

PAC - 101

**COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC ACCOUNTS  
ONE HUNDRED AND  
FIRST REPORT**

**ELEVENTH ASSEMBLY**



**REPORT OF THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC ACCOUNTS  
ON THE REPORT OF THE COMPTROLLER  
AND AUDITOR GENERAL OF INDIA  
FOR THE YEAR 2000-2001  
(REVENUE RECEIPTS)  
RELATING TO HOME DEPARTMENT,  
GOVERNMENT OF ASSAM**

**Presented before the House on 12-8-2005.**

**ASSAM LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY SECRETARIAT  
DISPUR : GUWAHATI-6.**

## CONTENTS

### Pages

1. Composition of the Committee.	(i)
2. Prefatory Remarks	(ii)
3. Report	1-8
4. ANNEXURE - 1	9
5. ANNEXURE - 2	10
6. ANNEXURE - 3	11
7. ANNEXURE - 4	12
8. ANNEXURE - 5	13
9. ANNEXURE - 6	14
10. ANNEXURE - 7	15

(i)  
COMPOSITION OF THE COMMITTEE  
2003-2006.

**Chairperson :**

1. Smti. Pramila Rani Brahma

**Members :**

2. Shri Dilip Kumar Saikia
3. Dr. Zoi Nath Sarma
4. Shri Gautam Bora
5. Shri Ajit Singh
6. Shri Bidya Singh Engleng
7. Shri Dilder Rezza
8. Shri Gopi Nath Das
9. Shri Dharamsing Teron
10. Shri Biswajit Daimary
11. Shri Bimolangshu Roy
12. Shri Chandan Sarkar
13. Shri Sarat Saikia

**SECRETARIAT :**

1. Shri G. P. Das, Secretary
2. Shri S. Deka, Joint Secretary
3. Shri B. Basumatary, Deputy Secretary
4. Shri Dharmeswar Mazumdar, Secretary to Hon'ble Speaker
5. Shri Khalilur Rahman, Committee Officer

(ii)

## PREFATORY REMARKS

1. Smti. Pramila Rani Brahma, Chairperson of the Committee on Public Accounts having been authorised to submit the Report on their behalf, present this Hundredth and First Report of the Committee on Public Accounts on the Audit paras contained in the Report of the Comptroller and Auditor General of India (R/R) for the year 2000-2001 pertaining to Home Departments, Government of Assam.

2. The Report of the Comptroller and Auditor General of India (R/R) for the year 2000-2001 was laid to the House on 1-3-2002.

3. The Report as mentioned above relating to the Home, Department was considered by the Sub-Committee 'A' of the Committee on Public Accounts under the Convenorship of Shri Bidya Singh Englaeng, MLA (as at Annexure 7) in their sitting held on 26-7-2005. The Sub-Committee also adopted the draft Report in their meeting held on 9-8-2005 for the consideration and approval by the main Committee.

4. The Committee has considered the Draft Report and finalised their sitting held on 9-8-2005 for presentation before the House.

5. The Committee places on records their appreciations to the Sub-Committee 'A' for their strenuous works for obtaining various records, information and clarification pertaining to the Audit Paras relating to Home Department. The Committee also wishes thanks to the Departmental witness for their Co-operation. The Committee also appreciates the A.G.(Audit) Assam, and his Junior Officers for their valuable assistance. The Committee also pleased to offer thanks to the Secretary, Assam Legislative Assembly with his officers and staff of the Committee on Public Accounts branch for their valuable services rendered to the Committee.

6. The Committee earnestly hopes that the Government would implement the recommendations made in the Report.

Dispur

The 9-8- 2005.

SMTI. PRAMILA RANI BRAHMA,  
Chairperson,

THE REPORT  
HOME (POLICE) DEPARTMENT  
TREND OF REVENUE  
(Audit para 6.6/CAG 2000-2001(R/R))

1.1. The audit has pointed out that the budget estimates and actual receipts during the 5 years from 1995-96 to 1999-2000 were as under :

(Rupees in Lakhs)

Year	Budget estimates	Actuals	Variations Excess (+) Shortfall (-)	Percentage of Variation Excess (+) Shortfall (-)
1	2	3	4	5
1995-96	230.00	363.91	(+) 133.91	(+) 58
1996-97	270.00	256.37	(-) 13.63	(-) 5
1997-98	284.00	708.42	(+) 424.42	(+) 149
1998-99	298.00	459.60	(+) 161.60	(+) 54
1999-2000	312.00	706.32	(+) 394.32	(+) 126

The reason for variations, though called for (December 2000), have not yet been received from the Government and the department (October 2001). However, as can be seen the above during the years from 1995-96 to 1999-2000 the variation between budget estimates and actuals ranged from (-) 5 per cent to 149 per cent which indicates that the estimates were not framed properly on realistic basis.

1.2. The Department by their written replies has stated that the actual Police receipts from 1995-96 to 1999-2000 have been shown on the basis at reconciled figures booked in A.G. of Accounts and Departmental figures against receipt of fees and fines and forfeitures. Police guard supplied to different organisations, receipt under Arms Act and other receipts. In this connection it may be pointed out that budget estimates on receipt head are prepared on the basis of previous years actual receipts. Actual receipts for last 7 (seven) months at the preceeding year. Actual receipts of first 5 (five) months of the current year and proposed estimates for the next year. The variation of actual estimates and actual receipts took place due to irregular receipt of re-imburement of cost of Police guards from the various Organisation/Institutions. However efforts have been made to receive payments regularly from the defaulting Organisation/Institutions and most of the cases some progress have also been achieved on this accounts.

## OBSERVATIONS/RECOMMENDATIONS

1.3 The Committee has observed <sup>that</sup> the statement given by the Home Department and after having discussion it has recommended that the Home Department should prepare a realistic Budget for each year after observing the previous consecutive years budget and expenditure. Thus Committee decides to drop the para.

### Non-recovery of share of share of cost of Government Railway Police (GRP) from Railways

(Audit para 6.7/CAG 2000-2001 (R/R))

2.1 The Audit has pointed out that as per provision of the Assam Police Manual read with Government of India. Ministry of Railways (Railway Board) letter dated July 1993, the cost of GRP has to be shared between the State Government and Railways on 50 : 50 basis provided the strength of GRP is determined with the approval of Railways with effect from 1 April 1979. The code further provides that pay and all types of allowances of GRP personnel including officers and supervisory staff up to the level of IGP are to be taken into consideration for the purpose of calculating Railways' share of cost provided that they are exclusively in charge of GRP. The cost of pensionery charges is also to be included while calculating Railways' share.

#### (i) Non-demand/short-demand of leave salary and pension contribution

Sub-Rule 4 of Rule 84 of Assam Police Manual, Part-II, stipulates that contributions for leave salary and pension at the rate of 25 percent of average pay (including special pay) are to be taken into account while calculating the cost of police guards. The records of DGP & SP, GRP revealed (December 2000) that cost of pensionery charges, though recoverable, was either not taken into account or short accounted for while claiming reimbursement of 50 per cent of total expenditure on GRP. The total expenditure of pay of GRP personnel during the years 1995-96 to 1999-2000 was Rs. 973.36 lakh on which the amount of leave salary and pension contribution at the rate of 25 per cent (as per provision of the Manual) worked out to Rs. 243.34 lakh, out of which though 50 per cent

amounting to Rs. 121.67 lakh was recoverable from Railways, the Department recovered only Rs. 12.58 lakh. This has resulted in non-demand/Short-demand of leave salary and pensionary charges of Rs. 109.09 lakh.

(ii) Short-demand of dues other than leave salary and pension contribution.

As per details of the expenditure furnished to audit by SP, GRP for the five years ended 1999-2000 the total expenditure other than leave salary and pensionary charges on GRP was Rs. 2137.70 lakh against which the Directorate considered expenditure of Rs. 1968.70 lakh only and accordingly raised the demand (Rs. 984.35 lakh) on 50 : 50 basis. Thus, non-inclusion of Rs. 169.00 lakh in respect of SP, GRP resulted in short-demand of Rs. 84.50 lakh (50 per cent of Rs. 169.00 lakh).

2.2 The Department by their written replies has stated that the cost of pensionary charges, leave salary etc. are recoverable from the borrowing institutions as per Assam Police Manual. The claim for 50% cost of GRP was submitted to the Railway Authority on the basis of claim preferred by the Supdt. of Railway Police based on verified statement of Deptt. expenditure with these booked in A.G. (A&E). In this connection it may be pointed out that the matter of non-inclusion of pensionary charges leave salary alongwith the claim of 50% cost of GRP come to the notice of this Deptt. after A.G.'s Audit on this account, immediately after receipt of A.G.'s observation in this regard a revised claim for Rs. 109.09 lakhs being the leave salary and pension contribution for the period from 1995-96 to 1999-2000 has been submitted to the Railway Authority as worked out by A.G.'s Audit. Reminders were also issued to the Railway Authority for early settlement of the claim. But the payment has not yet been received.

(ii) It is a fact that a sum of Rs. 984.35 lakhs only was claimed to the Railway Authority on 50 : 50 basis over the expenditure of Rs. 1968.70 lakhs for the year 1995-96 to 1999-2000 instead of Rs. 1068.85 lakhs being the 50% charges of Rs. 2137.70 lakhs. Thus a sum of Rs. 84.50 lakhs being the 50% cost of Rs. 169.00 lakhs was claimed less by the S.R.P. through computation mistake. However on being pointed out by A.G.(Audit) a revised claim has already been submitted to the Railway Authority which is still under scrutiny of the Railway Department. In this connection reminders were also issued to Railway Authority for settlement of the claim. But nothing has since been received from N.F. Railway.

## OBSERVATIONS/RECOMMENDATIONS

2.3 Having heard the oral deposition of the Government representatives the Committee observes that the pension contribution of the GRP personnel @ 25% could not be recovered by the department from the Railway during the years from 1995-96 to 1999-2000. The Committee therefore recommends that the department should pursue the matter vigorously with the Railways and immediate steps should be taken for realisation of entire amount from the defaulter and action taken in this regard may be submitted to the Committee within a period of 30 days from the date of presentation of this report before the House.

### **Non-recovery of the cost of Police Personnel**

**(Audit para 6.8/CAG 2000-2001 (R/R))**

3.1. The Audit has pointed out that under the provision of the Assam Police Manual, Additional police guards would be supplied to various Government Departments and other organizations on request and the cost of police guards/personnel are realisable from the beneficiary departmental/organizations on the basis of the statement of cost submitted at the time of supply of the guards/personnel which is subject to revision if the Government revised the pay of the force. The manual is silent about the mode of recovery of the amount payable by departments organizations on this accounts.

(i) Scrutiny of records of DGP revealed (December, 2000) that against Rs. 734.55 lakh being the cost of deployment of police guards between April 1981 and March 2000 in 7 (seven) departments/organizations, only Rs.206.60 lakh was received (Airports Authority of India : Rs.142.99 lakh All India Radio : Rs.41.16 lakh, Posts and Telegraph



Department : Rs.16.73 lakh and Indian Oil Corporation ; Rs.5.72 lakh) and Rs.527.95 lakh remained outstanding (May 2001) as detailed below :

Sl.No.	Name of the Department/Authority	Amount outstanding (Rupees in lakh)
1.	Airports Authority of India	427.13
2.	All India Radio	30.92
3.	Posts and Telegraph	30.11
4.	Indian Oil Corporation	22.18
5.	Reserve Bank of India	7.99
6.	Tata Tea Limited	6.65
7.	Shree Automobile	2.97
Total :		527.95

of these M/S. Tata Tea Limited refused (December 2000) to pay Rs. 6.65 lakh being the cost of police guards deployed as there was no request for deployment of forces from their end. Thus, non-payment of the cost of police guard by the departments/organizations resulted in locking up of Government revenue amounting to Rs.527.95 lakh for periods ranging from 1 year to 19 years. Government has not yet framed adequate deterrent measures like mandatory levy of penalty/interest for delay in payment.

(ii) In course of scrutiny of records of SP, Dibrugarh and Cachar districts it was noticed that assessment of cost in respect of permanent guards deployed at the premises of three organizations during 1996-97 to 1999-2000 was not made. This resulted in non-realisation of receipts amounting to Rs.65.11 lakh.

(iii) Pay of the police force was revised with effect from 1st January 1996. In course of test check of records of the Superintendents of Police of eight districts it was noticed that the cost of police guards was claimed at pre-revised rates of pay and allowances instead of revised rates which resulted in short-demand of the cost of police guards amounting to Rs.57.79 lakh. On this being pointed out in audit SP, North Lakhimpur raised (February 2001) the revised demand for Rs.0.49 lakh, while SP, Karimganj, SPs of City, Guwahati, Sonitpur, Dibrugarh and Sivasagar district stated (between February and June 2001) that revised demands were being raised.

3.2 The Department by their written replies has stated that -

(i) It is a fact that an amount of Rs.527.95 lakhs was outstanding against seven organizations viz Airport Authority of India, All India Radio,

Post and Telegraph, Indian Oil Corpn. Reserve Bank of India. All India Radio Tata Tea Ltd. and Shree Automobiles as pointed out by the Audit as on May/2001. Meanwhile some amount of outstanding dues have since been realised. The present position in as under :

<u>Name of institute</u>	<u>Amount outstanding</u>
1) Airport Authority of India	3,17,24,604.00
2) All India Radio	Nil
3) Reserve Bank of India	Nil
4) Post and Telegraph	6,24,582.00
5) Indian Oil Corporation	17,40,212.00
6) Tata Tea Ltd.	6,64,752.00
7) Shree Automobiles	2,96,880.00
	3,50,51,030.00

The detailed position of outstanding dues in respect of the above mentioned institutions have been furnished in a statement vide Annexure - I to 6 showing the period, amount claimed, amount recovered and balance outstanding. Necessary steps have already been taken for speedy realisation of the above outstanding dues from the defaulters concerned. The concerned authorities have been asked through respective S.Ps to take effective, steps in this regards.

(ii) The position of outstanding dues in respect of Mohanbari & Kumbhirgram Airport has already been shown in the statement enclosed at Annexure - 2. As regards claim preferred for the cost of Police guards provided to UBI Silchar, it may be pointed out that the dues has regularly been paid by the UBI authority from 1996-97 to 1999-2000 and there is no outstanding dues in this regards till 1999-2000. The claim has also been preferred for the period from 2000-2001 to 31-3-04 and the UBI authority has cleared a sum of Rs. 7,62,928.00 leaving only a balance of Rs. 3,95,832.00 and steps have been taken for realisation of the amount.

(iii) The cost of police-guards deployed in various institutions in the revised scale or pay 1996 has already been preferred by all the districts Supdts. of Police including those mentioned in the para. The arrear dues have been paid by same of the respective institutions. However, all concern have been asked to take effective steps for early realisation of the arrear dues.

## OBSERVATIONS/RECOMMENDATIONS

3.3 The Committee observes that no action has been initiated by the department for realisation of the outstanding dues from the defaulters. The Committee therefore recommends that the matter should be taken up by the department with the concerned authorities and steps should be taken for early realisation of the outstanding dues and to submit a report to the Committee within the period of 30 days from the date of presentation of this report before the House.

### **Non-realisation of the cost of police provided on court orders**

#### **(Audit para 6.9/CAG 2000-2001 (R/R))**

4.1 The Audit has pointed out that Police protection was given to individuals based on court orders, Directions regarding period of protection and mode of collection were not generally specified in such orders. Scrutiny of records of the ADGP (Special Branch), Guwahati revealed (January 2001) that in 5 cases relating to the period from September 1997 to March 2000 the orders passed by the Hon'ble Gauhati High Court were silent about the recovery of cost and the period of protection to be given. However, the cost of police guards worked out to Rs. 8.62 lakh (upto March 2000) which was not raised by the department. The department also did not approach the Hon'ble High Court for getting necessary orders for collection of the cost of police guards provided.

4.2 The Department by their written replies has stated that the cost of police guards provided to private individuals parties is chargeable as per rule 84 of A.P. Manual pt.-II. The PSO was generally provided to the candidates on the basis of their requisition and Court order. But the Hon'ble Court in most of the cases is silent about the mode of reimbursement of the cost for which Department is not in a position to realise the amount from the individual parties to whom security was provided. In order to get a clear cut decision in the matter the Government (Home Department) has referred the case to the Political Department for decision whether the cost should be realised or waived citing on example of order of the Guwahati High court, in a case filed by Mr. Nibaran Bora-Vs-State of Assam and others. The matter is still under scrutiny of the political Department. All such cases will be dealt with after receipt of clarification from the Political Department for which reminder has also been issued.

## OBSERVATIONS/RECOMMENDATIONS

4.3 The Committee observes that the department did not pursue the matter vigorously with the political department regarding clear cut decision whether the cost of Police Guard provided to individuals parties is chargeable as per rule 84 of A.P. Manual Pt-II. The Committee therefore recommends that the Home Department shall pursue the matter with the political department and submit the action taken report to the Committee within 30 days from the date of submission of this report before the House.

### **Non-realisation of Travelling Allowance (T.A.) of Police Escorts**

#### **(Audit para 6.10/CAG 2000-2001 (R/R))**

5.1 The Audit has pointed out that under the provisions of sub-rule 6 under Rule 84 of the Assam Police Manual travelling allowances, including daily allowance, when proceeding on transfer to and returning from disturbed areas is to be borne by the beneficiary department. The statement of cost of police guard on account of TA is required to be submitted at the time of supply of the guard. Records of the SP, City, Guwahati revealed that Police Escorts were provided to the Reserve Bank of India, Guwahati during the period from 1994 to 1998. TA bills of the police escort parties deployed for performance of such duty were paid by the Police department on 59 occasions amounting to Rs. 4.93 lakh. But the statement of cost on account of TA was submitted to the Reserve Bank of India, Guwahati in April 1999 after lapse of periods ranging from 1 year to 5 years and the reimbursement is still awaited ((March 2001). Reasons for delay in placing demands for recovery of TA claims with the Reserve Bank of India, Guwahati were neither on records nor stated.

5.2 The Department by their written replies has stated that the outstanding amount of Rs. 4.93 lakhs being the cost of T.A. & D.A. of the Police guards provided to the RBI has since been re-imbursed by the RBI and the amount has been deposited into the Government account. In this connection a copy of treasury challan No. 7 dtd. 5-4-2002 is appended herewith for perusal.

## OBSERVATIONS/RECOMMENDATIONS

5.3 The Committee is satisfied with the replies of the department so the Committee has taken the decision to drop the para.

ANNEXURE - I

STATEMENT SHOWING THE POSITION OF RE-IMBURSEMENT OF EXPENDITURE FOR PROVIDING POLICE -GUARDS AT VARIOUS ALL INDIA RADIO STATIONS IN ASSAM.

Sl. No.	Name of AIR stations.	Period.	Amount claimed.	Amount. Received.	Balance.	Remarks.
1.	AIR, Silchar	1976-77 to 28-2-2001.	Rs. 73,00,306.00	73,00,306.00	Nil	
2.	AIR, Guwahati, Chaudmari & Jalukbari	1-4-82 to 31-3-2001	Rs.1,0734,216.00	1,0734,216.00	Nil	
3.	AIR, Haflong	28-5-92 to 31-12-2000	Rs. 8,55,000.00	8,55,000.00	Nil	
4.	AIR, Dibrugarh	1-4-89 to 31-3-2002	Rs. 78,08,287.00	78,08,287.00	Nil	
5.	AIR, Jorhat	1-10-94 to 31-3-2002	Rs. 21,34,689.00	21,34,689.00	Nil	
6.	AIR, Nagaon	1-7-94 to 31-3-2002	Rs. 34,90,884.00	34,90,884.00	Nil	
G. Total of AIR			Rs. 3,23,23,382.00	Rs. 3,23,23,382.00	Nil	

**STATEMENT SHOWING THE POSITION OF RE-IMBURSEMENT OF EXPENDITURE FOR  
PROVIDING POLICE GUARDS AT VARIOUS AIRPORT IN ASSAM.**

Sl. No.	Name of Airport.	Period.	Amount claimed.	Amount Received.	Balance.	Remarks.
1.	Mohanbari Airport	1-4-90 to 31-12-2001.	Rs. 1,42,70,663.00	Rs. 61,00,009.00	Rs. 81,70,654.00	We have already requested the Airport Authority of India to take necessary action for early realisation of the outstanding dues vide this Hqrs. letter No. A/R-Cell/269/2004/AAI/dt.8-6-05.
2.	Salanbari Airport	1-3-91 to Nov/2002	Rs. 70,61,761.00	Rs. 41,44,385.00	Rs. 29,17,376.00	
3.	Rupshii Airport	1982-83 to 1988-89	Rs. 37,04,791.00	Rs. 9,47,792.00	Rs. 27,56,999.00	
4.	Rowrian Airport	1988-89 to 31-3-2000	Rs. 1,05,00,721.00	Rs. 49,00,639.00	Rs. 56,00,082.00	
5.	Lilabari Airport	1990-91 to 2000-2001	Rs. 46,94,010.00	Rs. 7,49,892.00	Rs. 39,44,118.00	
6.	Kumbhirgram Airport	1991-92 to 1998-99	Rs. 77,30,553.00	Rs. 32,66,577.00	Rs. 44,63,976.00	
7.	LGB Airport	1981-82 to 1997-98	Rs. 1,48,27,837.00	Rs. 1,09,56,438.00	Rs. 38,71,399.00	
<b>G. Total of AIR</b>			<b>Rs. 6,27,90,336.00</b>	<b>Rs. 3,10,65,732.00</b>	<b>Rs. 3,17,24,604.00</b>	

STATEMENT SHOWING THE POSITION OF RE-IMBURSEMENT OF EXPENDITURE FOR PROVIDING POLICE-GUARDS AT RESERVE BAND OF INDIA IN ASSAM.

Name of organisation.	Period.	Amount claimed.	Amount. Received.	Balance.	Remarks.
R.B.I., Guwahati.	1994-95 to 2000	Rs. 78,16,286.00	Rs. 78,16,286.00	Nil	The R.B.I. has settled the bills on the basis of actual number of police personnel provided to R.B.I.

STATEMENT SHOWING THE RE-IMBURSEMENT POSITION FOR  
PROVIDING POLICE-GUARDS AT P&T DEPARTMENT.

Name of District	Period of claim	Amount claimed.	Amount. Received.	Amount outstanding	Remarks.
Sivasagar.	1-1-96 to 31-12-01	Rs. 11,30,972.00	Rs. 11,30,972.00	Nil	
North L/Pur.	1-4-82 to 31-12-01	Rs. 3,27,114.00	Rs. 3,27,114.00	Nil	
Jorhat.	1-4-01 to 31-12-01	Rs. 38,850.00	--	Rs. 38,850.00	Several correspond- ence made with S.P.Jht.
Somitpur.	1-1-97 to 31-3-01	Rs. 99,432.00	Rs. 99,432.00	Nil	
Tinsukia.	1-10-89 to 31-2-2000	Rs. 1,93,952.00	Rs. 1,93,952.00	Nil	
Dhubri.	1-11-94 to 30-6-01	Rs. 42,090.00	Rs. 42,090.00	Nil	
Karbi-Anglong	1-1-98 to 31-3-01	Rs. 5,16,160.00	Rs. 5,16,160.00	Nil	
Nagaon.	1-4-95 to March/2000	Rs. 93,350.00	Rs. 93,350.00	Nil	
Silchar.	1-8-86 to 31-3-94	Rs. 5,85,738.00	Nil	Rs. 5,85,738.00	Several correspond- ence made with Silchar.
City (GPO) & NESD	17-8-91 to 31-3-01 1-4-95 to 31-3-01	Rs. 10,14,633.00 Rs. 11,16,186.00	Rs. 10,14,633.00 Rs. 11,16,186.00	Nil Nil	
		Rs. 51,58,477.00	Rs. 45,33,889.00	Rs. 6,24,588.00	



STATEMENT SHOWING THE RE-IMBURSEMENT POSITION FOR  
PROVIDING POLICE-GUARDS AT VARIOUS I.O.C'S OFFICES.

Name of Dist./Orgn.	Period of claim	Amount claimed.	Amount Received.	Amount outstanding	Remarks.
I.O.C., Digoai, Tinsukia.	1-10-90 to 31-3-01	27,39,514/-	10,93,749/-	16,45,765/-	
I.O.C., Borjhar, Airport. Guwahati.	1999 to 2001	6,32,394/-	6,32,394/-	Nil	
I.O.C., Panbari Depot, Panbari.	1-4-99 to 31-3-01	1,34,535/-	1,34,535/-	Nil	
N.R.L., Golaghat.	26-5-01 to 31-11-01	66,985/-	Nil	66,985/-	
I.O.C. Thekeraguri	9-2-98 to 28-2-99	1,30,778/-	1,30,778/-	Nil	
Nagaon.	1-3-99 to 29-2-2000	2,58,320/-	2,58,320/-	Nil	
I.O.C. Jakhlabondha Nagaon.	13-1-99 to 28-2-2000	2,82,599/-	2,55,137/-	27,462/-	
I.O.C., Chahi, Nagaon.	12-8-98 to 29-2-2000	1,98,907/-	1,98,907/-	Nil	
I.O.C., Luming, Nagaon.	8-7-98 to 29-2-2000	2,89,070/-	2,89,070/-	Nil	
		47,33,102/-	29,92,890/-	17,40,212/-	

ANNEXURE - 6

STATEMENT SHOWING THE RE-IMBURSEMENT POSITION FOR  
PROVIDING POLICE-GUARDS AT VARIOUS ORGANISATION.

Name of Oron/District	Period of claim	Amount claimed.	Amount. Received.	Amount outstanding	Remarks.
Tata Tea Ltd. Guwahati.	1-4-95 to 31-3-97	Rs. 6,54,752.00	Nil	Rs. 6,54,752.00	Tata Tea Ltd. refuse to pay the outstanding amount because there was no such requisition from their side for guarding the Tata Tea Ltd. This was deployed by S.P. City for Law and Order duty.
Shree Automobiles, Godown, Guwahati.	1997-89 1998-99	Rs. 2,96,880	Nil	Rs. 2,96,880	Shree Automobiles Godown also refuse to pay the outstanding dues because there was no such requisition from their side. This was deployed by S.P., City for Law & Order duty.

**ANNEXURE-7**

**The Members of the Sub-Committee 'A' of the Committee on Public Accounts (2003-2006).**

**Convenor :**

1. **Shri Bidyasingh Engleng**

**Members :**

2. **Shri Bimolangshu Roy**
  3. **Shri Biswajit Daimary**
  4. **Shri Ajit Singh**
  5. **Shri Gopi Nath Das**
  6. **Shri Sarat Saikia**
-