was submitted to the House with a Government memorandum and in the memorandum it was stated which part of the report has been accepted by the Government and which part the Government could not accept. I would like to further elucidate the points raised by Shri Mal Chandra Pagu. The first point that has been raised during the discussion is that no fruitful action has been taken by the Government against the Police Officers held responsible for “unjustified police firing”. It is true that the Commission held in its report that the police version of resorting to firing in self-defence was difficult to be believed and could hardly be accepted. The Commission further held that the police firing could not be said to be justified.

It has been already made clear in the resolution of the Government placed in the Assembly along with reply to question No. 91, that after a thorough scrutiny of all papers and circumstances reluctant

for this purpose, the Government do not consider this findings of the commission to be acceptable. The question of taking action against the police officers responsible for firing would arise only after the finding of the commission fixing responsibility on those officers is accepted by the Government. In view of the fact that the Govt. could not agree with this finding of the commission no action against the police officers responsible for firing was initiated by the Government.

It may be mentioned in this connection that the commission commented upon the failure of the in-charge Kamargaon police out post to lodge an Ejahar. This was considered a lapse on the part of the said officer and after calling for an explanation in this respect the officer was let off with a warning in view of the fact that he was a young and inexperienced officer.

The next point raised during the discussion was that no reason was assigned as to why the whole report of the commission had not been accepted by the Govt. As was made clear in the resolution placed in the Assembly along with the reply to questions No. 91, the Govt. after taking into account various facts and circumstances vis-a-vis the finding of the commission found that they are not in a position to accept the report in full. Though the findings of the com-

mission on the first issue was accepted by the Govt. certain observations made in this connection by the commission were not found to be consistent with some of the actual facts. Thus, the finding that the Superintendent of Police and the District Administration hurried deputation of Armed police Force to the area under the influence of the lessee was difficult to accept in the face of the fact that deputation of such police force was preceded by enquiry into the petition of the lessee by both the Bokakhat and Golaghat Police Stations and their report that there was definite apprehension of breach of peace. Similarly, the finding of the commission that the deputation of the police force was done without the knowledge of the Golaghat Subdivisional Authorities was not consistent with the fact that the officer in charge of the Golaghat Police Station had informed at last 4 days ahead of the actual firing about the deputation of the force, and in the absence of the subdivisional office the senior Extra Assistant Commissioner Golaghat, passed necessary orders on the letter from the officer in charge.

As regards finding of the Commission on the second issue, it was found by the Government on examination of relevant records that a few points pertinent to the issue were not fully analysed by the Commission in its report. In the absence of the analysis of these

points, the Govt. found it difficult to accept the finding of the commission in this respect.

After discussion on the third and the fourth issues, the Commission held that the police firing was Unjustified. But it was found on examination of the statements of the witnesses and other relevant reports that there were important inconsistencies between the version of the villagers and the actual circumstances. Thus a few villagers had stated before the commission that they were fired upon by the police and that they received bullet injuries. This version has been accepted by the Commission. But the injury reports show that some of these persons received only weapon injuries and not bullet injuries. The fact that 10 police personnel including one Havildar sustained injury from blunt or sharp weapon and that injuries of the Sub-Inspector were grievous do not seem to have been properly examined and analysed in the report of the Commission. This inadequacy in the discussion of the commission and certain other inconsistencies led the Govt. to come to the decision that the findings of the Commission on the third and fourth issues would not lead themselves to acceptance by Government.

The Inspector General of Police was asked in July 1972, to take action, where possible, on the findings of the commission. Action against the officer in charge of Kamaragaon police out-post was initiated on the

not basis of these instructions, while action against the officers found responsible by the commission for the unjustified police firing was kept pending until the Govt. finally decided on the findings in the report of the enquiry.

The question of Government bearing the expenses incurred by the public concerned for engaging lawyers for conducting the cases was not relevant to the reply to the question No. 91, and hence no information was furnished in this respect. Further, it may be mentioned that in connection with the question No. 264 asked by Shri Mal chandra Pegu, a reply was given in the Assembly on 3.4.73 that there was no provision for this purpose.

The report of the commission of Enquiry was received by the Govt. on 28.4.72. The Commission of Inquiry (Amendment) Act of 1971 stipulates that the report of the Commission is to be placed before the Legislature within a period of 6 months of the submission of the report to the Government. Since the Assembly was not in session when the 6 month period was due to expire, copy of the said report was sent to the secretary to the Legislative Assembly along with the Government letter of 27th October, 1972 for placing the same before the Assembly. The copy of report sent to the Assembly within the period of 6 months stipulated by the Commission of Enquiry (Amendment

Act of 1971 along with the Memorandum of action taken until that time was also placed before the Assembly at the earliest opportunity, i.e. during the March session of the Assembly, 1973.

ADJOURNMENT

The House stands adjourned till 9 A. M. on on Friday, the 7th December, 1973.

Dispur

P. D. Barua

The 6th December, 1973.

Secretary,

Assam Legislative Assembly