



STARRED

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

[To which oral answers were given]

VOL. I

Starred Question No. 129 Partly replied on 15th December 1970 was taken up along with starred Question no. 196

Re : Visit of Government Officials to Tokyo

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua asked:

*196. Will the Minister-in-charge of Industries be pleased to state -

(a) Whether it is a fact that a team of Officials headed by the Minister, Industries himself visited Tokyo during the Expo-70 Exhibition ?

(b) If so, who were the Officials and their specific fields of specialisation ?

(c) Whether those Officials included any experts in the fields of Trade, Industry and Technology ?

(d) The expenditure for this visit by each of the Officials and by the Minister, Industries ?

(e) Who bore the expenditure of their visit ?

Shri Biswadev Sarma [Minister, Industries] replied :

196. [a]—Yes, for negotiation of Technical Collaboration Agreement of the Petro-Chemical Project based on natural gas at Namrup.

[b] - 1, Shri G. C. Phukan, I. A. S., Financial Commissioner, Government of Assam and Director of the Assam Industrial Development Corporation, Ltd.

2. Shri M. Das Managing Director, Assam Industrial Development Corporation, Ltd.

3. Shri M. Ahmed, I. A. S., Secretary to the Government of Assam, Industries Department and Director of the Industrial Development Corporation, Ltd.

4. Shri A. D. Adhikari, Director of Industries, Assam and Director of the Assam Industrial Development Corporation, Ltd.

c]— Yes, Shri M. Das and Shri A. D. Adhikari.

d]—Rs.8,500 approximately by each of the four officials:

The Minister, Industries' expenditure was Rs.12,500 approximately.

e]—The Assam Industrial Development Corporation Ltd., which will eventually be transferred to the Company which will run the Petro-Chemical Project, met the expenditure of the officials and the Government of Assam met

the expenditure on the Minister, Industries for the travelling expenditure only.

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua— What is the foreign Exchange received by that party for their tour ?

Shri Biswadev Sarma — The foreign exchange received by the Minister was 5175 in terms of rupees and the foreign exchange received by each of the officer was 2910 Daily £ 9 for 15 days and contingency £ 20 for 15 days for each of the officers and the £ 12 S 10 per day and 100 dollars for entertainment.

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua — Who arranged the foreign exchange ? Because the procedure is that if any officer is to visit any foreign country, the foreign exchange is to be arranged by the Finance Department. May I know who arranged their foreign exchange ?

Shri Biswadev Sarma— This was granted by the Govt. of India and the Govt. of India arranged the foreign exchange.

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua— At whose instance ? Because the procedure is if any foreign exchange for such purposes is to be obtained then he should go through the Finance Deptt. Whether the proposal was made either by the corporation or

by the Finance Department for foreign exchange ?

Shai Biswadev Sarma - I do not know about that. I think the Industrial Development Corporation arranged the foreign exchange.

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua— The Industrial Development Corporation is a Govt. sponsored institution therefore any expenses to be incurred by them has to be borne by the Govt of Assam.

Shri Biswadev Sarma— The Corporation is independent body and it arranged the foreign exchange.

Shri Moinul Haque Choudhury— What was the duration of the stay of the Minister and of the officers ?

Shri Biswadev Sarma— 15 days as I have said.

Md. Moinul Haque Choudhury - Sir, if it was 15 days then the average comes to Rs. 95/- or so per day for the officer. Am I to believe that they and their wives maintained themselves at a cost of Rs. 95/- only per day which is even inadequate for a day's stay in a good hotel in Calcutta ?

Shri Biswadev Sarma - With that they maintained themselves so how.

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua— Whether the expenditure

was incurred by the individual officers or for their families also ?

Shri Biswadev Sarma— No.

Md. Moinul Haque Choudhury— What is the foreign exchange released to the wives of these officers because they got an average of Rs. 95/- only per day. How much their batter halves got ?

Shri Biswadev Sarma— As I have said, 100 dollars for each of the wives.

Shri Atul Chandra Goswami— ১০০ ডলার ১ দিনৰ কাৰণে
নে ১৫ দিনৰ কাৰণে ?

Shri Biswadev Sarma— For 15 days.

Md. Moinul Haque Choudhury — So, 95 plus 35 that is all what they received and that is the position. Will the Minister say who managed the balance of the expenditure in Japan ?

Shri Biswadev Sarma— I do not know others but they had got about 100 dollars foreign exchange.

Md. Moinul Haque Choudhury— Rs. 7.25 or so is the value of a dollar. 100 dollars means Rs. 725/- or so divided by 15 comes to a very small amount.

Shri Biswadev Sarma— I do not know who gave them.

Shri Promode Gogoi— Sir, in this connection, the Hon'ble Industries Minister said that he gave a clear direction to the Financial Commissioner not to sign the agreement in Japan. He said that he would make enquiries and would take proper action against the officer. May I know what action has been taken against the Financial Commissioner ?

Shri Kamakhya Prasad Tripathi [Minister, Finance] I think the Chief Minister will take action after his return from Delhi.

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua— Sir it has not been clearly stated by the Minister, Industries in what way foreign exchange was arranged for the wives of the officers. Is it not the responsibility of the minister who was the head of the visiting team to see to the conduct of the officers serving under him ?

Shri Biswadev Sarma— Sir, so far as the officers' conduct in Japan is concerned it was excellent and extraordinary that is what I can say. But with regard to the conduct of others. I cannot say.

M. Moinul Haque Choudhury :—Since the Minister cannot say how much these officers paid per day in the hotel, I wanted to know the other day how much did

the Minister pay per day. From that we could understand what could be their expenses. From the figures given by the Minister, viz., Rs. 2910/- for them and Rs. 700 and odd for their wives, the average comes to about Rs. 145 per day, which is about 19 dollars. May I know what were the expenses of the Minister in the hotel per day? I don't know whether he was a State guest but even then there was some charge which was paid for him. The Minister's Industries expenditure was Rs. 12,500. That covered the cost of his air journey and his T. A. and D. A. in Indian currency. The bulk of it went for his air freight by Air India. You know, Sir, that nowadays any Indian going abroad has to travel by Air India, because if he travels by any other Airline the air freight is to be paid for in foreign exchange...

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— What is your question?

M. Moinul Haque Choudhury:— My question is what was the daily payment the Minister made in that hotel?

Shri Biswadev Sarma— I do not have that information. I do not keep any account of these.

Moinul Haque choudhury — Anybody staying in a

hotel should know what are the daily charges. Particularly now when an Indian goes abroad he is provided with a very limited amount of foreign exchange; so his first enquiry is how much are the hotel charges.

Shri Biswadev Sarma— The hon. member has also visited many countries. Did he maintain a daily account of his expenses and can he say what were his expenses? I am not supposed to maintain these accounts.

M. Moinul Haque Choudhury— Twice I went to the United Nations and was provided about 39 dollars a day. The first time I stayed in a hotel I paid 10 dollars a day. The second time I became more important I paid 15 dollars a day.

Shri Biswadev Sarma— I am not maintaining daily accounts.

(Uprear in the Opposition)

Shri Promode Chandra Gogoi— Sir, the Chair ruled that the Minister, Industries, was to submit a detailed statement of expenditure, including the daily charges of the hotel, on the 17th.

Shri Sailen Medhi— Yes he promised to give to the House his daily expenditure in Japan.

Shri Biswadev Sarma— I have already said what is the expenditure I had incurred in Japan. I cannot give the details. It is not possible for a Minister to keep accounts of how much he paid for this or that item.

M. Moinul Haque Choudhury— The Minister had his staff with him. Sir, whenever you go to a first class hotel, either in India or any other country, the first voucher that is given is "Room No. such and such and the charges are so much per day". What happen to a man with limited foreign exchange if the charges are 200 dollars per day? There are some Hotels in foreign countries which even charge 200 dollars per-day. So, one is expected to know the daily charges of the hotel in which he is staying.

Shri Biswadev Sarma - I have stayed in hotels for which I can pay out of the foreign exchange allowed to me for these 15 days. But I am not supposed to keep accounts of daily expenses.

Shri Promode Chandra Gogoi— On a point of order.

এই বিষয়টো ১৫ তাৰিখৰ দিনা আলোচনা হোৱা সময়ত অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়ে কলিং দিছিল যে ১৬ তাৰিখৰ দিনা তেখেতে হিচাব দিব লাগিব। তেতিয়া তেখেতে নোৱাৰো বুলি কোৱাত ১৭ তাৰিখ দিনটো স্থিৰ হ'ল। আজি বিধান সভাৰ শেষ দিন আৰু অধ্যক্ষৰ নিৰ্দেশ মতে আজি তেখেতে আপান ভাষণৰ হিচাব সকলো দিব লাগে, আৰু এইটো অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়ৰ কলিং

আছিল এই কলিং থকা সত্ত্বেও হিচাব দিব নোৱাৰাত This is a case of breack of privilege. তেখেতে সদনত আশ্বাস দিছিল যে ১৬ তাৰিখে দিব নোৱাৰে. ১৭ তাৰিখে দিব। কিন্তু আজি ১৭ তাৰিখে দিবলৈও অস্বীকাৰ কৰিছে।

Shri Biswadev Sarma— Sir, as I have already said; I did not maintain any account of my daily expenses in the hotels I stayed, but I have given on account of my expenditure.

Shri Dev Kant Barooah:— If he can name the hotels in which he stayed it is not difficult to find out the maximum and minimum charges. Let him name the hotel.

Shri Biswadev Sarma:—I am giving the information.

Air fare in rupees ... Rs. 7407/-.

10 nights in Tokyo (Hotel New Itany) ... Rs. 1260/-.

approximately.
4 nights in Osaka (Hotel Biawko) ... Rs. 800=-

approximately.
Food, entertainment and misc. expenses ... Rs. 3865/-

approximately.
Less received on account of Mr. Sarma ... Rs. 750/-

approximately.

Total expenditure— Rs. 12,582/-

This is the break up. Further details I cannot give.

Shri Sainen Medhi :—The expenditure of the officers was Rs. 8500 each. It covered only the air freight for going to and coming back from Japan. It does not cover the expenditure for their stay in Japan. How did the officers meet their expenditure there ? Did the Japanese Government or any Japanese Company paid for them ?

Shri Biswadev Sarma :—As I have said, the officers got £9/- daily and £20/- for contingencies. Their break-up is :

Fare paid in rupees	—	Rs. 5441/-
Hotel expenses	—	Rs. 1700/- (Approximate)
Food and Misc. expenditure	—	Rs. 1960/-

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua :— What was the actual amount of foreign exchange allowed to these officers ?

Shri Biswadev Sarma :— I have twice replied to that question. However, I am replying again. The foreign exchange received by each of the officers was Rs. 2910/-.

Mr. Deputy Speaker :— No. 197.

(Several members rose to put further supplementaries to question No. 196, but the Deputy Speaker did not allow them and passed on to the next question.)

Re: Recruitment in Civil Secretariat

শ্রীমেনশ্বৰ বড়োই সুধিছে :

*১৯৭। মাননীয় মুখ্যমন্ত্রী মহোদয়ে অনুগ্রহ কৰি জনাব নে—

ক] ১৯৭০ ইং চনৰ ৫ আগষ্ট তাৰিখে সপ্তাহিক 'নীলাচল'ত প্ৰকাশ হোৱা "সচিবালয়ৰ নিয়োগ-নীতিত ভীষণ খেলিমেলি আৰু অহেতুক পলম" শীৰ্ষকটো মুখ্যমন্ত্ৰীৰ দৃষ্টিগোচৰ হৈছে নে ?

খ] যদি হৈছে, উক্ত শীৰ্ষকটোত প্ৰকাশ হোৱা কথাটো কিমানদূৰ সত্য আৰু এনে ধৰণৰ নিয়োগ ক্ষেত্ৰত খেলিমেলি হোৱাৰ মূল কাৰণ কি কি ?

(গ) নিয়োগকৰ্ত্তাৰ ওপৰত চৰকাৰে কিবা বাৰহু লৈছে নে ?

বিন্দ্ৰমন্ত্ৰী শ্ৰীকামাখ্যা প্ৰসাদ ত্ৰিপাঠীয়ে উত্তৰ দিছে :

১৯৭। [ক]—হয় দৃষ্টিগোচৰ হৈছে।

খ]—(১) সচিবালয়ত চতুৰ্থ শ্ৰেণীৰ কন্ম'চাৰীৰ সংখ্যা ১:১২:৭০ তাৰিখ-লৈকে ৬৮০ জন। আগতে সচিবালয়ত চাকৰি বিচৰা স্থানীয় প্ৰাৰ্থীৰ সংখ্যা খুব কম আছিল। সেই কাৰণে বিহাৰী আৰু নেপালীসকলে সুবিধা লৈছিল। গতিকে বাহিৰা চতুৰ্থ শ্ৰেণীৰ চাকৰিালৰ সংখ্যা আগৰ পৰাই সৰহ। চাকৰী বিচৰা স্থানীয় প্ৰাৰ্থীৰ সংখ্যা ক্ৰমান্বয়ে বাঢ়িছে। বৰ্ত্তমানে নিযুক্ত কৰা লোকৰ ভিতৰত স্থানীয় প্ৰাৰ্থীয়েই সৰহ।

(২) বৰ্ত্তমান বছৰত মেঘালয়লৈ টাইপিষ্ট পঠাবলগীয়া হোৱাত ইংৰাজী টাইপিষ্টৰ বৰ নাটনি হয়। এই জৰুৰী অৱস্থাৰ পৰা অব্যাহতি পাবৰ কাৰণে চৰকাৰে স্পীড্ টেষ্ট লৈ মিনিটত ২০ টা শব্দ টাইপ কৰিব পৰা ৩৯ জন প্ৰাৰ্থীক টাইপিষ্ট হিচাবে নিয়োগ কৰে। তাৰে ৬ জন স্থিৰভাৱে বাস কৰা বিহাৰী লোক ইয়াৰ উপৰিও স্থানীয় প্ৰাৰ্থীৰ অভাৱত এজন উত্তৰ প্ৰদেশৰ হিন্দি টাইপিং জনা

লোকক আইন বিভাগৰ কামত নিয়োগ কৰা হৈছে।

(৩) লোৱাৰ ডিভিজন এচিষ্টেণ্টৰ কাৰণে ১৯৬৭ চনত বিজ্ঞাপন দিয়া হয়। ইং ১৯৬৮ চনত পৰীক্ষা লোৱা কথা সত্য। খিলঙত আৰু গুৱাহাটীৰ ছয়োটা কেন্দ্ৰত মুঠ ৬৫৩ জন প্ৰাৰ্থীয়ে পৰীক্ষা দিছিল; ১,৪০০ জনে নহয়। পৰীক্ষাত উত্তীৰ্ণ হোৱা ২৮১ জন প্ৰাৰ্থীক বাছনী কমিটিয়ে ইণ্টাৰভিউ লয় আৰু অৱশেষত ২৬১ জন প্ৰাৰ্থীক বাছে। এই তালিকাৰ ম্যাদ এবছৰলৈ আছিল (জানুৱাৰী ১৯৬৯ চনৰ পৰা জানুৱাৰী ১৯৭০ চন)। ১৬০ জনক নিয়োগ-পত্ৰ দিয়া হয় আৰু তাৰে ভিতৰত ১৪৮ জনে কামত ভৰ্তি হয়।

এই বাছনী তালিকাত ৪৯ জন অসমীয়া প্ৰাৰ্থী আছিল আৰু ক্ৰমিক স্থান অনুসাবে ৩১ জনকহে নিয়োগ-পত্ৰ দিব পৰা হয়।

(গ)—ওপৰত উল্লেখ কৰা কথাৰ প্ৰসঙ্গত এই প্ৰশ্ন মুঠে।

Shri Maneswar Bora — মাননীয় মন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ে অলপতে ৬৮০ জনক সচিবালয়ৰ চাকৰিত নিয়োগ কৰা বুলি কলে; কিন্তু এই ৬৮০ জনৰ ভিতৰত অসমৰ কেইজন আৰু বহিৰাগত কেইজন?

Shri Kamakhya Prasad Tripathi — ইয়াৰ ভিতৰত স্থানীয় থলুৱালোক ৩৪৭ জন আৰু বহিৰাগত ৩৩৩ জন।

Shri Kamini Mohan Sarma — এই বিলাক প্ৰকৃত অসমীয়া নহয়, ইয়াত চাকৰি কৰি থকা অফিচৰ সকলৰ অঙ্গী-ভঙ্গীহে। এই কথা চৰকাৰে জানেনে? আৰু এই বাহিৰৰ পৰা অহা লোকসকলক ইয়াত থকা স্থানীয় অসমীয়ালোক বুলি চাৰ্টিফিকেট আদি দি চাকৰিত নিয়োগ কৰি প্ৰকৃত অসমীয়ালোকক চাকৰিৰ পৰা বঞ্চিত কৰিছে। এই কথা চৰকাৰে জানেনে নেজানে? যদি জানে তেন্তে প্ৰকৃত অসমীয়ালোকে চাকৰিত নিয়োগ হোৱাৰ ব্যৱস্থা কৰিবনে নকৰে।

Shri Kamakhya Prasad Tripathi— এই কথাটো Post and Telegraph Deptt. হ'ব পাৰে।

M. Moinal Haque Choudhury - Sir, it is stated that the total number of Grade IV employees are 680, and out of them local people 347 and people from outside the state 333. Am I to understand that there is no employment problem in our state that we are to import people from outside ?

Shri Maneswar Boro — এই যে ৩৩৩ জন বহিৰাগত বুলি মন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ে যিটো হিচাব দিলে, তাৰ ভিতৰত কোন কোন প্ৰদেশৰ পৰা আহিছে? মন্ত্ৰী ডাঙৰীয়াই সদনত জনাবনে?

Shri Kamakhya Prasad Tripathi— কোন কোন প্ৰদেশৰ পৰা আহিছে, সেইটো হিচাব নাই; কিন্তু মই আগতেই কৈছোঁ যে আগতে চতুৰ্থ শ্ৰেণীৰ কৰ্মচাৰীত প্ৰকৃত অসমীয়া মানুহ পোৱা নাছিল, সেই কাৰণে বাহিৰৰ পৰা লোৱা হৈছিল।

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua— আমাৰ যিটো হিচাব সেই হিচাবমতে আমাৰ স্থানীয় অসমীয়ালোকৰ ভিতৰত চতুৰ্থ শ্ৰেণীৰ কৰ্মচাৰীৰ সংখ্যা ২২২ জন আৰু বাকী ৩৫৮ জন বাহিৰৰ পৰা অহা। বৰ্তমান এতিয়া ১৯৬৮ চনৰ পৰা ১৯৭০ চনৰ ভিতৰত যিখিনি নিয়োগ কৰিছে— তাৰ ভিতৰত আমাৰ স্থানীয়লোক হ'ল ৫৮ জন আৰু বাকী ১৮০ জন বাহিৰৰ— প্ৰধানকৈ বিহাৰ আৰু নেপালৰেই সবহ। গতিকে এই সম্পৰ্কত মই মন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ৰ পৰা জানিব বিচাৰিছোঁ—যে কি কাৰণত আজি অসমত নিবহুবা সমস্যা বৃদ্ধি হৈ থকা অবস্থাত বিনিয়োগ কেন্দ্ৰৰ যোগেদি যি ব্যৱস্থা কৰিছিল— তাক

কিয় আওকান কৰি তেওঁলোকৰ স্বার্থৰ কাৰণে স্থানীয়লোকক বঞ্চিত কৰি বাহিৰৰ লোকক নিয়োগ কৰিলে ? আৰু অসমীয়া ডেকা ল'ৰাই বা স্থানীয় লোকে কোনো যুগতেই কোনো অফিচৰৰ ঘৰত গৈ কাপোৰ নোখোৱে আৰু তেওঁলোকৰ কোনোৱে মহিলাৰ মেখেলা নোখোৱে ? গতিকে এইবিলাক কাৰ্য্য নকৰাৰ বাবে — এই অফিচৰৰ সকলে বহিৰাগত সকলক নিয়োগ কৰিছে, এই কথা চৰকাৰে জানেনে ? যদি জানে ইয়াৰ বিহিত ব্যৱস্থা চৰকাৰে কৰিবনে ?

Shri Kamakhya Prasad Tripathi— মেখেলা খোৱা নোখোৱা কথাটো মই নেজানো, কিন্তু চতুৰ্থ শ্ৰেণীৰ কৰ্মচাৰী নিয়োগ কৰা ক্ষেত্ৰত আমাৰ এটা কমিটি আছে ।

Shrimati Lily Sen Gupta— মন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ে অলপ আগতে **Post and Telegraph Deptt.** ত আয় ৩০০ জন লৰা নিযুক্তি দিয়া বুলি কৈছিল — । এইবিলাক যে নিযুক্তি হৈছে — সেই প্ৰাৰ্থীসকলে জিলা ভিত্তিত বিনিয়োগ কেন্দ্ৰত নাম **Registered** কৰিলে সুবিধা দিয়া হৈছিল নে নাই ? আৰু জিলা সমূহত **Interview** ৰ কোনো ব্যৱস্থা নাই আৰু **Selection** কমিটিত **Interview** নিদিয়াৰ কাৰণে বহুতকৈ বঞ্চিত কৰিছে । গতিকে চৰকাৰে জিলা সমূহতো প্ৰাৰ্থী সকলে **Interview** ৰ ব্যৱস্থা আৰু কামৰ সুবিধা পোৱাৰ ব্যৱস্থা কৰিবনে ?

Shri Kamakhya Prasad Tripathi— কৰা হ'ব ।

Shri Bhubaneswar Barman— উপাধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, মই এটা কথা জানিব বিছাৰিছো যে যোৱা অগষ্ট মাহত **Typist** ৰ যিটো **Interview** হৈ গল তেতিয়া অসমত বান পানী হোৱাৰ ফলত যোগা যোগ একেবাৰে বিচ্ছিন্ন হৈ আছিল । গতিকে ভিতৰৰ অঞ্চলৰ বহুতো প্ৰাৰ্থীয়ে সময়মতে **Interview** ৰ কাগজ নাপালে । এতিয়া আমাৰ চৰকাৰে অসমীয়া ভাষা প্ৰয়োগৰ ক্ষেত্ৰত যিটো স্পষ্ট নীতি লৈছে এই ক্ষেত্ৰত আগৰ

সেই Interview টো নাকচ কৰি পুনৰ re-advertise কৰাৰ সুযোগ দিবনে ?

Shri Kamakhya Prasad Tripathi— অসমীয়া Typist পাবলৈ বৰ আত্মকাল আৰু আনহাতে ইংৰাজীতকৈ অসমীয়া Type machine টো বৰ জটিলো।

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua— চাৰ, অসমীয়া Typist ৰ বেলিকা এটা condition দিয়া হৈছিল যে প্ৰত্যেক প্ৰাৰ্থীয়ে লগত Type Writer মেচিন লৈ আহিব লাগিব। কিন্তু অসমীয়া মানুহৰ কিমানজনৰ ঘৰত Type Machine আছে এই কথা সকলোৰে জানে। মেচিনৰ অভাৱত যে অসমীয়া বহুতো লৰা এই পৰীক্ষাৰ পৰা বঞ্চিত হ'ল তাৰ কিবা এটা বিহিত ব্যৱস্থা চৰকাৰে কৰিব নোৱাৰে নে ?

Shri Kamakhya Prasad Tripathi— Type Machine যে আনিব লগা হৈছিল সেই কথাটো মই জনা নাছিলো।

Shri Bhubaneswar Barman— চাৰ, মোৰ প্ৰশ্নটোৰ উত্তৰ মই নাপালো—। গতিকে পুনৰ মন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ৰ পৰা জানিব বিচাৰিছো যে আগষ্ট মাহত হৈ যোৱা Interview টো পুনৰ Re-advertise কৰি সেই পৰীক্ষা দিয়াৰ সুযোগ আৰু দিবনে নিদিয় ?

Shri Kamakhya Prasad Tripathi— Typiest টো আমাক আক লাগিবই।

Shri Bhubaneswar Barman— চাৰ, আগষ্ট মাহৰ সেই পৰীক্ষাৰ প্ৰাৰ্থী অসমীয়া নাছিলেই, সেয়ে আকো পাতিব লাগে।

Shri Kamini Mohan Sarma— বানপানীৰ সময়ত এই পৰীক্ষাটো পতাৰ কাৰণে যোগা-যোগ বিচিন্ন হৈ আছিল বাবে গাওঁ অঞ্চলৰ যি

সকল শিক্ষিত ডেকা লৰা Typist আছিল, তেওঁলোক আহিব নোৱাৰিলে। বান পানীৰ অজুহাতত কৌশল কৰিয়েই এই ডেকা লৰা সকলক বঞ্চিত কৰিলে আৰু Interview শেষ কৰি অনা-অসমীয়া বহিৰাগতক নিয়োগ কৰিলে। গতিকে সেই Interview নাকচ কৰি Re-advertise কৰি দিয়াৰ ব্যৱস্থা কৰিব নোৱাৰেনে ?

Shri Kamakhya Prasad Tripathi— চোৱা হ'ব।

Shri Maneswar Boro— উপাধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, চতুৰ্থ শ্ৰেণীৰ নিয়োগ কৰ্ত্তা জন কোন আৰু তেওঁৰ নাম কি।

Mr. Dy. Speaker— তেখেতে এখন Board আছে বুলি কৈছেই।

Shri Upendra Nath Sanatan— চাব, স্থানীয় মানুহ কোন আৰু বহিৰাগত কোন এইটো স্পষ্টকৈ কৈ দিব লাগে। বাম, দাস, চাম এইবোৰ বহিৰাগত আৰু যত্ন, মধু, চাধু এইবোৰ স্থানীয় মানুহ নে কি তাৰ এটা স্পষ্টীকৰণ মোক লাগে।

Shri Kamakhya Prasad Tripathi— যিবিলাক মানুহ ষ্টুইয়াত বসবাস কৰি আছে তেওঁলোকক বহিৰাগত বুলি কোৱা নাই। যিবিলাকে চাকৰী পাবৰ কাৰণে ইয়ালৈ আহি Employment Exchange ত নাম লগাই সেই সকলকহে বহিৰাগত বুলি কোৱা হৈছে।

Re : Co-operative Farming

Shri Phani Bora asked :

*198. Will the Minister-in-charge of Co-operation be pleased to state—

(a) Whether the Government received a complaint

petition from Shri Shyamolesh Chandra Barua, Mrs. Sabita Barua and Mrs. Arati Barua, the share holders of the Rangamati Co-operative Farming Ltd. of Gauripur, Goalpara, dated 31st October, 1970 ?

(b) Whether Government is aware of the condition of that Farming Co-operative ?

(c) What action the Government is taking or if no action has yet been taken what action the Government is going to take ?

(d) Whether any action has been taken on the complaints ?

(e) If not, whether any action will be taken in this regard ?

Shri Golok Chandra Patgiri (Deputy Minister, Co-operation) replied :

198. (a)—No. However a complaint, dated 31st October 1969, was received by the Registrar, Co-operative Societies from Shri Shyamolesh Barua

(b)—Yes, The Registrar of Co-operative Societies has been keeping in touch in this matter.

(c) & (d)—The Registrar of Co-operative Societies ordered an enquiry under section 60 of the Assam Co-operative Societies Act, 1947, into the affairs of the Society.

(e) Does not arise.

Shri Phani Bora :— চাব Q. N. (c) ৰ উত্তৰত কৈছে যে Inquiry এটা কৰা হৈছিল। সেই Inquiry ত কি পালে ? সেই সম্বন্ধে কব পাৰেনে ?

Shri Golok Chandra Patgiri :— যোৱা ১৮২.৭০ তাৰিখে Inquiry ৰ order দিয়া হ'ল।

Shri Phani Bora :— সেইটো সম্পূৰ্ণ হোৱা নাই নেকি ?

Shri Golok Chandra Patgiri :— (M) শ্বামলেশ বৰুৱাক Inquiry ৰ order দিয়া হৈছিল কিন্তু তেখেত absant আছিল।

Shri Phani Bora :— ইমান দিনৰ ভিতৰতো Inquiry হোৱা নাই। চৰকাৰে এই কথাটো জানে যে বজাৰমাটি ক'অপাৰেটিভ ফাৰ্মিং লিমিটেড যিটো আছে তাত কিমান মাটি আছে ?

Shri Golok Chandra Patgiri :— এইটো প্ৰকৃততে Shri P.C. বৰুৱা মৌজাদাৰৰ নামত ২৮৩৫ বিঘা মাটি আছিল আৰু ১৯৬০ চনত মৌজাদাৰ যেতিয়া তেওঁৰ হাতৰ পৰা যায় তেতিয়া সেই মাটি চৰকাৰৰ হাতলৈ আহে আৰু এতিয়ালৈকে জনা যায় Society য়ে তিনিশ বিঘা মাটি reclaim কৰিছে।

Shri Phani Bora :— চাব, চৰকাৰে এইটো কথা জানে নেকি যে Rangamati Co-operative Farming Ltd. কেতিয়া Register হৈছিল আৰু Share Holder কোন আছিল ?

Shri Golok Chandra Patgiri :— ১৯৫৬ চনত। ১৭.৯.৫৬ তাৰিখলৈকে Chair holder ৩৪ জন আছিল পাছত ৯৫ জন হৈছে।

Shri Phani Bora :— চাব, চৰকাৰে এই কথাটো জানেনে যে মৌজাদাৰ মুখ্যকৰ ১ হাজাৰ বিঘা মাটি আছে ? তেওঁ এই কমিটিৰ সদস্য আৰু অংশীদাৰ আছিল। এই Co-operative Farm এ ২৮০০ বিঘা

মাটিৰ পৰা ভিনিশ বিঘা মাটি এওঁক বিক্ৰী কৰিছে ?

Shri Golok Chandra Patgiri :— মীৰ্জাছাৰ মুক্তিৰ সম্বন্ধে কোনো ফাইল নাই।

Shri Phani Bora :— খবৰ লৈ আমাক অনুসন্ধান কৰি পিছত জনাবনে ?

Shri Golok Chandra Patgiri :— জনাম।

Shri Giasuddin Ahmed :— In answer to question (a) the hon. Minister has said that they have received complaints.

May I know what are those complaints ?

Shri Chatra Sing Teron :— The complaint came from Shri S. Baruah that during his illness reports of the Society were takenover by the Chairman of the Society. He was also another Baruah I think and when he recovered he demanded the return of those reports and ultimately while these things were goingon he had to issue Pleaders notice and due to the Pleader's notice the Pressdent of the Society handed over the papers and subsequently he complained that reports have been tempered.

Re: Migration of Minority Communities from East Pakistan

শ্ৰী এ, এন, আক্ৰাম হুছেইনে সুধিছে :

*১৯৯। মাননীয় পুনঃসংস্থাপন বিভাগৰ মন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ে অনুগ্রহ কৰি

জনাব নে—

(ক) ১৯৬৮, ১৯৬৯ আৰু ১৯৭০ চনৰ অক্টোবৰ মাহৰ ভিতৰত পূৰ্ব বঙ্গৰ পৰা কিমান সংখ্যক ভগনীয়া অসমত প্ৰবেশ কৰিছে আৰু ইয়াৰ ভিতৰত পুৰুষ আৰু তিৰোতাৰ সংখ্যা কিমান ?

(খ) এই ভগনীয়াবিলাক অসম-পূব পাকিস্তান সীমান্তৰ কোন কোন পথেৰে অসমত প্ৰবেশ কৰিছে আৰু কোন কোন জিলাত এওঁলোকে আশ্ৰয় গ্ৰহণ কৰিছে ?

(গ) পূৰ্ব বঙ্গৰ পৰা এনে প্ৰব্ৰজনৰ কাৰণ কি ?

(ঘ) পূৰ্ব বঙ্গৰ পৰা অহা ভগনীয়াৰ প্ৰব্ৰজনৰ বিষয়ে অসম চৰকাৰে ভাৰত আৰু পাকিস্তান চৰকাৰৰ ওচৰত প্ৰতিবাদ জনাইছে নে ?

(ঙ) যদি জনাইছে তেনেহলে উক্ত প্ৰতিবাদৰ প্ৰতিলিপি সদনত দাখিল কৰিব নে ?

(চ) অসমত উক্ত ভগনীয়াসকলক পুনঃসংস্থাপনৰ বাবে চৰকাৰে কি ব্যৱস্থা গ্ৰহণ কৰিছে ?

পুনঃসংস্থাপন বিভাগৰ মন্ত্ৰী শ্ৰীমতলি মজুমদাৰে উত্তৰ দিছে :

১৯৯ (ক)—১৯৬৮, ১৯৬৯ আৰু ১৯৭০ চনৰ ৩১ অক্টোবৰ তাৰিখ-তৈকে ৩,৮৬৩ টা পৰিয়াল যুটী ১৭,৯৯৮ সংখ্যক ভগনীয়া পূব পাকিস্তানৰ পৰা অসমত প্ৰবেশ কৰিছে। ইয়াৰে পুৰুষ আৰু তিৰোতাৰ বেলেগ বেলেগ সংখ্যা সহজতে পোৱা নাযায়। এই সংখ্যাবিলাক বিভিন্ন জিলাৰ পৰা অনাৰৰ চেষ্টা কৰা হৈছে।

(খ)—অধিকসংখ্যক ভগনীয়া পৰিয়ালে কাছাৰ, গাৰো পাহাৰ, গোৱালপাৰা আৰু খাছিয়া-জয়ন্তীয়া পাহাৰ জিলাৰ সীমান্তপথেৰে অসমত প্ৰবেশ কৰিছে। বহুতো ভগনীয়া পৰিয়ালে অসম, পশ্চিমবঙ্গ আৰু ত্ৰিপুৰা ৰাজ্যৰ ভিন ভিন ঠাইত আশ্ৰয় লৈছে। বাকী কিছুমান ভগনীয়া পৰিয়ালে অসমৰ বিভিন্ন জিলাত তেওঁলোকৰ আত্মীয়-পৰিজনৰ সৈতে জীৱন-ধাৰণ কৰিছে।

(গ)—সংখ্যালঘু সম্প্ৰদায়ৰ ওপৰত হোৱা উৎপীড়ণ আৰু আৰ্থিক দুৰ-বস্থাৰ কাৰণে পাকিস্তানৰ পৰা এনে ভগনীয়াৰ প্ৰব্ৰজন হৈছে।

(ঘ) - এনে কোনো প্রতিবাদ পূব পাকিস্তান চৰকাৰক জনোৱা হোৱা নাই।

(ঙ) - ওপৰোক্ত উত্তৰৰ কাৰণে এই প্ৰশ্নটো মুঠে।

(চ) - এই ভগনীয়াবিলাকক অসমত পুনঃ— সংস্থাপনৰ বাবে চৰকাৰে ব্যৱস্থা লোৱা নাই কিন্তু ভাৰত চৰকাৰক আমি এই ভগনীয়া সকলক অসমৰ বাহিৰত পুনৰ সংস্থাপন কৰিবৰ কাৰণে লৈ যাবলৈ অনুৰোধ কৰি আছে। ভাৰত চৰকাৰৰ দিহামতে আমি কিছুসংখ্যক ভগনীয়া পৰিয়াল অসমৰ বাহিৰলৈ পঠিয়াইছো কিন্তু এই নিচিনা এতিয়ালৈকে বাহিৰলৈ যোৱা পৰিয়ালৰ সংখ্যা নগণ্য মাথোন।

Shri A. N Akram Hussain— ১৯৯ প্ৰশ্নৰ ক'ত কৈছে— ৩ হাজাৰ ৮শ ৬৩ জন ভগনীয়াই অসমত প্ৰবেশ কৰিছে এওঁলোকৰ ভিতৰত পুৰুষ কিমান আৰু মহিলা আৰু শিশু কিমান তাৰ উত্তৰটো তেখেতে দিয়া নাই। আমাক এই হিচাবটো লাগে। আমি শুনিছো সীমান্ত পাৰ হবৰ সময়ত কেইবা গৰাকী মহিলাৰ মৃত্যু হৈছে সেইটো সচাঁনে?

Shri Abdul Matlib Majumder— সেইটো হ'ব পাৰে কিন্তু সেই খবৰ মোৰ হাতত নাই।

Shri Bhupen Hazarika— এই যে ভগনীয়াবিলাক আহিছে তাৰ ভিতৰত পাকিস্তানৰ সংখ্যাগৰিষ্ট নোহোৱা সম্প্ৰদায়ৰ ভগনীয়াহে নিশ্চয় আহিছে। তেওঁলোকৰ ভিতৰত অনুন্নত আৰু অনুন্নতিত জাতি আৰু অনুসন্নিহিত জনজাতিৰ লোক অধিক আহিছে; সেইটো সচাঁনে?

Shri Abdual Matlib Majumder— হ'ব পাৰে।

Shri Sarat Chandra Rava - ১৯৯ প্ৰশ্নৰ উত্তৰত কিছুমান ভগনীয়াক বাহিৰলৈ পঠিওৱা হৈছে বুলি কৈছে। তেওঁলোকৰ কিছুমান থাকোঁ ঘূৰি আহিছে, সেইটো হয় নে?

Shri Abdul Matlib Majumder— আহিছে, কিমান আহিছে সেইটো খবৰ নাই।

Shri Sarat Chandra Rava— কিয় আহিছে জনাবনে?

Shri Abdul Matlib Majumder — কিয় আহিছে ভগনীয়াসকলক নোশোধাকৈ কব নোৱাৰিম।

Shri Abdul Jalil Chowdhary— in Bengali.

Shri Sarat Chandra Rava— দণ্ডকাৰণালৈ কিছুমান মানুহক পঠিয়া হৈছিল কিন্তু তাত থকা অসুবিধা বুলি জনা গৈছে। তেওঁলোকক আন ঠাইলৈ অনাৰ ব্যৱস্থা হবনে? কাৰণ ইতিমধ্যে বহুত মানুহ উভতি আহিছে

No Reply

Moulana Abdul Jalil Chowdhary— স্যার অত্যন্ত দুঃখের কথা, এই দিকে আপনার attention draw করতে পারিণা।

Shri Sarat Chandra Rava — দণ্ডকাৰণালৈ কিছুমান মানুহক পঠিওৱা হৈছিল কিন্তু তাত থকা অসুবিধা বুলি জনা গৈছে। তেওঁলোকক আন ঠাইলৈ অনাৰ ব্যৱস্থা হবনে? কাৰণ ইতিমধ্যে বহুত মানুহ উভতি আহিছে। [No reply]

Moulana Abdul Jalil Chowdhary — স্যার, একটি মাত্র প্রশ্ন। আমি তো আর আপনাদের সময় নষ্ট করি না কখনো। একটি প্রশ্ন মাত্র।

(A Voice : করুণ না আপনার প্রশ্ন। কেন সময় নষ্ট বরছেন?)

Moulana Abdul Jalil Chowdhary— উনারা যদি দাঁড়িয়ে থাকেন, তাহলে কি ভাবে বলবো। আমার প্রশ্ন হলো……

ইস শুবহ উস মুক্কে ইস মুক্কে আদমিষোঁকে আনে জানে কো বন্ধ কৰণে কে লিয়ে সবকাৰ কে পাচ কোঁ concrete proposal হয় যা নহী?

Shri Abdul Matlib Majumder — ইয়াৰে পাস ঐশা কোঁ concrete proposal নহী হয়।

Re : Ashoka Paper Mill

Shri Dulul Chandra Barua asked :

*200: Will the Minister-in charge of Industries be pleased to state—

a) How many local educated youths have been employed in Ashoka Paper Mill at Jogighopa (number may be furnished categorywise) ?

b) Whether it is a fact that a large percentage of posts of that Industrial Project have gone to the persons from outside the State ?

c) If so, what is the reason ?

Shri Biswadev Sarma—(Minister, Industries) replied :
200. a) —So far 18 (eighteen) local youths have been employed in the Ashoka Paper Mills Ltd. in the following categories—

(1) Administrative Assistant	1
(2) Comptometre Operator	1
(3) Assistant-cum-Typists	3
(4) Mechanical Draftsman	2
(5) Civil Draftsman	1
(6) Accounts Trainees	3
(7) Civil Engineers	3
(8) Administration Assistant	1
(9) Cashier	1
(10) Private Secretary to the General Manager	1
(11) Security Officer	1
Total—	<hr/> 18

b) & c)—At present only the General Manager and the Chief Accountant are from outside the State who have been appointed because of their experience and qualification in specialised Field.

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua — Sir, the Minister has stated that so far the General Manager and the Chief Accountant have been appointed from outside the State. Do the Govt. want to say that there is no qualified persons in Assam to man those two posts?

Shri Biswadev Sarma — Yes.

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua — Is the Govt. aware of the fact that some people from outside are coming to Assam and getting them registered in our local Employment Exchanges as a local man from Assam just to get employment in the Ashok Paper Mill and the Tea Auction Centre? And, whether Govt. is also aware of it that recently such a gentleman went to Tezpur to get him registered in the Employment Exchange there, and the Employment Exchange officer refused to register his name and because of this, the Employment Exchange officer is in difficulty now?

Shri Biswadev Sarma — The question does not come within the perview of the main question, Sir:

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua — I want to draw the attention of the Minister, Finance who is in-charge of Labour also, to this matter.

Shri Kamakhya Prasad Tripathi — Will the hon'ble Member kindly pass on the name to me? I will enquire into it.

Shri Biswadev Sarma — So far as this paper Mill is concerned, the Selection Committee is there consisting of

Two Principals of the Engineering Colleges and the Deputy Secretary of the Industries Deptt. and there is no chance of doing any mischief by Employment Exchanges.
 Shrimati Rani Manjula Devi— Is it not a fact that many of our youths applied to the Director of Industries and the Director of Industries are sitting tight over those applications ?

Shri Biswadev Sarma— I do not know anything with regard to that. But since the hon'ble member has mentioned it I will certainly see all these papers are forwarded to the Selection Committee.

Shrimati Rani Manjula Devi— Sir, some of the youths came to me and complained of corruption over this application. And, I would like to say that the Director of Industries has also been made Chairm an of the Board and also the Managing Director where there is a serious allegation against him which I have placed in a letter to the Minister.

Shri Biswadev Sarma— Sir, the question is relating to employment.

Mr. Deputy Speaker— The Minister has assured that he would look into it.

Shri Sailen Medhi— মই মন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ৰ পৰা এইটো কথা জানিব খুজিছো যে তাত General manager জনে এজন গণেশ দেৱ নাথ নামৰ মানুহক Advertise নকৰাকৈয়ে Appointment দিলে । আৰু সেই দৰে Advertise নকৰাকৈয়ে তেওঁ এজন Cheif Accountant Appointment কৰিলে, তাৰ পাচত ৩৩ জন Engineer ৰ কাৰণে কলিকতাত যিটো Interview হল

ডালৈ এজন অসমীয়া ইঞ্জিনিয়াৰেও দখাস্ত কৰিছিল। কিন্তু Chief Accountant আৰু General manager ৰ চক্ৰান্তত সেই একমাত্ৰ অসমীয়া Engineer জনে Interview লৈ মতা চিঠি নাপালে। সেই চিঠি অফিচৰ Recordত এতিয়াও আছে। তেওঁক Interview লৈ call নকৰাৰ ব্যৱস্থা কৰিলে এক মাত্ৰ অসমীয়া বুলি। যেতিয়া অসমীয়া প্ৰাৰ্থীজনে বিভাগক কথাটো জনালে তেওঁৰ কথা consider কৰা হ'ব বুলি কৈছিল। এতিয়া মোৰ প্ৰশ্নটো হৈছে সেই মানুহজনৰ কথা বিবেচনা কৰিছেনে নাই?

মোৰ দ্বিতীয় কথা হৈছে এই হাজল নামৰ General Manager জনে ৭ জন মানুহ তেওঁৰ নিজৰ জিলাৰ পৰা নিয়োগ কৰিছে। এই কথা সচাঁনে?

Shri Biswadev Sarma - এই প্ৰশ্ন ইয়াত নুঠে। কাৰণ আমি যি বিলাক Employment দিছো সেইটো কথাহে কৈছো। এই মানুহ বিলাকৰ Selection হৈছে Appointment হোৱা নাই। ইয়াত যিটো কথা কৈছে সেইটো অনুসন্ধান কৰি চাম। আমাৰ যদি কোনো Qu'ified ল'ৰা ওলাই তেন্তে তেওঁলোকৰ কথাও বিবেচনা কৰিম।

দ্বিতীয়তে কলিকতাত যিটো Interview হল সেই Borad ৰ Chairman আছিল বাজা অজিত নাৰায়ণ দেব। গতিকে মই ভাবো আমাৰ কোনো মানুহ বঞ্চিত হোৱা নাই।

Shri Gaurisankar Bhattacharyya— এই প্ৰশ্নটোৰ দুটা দিশ আছে। এটা দিশ হৈছে যত অফিচৰ মানুহৰ দ্বাৰা manipulation কৰাত সহায় হয় আৰু ডাঙৰ অফিচৰ ইঙ্গিতত কাম হয় আৰু Advertise যদি নকৰাকৈয়ে হাজাৰ হাজাৰ Typist Accountant লোৱা হয় আৰু আমাৰ হাজাৰ হাজাৰ Qualified মানুহ থকা স্বত্বেও। এই দৰেই কোনো বিজ্ঞাপন নিদিয়াকৈয়ে তেওঁলোকে অসমীয়া থলুৱা সকলক বঞ্চিত কৰি কামত নিয়োগ কৰিছে। এই Accountant আৰু General manager ৰ চক্ৰান্তত আমাৰ যুগ্যতা সম্পন্ন মানুহ থকা স্বত্বেও তেওঁলোকক সুবিধাৰ পৰা বঞ্চিত কৰি আহিছে। আন কি Interview আদিলৈ মতা চিঠি পৰ্যাস্তত Issue নকৰি লোকোৱাই ৰাখে। এই দৰেই এই General manager জনে নানা কৌশল কৰি কেৱল অন্ধ প্ৰদেশৰ পৰাহে মানুহ আনি Appointment দিছে। আজি

অসমখন অন্ধ্ৰ প্ৰদেশৰ আদা হৈ পৰিছে। যি ৭ জন মানুহক Appointment দিলে সেই ৭ টো জন অন্ধ্ৰ প্ৰদেশৰ মানুহ। ভাবিবৰ্হ সকলো Talented মানুহ অন্ধ্ৰ প্ৰদেশতে concentrated হৈ আছে নেকি? এই বিলাক অলপ গম লৈ চালে পচা মাছ নিচিনা গন্ধায়। অসমত শিল্প কৰিছে কিহৰ কাৰণে, অসমীয়া মানুহ থিনিয়ে কেবল কাঠ বাঁহ কাটিবলৈয়ে নেকি? তেওঁলোকক এই শিল্প বিলাকত চাকৰি আদিও দিয়া দৰ্কাৰ। ক্ৰীঅজিত নাৰায়ণ দেৱাৰাকিলে সকলো শুদ্ধ হব নোৱাৰে। অসমত বদন নাথাকিলে মান নাহে আৰু বিভিন্ন নহলেও বাৰণ নমৰে। আমাৰ অসমীয়া মানুহে যাতে সুবিধা পায় তালৈ চাব লাগে।

Shri Biswadev Sarma— Typist ৰ যিটো কথা কৈছে সেইটো মই— I shall look into it. অসমত শিল্প উন্নতি কৰিবলৈ হলে অভিজ্ঞতা সম্পন্ন মানুহ আনিব নোৱাৰিলে ইয়াত শিল্প কৰি থকা মোৰ বাবে সম্ভৱণৰ নহয়।

Shri Sailen Medhi— সেইজন অফিচৰে ৩০ ৰ পৰা ৩৩ হাজাৰৰ ভিতৰত মাহে খৰছ কৰে।

Shri Biswadev Sarma I am fortunate to have him as a General manager. And he is an experience man. মই বহুত চেষ্টা কৰিছে তেখেতক পাইছো যদিও অভিজ্ঞতা সম্পন্ন মানুহ যদি নাপাও তেন্তে শিল্প উন্নতি নহয়। He is for Mysore, not from Andhra

Re : Petro-Chemical Complex of Assam

M. Shamsul Huda asked :

*201. Will the Minister-in-charge of Industries be pleased to state—

a) The latest position of the Petro-Chemical Complex Industries of Assam under the State Government Undertaking?

b) Whether it is a fact that the State Government is going to abandon the project?

c) If so; the reasons therefor ?

Shri Bi-wadev Sarma (Minister, Industries) replied :

201. (a) (1) Technical Collaboration—

We applied to the Government of India in November, 1969. The Government of India informed us at the end of August, 1970 approving the collaboration with a Japanese a firm. Immediately, thereafter, a team of Officials headed by Minister, Industries visited Japan, and finalised an agreement subject to the approval of the Japan Government and the Indian Government. This was done in September 1970. The final approval of the Government of Japan and the Government of India are being awaited. We are following up the matter with both the Governments. It is expected that the final approval would be available, early.

(2) Capital Goods Application—

Application for Import Licence for Capital Goods has been submitted to the Government of India after advertising in the Indian Trade Journal giving sufficient notices. It is learnt that, the Government of India has approved the capital goods application, but the official communication has not yet been received.

(3) Letter of Intent and Industrial Licence—

The Government of India extended the Letter of Intent till May, 1970. The further extension of Letter of Intent together with Industrial Licence are pending with the Government of India.

(4) Land—

The site had been selected between the Fertiliser Corporation and the Thermal Project at Namrup. 108 acres have been earmarked for this Project by the Fertiliser Corporation of India. Final selection of the site is expected very soon.

(5) Training—

Advertisement for recruitment for trainees has been issued. It is expected that the trainees will go to various places in India shortly.

(6) Miscellaneous—

A new Company is being formed to implement the Project.

(b)—The Project is not going to be abandoned.

(c)—Does not arise.

Re : Scheme for Petro-Chemical Complex

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua— asked :

*202: Will the Minister-in-charge of Industries be pleased to state—

(a) Whether Government of Assam propose to have a Petro-Chemical Complex in the State ?

(b) If so, whether detailed schemes have been worked out by experts in the field, Indian or Foreign, to set up this Complex ?

(c) Whether Government of India has offered all possible assistance, financial, technical or otherwise, for setting up such a Petro-Chemical Complex in the State ?

d) Whether Government proposes to have foreign

collaboration for implementation of the Project ?

Shri Biswadev Sarma (Minister, Industries) replied :

202. [a]—Yes. Government of Assam are proposing to have a Petro-Chemical Complex in the State. It will be at the initiative of the State Government and is based on Natural Gas and will be set up at Namrup.

[b]—Yes The detailed schemes as regards feasibility, details of the machinery and materials in digenous as well as imported, profitability' etc. have been worked out by the Japanese as well as Indian Consultancy firm for the Petro Chemical Project at Namrup.

[c] No technical assistance has been asked for from the Government of India for the Petro-Chemical Complex, initiated by the State Government. For financial assistance, application is being prepared for submission for Financial Institution,

(d —Yes. The Petro-Chemical Complex at Namrup will be set up with Japanese collaboration.

Re : Petro-Chemical Complex at Namrup

Shri Phani Bora asked :

*203. Will the Minister-in-charge of Industries be pleased to state—

[a] Whether it is a fact that the State Government received a foreign exchange clearance as a special case in connection with a Petro-chemical. Complex at Namrup.

[b] Whether it is a fact that the State Government instead of calling global tender went for a private negotiation

with a Japanese Firm ?

[c] The reason for not calling for global tender ?

Shri Biswadev Sarma [Minister, Industries] replied :

203. [a]—No. The foreign exchange clearance for the collaboration agreement is a normal clearance as is done for any Project involving foreign collaboration and there was no special clearance for this project.

[b] & [c]—Since 1963, Japan Consulting Institute of Japan have been studying the utilisation of natural gas for production of Petro-Chemical in Namrup area. The original Project of Rs. 40 crores was cut down to a Project for Rs. 5 crores and the Letter of Intent was issued to Assam Industrial Development Corporation (Government of Assam undertaking) for manufacture of the following—

- (i) Methanol.
- (ii) Formaldehyde,
- (iii) Formaldehyde Glue,
- (iv) Formaldehyde Moulding Powder.

After obtaining the Letter of Intent from Government of India Assam Industrial Development Corporation Ltd. asked their Indian consultant to find out whether the process know-how of the Japanese firm was of reasonable cost. The Indian consultant did not ask for global tender, but as the process know-how of these items from natural gas was well known, they asked for offers from 52 firms from various countries of the world. The consultant recommended that none of the firms with this process

know how was prepared to collaborate as it was too small a project from the point of view of international standard. Besides, the consultant also found that the Japanese firm was the only firm who was prepared to give technical know-how for all the four plants whereas offers received from others were for individual plants. On comparison with various offers received, the consultant found the offer of the Japanese firm to be the best and most acceptable. On that basis, therefore, the negotiation with the Japanese firm was started and a collaboration agreement was signed with the Japanese firm in Japan after appropriate negotiation by the team visiting Japan for the purpose.

Re: Establishment of petro Chemical Complex

Shri Lakshya Dhar Chaudhury— asked :

*204, Will the Minister-in-charge of Industries be pleased to state

(a) Whether it is a fact that a petro Chemical Complex Project is going to be established at Namrup ?

(b) Whether it is a fact that a group of Experts visited Japan recently for the purpose ?

(c) If so, who are they (Please name them)

(d) Whether the Project will be in public sector ?

Shri Biswadev Sarma— [Minister, Industries] replied :

204. (a) - Yes.

(b)—Yes. A team of Officials headed by Minister, Industries visited Japan for the purpose.

(c)—[1] Shri B. Sarma, Minister, Industries, Assam.

[2] Shri G. C. Phukan, I. A. S., Financial Commissioner, Assam.

[3] Shri M. Ahmed, I. A. S., Secretary, Industries, Assam.

[4] Shri A. D. Adhikari, Director of Industries, Assam.

[5] Shri M. Das, Managing Director, Assam Industrial Development Corporation, Ltd.

[6] Dr. Nat Parekh as consultant.

(d) The Project will be in the joint sector for which a new Company will be formed.

Re : Murder of Shri Nila Kanta Dutta

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua asked :

*205. Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state -

(a) Whether it is a fact that one Shri Nila Kanta Dutta a subordinate Engineer attached to the Golaghat R. and B. Division was murdered by some miscreants on the night of 19th July, 1970 last at his Official residence ?

(b) Whether it is a fact that Police instead of making thorough enquiry into the case declared the death to be a case of suicide ?

(c) If so, the basis for such a conclusion ?

Shri Mahendra Mohan Choudhury [Chief Minister] replied :

205. (a) & (b) - On 21st July 1970, Shri B. Phukan, Advocate, Golaghat informed the Officer-in-charge, Golaghat Police Station in writing to the effect that one Shri Nil a

Kamal Dutta was reported to have committed suicide in his rented house within his compound. The incident took place on 20th July 1970, night. On receipt of this report the Officer-in-charge Golaghat Police Station registered U/D Case No. 52/70 and started investigation. The investigation has subsequently been taken up by C.I.D. Inspector B. Deka. The investigation of the case is still proceeding.

(c)—Does not arise.

Re : State S. S. & A. Board

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua asked :

*206. Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state—

(a) Who is the present Assistant Secretary of the State S. S. & A. Board ?

(b) Whether it is a fact that the antecedents of the present incumbent for the post of the Assistant Secretary of the State S. S. & A. Board, Assam were not verified before appointment ?

[c] If so, why ?

[d] Whether it is also a fact that he had to go on compulsory retirement from the Army ?

(e) Whether it is a fact that his compulsory retirement from the Army was for having two wives known to Government before the appointment was made ?

(f) Whether Government knew before his appointment that his compulsory retirement from the army was due to his act of bigamy ?

Shri Mahendra Mohan Choudhury (Chief Minister)
replied :

206. (a)—Lt. Col. R. J. Solomon, S. C., Retired.

(b)—Yes.

[c]—His Bio Data submitted by him along with the application for the post contained details regarding him. For this as well for the reason that he was a retired Army Officer, his antecedents were not verified.

(d), (e) & (f)—No. He went on premature retirement voluntarily. He applied on 5th January 1968 for premature retirement from the Army due to there being no prospect of further promotion and also a divorce suit filed by his wife in the Bombay High Court on alleged grounds of adultery. This was, however, not known to State Government at the time of making the selection of Lt. Col. Solomon for the post. On the date of selection i.e., 11th September 1969 it was only known that he had a Shillong Hills lady as his wife.

Re: Management of Pinewood Hotel

Shri Du'al Chandra Barua asked :

*207. Will the Chief Minister pleased to state —

(a) Since when the Government of Assam, took over the management of the Pinewood Hotel at Shillong ?

(b) Whether there is any Board of Management duly constituted for the Hotel and if so, who are the members ?

(c) Whether the accounts of the Hotel have been properly maintained and regularly audited ?

(d) Whether the Hotel has shown any profit since the time of its being taken over by the Government ?

(e) If not, what are the reasons therefor ?

Shri Mahendra Mohan Choudhury [Chief Minister] replied :

207. (a) Government took over the management of the Pinewood Hotel with effect from 1st January 1964.

[b] Yes. At present, the members of the Board of Management are—

[i] The Chief Secretary to the Government of Assam.

[ii] The Secretary to the Government of Assam, Finance Department.

[iii] The Secretary to the Government of Assam, Tourism Department and.

[iv] The Director of Tourism, Assam.

[c]—The accounts of the hotel are being maintained according to the commercial system as advised by the Accountant General. The accounts of the hotel were audited by the Accountant General on five occasions as indicated below—

(i) From 2nd April 1964 to 3rd April 1964.

(ii) From 29th April 1967 to 6th May 1967.

(iii) From 10th April 1968 to 23rd April 1968.

(iv) From 18th April 1969 to 23rd April 1969 and.

[v] From 13th April 1970 to 9th May 1970.

[d]—The Statement of profit and loss for 1964 showed a profit of Rs. 45,639.90 P. But at that time accounts were

maintained in the single entry system which did not reflect the liabilities of the hotel. Also the amount of rent charged by P. W. D. for the year was more than the rent shown as payable by the hotel. It is, therefore, doubtful whether there was actually any profit.

[ii] During the period from 1965 to 1969, the hotel had been incurring losses. In the current year there is reported to be a profit of Rs. 85,612.34 p. upto the end of November. If however, this amount is adjusted against the amount of loss accrued over the past years there may still be some liabilities to be cleared by the hotel.

[e] [i] High assessed rent.

[ii] Low Tarrif.

[iii] Low average occupancy.

Re : Pinewood Hotel

Shri Debeswar Sarmah asked :

*203. Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state,

(a) Whether it is a fact that the Pinewood Hotel (PH) is a purely Government of Assam property ?

(b) Whether it is a fact that the Pinewood Hotel was leased to one N. Dutta Choudhury without calling for tender or quotation ?

(c) Whether it is a fact that late N. Dutta Choudhury was given the option to form a company for the purpose of running the Pinewood Hotel and whether it is fact that late N. Dutta Choudhury formed a company with his brother Shri J. Dutta Choudhury

and ran the Hotel ;

(d) Whether it is a fact that on the death of N. Dutta Choudhury the company running the pinewood Hotel was sent to liquidation although Shri J. Dutta Choudhury was primarily in charge of running the hotel ?

[e] Whether it is a fact that the said lessees defaulted in payment of stipulated dues to the Government and Government in its charity remitted the dues on several occasions ?

[f] If so, how much money was remitted and how much was left unpaid till now ?

[g] Whether it is a fact that some valuable properties of P. H. including cutlery, crockery and Dining hall tapestry were lost during Dutta Choudhury's management ?

[h] Whether it is a fact that the P. H. is giving profit these two years [1954 and 1970] under direct management of Government ?

[i] Whether it is a fact that the P. H. is again going to be leased out to Shri J. Choudhury and/or his son ?

(j) Whether it is a fact that late Shri J. Dutta Choudhury and/or his son was loaned large sums of money to build and run a hotel at Gauhati and if so, what were the amounts and what security was taken for issuing the loan/loans ?

[k] How Choudhury acquired the land on which the proposed hotel building was going to be built and what was its valuation at the time of exchange of the land

and what was its valuation at the time of getting the loan on its security?

[1] Whether it is a fact that large amounts were loaned by Government to J. Choudhury and / or his son for the Gauhati Hotel?

(m) When was the Gauhati hotel to be started and has it started yet and if not when is it going to be started?

Shri Mahendra Mohan Choudhury [Chief Minister] replied :

*208. [a]—Yes,

[b]—Yes, the hotel was leased to late Shri N. N. Dutta Choudhury but after calling for tenders.

[c]—Yes. According to the terms of the original lease, the hotel was transferred subsequently to a private company with three share-holders, viz. Shri N. N. Dutta Choudhury (2) Shri J. Dutta Choudhury and (3) Shri H. Piercoz.

[d]—After the death of late Shri N. N. Dutta Choudhury on 2nd June 1963, his wife, Smti. Marry Choudhury had been running the hotel for a period of 6 months. The State Government took it over with effect from 1st January 1964.

[e], [f & g]—(i) The total number of seats in the Pinewood Hotel was 93 of which 45 was reserved for accommodation of M. L. As during Assembly Sessions. Due to non occupation of the reserved seats to the full extent, the private management incurred losses and on

representation to Government, remission of arrear rent amounting to Rs. 25,024 for the years from 1954-55 to 1959-60 was sanctioned in 1962.

(ii) In the lease agreement it was provided that the lessee would purchase two thirds of the furniture, crockeries etc., of the hotel at a cost of Rs. 90,000. The condition of the articles was, however, found to be somewhat worn out and on representation to Government, the price of the articles was fixed at Rs. 80,000 in December, 1953.

(iii) The value of the balance of third articles retained by Government in the hotel was Rs. 30,060.12 P. When Government took it over on 1st January 1964, it was found that articles worth Rs. 1,724.50 P. were broken and articles worth Rs. 10,183.26 P. could not be produced by the lessee. The broken articles were, mostly crockeries and crockeries being what they are, are liable to damage by use over 11 years. Of the non available articles it was found that some items of the value of Rs. 5,925 were of such a nature as normally to be damaged by use for eleven years. Also the remaining items of the nonavailable articles were in use for 11 years. Government sanctioned remission of the amounts of Rs. 1,724.50 P. Rs. 5,925.00 and Rs. 2,119.13 P. being 50 per cent of the Government dues of Rs. 4,258.26 P.

(h) — (i) The statement of profit and loss for 1964 showed a profit of Rs. 45,639.94 P. But at that time, accounts were maintained in the single entry system which did not reflect the liabilities of the hotel. Also the amount

of rent charged by P. W. D. for the year was more than the rent shown as payable by the hotel. It is therefore, doubtful as to whether there was actually any profit.

[ii] During the period from 1965 to 1969, the hotel had been incurring losses. In the current year there is reported to be a profit of Rs. 85,612.34 P. upto the end of November. If however, this amount is adjusted against the amount of loss accrued over the past years, there may still be some liabilities to be cleared by the hotel.

[i]—A request was received from the management of the Hotel Belle Vue Private Ltd. of Gauhati of which Shri J. Dutta Choudhury is the promoter, for leasing out the Pinewood Hotel to it. Government do not propose to lease out the hotel to a private party for the present.

(j)—No loan was sanctioned by the State Government.

(k)—Government have no information.

(l)—No.

[m]—Government have no information,

Re : Gold Control Scheme

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua asked :

*209. Will the Minister, Industries be Pleased to State—

[a] Whether Government has taken up certain schemes for rehabilitation of Gold-Smiths of Assam after the enactment of the Gold Control Act, by the Government of India in 1962 ?

[b] If so, what are these schemes ?

[c] Whether the scheme have been fully implemented ?

[d] If not why ?

Shri Biswadev Sarma (Minister, Industries) replied :

209. [a]—Yes.

[b] - The following schemes were taken up -

[i] Grant of rehabilitation Loan to individual displaced Goldsmiths.

[ii] Ex gratia grant to the displaced Goldsmiths in specially hard and deserving cases.

[iii] A scheme for imparting practical training to sons and dependants of displaced Goldsmiths in Industrial units of the State.

[iv] | A scheme for granting free studentship and book grants to the children/dependants of the displaced Gold smiths.

[c] Yes.

(d)—Does not arise.

Re : Arrest of Naxalite

Shri Gaurisankar Bhattacharyya asked :

*210. Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state -

[a] How many persons have been arrested upto now in the so-called 'Naxalite' Gouhati P. S. Case No. 108 (4) 70 (G. R. Case No. 890/70) since April, 1970 ?

[b] The number of arrested persons who are charge-sheeted upto now ?

(c) The number of the arrested persons against whom cases have already been dropped and the number who have been discharged ?

[d] How many of arrested persons are still in Jail ?

[e] The number of persons who have been released on bail and how many of them have been granted bail by the Sessions Judge Gauhati on appeal ?

Shri Mahendra Mohan Choudhury [Chief Minister] replied :

210: (a)—One hundred and fifteen persons.

(b) - Nil. The case is still under investigation.

(c) - No case has been dropped against any one but 64 persons have been discharged due to insufficient evidence.

[d]—Seven persons are in Hajat.

[e]—Eighteen persons have been granted bail by the Additional District Magistrate and 26 persons by the Sessions Court.

Re : Number of Naxalite arrested

M. A. Muawwir Choudhury asked :

*211. Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state—

(a) The number of Naxalites so far arrested upto the end of September 1970 in the State (District-wise) ?

(b) Whether any arrested person has been released so far ?

(c) If so, on what grounds ?

Shri Mahendra Mohan Choudhury (Chief Minister) replied :

211. (a) & (b)—A list is placed on the Table of the House.

(e) - Excepting those persons numbering 101 who were discharged, the others numbering 254 were granted bail by the Court.

Re : Air Crash in Silchar

শ্রী এ, এন, আক্ৰাম হুচেইনে স্মৃতিছে :

২১১। মাননীয় মুখ্যমন্ত্রী মহোদয়ে অনুগ্রহ কৰি জনাবনে -

(ক) যোৱা ২৯-৮-১৯৭০ তাৰিখে শিলচৰৰ ওচৰত জাটিকাৰ ইণ্ডিয়ান এয়াৰ লাইনছ কৰপোৰেশ্বনৰ এখন যাত্ৰী বাহী বিমান দুৰ্ঘটনাৰ বিষয়ে চৰকাৰে জানেনে, আৰু সেই দিনা বতৰ কেনে আছিল ?

(খ) এই বিমান দুৰ্ঘটনাত কিমান জন যাত্ৰী নিহত হয়, আৰু তেওঁ লোকৰ নাম ঠিকনা কি ?

(গ) বিমান দুৰ্ঘটনাৰ দিনা অৰ্থাৎ ২৯-৮-১৯৭০ তাৰিখে ধ্বংসপ্ৰাপ্ত বিমান খনৰ বিষয়ে কৰ্তৃপক্ষই অনুসন্ধান কৰোঁতে হাফলং, লামডিং, বদৰপুৰ মাহুৰ আদি ঠাইত থকা পুলিচ কৰ্তৃপক্ষই সেই অঞ্চলৰ এলেকাৰ ওপৰেদি কোনো বিমান উৰা নাই বুলি "ভাষ্টিমূলক ৰিপোর্ট" প্ৰেৰণ কৰাৰ বিষয়ে চৰকাৰে জানেনে ?

(ঘ) পশ্চাদ্ঘাট কাৰণত বিমানখন ধ্বংস হোৱা সত্যনে ?

মুখ্যমন্ত্রী শ্ৰীমহেন্দ্ৰ মোহন চৌধুৰীয়ে উত্তৰ দিছে :

২১২। (ক)— হয়, জানে। বতৰ ডাৱৰীয়া আছিল ; বিশেষকৈ পাহাৰীয়া অঞ্চলত।

(খ) — ৩৩ জন। সদনৰ মেজত নিহত যাত্ৰীসকলৰ নাম আৰু ঠিকনাবে ভালিকা এখন সদনৰ টেবুলত ৰখা হ'ল।

(গ)—পুলিচকৰ্তৃপক্ষৰ পৰা কোনো ৰিপোর্ট ওলোৱাটো সঁচা নহয়।

(ঘ)—ঘটনাৰ আচল কাৰণ উদ্ধাটনৰ কাৰণে ভাৰত চৰকাৰে এজনীয়া সম্ভাৱে অনুসন্ধান আয়োগ এখন গঠন কৰি দিছে; সেই আয়োগে প্ৰতিবেদন দাখিল কৰিলেহে আচল কাৰণ জানিব পৰিব।

Re: Land Acquisition Officer at Silchar

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua asked :

*213. Will the Minister, Revenue be pleased to state —

(a) Whether the Government has received any complaint regarding serious corrupt practices of the Land Acquisition Officer, Silchar ?

(b) Whether it is a fact that on many occasions the instances of corrupt practices of this Officer have been published in the Silchar local press ?

(c) Whether it is a fact on receipt of complaint from one Shri Amir Ali Laskar of village Niamgram Part I, under Silchar police Station, the Deputy Commissioner, Cachar asked the Senior Magistrate, Silchar to investigate into the complaint and to submit a report ?

(d) Whether it is a fact that Senior Extra Assistant Collector, Silchar with Sub-Deputy Collector, Executive Engineer, Embankment and Drainage and Subdivisional Officer, Embankment and Drainage visited the spot in February, 1970 and found it true ?

(e) Whether it is a fact that the Deputy Commissioner, Cachar had to cancel the assessment list prepared by the Land Acquisition Officer on receipt of the report, from the Magistrate ?

(f) Whether the Sub-Deputy Collector working under L. A. O. was asked by the Deputy Commissioner to make fresh assessment in consultation with Subdivisional Officer, Embankment and Drainage ?

(e) If so, whether the Government has taken any action against the officer concerned ?

Shri Paramananda Gogoi - [Minister of state, Revenue] replied :

213. (a)—Yes.

(b)—Yes.

(c)—Yes.

(d)—Yes.

(e)—Yes.

(f)—Yes.

(g)—Action is being taken.

Re : Pak Trained Nagas

Shri Maneswar Boro asked :

*214. Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state —

(a) Whether the attention of the Chief Minister has been drawn to the news item published in the Assam Tribune, dated 10th September, 1970 under the caption "Pak Air Wing to Train Naga, Mizo and Kuki Rebels" ?

(b) If so, whether the statement is correct ?

(c) The steps taken by Government in this regard ?

Shri Mahendra Mohan Choudhury [Chief Minister] replied :

214. (a)—Yes.

(b) Government have so far no reliable information

in their possession about opening of Pak Air Wing and construction of any landing strip in 'Chittagong Hill Tract', specifically for the training of Naga, Mizo and Kuki hostiles. Intelligence reports, however, tend to indicate the existence of a somewhat regular Training Camp in Chittagong Hill Tract in East Pakistan for the Training of these hostile elements in sabotage and guerilla warfare. Some Maite Manipuri volunteers of the so-called Revolutionary Government of Manipur, went to Pakistan reportedly for training and armsaid but many of them were intercepted and arrested by the Tripura Police, Two of them were also arrested by Police at Cherrapunji Border during July 1970, while they were returning from Pakistan. One was wanted in a bank robbery case in Imphal. They were handed over to Manipur Police. It is not known if Maite volunteers have been trained there, but some of them were reportedly detained in Pak jail.

We have further information that about 1,500 Mizo rebels have taken shelter in East Pakistan, but there is no information about employing of Mizo, Naga hostiles in clearing jungles at Chipuri as reported in the News Item.

About 200 Mizo rebel saboteurs in small batches of 4/5 infiltrated into Mizo District during last part of June 1970, for the purpose of sabotage with a limited number of light arms and explosives. Some of these infiltrated saboteurs have been arrested by the Security forces and Police and large quantity of arms, ammunition and explosives

captured. Most of the others have since returned to their base in East Pakistan.

[c]—All concerned have been alerted to detect and apprehend saboteurs. Security measures on the border have been tightened up to prevent re-infiltration of Mizo hostiles from East Pakistan.

Re : Villagers of Assam and Nagaland Borders

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua asked :

*215. Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state—

(a) Whether Government is aware of the continued depredations caused to the life and property of the simple and peace-loving villagers living in the Borders of Assam and Nagaland by Naga raiders times without number ?

(b) If so, what steps Government has taken to ensure the life and property of the villagers ?

(c) Whether the atrocities so committed are consequent upon Border dispute ?

Shri Mahendra Mohan Choudhury [Chief Minister] replied :

215. (a) Yes we are aware of the continued troubles created to our people living on the border of Assam and Nagaland by Naga Villagers and Nagaland Armed Police personnel.

(b)—With a view to protect the villagers from atrocities from Nagas, Government have established a number of Police Armed Posts, Border Out Posts and Check gates in the border areas of Sibsagar District. The Assam Police

Battalion personnel are executing regular Patrolling in the bordering areas to protect our villagers from Naga depredations and also to prevent further encroachment by Nagas in the Reserved Forests within our Territory. We have also taken up the matter with the Government of India as well as the Government of Nagaland for stopping these illegal activities by Naga Villagers and Nagaland Armed Police personnel.

[c] - We have no border dispute with Nagaland. The atrocities presently committed by Nagas and Nagaland Armed Police personnel are mainly consequences of claims by Nagaland Government on certain areas within the constitutional boundary of Assam.

Re : Sabotage bid by Mizo Rebels

Shri Maneswar Boro asked :

*216 Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state—

(a) Whether the attention of the Chief Minister has been drawn to the news item published in the Assam Tribune dated 24th August, 1970 under the caption "Sabotage bid"/"Mizo Rebels sneak deep into Assam Areas" ?

(b) If so, how far the news item is correct and what action has been taken by the Government in this regard ?

Shri Mahendra Mohan Choudhury (Chief Minister) replied :

216. (a)—Yes.

(b)—We had information regarding infiltration of Mizo saboteurs into Mizo District.

We had also information about infiltration of Mizo hostiles into plains areas of Cachar, United Khasi & Jaintia Hills and Kamrup to sabotage railway and road, communications particularly. Silchar-Shillong road but so far none could be traced in the plains districts.

In connection with the bomb explosion on August 15 at Aijal, 23 Mizo rebels were arrested and following Explosive were recovered from some bushes near the house of S. Lianzuals, self-styled Vice-President of so-called Government of Mizoram.

1. 4 charge in tins containing one kg. plastic explosives.

I C E:/TNT Slab each.

2. Time Pencil - 5.
3. Safety fuse - 8 yds.
4. Detonators - 5.

As regards removal of nuts and bolts from the railway track between Mailongdisa and Harangajaw railway stations on Badarpur-Lumding sections of N. F. Railway, as reported in the news item, a case under section 126 (b) of Railway Act, has been registered at Badarpur G. R. P. S. which is being investigated. No arrest has yet been made. Investigations done so far did not lead to any information which may involve Mizo infiltrators for this piece of sabotage work.

All concerned have been alerted to detect and app-

rehend Saboteurs. Necessary protective measures have also been taken to protect vital installations/communication, etc.

Re : Ghumti shops in Dibrugarh Jails

Shri Romesh Mohan Kouli asked :

*217 Will the Minister in-charge of Jail be pleased to state—

(a) Whether it is a fact that there are many as four to five numbers of “Ghumti” shops in Dibrugarh Jail which are supplying contraband articles such as Giza, liquor etc.. along with bettlenut to the Prisoners of the Jail ?

(b) If so, who are the owners of the said shops ?

Shri Mahendra Nath Hazarika (Minister of Jails)

replied :

217. (a) - No.

(b)—Does not arise.

Re : Loans to Refugees

শ্রীশৰৎ চন্দ্ৰ বাৰ্ভাই সুধিছে :

*২১৮। মাননীয় পুনৰবাসন বিভাগৰ মন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ে অনুগ্ৰহ কৰি জনাবনে—

[ক] ১৯৬২ চনৰ ২১ এপ্ৰিল তাৰিখে “যুগান্তৰত প্ৰকাশ হোৱা অসমে ৫০ হাজাৰ ওদাস্তৰ “ঋণ মকুব” শীৰ্ষকটো পুনৰবাসন মন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ৰ দৃষ্টিগোচৰ হৈছেনে আৰু যদি হৈছে, এই শীৰ্ষকটোত প্ৰকাশ হোৱা কথা কিমান দূৰ সত্য ?

[খ] এই ৫০ হাজাৰ ওদাস্তৰ ঋণ বেহাই দিয়াটো ১৯৬০ ইং চনৰ ভাষা আন্দোলনত ক্ষতিগ্ৰস্ত হোৱা শৰণাৰ্থী সকলক দিয়া কাৰণে ঋণৰে তাৰ আগৰ শৰণাৰ্থীৰ ঋণ ?

পুনৰবাসন বিভাগৰ মন্ত্ৰী শ্ৰীমতলিৰ মজুমদাবে উত্তৰ দিছে :

২১৮। [ক]—হয়। বাতৰি কাকতৰ খবৰটো মোৰ দৃষ্টিগোচৰ হৈছে। ভাৰত

চৰকাৰে ১৯৬৭ চনতে প্ৰাদেশিক চৰকাৰবিলাকক এখন সাধাৰণ চাকুলাৰ ঋণ মকুব কৰিবৰ কাৰণে পঠিয়াইছিল। এই চাকুলাৰ মতে ১৯৬৪ চনৰ আগতে অহা উদ্বাস্তুবিলাকক দিয়া ঋণৰ কিছুমান বিষয়ৰ ঋণ মকুব কৰিবৰ কাৰণে নিৰ্দেশ আছিল আৰু সেই নিৰ্দেশ মতে এতিয়ালৈকে প্ৰায় ১৯,০০০ উদ্বাস্তুৰ কিছু পৰিমাণ হিচাবে ঋণ মকুব কৰা হৈছে। সেই নিৰ্দেশ মতে কৰিলে মুঠতে ৫০,০০০ উদ্বাস্তু উপকৃত হ'ব নে নহ'ব এতিয়াই কোৱা টান।

(খ) — এতিয়ালৈকে মুঠতে মাথোন প্ৰায় ১৯,০০০ উদ্বাস্তু-হে ঋণ মকুব কৰা হৈছে। ১৯৬০ চনৰ ভাষা আন্দোলনত ক্ষতিগ্ৰস্ত হোৱা শৰণাৰ্থীসকলক বেলেগভাবে কোন ঋণ এতিয়ালৈকে বেহাই দিয়া হোৱা নাই।

গতিকে উপৰোক্ত ১৯,০০০ ৰ ভিতৰত কোন ভাষা আন্দোলনত ক্ষতি-গ্ৰস্ত হোৱা উদ্বাস্তু আছে নে নাই কোৱা টান, যেহেতু এই ১৯,০০০ লোকক ভাৰত চৰকাৰৰ পাৰপত্ৰ মতেহে ঋণ মকুব দিয়া হৈছে।

Re : Difficulties of Government Pensioners

Shri Mohidhar Pegu asked :

*219. Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state—

(a) Whether Government is aware that pensioners are undergoing difficulties in getting their pension, gratuity and provident fund due to absence of some service records in some of the Departments ?

(b) If so, whether Government proposes to create a separate Cell exclusively meant in the Civil Secretariat which can be entrusted in processing the different cases of the pensioner ?

Shri Kamakhya Prasad Tripathi [Minister, Finance] replied :

219. (a)—Government are aware that Government Servants whose Service Books are not available, are facing difficul-

ties in getting pension and gratuity. Service Book is not necessary for getting back Provident Fund Deposit.

1. Following actions have been taken -

1. [i] An Under Secretary of the Finance Department and an officer of the office of the Accountant General had been meeting several times a year to clear up pending pension cases;

[ii] To enable the two officers and the Accountant General to get papers, records and information from the departments concerned, in 1958, Finance Department requested all Heads of Departments to nominate an officer for the purpose;

[iii] As the above action has also not yielded sufficient result it has been recently decided that the two officers mentioned in [i] above will take up pending cases department wise and call the officer of the Department mentioned in [ii] above.

2. Finance Department has sought the views of the Departments about maintaining Service Book in duplicate one copy remaining with the employee. The employee should ensure that all recordable events, i.e., probation, confirmation, promotion, leave and punishment in a year are entered by the Head of the office in the employee's copy at the beginning of the following year.

The matter will be finalised shortly.

3. Through Press Not all employees have been requested to see one year before retirement that all

records and entries are there.

(b) In view of the above and in view of the fact that the Steering Committee Cell is already doing the work, no separate Cell is considered necessary.

Re: Admission fees for Veterinary Science College

M. A. Musawwir Choudhury asked :

*220. Will the Minister Veterinary be pleased to state—

(a) Whether the Government is aware of the fact that there are admissions thrice in a year for the same batch of students at Rs.95 per admission in the Veterinary Science College, Gauhati ?

(b) If so, why this illegal and heavy taxation has been imposed on the poor guardians of the students ?

(c) Whether Government propose to stop this illegal and improper way of admission in the College ?

Shri Ataur Rahman — (Minister In-charge, Veterinary) replied :

220. [a]— Since the establishment of the Assam Agricultural University the traditional Annual Academic year has been replaced by a Trimester system, under which an Academic year is divided into three terms each called a Trimester of 4 months duration. Students are admitted for each Trimester and fees like the old Term fees are collected. Besides the annual fees payable at the first Trimester of each year and the fees payable at the time of first admission to the University each student pays Rs. 94 per Trimester including Rs. 24 for hostel accommodation.

[b]—The Assam Agricultural University has rationalised and revised the fees structure and brought about uniformity between the Agricultural college and Vety. College. There is no illegality involved in this revision. The old students are not effected by this but continue to pay the old structure of fees.

[c]—The Trimester system adopted is in keeping with practices in other Agricultural University and fees charged are also less than in other similar Universities Government, therefore have no proposal or scope to interfered.

Re : Number of orphans in the State

Shri Jalal Uddin Ahmed asked :

*221. Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state—

[a] What is the total number of orphans at present in the State and the number of Orphanage Homes in the State ?

[b] What is the number of orphans rehabilitated after their proper education ?

Shrimati Padma Kumari Gohain [Minister, Social Welfare] replied

221. [a]—Government have no information about the total number of orphans and orphanages as no survey has so far been conducted by Government. However, according to information so far collected there are 237 orphans in the orphanages and institutions having orphans. There are also six non official orphanages, besides the Government orphanage at Nowgong.

[ক]—এই বিষয়ে কোনো জৰীপ-কাৰ্য্য এতিয়ালৈকে নোহোৱা বাবে ৰাজ্যত কিমান অনাথ ল'ৰা-ছোৱালী বা অনাথ আশ্ৰম আছে, সেই বিষয়ে চৰকাৰ অজ্ঞাত। যি কি নহওঁক, এতিয়ালৈকে সংগ্ৰহ কৰা তথ্য মতে অনাথ আশ্ৰম ইত্যাদিত ২৩৭ টা অনাথ ল'ৰা-ছোৱালী আছে। নগাওঁত চৰকাৰী অনাথ আশ্ৰমৰ বাহিৰেও ছখন বেচৰকাৰী অনাথ আশ্ৰম আছে।

[b]—From the information so far collected 345 orphans have so far been rehabilitated by these orphanages and institutions.

[খ]—এতিয়ালৈকে সংগ্ৰহ কৰা তথ্য মতে এইবিলাক অনুষ্ঠানে ৩৪৫ টা অনাথ ল'ৰা-ছোৱালী পুনৰ সংস্থাপন কৰিছে।

Re : Financial grant to Schools

শ্ৰীমহীধৰ পেগুয়ে সুবিছে :

*২২১। মাননীয় শিক্ষা বিভাগৰ মন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ে অনুগ্ৰহ কৰি জনাবনে—

[ক] শিক্ষা শিতানৰ বিভিন্ন (প্ৰশাসনিক, আৰ্থিক) মঞ্জুৰীৰ বেলিকা অঞ্চল বিশেষৰ ক্ষণ প্ৰসবতাৰ ওপৰত নীতিগত ভাবে গুৰুত্ব আৰোপ কৰাৰ কোনো ব্যৱস্থা চৰকাৰে বাখিছেনে?

[খ] এই বিষয়ে চৰকাৰ জানেনে যে, ভৌগলিক অৱস্থান আৰু প্ৰশাসনীয় খেল মেলিৰ বাবেও অতি পিছপৰি থকা মাজুলীৰ বিভিন্ন পৰ্যায়ৰ স্কুল সমূহৰ প্ৰতি আগবঢ়োৱা আৰ্থিক অনুদান একেধাৰেই নগন্য আৰু হতাশ জনক?

[গ] বিধান সভাৰ সমষ্টিগত ভাবে এখন সুকীয়া আঞ্চলিক প্ৰাথমিক শিক্ষা বোৰ্ড থকাৰ উপৰিও মাজুলীত সৰ্বোচ্চ সংখ্যক স্কুল উক্ত বোৰ্ড আৰু উপ-পৰিদৰ্শকৰ তলত থকা কথাত প্ৰতি চৰকাৰে জানেনে?

[ঘ] যদি উল্লেখিত [খ] আৰু [গ] প্ৰশ্নৰ উত্তৰ ইতিবাচক হয় তেন্তে বৈশিষ্টপূৰ্ণ মাজুলী অঞ্চলৰ শিক্ষানুষ্ঠান সমূহৰ আৰ্থিক আৰু প্ৰশাসনিক মাজুলীৰ ক্ষেত্ৰত বিশেষ বিবেচনা ৰাখিবনে?

ৰাজ্যিক শিক্ষা মন্ত্ৰী শ্ৰীশৰৎ চন্দ্ৰ গোস্বামীয়ে উত্তৰ দিছে :

২২২ [ক]— হয়, বখা হৈছে। অন্তঃসৰ অঞ্চলত শিক্ষা সম্প্ৰসাৰণৰ বাবে অগ্ৰাধিকাৰ দিয়া হয়।

[খ]— মাজুলীৰ স্কুলসমূহৰ প্ৰতি আগবঢ়োৱা অনুদান নগণ্য আৰু ইতাৰ জনক নহয়।

[গ]— বিধানসভাৰ সমষ্টিগতভাৱে কোনো ঠাইত স্কুল বোৰ্ড পতা নহয়। শিক্ষাৰ সম্প্ৰসাৰণৰ বাবে স্কুলৰ সংখ্যা অনুপাতে আৰু যাতায়াত আদিৰ সুবিধা-অসুবিধা লক্ষ্য কৰিহে স্কুল বোৰ্ড পতা হয়। অৱশ্যে মাজুলী শিক্ষা বোৰ্ড এলেকাত বিধানসভাৰ এটা সমষ্টিহে পৰিছে।

[ঘ]— আৱশ্যক অনুযায়ী মাজুলীৰ বিষয়ে যথাসময়ত বিবেচনা কৰা হব।

Re : Maintenance of Basic School

Shri Pitsing Konwar asked :

*223. Will the Minister, Education be pleased to state—

(a) Whether Government is aware of the fact that a large number of Government Lower Primary Junior Basic and Senior Basic School buildings are not properly maintained for want of fund ?

(b) Whether Government have made any provision for fund for proper maintenance of the School buildings ?

(c) If not, the reasons thereof ?

(d) Whether Government propose to make budget provision for maintenance of the Government Lower Primary Junior Basic and Senior Basic School buildings ?

Shri Sarat Chandra Goswami [Minister of State for Education] replied :

223. (a) — Yes.

(b) & (c)—A proposal for sanctioning a sum of Rs. 7,000 for maintenance of Government L. P. School buildings and Rs. 28,000 for Basic School buildings is under considerations of the Government.

(d)—As the above provision is not adequate another proposal for sanctioning a sum of Rs. 1 lakh for the purpose is under consideration of the Government.

Re : Number of Venture Primary Schools

M. Shamsul Huda asked :

*224. Will the Minister, Education be pleased to state—

[a] The total number of Venture Primary Schools in Assam at present ;

[b] The total number of such schools taken over by the Government so far since 1967 ;

[c] Whether it is a fact that Government decided to take over all such schools during the 4th Five Year Plan ?

[d] If not, why ?

Shri Sarat Chandra Goswami (Minister of State for Education) replied :

224. [a]—One thousand and fortyseven.

[b]—One thousand and twenty since 1966-67.

[c]—The deserving Venture Schools may be taken over subject to availability of funds.

[d]—Does not arise.

Re : Number of Office Assistants and Peons in Elementary Education Board

Shri Jalal Uddin Ahmed asked :

*225. Will the Minister, Education be pleased to state the number of Office Assistants and Peons in the State Elementary Education Board and in the Deputy Inspector office of Barpeta from the minority Community ?

Shri Sarat Chandra Goswami (Minister of State for Education) replied :

225. There is no office Assistant either in the office of the Assistant Secretary, Elementary Education Board, or in the office of the Deputy Inspector of Schools, Barpe'a from the minority community (Muslim) at present.

Re : Additional Post of Primary School Teachers

M. Shamsul Huda asked :

*226. Will the Minister, Education be pleased to state —

(a) The total number of additional posts of teachers required for the existing primary schools under the Elementary Boards all over the State ?

(b) The total number of such posts sanctioned so far since 1967 ,

(c) Provision of additional posts for primary schools made under the 4th Five Year plan ?

Shri Sarat Chandra Goswami (Minister of State for Education) replied :

226. (a) One thousand four hundred and one additional teachers are required.

[b] One thousand one hundred and ninety-two posts have been sanctioned since 1967.

[c] Five thousand posts.

Re : Number of M. V. Schools

শ্রীশৰৎ চন্দ্ৰ বাৰ্তাই সুধিছে;

* ২২৭। মাননীয় শিক্ষা বিভাগৰ মন্ত্রী মহোদয়ে অনুগ্রহ কৰি জনাবনে—

(ক) অসমত কিমান খন এম, ভি স্কুল আছে ?

(খ) অসমৰ এম, ভি স্কুল সমূহত কোন চনৰ পৰা ইংৰাজী শিক্ষক নিযুক্ত কৰা হৈছে আৰু প্ৰত্যেক এম, ভি, স্কুল খনতেই ইংৰাজী শিক্ষক নিযুক্ত কৰা হ'লনে ?

(গ) এই শিক্ষক সকলক কনফাৰ্ম কৰি লোৱা হৈছেনে আৰু যদি হোৱা নাই, কিয় কৰি লোৱা হোৱা নাই ?

ৰাজ্যিক শিক্ষামন্ত্ৰী শ্রীশৰৎ চন্দ্ৰ গোস্বামীয়ে উত্তৰ দিছে :

২২৭। (ক)—অসমত ৮১৯খন এম, ভি স্কুল আছে।

(খ)—এম, ভি স্কুলসমূহত ১৯৫৮-৫৯ চনৰ পৰা ইংৰাজী শিক্ষক নিযুক্তি কৰা হৈছে। আটাইবোৰ এম, ভি স্কুলত বৰ্তমানলৈকে ইংৰাজী শিক্ষক নিযুক্তি দিয়া হোৱা নাই।

(গ)—এতিয়ালৈকে কোনো ইংৰাজী শিক্ষক স্থায়ীভাৱে মকবল হোৱা নাই যদিও এই প্ৰশ্নটো বিবেচনাধীন হৈ আছে।

Re : Venture M.V.School

শ্রীভদ্ৰেশ্বৰ গগৈয়ে সুধিছে :

* ২২৮। মাননীয় শিক্ষামন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ে অনুগ্রহ কৰি জনাবনে—

[ক] আঞ্চলিক শিক্ষা বোৰ্ডৰ অনুমোদন নোলোৱাকৈ প্ৰাইমাৰী আৰু এম, ভি, ভেনাচাৰ স্কুল বিলাক ডিবেক্টৰে পোনপটীয়াকৈ লোৱাৰ ব্যৱস্থা আছে নেকি ?

(খ) যদি আছে, ১৯৬৯-৭০ চনৰ ভিতৰত বিভিন্ন জিলাত কিমান খন স্কুল ডিবেক্টৰে লৈছে ?

ৰাজ্যিক শিক্ষামন্ত্ৰী শ্রীশৰৎ চন্দ্ৰ গোস্বামীয়ে উত্তৰ দিছে :

২২৮। (ক)—নাই।

(খ)—শিক্ষাবিভাগৰ অধিকৰ্ত্তাই [ডিবেক্টৰে] কোনো স্কুল লোৱা নাই।

Re : Veterinary Hospital

শ্রীসোমেশ্বৰ বৰাই সুবিছে :

*২২৩। মাননীয় ৰাজহ বিভাগৰ মন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ে অনুগ্ৰহ কৰি জনাবনে—

(ক) গোলাঘাট মহকুমাৰ অন্তৰ্গত মৰঙি মৌজাৰ দ্বিতীয় কৈবৰ্ত্ত গাঁৱত অৱস্থিত সেই অঞ্চলৰ একমাত্ৰ পশুচিকিৎসা কেন্দ্ৰটো উক্তস্থানতে অনতি পলমে পুনৰ্জ চিকিৎসালয়লৈ উন্নীত কৰা হবনে ?

(খ) এই পশুচিকিৎসালয় কেন্দ্ৰটো সমীপৰ বিমানখন গাওঁ আৰু বিমান সংখ্যক লোক পৰিয়ালৰ কাৰণে ব্যৱস্থা কৰা হৈছে ?

(গ) এই পশুচিকিৎসা কেন্দ্ৰটোত নিয়মিয়াকৈ চিকিৎসক বখা হৈছে নে ?

(ঘ) যদি বখা হোৱা নাই, তেন্তে কিয় হোৱা নাই আৰু কেতিয়াৰ পৰা চিকিৎসক বখাব ব্যৱস্থা কৰা হব ?

(ঙ) মৰঙি মৌজাৰ এই পশুচিকিৎসা কেন্দ্ৰটো পুনৰ্জ চিকিৎসা কেন্দ্ৰ হিচাবে গঢ়ি তুলিবলৈ হলে অন্ততঃ কিমান খৰচ হ'ব বুলি চৰকাৰে ভাবে।

পশুপালন বিভাগৰ মন্ত্ৰী শ্ৰীআটাউৰ বহুমানো উত্তৰ দিছে :

২২৩ (ক) —এই পশুচিকিৎসা কেন্দ্ৰটো এটা উপযুক্ত পশুপালন উপকেন্দ্ৰ হিচাবে গঢ়াৰ বিষয়টো চৰকাৰৰ বিবেচনাধীন আৰু পৰীক্ষামূলক অৱস্থাত আছে।

(খ) বৰ্ত্তমান প্ৰাথমিক চিকিৎসা-কেন্দ্ৰটোৰ মাটিখিনি ৰাইজে দিয়া আৰু এই কেন্দ্ৰটোৰে মৰঙি মৌজাৰ আশে পাশে থকা গাওঁকেইখনক চিকিৎসা দান কৰিছে। এই মৌজাত সৰ্বমুঠ ৬৭খন গাওঁ আছে আৰু ইয়াৰ লোকসংখ্যা প্ৰায় ৪০ হাজাৰমান হ'ব। গৰু-মহৰ সংখ্যা প্ৰায় ১০ হাজাৰমান হ'ব।

(গ) —নাই হোৱা।

(ঘ) —ডিচ.পেন্সেৰীৰ ওচৰত অৱস্থিত প্ৰাথমিক চিকিৎসা-কেন্দ্ৰত সাধাৰণতে কাম কৰিবলৈ পশুপালন বিভাগৰ এজন ফিল্ড এচিষ্টেণ্ট ডিচ.পেন্সেৰীৰ পৰা পঠোৱা হয়। থকাৰ অৱস্থাত কোনো ফিল্ড এচিষ্টেণ্ট তাত নিগাজীভাবে থাকিব নোৱাৰে।

(ঙ) —এটা উপকেন্দ্ৰ স্থাপন কৰিবলৈ প্ৰায় ৮ হাজাৰ টকা আৱশ্যক হ'ব।

Re : Numbers of Copyists

শ্রীভদ্রেশ্বর গগৈয়ে সুধিছে :

*২৩০। মাননীয় রেজিষ্ট্রেশন বিভাগৰ বস্ত্রী মহোদয়ে অনুগ্রহ কৰি জনাবনে—

(ক) অসমৰ বিভিন্ন জিলাত কিমান জন Extra writer [Copyist] আছে ?

(খ) এই কৰ্মচাৰী বিলাকক অন্যান্য চৰকাৰী কৰ্মচাৰী বিলাকৰ পৰ্যায়ত থকা নহয় নেকি ?

(গ) এই কৰ্মচাৰী বিলাকে অন্যান্য চৰকাৰী কৰ্মচাৰী বিলাকে পোৱা সুবিধা আৰু দৰমহা আয়োগে দিয়া বিভিন্ন সুবিধা বিলাক পায়নে ?

Shri A. Thanglura [Minister, Registration] replied :

230. (a) — The information is not readily available. These are being collected from the district offices.

(b) — Yes. They are not Government servants.

[c] — No.

Re : Land Revenue and Local Rate

Shri Dhani Ram Rongpi asked :

*231. Will the Minister, Revenue be pleased to state —

[a] Whether it is a fact that the Revenue authorities of Nowgong District had been realising Land Revenue and Local rate on account of Dakhin Nelhi [Oguri] Division of Gopal Krishna Tea Estate for the period from 1st July, 1952 to 30th June, 1969 although the said Division of the Tea Estate falls under the Mikir Hills District ?

[b] If so, when the amount will be transferred to the District Council ?

[c] Whether any action has been taken on letter

No. MHDC / REV / 275 / 69-70 / 5308, dated 29th May, 1970 from the Mikir Hills District Council addressed to the Deputy Secretary to the Government of Assam, Revenue [Settlement] Department ?

Shri Paramananda Gogoi Minister of State, Revenue | replied :

231. [a]—Yes.

[b]—Steps are being taken to transfer the realised amount to the District Council.

[c]—Yes.

Re : Khekamara Ambari Road in Goalpara Subdivision

শ্রীশৰৎ চন্দ্ৰ বাৰ্তাই সুধিছে :

*২৩২। মাননীয় ৰাজহ বিভাগৰ মন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ে অনুগ্ৰহ কৰি জনাবনে—

(ক) গোৱালপাৰা মহকুমাৰ খেকামৰা আমবাৰি বাস্তাটো গড়কাপ্তানি বিভাগে লবৰ সময়ত কাৰ কিমান মাটি অধিগ্ৰহন কৰিছিল ?

(খ) অধিগ্ৰহন কৰা মাটিৰ ক্ষতিপূৰণ বিচাৰি ক্ষতিগ্ৰস্ত বাইজৰ তৰফৰ পৰা কিবা আবেদন পাইছেনে ?

(গ) যদি পাইছে, তেন্তেহলে কি ব্যৱস্থা হাতত লোৱা হৈছে ?

ৰাজ্যিক ৰাজহ মন্ত্ৰী শ্ৰীপৰমানন্দ গগৈয়ে উত্তৰ দিছে :

২৩২। (ক)—প্ৰতি মানুহৰ নাম আৰু ত্ৰেণ্ডলেকৰ পৰা অধিগ্ৰহণ কৰা মাটিৰ পৰিমাণেৰে সৈতে এখন তালিকা সদনৰ মেজত দিয়া হ'ল।

(খ)—হয়।

(গ)—গড়কাপ্তানী বিভাগৰ পৰা ক্ষতিপূৰণৰ টকাখিনিৰ মঞ্জুৰী পালেই ক্ষতিগ্ৰস্ত মানুহবিলাকৰ টকাখিনি দিয়া হ'ব।

Re : Seed Loans

M. Shamsul Huda asked :

*233. Will the Minister, Revenue be pleased to state—

(a) The total amount of Seed Loans granted for the flood affected peasants of the State during 1970 ?

(b) The amount of Loans granted to each district of the State ?

(c) The amount of Loans granted for Sali Seeds and that granted for Rabi seeds ?

Shri Paramananda Gogoi [Minister of State, Revenue] replied :

233. [a]—No amount has been sanctioned as Seed Loan, but Government have so far sanctioned Rs. 30,48,316 as Seed grant to the deserving peasants affected by floods of 1970.

(b. &)c) The district-wise break up of the amount sanctioned under (a) above, is shown below—

Name of district	Amount sanctioned as Sali seed grant	Amount sane tioned as Rabi seed grant
(a)	(b) Rs.	(c) Rs:
1. Lakhimpur ...	30,000	1,81,516
2. Sibsagar —	2,17,500	4,73,000
3. Nowgong ...	1,20,000	1,75,000
4. Darrang ...	1,60,000	1,90,000
5. Goalpara —	1,37,000	2,85,000
6. Kamrup ...	5,00,000	4,49,300
7. Cachar ...	1,10,000	20,000
Total	12,74,500	17,73,816

Re : Erosion-affected families

Shri Zahirul Islam asked :

*234. Will the Minister, Revenue be pleased to state—

(a) The number of families affected by erosion in Dhubri Sub-division this year (please give figures Anchalik Panchayat wise) ?

(b) Whether any arrangement has been made to allot Government reserve lands to the families rendered homeless due to erosion ?

(c) Whether any rehabilitation grants have been given to the erosion affected people ?

Shri Paramananda Gogoi (Minister of State, Revenue) replied :

234. [a]—Families affected by erosion in each Anchalik Panchayat are as follows—

Gauripur Anchalik Panchayat.	319
Mankachar Anchalik Panchayat.	88
Chapar Anchalik Panchayat.	110
Bilasipara Anchalik Panchayat.	13
South Salmara Anchalik Panchayat.	1,930
Total	2,460

[b]—Arrangement being made to allot Government reserve lands according to availability.

[c]—Arrangement are being made by the local authority to issue such grants to the actually erosion-affected families as per decision of the Central Relief Committee.

Re : Chamua-Aowniati K, S. S,

M. Shamsul Huda asked :

*235. Will the Minister, Co-operation, be pleased to state—

[a] Whether it is a fact that the Chamua Aowniati K. S. S. in Nowgong has violated rules, regulations, laws and by-laws concerned by paying loans to individuals outside the area of Co-operation of the K. S. S. to some non-agriculturists and to one Babajee of an Ashram ?

[b] If so, the steps taken by Government in this regard ?

[c] If so, the total amount of loans paid to such individuals ?

[d] Names of the Government officials and office bearers of the K. S. S. involved in the transactions ?

[e] Whether any step has been taken against them ?

[f] If not, why ?

Shri Chatrasing Teron (Minister, Co-operation) replied

235, [a]—The Chamua-Aouniati K. S. S. Ltd. has been functioning in accordance with the provisions of law and Bye-laws of in matter of issuing loans to its members. It did not issue any loan to individuals outside the area of operation of the Society. Twelve members of the Society, however, have their residence at Aown'ati Kheraj situated on the boundary of the Chamua-Aowniati G p. which is the area of operation of the Society. These 12 members have their cultivable land within Chamua-Aowniatt G P. and on the basis of their land

holding they have been financed by the Society. No loan was issued to any non-agriculturists also as agriculture is the principal occupation of all members to whom loans were issued.

No loan has been issued to any Babajee of any Ashram but a loan of Rs. 330'00, Rupees three hundred and thirty) only was given to Shri Sut Ram (Neog who is locally called Babajee. He lives with members of his family and carries on cultivation on his 20 bighas of land.

(b) — Does not arise.

(c) — Does not arise.

(d) — Does not arise as no irregular transaction was made.

(e) Does not arise.

[f] — Does not arise.

Re : Teachers of Primary Schools

শ্রীকামিনী মোহন শর্ম্মায়ৈ শুধিছে :

*২৩৬। মাননীয় শিক্ষামন্ত্রী মহোদয়ে অনুগ্রহ কবি জনাবনে—

(ক) অসমত আইমাবী স্কুল সমূহৰ ভিতৰত কিমান কেইখন আইমাবী স্কুলত মাত্ৰ এজনহে শিক্ষক আছে ?

(খ) বড়িয়া শিক্ষা-মহকুমাৰ আইমাবী স্কুল সমূহৰ ভিতৰত কিমান কেইখন আইমাবী স্কুলত মাত্ৰ এজন শিক্ষক আছে আৰু এই স্কুল সমূহৰ নাম কি, বিতং ভাবে জনাবনে ?

(গ) আইমাবী স্কুল সমূহত শ্ৰেণী কেইটা আৰু মাত্ৰ এজন শিক্ষকে এই কুমলীয়া শিশু সকলক শিক্ষা দিয়াটো সাধ্যাভীত বুলি চবকাৰে জানে নে ?

(ঘ) এজনীয়া শিক্ষক থকা স্কুল সমূহত অন্ততঃ তিনিজনকৈ শিক্ষক নিয়োগ কৰি শিক্ষা ব্যবস্থা উন্নত কৰাৰ বিহিত ব্যবস্থা চৰকাৰে হাতত লবনে ?

(ঙ) যদি লয়, কেতিয়া লব ?

বাজ্যিক শিক্ষামন্ত্রী শ্রীশৰৎ চন্দ্ৰ গোস্বামীয়ে উত্তৰ দিছে :

২৩৬। (ক) ৭,৭৭৭ খনত ।

(খ) — ৭২ খনত । স্কুলৰ নামৰ তালিকা সদনৰ মেজত ৰখা হৈছে ।

(গ) — প্ৰাইমাৰী স্কুলত মুঠ শ্ৰেণীৰ সংখ্যা ৫ (পাঁচ) টা । এজন শিক্ষকে ৫টা শ্ৰেণীত শিক্ষাদান দিয়াত কিছু অসুচল হলেও সাধ্যাতীত বুলি চৰকাৰে বিবেচনা নকৰে ।

(ঘ) আৰু (ঙ) — এজন শিক্ষক থকা স্কুলত একাধিক শিক্ষক নিয়োগৰ প্ৰশ্নটো পুঁজিৰ সামৰ্থ্যৰ ওপৰত নিৰ্ভৰশীল ।

Re : Supply of Tractors

Shri Nameswar Pegu asked :

*237. Will the Minister, Agriculture be pleased to state—

[a] Whether a representation, dated the 11th November 1970 from the questioner requesting supply of tractors to epidemic affected cultivators has been received ?

[b] If so, whether any action has been taken by the Government so far in this regard ?

[c] If not, why ?

Shri Paramananda Gogoi (Minister of State Revenue) replied :

237. [a] - Yes, The representation was received by Minister Agriculture. Only on 8th December 1970 this was received in Revenue Department.

[b] & [c]—Government have decided to meet the cost of tractorisation for cultivation of rabi crops by flood affected cultivators to the extent necessary to ensure successful rabi cultivation. No action could be taken on it as it has been received too late as noted against [a] above. Government may, however, consider the matter if and when a proposal to this effect is received from Sub-divisional Officer (Dhemaji). A report from Subdivisional Officer [Dhemaji] is being called for.

Re : Number of Flood Affected People

Shri Jalal Uddin Ahmed asked :

*238. Will the Minister Revenue be pleased to state—

[a] What is the number of people affected due to constant flood and erosion under Baghbor Constituency and the measures taken by the Government for rehabilitation with land and loans during 1970 ?

[b] What is the amount sanctioned by the Government as cattle loan and rehabilitation loan to the affected people [Constituency wise] ?

[c] Whether the Government is considering the proposal for dereservation of Govindapur reserve and other reserves of this Subdivision for allotment of land to the flood affected people ?

[d] If so, when ?

[e] If not, why ?

Shri Paramananda Gogoi [Minister of State, Revenue] replied :

238. [a]—43,718 Nos. of people affected. Government have made available land to the persons who have lost their entire patta land and became landless due to erosion. A sum of Rs. 1,50,000 has been sanctioned as Rehabilitation Grant to the flood affected people of the current years flood in this Subdivision.

[b]—Rs. 90,000 as cattle loan has been sanctioned for Barpeta Subdivision. Constituency-wise allotment has not been made so far. No Rehabilitation loan has been sanctioned, as no such proposal has been received from Subdivisional Officer, Barpeta.

[c]—No such proposal is under consideration of Government now.

(d) & [e]—Do not arise,

Re : Application for Agricultural loan

শ্রীভদ্রকান্ত গগৈয়ে সুধিছে :

*২৩৯। মাননীয় বাজহ বিভাগৰ মন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ে অনুগ্রহ কৰি জনাবনে—

(ক) ডিব্ৰুগড় মহকুমাৰ জলত উল্লেখ কৰা গাওঁ পঞ্চায়ত এলেকাৰ পৰা কিমান জন খেতিয়ক কৃষি ঋণৰ বাবে লক্ষ্মীমপুৰ জিলাৰ উপায়ুক্তৰ ওচৰত আবেদন পত্ৰ দাখিল কৰিছিল আৰু কোন তাৰিখে ?

১। চিৰিখাট গাঃ পঃ ।

২। ভেকুলীজান ।

৩। খেৰেমীয়া ।

৪। কছাৰি পথাৰ ।

৫। টিল্লীং ।

৬। বৰডুৰি কোঁৱৰি পথাৰ ।

(খ) উপৰে যুক্ত দখলত বিলাক উপাক্তই নাহৰকটীয়া চাকোঁলৰ উপ-

প্রতি সমাহর্তালৈ ওদন্তৰ বাবে কোন তাৰিখে পঠাইছিল ?

(গ) উপপ্রতি সমাহর্তাই তেওঁৰ অনুসন্ধান প্রতিবেদন কোন তাৰিখে দাখিল কৰিলে ?

ৰাজ্যিক ৰাজহ মন্ত্ৰী শ্ৰীপৰমানন্দ গগৈয়ে উত্তৰ দিছে :

২৩৯। (ক) — চিৰিখাট গাঃ পঃ কাছাৰি পথাৰ গাঃ পঃ আৰু ছলিয়াজান গাওঁ পঞ্চায়ত এলেকাৰ পৰা ২৫ মে ১৯৭০ তাৰিখে মুঠ ৭৪ খন দৰখাস্ত উপায়ুক্তই পাইছে। আনবিলাকৰ পৰা পোৱা নাই।

(খ) ১১জুন ১৯৭০ তাৰিখে।

(গ) — ২২ অক্টোবৰ ১৯৭০ তাৰিখে। তাৰ পাছত উপায়ুক্তই বাকী পৰা ঋণৰ সংখ্যা জানিবলৈ ২৭ নবেম্বৰ ১৯৭০ তাৰিখে তদন্তৰ কাৰণে উপ-প্রতিসমাহর্তালৈ লিখে। উপপ্রতিসকাৰ্তাই ৮ ডিচেম্বৰ ১৯৭০ তাৰিখে ইয়াৰ বিপোর্ট দিয়ে।

Re : Dwelling Houses damaged by the last Flood

M. Shamsul Huda asked :

*240. Will the Minister, Revenue be pleased to state —

— (a) The total number of dwelling houses damaged by the floods in 1970 all over the State ?

(b) The total number of their owners ?

(c) The total amount of Rehabilitation loans granted to each District of the State for repair of the damaged houses ?

(d) The total amount of such loan so far disbursed in each of these Districts ?

Shri Parmananda Gogoi — (Minister of State, Revenue) replied :

240. (a)— 60, 502 nos. i. e., 50, 388 damaged and 10,114 eroded.

[b]—Same as shown in [a] above approximately:

(c)—Government have so far sanctioned the following amount both as grant and loan—

1. Rehabilitation Grant— Rs.

(1) Lakhimpur District 57,600

(2) Sibsagar " 2,40,000

(3) Nowgong " 1,00,000

(4) Darrang " 35,000

(5) Goalpara " 1,90,000

(6) Kamrup " 2,25,000

(7) Cachar " 44,000

Total 8,91,600

2. Rehabilitation Loan—

Rs,

[1] Goalpara District 10,000

[d]—The disbursement of the amounts sanctioned under [c] above, will be known after the financial year is over. District Officers are doing it.

Re : National Highway from Jakhalabanda to Dimow

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua asked :

241. Will the Minister, P. W. D. (R. & B.) be pleased to state—

[a] Whether the Government is aware of the deteriorating conditions of National Highway from Jakhalabanda to Dimow ?

[b] If so, what are the reasons for keeping this

road in such deteriorating condition?

Shri Altaf Hossain Mazumder [Minister, P. W. D. (R. & B.)] replied :

241. [a]—The surface condition of some portions of the National Highway in this sector is not good.

[b]—The bad condition is due to failure of pavement for plying of high intensity vehicular traffic for which the road was not initially designed and due to submergence by floods in miles 117-119. Improvement works in different sections are in progress and for certain portions sanctions from Central Government are awaited.

Re : Over-bridge over Thakera Railway Gate

Shri Pitsing Konwar asked :

*242. Will the Minister, P. W. D. (R. & B.) be pleased to state—

[a] Whether any representation has been received regarding construction of an over-bridge on Thakera Railway Gate within Nowgong District ?

[b] If so, what steps have been taken so far in this regard ?

Shri Altaf Hossain Mazumder [Minister, P. W. D. (R. & B.)] replied :

242. [a]—Yes. A representation from the Hon'ble Members for the construction of an over-bridge at Thakera Railway Gate on National Highway 37 at 55/4 mile under Nowgong National Highway Division has been received.

(b)—Prior to receipt of the aforesaid representation, a proposal along with necessary plans and estimates in the matter was sent to the Government of India. Further action will depend on Government of India's acceptance or otherwise of the proposal.

Re : Bridge over Bhoijan and Dibru River

শ্রীউপেন্দ্ৰ নাথ সনাতনে সুবিছে :

০২৪৩। মাননীয় গড়কাপ্তানী বিভাগৰ মন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ে অনুগ্ৰহ কৰি জনাবনে—

(ক) ডিব্ৰুগড় মহকুমাৰ গুইজান ডিব্ৰু নদীৰ ওপৰত ১৯৬৭ চনৰ পৰা আজিলৈকে অস্থায়ী দলং সজাত সৰ্ব্বমুঠ কিমান টকা খৰচ হৈছে আৰু কোন বছৰত কিমান টকা খৰচ হৈছে আৰু উক্ত অস্থায়ী দলংখন কিমান দিনলৈ থাকে অনুগ্ৰহ কৰি জনাবনে ?

(খ) ডিব্ৰুগড় মহকুমাৰ গুইজান ডিব্ৰু নদীৰ পৰা লাইকা গাওঁলৈ অহা-যোৱা কৰা বাস্তৱ ওপৰত কেইখন কাঠৰ দলং আছে আৰু প্ৰত্যেক খনৰ দীঘ আৰু প্ৰস্থ কিমান জনাবনে ?

[গ] উক্ত কাঠৰ দলং বিলাক সজাবৰ বাবে ১৯৬৭ চনৰ পৰা আজিলৈকে সৰ্ব্বমুঠ কিমান টকা খৰচ হ'ল আৰু প্ৰত্যেক খনত বছৰি কিমান টকা খৰচ হৈছে অনুগ্ৰহ কৰি জনাবনে ?

[ঘ] গুইজানৰ পৰা লাইকা গাওঁলৈ অহা-যোৱা কৰা উক্ত বাস্তৱ ওপৰত কাঠ পাৰি দিয়া হয়নেকি আৰু যদি হয়, তেন্তে কিমান ঠাইত হয় আৰু তাৰ বাবে বছৰি কিমান টকা খৰচ কৰা হয়। ১৯৬৭ চনৰ পৰা আজিলৈকে এই উদ্দেশ্যে সৰ্ব্বমুঠ কিমান টকা খৰচ হৈছে আৰু বছৰি কিমানকৈ হয় অনুগ্ৰহ কৰি জনাবনে ?

গড়কাপ্তানী (গৃহ আৰু পথ) বিভাগৰ মন্ত্ৰী শ্ৰীআলতাফ হুচেইন মজুমদাৰে উত্তৰ দিছে :

২৪৩। [ক]—ডিব্ৰুগড়ৰ অস্থায়ী [শীতকালীন] দলং সজাত খৰচ হোৱা খনৰ

পৰিমাণ—

১৯৬৭— ৫,৮৭৫ টকা।

১৯৬৮— ৬,১৪৫ ”

১৯৬৯— ৬,২১৬ ”

১৯৭০— নাই [এতিয়ালৈকে]।

মুঠ ১৮,২৩৬ টকা।

উক্ত শীতকালীন দলংখন প্ৰতি বছৰে ডিচেম্বৰ মাহৰ পৰা মে মাহলৈ থাকে।

[খ]—দহ মিটাৰ দীঘল আৰু ৩'৬৬ মিটাৰ বহল এখনমাত্ৰ কাঠৰ দলং আছে।

(গ)—এই কাঠৰ দলংখন সজা সম্পৰ্কত আজিলৈকে খৰচ হোৱা ধনৰ পৰিমাণ—

১৯৬৭— ৬০০ টকা।

১৯৬৮— ৮৫০ ”

১৯৬৯— ৫,১৩৪ ”

১৯৭০— নাই [এতিয়ালৈকে]।

মুঠ ৬,৫৮৪ টকা।

[ঘ]—বাস্তাৰ ওপৰত কতো কাঠ পাৰি দিয়া নহয়, কিন্তু দলঙৰ দুই ফালে আৰু বোকা হোৱা ঠাইবোৰত বালি আৰু ঘাঁহনী দিওঁতে ১৯৬৭ চনৰ পৰা আজিলৈকে তলত দিয়া পৰিমাণৰ ধন খৰচ হয়—

১৯৬৭— ৬,৮২৮ টকা।

১৯৬৮— ২,৫৩১ ”

১৯৬৯— ৩,২৬২ ”

১৯৭০— নাই [এতিয়ালৈকে]।

মুঠ ১২,৬২১ টকা।

Re : Suspension of Transport Service on Barpeta-D
Hajo-Gauhati Route

শ্ৰীমাজ্জিৰুৰ বহমান চৌধুৰীয়ে সুধিছে :

২২৪৪। মাননীয় গড়কাপ্তানি বিভাগৰ মন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ে অনুগ্ৰহ কৰি জনাবনে—

[ক] চৰকাৰে জানেনে যে, যোৱা ৫ মাহ ধৰি বৰপেটা-দৌলাশাল-হাজো-গুৱাহাটী বাস্তাৱত যাতায়ত বন্ধ হৈ আছে ?

(খ) এইটো সঁচানে গড়কাপ্তানি বিভাগে হেলা কৰাৰ ফলত এনে ব্যৱস্থা হৈছে ?

(গ) যদি নহয় বুলি কয়, তেন্তে চৰকাৰে প্ৰশ্নকাৰকলৈ এটি তদন্ত কৰি চাবনে ?

(ঘ) এইটো সঁচানে, উক্ত বাস্তাৱটো ওখকৈ বান্ধিবৰ বাবে ঠিকা দিয়াৰ সময়ত উপযুক্ত মানুহক ঠিকা নিদিয়াৰ ফলত বৰ্তমান যি অংশ ভাঙিছে উক্ত অংশটো ওখ কৰিবলৈ লোৱা কাম সম্পূৰ্ণ নহল ?

গড়কাপ্তানি (গৃহ আৰু পথ) বিভাগৰ মন্ত্ৰী শ্ৰীআলতাফ হুছেইন মজুমদাৰে উত্তৰ দিছে :

২৪৪। (ক)—বৰপেটাৰ পৰা দৌলাশাললৈ যাওঁতে দুটা বাস্তাৱ অতিক্ৰম কৰিব লাগে যেনে (১) ভেল্লা-আম্ৰিখোৱা আৰু (২) নগাওঁ-মাজদিয়া। নগাওঁ-মাজদিয়া বাস্তাৱ সম্পূৰ্ণ অংশ বন্ধ নাছিল আৰু চেঙালৈ যান-বাহনৰ বাবে মুকলি আছিল। যোৱা জুলাই মাহৰ ব্ৰহ্মপুত্ৰৰ অভূতপূৰ্ব বানপানীয়ে নগাওঁ-মাজদিয়া বাস্তাৱ ১০, ১১, ১২ আৰু ১৩ কিলোমিটাৰ আৰু ৭/১ নং দলংখন নানা ভাবে ক্ষতিগ্ৰস্ত কৰা বাবে উক্ত অংশত ২৪।৭।৭০ ৰ পৰা ৩০।১১।৭০ লৈ যান-বাহন বন্ধ আছিল ?

[খ]—নহয় ৪ [১০.১১, ১২, ১৩] কিঃ মিঃ ৰ বিভিন্ন অংশত মুঠতে ২৭৬ মিঃ বাস্তাৱ চিঙি গৈছিল আৰু এই চিঙা অংশবোৰৰ গভীৰতাও ৩ৰ পৰা ৬ মিটাৰলৈ আছিল ; ২৩০ মিটাৰ ঠাইত কুকাৰুজানৰে অহা ব্ৰহ্মপুত্ৰৰ পানীয়ে বাস্তাৱ আধা অংশ খহাই নিছিল। ওচৰে-পাজৰে মাটিবোৰ পানীত তলগৈ থকাৰ বাবে ৩৪ মিটাৰ পানীৰ গভীৰতা থকা চিঙা অংশবোৰত মাটিৰ কাম কৰা সম্ভৱ নাছিল। নবেম্বৰৰ মাজ ভাগত দূৰৈত অলপ মাটি পোৱা হয় আৰু বিশেষ কষ্টেৰে ১১।২।৭০ ৰ পৰা বাস্তাৱ এই অংশ যান-বাহনৰ কাৰণে উপযোগী।

[গ]—প্ৰশ্ন নুঠে।

[ঘ]—সঁচা নহয়। বাস্তাৱ ওখ কৰা কাম উপযুক্ত ঠিকাদাৰকেই দিয়া

হৈছিল আৰু যোৱা বছৰ ওখ কৰা ঠাইৰ কণ্ডো চিঙা নাছিল। এই বছৰ বানপানী আইন বছৰতকৈ বহুগুণে বেছি হোৱা বাবে আৰু কুদাৰজানেৰে ব্ৰহ্মপুত্ৰৰ পানী সোমাই অহা বাবে যোৱা বছৰ ওখ নকৰা ঠাইবোৰতহে এইবাৰ চিঙিছিল।

Re : Construction of Government M. V. School building in Sibsagar

Shri Promode Chandra Gogoi asked :

*245. Will the (Minister, P. W. D. (R & B) be pleased to state—

[a] Whether it is a fact that the P. W. D allotted works to contractors for construction of the Government Middle Vernacular School building in Sibsagar ?

(b If so, the reasons for not starting of the construction of the school building ?

[c] Whether the construction work of the school building will begin during the financial year ?

Shri Altaf Hossain Mazumder (Minister, P. W. D. (R.&B.)] replied :

245. (a) & [d]—Yes. But in view of the Government decision to stop all non plan Capital expenditure on building project forthwith, the work has to be stopped.

[c]—As the Financial bar imposed by Government has not been lifted, no work on the project can be expected to be started by P. W. D. during the financial year.

Re : National Highway

শ্ৰীশৰৎ চন্দ্ৰ বাৰ্তাই সুধিছে :

*২৪৬। মাননীয় গড়কাপ্তানি বিভাগৰ মন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ে অনুগ্রহ কৰি

জগাবনে—

[ক] এইটো কথা সচানে যে দুখনে সমষ্টিৰ অস্তিত্ব কৃষ্ণাইৰ পূৰ্বকাষত থকা পাখিবীণ্ডৰী গাওঁৰ পৰা কৃষ্ণাই হাইৱেজৰ সন্মুখলৈকে জাতীয় উচ্চপথ [National high way] ত দিবলৈ বলডাৰ আৰু শিলগুট সংগ্ৰহ কৰা হৈছে ?

[খ] চৰকাৰৰ গড়কাপ্তানি বিভাগৰ মেনুৱেল অনুসাবে কি কি মাপৰ আৰু কি কি নামৰ বলডাৰ আৰু শিলগুট বাস্তাৱত দিবলৈ ব্যৱহাৰ কৰে ?

[গ] এইটো কথা সঁচানে যে, উক্ত বাস্তাৱত দিবলৈ সংগ্ৰহ কৰা বলডাৰ সমূহৰ মাপ সমান নহয় আৰু ব্যৱহাৰৰ অযোগ্য পাথৰ ?

[ঘ] এই সম্পৰ্কত আপত্তি দৰ্শাই ৫।১০।৭০ ইং তাৰিখে পঠোৱা ৰাইজৰ অভিযোগ পত্ৰ খন পাইছেনে ?

[ঙ] যদি পাইছে, চৰকাৰে এই বিষয়ে কি ব্যৱস্থা হাতত লৈছে ?

গড়কাপ্তানি বিভাগৰ মন্ত্ৰী শ্ৰীআলতাফ হুছেইন মজুমদাৰে উত্তৰ দিছে :
২৪৩। ক) হয়, সঁচা।

[খ] উক্ত ৰাষ্ট্ৰীয় পথ নতুনকৈ নিৰ্মাণ কৰিবলৈ হলে চলিঙৰ কাৰণে ১৩ৰ পৰা ১৮ চেঃ মিটাৰলৈ ডাঙৰ পাথৰ ব্যৱহাৰ কৰা হয় আৰু কনচলিডেচনৰ কাৰণে ২৫ মিলিমিটাৰৰ পৰা ৫০ মিলিমিটাৰলৈ ভঙা পাথৰ [মেটেল] ব্যৱহাৰ কৰা হয়।

[গ]—উক্ত পথত ব্যৱহাৰ কৰিবলৈ অনা বলডাৰ মাপৰ নহয় আৰু ব্যৱহাৰৰ অযোগ্য বুলি কৰা অভিযোগটো সম্পূৰ্ণ সত্য নহয়। যিহেতু ঠিকাদাৰ জনে এতিয়ালৈকে সংগ্ৰহ কৰা বলডাৰ আৰু মেটেলবিলাক বেছি ভাগেই ভাল শ্ৰেণীৰ, মাথোন তাৰ মাজৰ এটা নগণ্য অংশহে অলপ ডাঙৰ আকাৰৰ আৰু অলপ অংশ বেয়া ধৰণৰ।

[ঘ]—অভিযোগ-পত্ৰখন পোৱা হৈছে। কিন্তু এই পত্ৰ পোৱাৰ আগতেই উক্ত ডাঙৰ আৰু বেয়া ধৰণৰ সংগ্ৰহ কৰা পাথৰ চৰকাৰৰ দৃষ্টিগোচৰ হৈছে।

আৰু ঠিকাদাৰক যথাসময়ত সেই বেয়া পাথৰবিলাক উঠাই নিবলৈ আৰু ডাঙৰ পাথৰবোৰ ভাঙি নিৰ্দিষ্ট আকাৰত কৰিবলৈ নিৰ্দেশ দিয়া হৈছে আৰু কাৰ্য্য-বাহী অভিযন্তাই নিজে সেই কাম পৰিদৰ্শন কৰি উক্ত ব্যৱস্থা লবলৈ ঠিকাদাৰক নিৰ্দেশ দিছে।

[ঙ]—যেতিয়ালৈকে এই দোষবিলাক শুধৰণি নহয় তেতিয়ালৈকে তেওঁৰ সংগ্ৰহ কৰা পাথৰবোৰ গ্ৰহণ কৰা নহব বুলি ঠিকাদাৰক জনাই দিয়া হৈছে।

শিচত পৰিদৰ্শনৰ সময়ত দেখা গৈছে যে ঠিকাদাৰজনে সেই ডাঙৰ পাথৰৰ কিছু অংশ ভাঙি নিৰ্দিষ্ট আকাৰৰ কৰি আছে আৰু বেয়াবিলাক আঁতৰাই নিছে। ডাঙৰ আকাৰৰ পাথৰবোৰ ভাঙি নিৰ্দিষ্ট আকাৰৰ কৰা কাম শেষ নোহোৱাৰ কাৰণে আজিলৈকে তেওঁৰ সংগ্ৰহ কৰা পাথৰবোৰৰ জোখ লোৱা হোৱা নাই।

Re : P. W. D. Road

শ্ৰীআজিজুৰ বহমান চৌধুৰীয়ে সুধিছে :

*২৪৭ মাননীয় গড়কাপ্তানী বিভাগৰ মন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ে অনুগ্ৰহ কৰি জনাবনে—

[ক] ভেল্লা পল্লাৰ বাস্তাৰ পৰা নগাৱৰ পূব পিনে কুৰিহাৰ পৰা এটি P.W.D. বাস্তা বাগোদী হৈ উত্তৰ অঞ্চললৈ গৈছেনে ?

(খ) কি কাৰণত এই বাস্তাটো মেৰামতি কৰা নহয় আৰু কি কাৰণত উক্ত বাস্তাৰ বাবে এজন মহৰী আৰু ৩ জন শ্ৰমিক বখা হয় ?

(গ) এইটো চৰকাৰে হিচাব কৰি জনাবনে, যেতিয়াৰ পৰা উক্ত বাস্তাটোত এজন মহৰী আৰু শ্ৰমিক ৩ জনক দৰমহাৰ বাবে আজিলৈকে কিমান টকা দিয়া হৈছে আৰু প্ৰতি বছৰত কিমান টকা খৰচ হৈছে।

শ্ৰীআলভাক্ হুছেইন মজুমদাৰ, [গড়কাপ্তানি বিভাগৰ (গৃহ আৰু পথ) মন্ত্ৰীয়ে] উত্তৰ দিছে:

২৪৭। (ক)—হয়।

(খ) বাস্তাৰ মেৰা-মতিৰ কাম প্ৰয়োজন অনুসাৰে কৰা হয়, স্বাভাবিক মেৰা-মতিৰ বাবে ৩ জন শ্ৰমিক আৰু ১ জন মহৰী নিয়মিত ভাবে বখা হয় আৰু বাৰিষা কালত শ্ৰমিকৰ সংখ্যা প্ৰয়োজন অনুসাৰে বঢ়োৱা হয়।

(গ) উপৰোক্ত (খ) প্ৰশ্নৰ উত্তৰত কোৱামতে মহৰী আৰু শ্ৰমিক বোৰক বাস্তাৰ কাম সম্পূৰ্ণ হোৱাৰ পিচৰ পৰাই বখা হৈছে আৰু যোৱা ৩ বছৰত এই বাস্তাৰ মেৰা-মতিৰ ব্যয় হোৱা খনৰ পৰিমাণ তলত দিয়া হ'ল।

১৯৬৯-৭০	...	৪,৯৪৮ টকা
১৯৬৯-৭০	—	৪,০১৮ ”
১৯৭০-৭১	...	৫,১৯৩ ”

(১৯৭০ চনৰ নভেম্বৰ মাহলৈকে)

মুঠ — ১৪,১৫৯ টকা

Re : Taken over of Road by P.W.D.

শ্রীভদ্রকান্ত গগৈয়ে স্মৃতিছে :

*২৪৮। মাননীয় গড়কাপ্তানী বিভাগৰ মন্ত্রী মহোদয়ে অনুগ্রহ কৰি জনাবনে—

(ক) চতুৰ্থ পঞ্চবাৰ্ষিক পৰিকল্পনাত লক্ষীমপুৰ জিলাৰ জয়পুৰ সমষ্টিত কেইটা নতুন আলি লোৱা হৈছে আৰু আলিৰ নাম আৰু কিমান, টকা জনাবনে ?

(খ) যি বিলাক আলি লোৱা হৈছে সেই আলি বিলাকৰ কাম আৰম্ভ কৰা হৈছে নে ?

(গ) যদি হৈছে, কেতিয়াৰ পৰা কোন আলিৰ কাম আৰম্ভ হৈছে ?

শ্রীআলতাফ হুচেইন মজুমদাৰ (গড়কাপ্তানী বিভাগৰ মন্ত্রীয়ে) উত্তৰ দিছে :

২৪৮। (ক)—লক্ষীমপুৰ জিলাৰ জয়পুৰ সমষ্টিত চতুৰ্থ পঞ্চবাৰ্ষিক পৰিকল্পনাত নতুনকৈ মুঠ চাৰিটা আলি লোৱা হৈছে। সেই আলি কেইটাৰ নাম প্রশাসনীয় অনুমোদিত টকাৰ পৰিমাণ সহ তলত গাঠিদিয়া সূচীত দেখুৱা হ'ল।

(খ) আৰু (গ)—প্রশ্নোত্তৰ তলত দিয়া তালিকাত সম্পূৰ্ণ কামৰ বিৱৰণী সদনৰ মেজত ৰখা হৈছে।

Re: Officials of Minority Community

Shri Jalal Uddin Ahmed asked :

*249. Will the Minister, P. W. D. (R. & B.) be pleased to state the number of office Assistants and Peons of P. W. D. Division in Barpeta from the Minority Community.

Shri Altaf Hossain Mazumder - [Minister P.W.D. (R & B.)] replied :

249. (i) Office Assistants— 4 Nos,

(ii) Office Peon—Nil,

Re : Dissolution of Golokganj Anchalik Panchayat

Shri Kabir Chandra Roy Prodhani asked :

*250. Will the Minister Panchayat and C. D. be pleased

to state—

(a) Whether it is a fact that the Deputy Commissioner Goalpara has recommended for dissolution of Golokganj Anchalik Panchayat and if so, why ?

[b] Whether the Minister will be pleased to make personal enquiry for immediate appropriate action for proper functioning of the Anchalik Panchayat ?

[c] Whether it is a fact that some leading members of A. P., Golokganj had taken C. I. Sheets [Block] as loan ?

(d) Whether those were returned ?

(e) If not, why ?

Shri Devendra Nath Hazarika (Minister of State, Panchayat and C. D.) replied :

250 [a]—Yes because the Golokganj Anchalik Panchayat had made defaults persistently in the discharge of its duties.

(b)—Necessary action had already been taken by serving a notice to the Golokganj A. P. to show cause why it should not be superseded.

(c)—Yes, some A. P. members had taken loan of some black C. I. Sheets.

[d] & [e]—The C. I. sheets had not been returned in spite of repeated request. We are ascertaining the reasons why there had been no response.

Re : Cottage Industries Advisory Board

শ্রীভদ্রকান্ত গগৈয়ে স্থিছে :

*২৫১। মাননীয় শিল্প বিভাগৰ মন্ত্রী মহোদয়ে অনুগ্রহ কৰি জনাবনে

(ক) কুটীৰ শিল্প উপদেষ্টা বোর্ডখন কেতিয়া গঠন কৰা হৈছিল ?

(খ) এই বোর্ডৰ আয়ুসকাল কিমান দিন ?

(গ) এই বোর্ড বিধান সভাৰ জৰীয়ে নিৰ্বাচিত সভ্যৰ দ্বাৰা গঠন কৰা হয় নে ?

[ঘ] ১৯৬৭ চনত যিখন বোর্ড গঠিত হৈছিল সেই সভ্য সকলৰ নাম কি কি ?

শ্রীবিষ্ণুদেৱ শৰ্মা শিল্প বিভাগৰ মন্ত্ৰীয়ে উত্তৰ দিছে :

২৫১ [ক].—Assam Aid to Industries Small and Cottage Industries) Act. 1955 অনুসৰি কুটীৰ শিল্প উপদেষ্টা বোর্ডখন ১৯৫৬ চনত গঠন কৰা হয় ।

(খ).—Assam Aid to Industries (Small and Cottage Industries) Act, 1955 আৰু সেই আইনৰ মতে প্ৰস্তুত কৰা নিয়মাবলী অনুসৰি বে-চৰকাৰী সভ্যৰ কাৰ্য্যকাল দুবছৰ । চৰকাৰী সভ্যই ৰাজ্যপালে পুনৰ আদেশ নিদিয়ালৈকে কাৰ্য্যকাল ভোগ কৰে ।

(গ).—১২ জন বে-চৰকাৰী সভ্যৰ ভিতৰত ৮ জন বিধান আৰু লোক সভাৰ সদস্য । বাদ-বাকী চৰকাৰী সভ্যসকল ৰাজ্যপালৰ পুনৰ আদেশ নোলো-ৱালৈকে কাৰ্য্যকাল ভোগ কৰে ।

[ঘ].—১৯৬৭ চনত গঠন কৰা বোর্ডৰ সভ্য সকলৰ নাম তলত দিয়া হ'ল —

[১] শিল্প বিভাগৰ সচিব, চেয়াৰমেন ।

[২] শিল্প অধিকৰ্ত্তা সভ্য, ম্পাদক ।

[৩] বেচম আৰু বয়ন অধিকৰ্ত্তা, সভ্য

[৪] বিত্ত সচিব ”

[৫] ব্যৱস্থাপক অধিকৰ্ত্তা, অসম

বিত্ত নিগম । ”

[৬] এজেন্ট, ষ্টেট বেংক অৱ ইণ্ডিয়া ”

[৭] ব্যৱস্থাপক অধিকৰ্ত্তা, অসম

সমবায় এপেক্স বেংক । ”

(৮) শ্রীৰাজলুল ৰাচিত, বিধান সভাৰ সদস্য, পোঃ আঃ জমাদাৰহাট জিলা
গোৱালপাৰা সভ্য

- (৯) শ্রীউপেন্দ্র নাথ সনাতন বি: সঃ সঃ, পো: আ: তিনিচুকীয়া । সভা
- [১০] শ্রীসুবেন্দ্র নাথ দাস বি: সঃ সঃ পো: আ: বৰমা " "
- [১১] শ্রীকেহোৰাম হাজৰিকা, বি: সঃ সঃ, পো: আ: নগাওঁ । " "
- (১২) শ্রীএ, কে, লুফল হ'ক বি: সঃ সঃ শিলচৰ কাছাৰ । " "
- [১৩] শ্রীভদ্র কান্ত গগৈ, বি: সঃ সঃ পো: আ: নাহৰকটীয়া । " "
- (১৪) শ্রীজনাৰ্দ্দন শৰ্মা, পো: আ: বকো । " "
- [১৫] শ্রীলীলা কান্ত বৰা, পো: আ: নগাওঁ । " "
- [১৬] বামালুজ আদিত্য, পো: আ: কৰিমগঞ্জ । " "
- [১৭] শ্রীসবেশ্বৰ বৰদলৈ, পো: আ: ঘোৰহাট । " "
- [১৮] শ্রীমহানন্দ বৰা, পো: আ: উত্তৰ লক্ষীমপুৰ । " "
- [১৯] শ্রীবিজয় শৰ্মা, পো: আ: তেজপুৰ । " "

Re : Sugarcane Research Centre in Khumtai Mouza

শ্রীসোনেশ্বৰ বৰাই সুধিছে :

*২৫২। মাননীয় কৃষি বিভাগৰ মন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ে অনুগ্রহ কৰি জনাবনে—

(ক) গোলাঘাট মহকুমাৰ অন্তৰ্গত খুমাটাই মৌজাত কৃষি বিভাগে এখন কুঁহিয়াৰ গৱেষণা কেন্দ্ৰ স্থাপন কৰা হয়নে আৰু এই গৱেষণা কেন্দ্ৰ কেতিয়া স্থাপন কৰিছিল ?

(খ) এই কুঁহিয়াৰ গৱেষণা কেন্দ্ৰটোত মুঠ কিমান মাটি চৰকাৰে দিছিল আৰু কি কি বিধৰ মাটি ?

(গ) এই গৱেষণা কেন্দ্ৰটোত চৰকাৰে বন্দোবস্তী দিয়া মাটিবোৰ কেন্দ্ৰটোৰে দখল কৰিব পাৰিছেনে বা গোটেই মাটিতে কেন্দ্ৰৰ আৱশ্যকীয় কাম কৰিব পাৰিছেনে ?

(ঘ) যদি নাই পৰা, কিয় পৰা নাই আৰু যদি পৰাহে নাই, তেন্তে ইয়াক কামত খটুৱাবলৈ কি ব্যৱস্থা গ্ৰহণ কৰা হৈছে ?

(ঙ) এই কুঁহিয়াৰ গৱেষণা কেন্দ্ৰটো প্ৰতিষ্ঠা হোৱাৰ পৰা এতিয়ালৈকে কিমান খৰচ হৈছে আৰু কোন বছৰত কিমান খৰচ কৰা হৈছে ?

(চ) এই কেন্দ্ৰটোত কোন বিধৰ কিমান কৰ্মচাৰীয়ে কাম কৰে ?

শ্রীজাতাউৰ বহমান (কৃষি বিভাগৰ মন্ত্ৰীয়ে) উত্তৰ দিছে :

২৫২। (ক)— হয় । ১৯৫৭-৫৮ চনত গোলাঘাট মহকুমাৰ খুমাটাই মৌজাৰ

বুঝালিকচনত কুঁহিয়াৰ গবেষণা কেন্দ্ৰটো স্থাপন কৰা হয়।

(খ) — চৰকাৰে ইয়াৰ বাবে ১০০ একৰ গাঁৱৰ চৰণীয়া পথৰুৱা মাটি দিয়ে। ইয়াৰে ৮৫ একৰ ওখ মাটি আৰু ১৫ একৰ দ' [হোলা] মাটি।

(গ) — গোটেইখিনি মাটি সম্পূৰ্ণৰূপে দখল কৰিব পৰা নাই। কিন্তু যিখিনি মাটি দখলত আছে সেই খিনি সম্পূৰ্ণৰূপে কামত খটোৱা হৈছে।

(ঘ) বৰ্তমানে এই কেন্দ্ৰই ৯০ একৰ মাটি গবেষণা আৰু কুঁহিয়াৰ খেতি বৰ্দ্ধিত কৰাৰ কামত খটুৱাইছে। বাকী ১০ একৰ মাটি আন মানুহৰ বেদখলত আছে। এইখিনি মাটিও গবেষণাগাৰক গটাই দিবলৈ ৰাজহ বিভাগক অনুৰোধ জনোৱা হৈছে।

(ঙ) — মুঠ ৬,৩৬,৭২৫ টকা খৰচ হৈছে। প্ৰতি বছৰৰ খটুৱান এটি তলত দিয়া হ'ল।

চন	মুঠ ব্যয়
১৯৫৭-৫৮	১৯,৪৮০'০০
১৯৫৮-৫৯	২৩,৫৯৫'০০
১৯৫৯-৬০	৩৩,৭৭২'০০
১৯৬০-৬১	৭৫,০৬২'৫০
১৯৬১-৬২	৭০,২৮৮'০০
১৯৬২-৬৩	৩৪,৩০৮'৫০
১৯৬৩-৬৪	৩৬,৬৪৫'৫০
১৯৬৪-৬৫	৪৩,১১০'০০
১৯৬৫-৬৬	৬৬,৪৯৮'৫০
১৯৬৬-৬৭	৫৫,৬৫৭'০০
১৯৬৭-৬৮	৮০,৩০৬'৫০
১৯৬৮-৬৯	৭৭,৯৮৯'৫০
১৯৬৯-৭০	২০,০৯৯'০০

(১৯৬৯ চনৰ ৩১ আগষ্টলৈকে)

মুঠ ৬,৩৬,৭২৫'০০

(৫) এই কেব্রটোত কাম কৰা বস্ম'চাৰীসকলৰ তালিকা তুলত দিয়া হ'ল—

[১] মেনেজাৰ (পায়ৰ) এজন।

[২] সহকাৰী কুঁহিয়াৰ গৱেষণা বিষয়া— এজন।

[৩] সহকাৰী ফিল্ড বিষয়া— এজন।

[৪] গৱেষণাগাৰৰ বস্ম'চাৰী— এজন।

[৫] পিয়ন— দুজন।

[৬] চকিদাৰ— এজন।

Re : Official in the Jaipua Anchalik Panchayat Office

শ্রীভদ্রকান্ত গগৈয়ে স্মৃতিছে :

*২৫৩। মাননীয় পঞ্চায়ত বিভাগৰ মন্ত্রী মহোদয়ে অনুগ্রহ কৰি জনাবনে—

(ক) জয়পুৰ আঞ্চলিক পঞ্চায়ত কাৰ্যালয়ত শ্রীউদয় উৰাণ্ডাৰে উৰ বিলাই নামৰ এজন চাকৰিয়াল আছেনে আৰু যদি আছে চাকৰিটো কি ?

[গ] উক্ত উদয় উৰাণ্ডাৰ বিৰুদ্ধে মটৰ গাড়ীৰ বেটাৰী চুৰ কৰা এটা অভিযোগ পুলিচৰ হাতত ধকাটো সঁচানে ?

[গ] এওঁক ধৰিবৰ বাবে পুলিচে ওৱাৰেট জাৰি কৰা সঁচানে ?

শ্রীদেবেন্দ্ৰ নাথ হাজৰীকা [ৰাজ্যিক পঞ্চায়ত বিভাগৰ মন্ত্রীয়ে] উত্তৰ দিছে :

২৫৩। [ক]—হয়, তেওঁ জয়পুৰ আঞ্চলিক পঞ্চায়ত সভাপতিৰ পিয়ন হিচাবে নিযুক্ত হৈছে।

[খ] আৰু [গ] উক্ত শ্রীউদয় উৰাণ্ডাৰ নিয়োগ হববে পৰা বেটাৰী চুৰি সম্পৰ্কে তেওঁৰ বিৰুদ্ধে কোনো পুলিচ মোকদ্দমা সম্প্ৰতি চলানই।

Re : Chief Minister's Visit to Naharkatia

শ্রীভদ্রকান্ত গগৈয়ে স্মৃতিছে :

*২৫৪। মাননীয় স্বাস্থ্য বিভাগৰ মন্ত্রী মহোদয়ে অনুগ্রহ কৰি জনাবনে—

[ক] ১৬.৮.৭০ তাৰিখে মন্ত্রী মহোদয়ে নাহৰকটীয়া নগৰ ভ্ৰমণৰ সময়ত

সময়ত চৰকাৰী পৰিদৰ্শক বঙলাত [Inspection Bungalow] নাহৰকটীয়াৰ এটি সজাতি দলে মন্ত্ৰী ডাঙৰীয়াৰ লগ থকাটো সঁচানে ?

[থ] নাহৰকটীয়াত এখন ৰাজ্যিক চিকিৎসালয়ৰ মাটি কিনিবৰ বাবে ৩০,০০০ [দ্বিশ হাজাৰ] টকা দিম বুলি উক্ত সজাতি দলক আশ্বাস দিয়া কথাটো সত্যনে ?

[গ] যদি সত্য, এই টকা কেতিয়া দিব আৰু কোন খিনি মাটিৰ বাবে দিয়া হব ?

শ্ৰীহৰিশং তেৰণ (জনস্বাস্থ্য মন্ত্ৰীয়ে) উত্তৰ দিছে :

২৫৪। (ক) - সঁচা ।

(থ) - নহয় ।

[গ] থ - প্রশ্নৰ উত্তৰৰ পৰিপ্ৰেক্ষিতত প্রশ্ন মুঠে ।

Re : State Hospital at Majuli Mowamari

শ্ৰীমহীধৰ পেগুয়ে সুধিছে :

*২৫৫। মাননীয় স্বাস্থ্য বিভাগৰ মন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ে অনুগ্ৰহ কৰি জনাবনে—

(ক) বিগত ১৯৫৯ চনৰ পৰা মাইজুলীৰ মোৱামাৰীত এখন ৰাজ্যিক চিকিৎসালয় প্ৰতিষ্ঠা কৰাৰ বাবে সদৌ অসম মিছিং বানে কেৰাণ্ড আৰু অন্যান্য প্ৰতিনিধিৰ যোগে দাবী জমাই অহা কথাটো সঁচানে ?

(থ) পৰৱৰ্তী কালছোৱাত অসম চৰকাৰৰ জনজাতীয় পৰিক্ৰমা দপ্তৰ আৰু স্বাস্থ্য মন্ত্ৰীৰ দ্বাৰা উল্লিখিত চিকিৎসালয় প্ৰতিষ্ঠা সংক্ৰান্তত একাধিক বাৰ সম্মতি সূচক সমিধান দি অহা কথাটো সঁচানে ?

(গ) - যোৱা ২। ৩ বছৰ ধৰি অসম ভৈয়ামবাসী জনজাতীয় কল্যাণমূলক উপদেষ্টা কাউঞ্চিলত বিষয়টোৰ বিশেষ গুৰুত্বলাভ কৰা কথাৰ প্ৰতি চৰকাৰ সজাগ নে ?

(ঘ) - যদি সেয়ে হয় তেন্তে অদ্যপৰিমিত উক্ত চিকিৎসালয় প্ৰতিষ্ঠা কৰা প্ৰাৰম্ভিক কামখিনিও আৰম্ভ নোহোৱাৰ কাৰণ কি ?

শ্ৰীহৰিশং তেৰণ, জনস্বাস্থ্য বিভাগৰ মন্ত্ৰীয়ে উত্তৰ দিছে ।

২৫৫। (ক) - সঁচা ।

(খ) সঁচা।

(গ)—হয়, সত্যতা।

(ঘ) চৰকাৰে মাজুলীৰ মোৰাঘাৰীত ২৭৫ অনুচ্ছেদৰ অধীনত এখন বাজ্যিক ভেৰজালয় স্থাপনৰ সিদ্ধান্ত লৈছে আৰু এই বিষয়ে জিলা অসামৰিক শল্য চিকিৎসক আৰু কাৰ্যবাহী অভিযন্তাক শী.ব্ৰহ্মই প্ৰাকদলন দাখিল কৰিবলৈ লেখা হৈছে।

Re : Taken over of Local Board Dispensaries

Shri Prabhat Narayan Choudhury asked :

*256. Will the Minister, Health be pleased to state—

[a] What is the number of Local Board Dispensaries in the State that were taken over by the Government and when ?

[b] Whether Government is aware that in most of the dispensaries the buildings are in a dilapidated condition unfit for running of dispensaries ?

[c] What was the programme of improvement of the dispensaries takee over by Government and for provision of Doctors and Pharmacists' quarters ?

() How many of the dispensaries buildings and quarters have so far been improved and when the others will be completed ?

(e) What is the policy followed so far for regular supply of medicine through decentralisation of purchase ?

Shri Chatrasing Teron (Minister In-charge, Health) replied :

256. (a) Two hundred and five including five out centres in the year 1959.

(b)—Most of them are not in good condition because of initial defects in construction by Local Boards.

(c)—Initial programme in 1963 (July) was to reconstruct five such dispensaries every year subject to availability of funds. But as fund position on normal side is very bad this could not be done.

(d)—Twelve have been reconstructed. Four have been converted to P. H. C. after reconstruction and administrative approval for conversion of another 18 Local Board dispensaries to P. H. C. by reconstruction have been issued upto date.

(e)—Medicines are supplied to the dispensaries and other medical institutions after purchase from the approved firms and the purchase is made by the respective Civil Surgeons on the indent of the medical officer in charge of the dispensary and medical institution. Further decentralisation of purchasing authority is not desirable at the moment.

Re : State Dispensary Building of Mornai Bebezia

Shri Nameswar Pegu asked :

*257. Will the Minister, Health be pleased to state —

(a) Whether it is a fact that the construction of a State dispensary building at Mornai Bebezia in North Lakimpur [Dhemaji] Subdivision was started in 1966.

[b] If so whether the construction of the same has since been completed ?

[c] If not the reasons thereof ?

Shri Chakrasing Teron [Minister, Health] replied :

257. [a]— Yes.

(b) and [c]—Construction of dispensary building and Chowkidar quarter have been completed. Construction of Doctor's quarter and Pharmacist's quarter could not be statred by P. W. D. due to difficulty of transportation of materials to site, for which fresh tender has been called for by the Executive Engineer concerned.

Re : Loan to the Chamua-Aowniati K. S. S. in Nowgong

M. Shamsul Huda asked :

*258. Will the Minister, Co operation be pleased to state—

(a) Whether it is a fact that a loan of Rs. 55,000 00 was granted to the Chamua-Aowniati K. S. S. in Nowgong from the Central Bank, Nowgong ?

(b) If so, whether this amount has been properly and purposefully utilized ?

(c) If not, why ?

(d) Who were the individual recipients of the loans ?

Shri Chatrasing Teron (Minister, Co-operation) replied :

258. (a)—A credit limit of Rs. 52,530.00 was sanctioned to Chamua-Aowniati K. S. S. in Nowgong from the Nowgong Central Co-operative Bank for the year 1970-71 for Sali, Ahu and Jute crops. Out of this an amount of Rs. 19,496.00 was issued to 52 members against Sali Crop, in July 1970. The Balance is due for disbursment against Ahu and Jute from February to April, 1971.

[b]—The amount since issued namely Rs. 19,496.00

has been properly utilised for the purpose for which it was sanctioned.

[c]—Does not arise.

[d]—A list is placed in the Table of the House.

Kamiha State Dispensary

শ্রী কামিনী মোহন শাস্ত্রীই স্মৃতিছে :

*২৫৯। মাননীয় স্বাস্থ্য বিভাগৰ মন্ত্রী মহোদয়ে অনুগ্রহ কৰি জনাবনে—

(ক) কামৰূপ জিলাৰ বাঙিয়া সমষ্টিত কমিহা ৰাজ্যিক চিকিৎসালয় আৰু দৰংকুছি ৰাজ্যিক চিকিৎসালয় দুখনৰ অৱস্থা চৰকাৰে জানেনে ?

(খ) এই দুখন চিকিৎসালয়ৰ ঔষধ বিতৰণৰ ঘৰ, ডাক্তৰ থকা ঘৰ আৰু ফাৰমেছিষ্ট থকা ঘৰ ভাঙি-ছিঙি জৰাজীৰ্ণ অৱস্থাত পৰিণত হোৱাৰ কথা চৰকাৰে খবৰ ৰাখিছেনে ?

[গ] এই ঘৰবোৰ ভাল কৰাৰ ব্যৱস্থা চৰকাৰে লব নে ?

(ঘ) যদি ভাল নকৰে, কিয় নকৰে ?

শ্রী ছত্ৰসিং টেৰণ (জনস্বাস্থ্য মন্ত্ৰীয়ে) উত্তৰ দিছে :

২৫৯। [ক]—হয় জানে ।

[খ]—ঘৰ দুটাৰ অৱস্থা আশানুৰূপ ভাবে ভাল নহয় ।

[গ] আৰু [ঘ]—খবৰ ৰখা হৈছে আৰু চিভিল চাৰ্জৰ্জনক মেৰামতৰ কাৰণে প্ৰাককলন দাখিল কৰিবলৈ কোৱা হৈছে ।

Re : Increase of T. B. Patients in Assam

শ্রী শৰৎ চন্দ্ৰ বাৰুই স্মৃতিছে :

*২৬০। মাননীয় স্বাস্থ্য বিভাগৰ মন্ত্রী মহোদয়ে অনুগ্রহ কৰি জনাবনে—

(ক) এইটো কথা সঁচানে যে অসমত ক্ৰমে যক্ষ্মা (টি, বি,) ৰোগীৰ সংখ্যা বৃদ্ধি হব ধৰিছে ?

(খ) কোন মহকুমাৰ কিমান যক্ষ্মা ৰোগী আছে অনুগ্রহ কৰি জনাবনে ?

(গ) এই যক্ষ্মা ৰোগ নিৰ্মূল কৰাৰ বাবে চৰকাৰৰ তৰফৰ পৰা কিবা বিশেষ অভিযান চলোৱা হব নে ?

শ্রীছত্ৰসিং টেৰণ [স্বাস্থ্য মন্ত্ৰীয়ে] উত্তৰ দিছে :

২৬০. (ক) যক্ষ্মা ৰোগীৰ সংখ্যা ক্ৰমান্বয়ে বৃদ্ধি য়ে হৈছে কথাটো সঁচা।

[খ] জিলা হিচাবে যক্ষ্মা ৰোগীৰ হিচাব আমাৰ হাতত আছে, কিন্তু মহকুমা হিচাবে কোনো হিচাব নাই যদিও কেইখনমান মহকুমাৰ হিচাব ইতিমধ্যে সংগ্ৰহ কৰা হৈছে এই হিচাব এখন তালিকাত সদনৰ টেবুলত ৰখা হৈছে।

[গ] যক্ষ্মা ৰোগ নিৰ্মূল কৰাৰ বাবে আমি অসমত বিভিন্ন আঁচনি হ তত লৈছো তাৰ ভিতৰত হ'ল—

[১] বি, চি, জি, অভিজান।

(২) বিভিন্ন জিলাত টি, বি, ক্লিনিক স্থাপন কৰি তাৰ যোগেদি গৃহ চিকিৎসাৰ ব্যৱস্থা।

[গ] যক্ষ্মা ৰোগীৰ বাবে হস্পিভাল স্থাপন।

(৩) যক্ষ্মা ৰোগৰ আঁচনি সমূহ শৃঙ্খলাবদ্ধ ভাৱে চলোৱাৰ বাবে বিভিন্ন কৰ্মীৰ শিক্ষাৰ বাবে এটি কেন্দ্ৰ স্থাপন।

Re : Revision of Wages of Workers

Shri Maneswar Boro asked :

*261. Will the Minister, Labour be pleased to state—

[a] Whether it is a fact that a tripartite discussion was held at Dibrugarh Circuit House under the Chairmanship of Shri Chatragopal Karmakar, Deputy Minister of Labour and discussed the problem of revision of wages of workers in the month of July, 1970 ?

[b] If so, what is the outcome of the discussion ?

[c] Who represented I. N. T. U. C., A. I. T. U. C. and A. I. M. O. in that discussion ?

Shri Chatragopal Karmakar (Minister of State, Labour) replied :

261. [a]—Yes. The tripartite discussion was held in June and

not in July, 1970.

[b]—A sub committee was formed to go into the details of wages of workers but no final decision has been arrived at by the parties of the tripartite discussion.

[c]—(i) I. N. T. U. C. was represented by Shri Atul Ch. Saikia.

[ii] A. I. T. U. C. was represented by Shri Barin Choudhury.

(iii) A.I.M.O. was represented by Shri B.P. Bakshi.

Re : Enhancement of daily wages

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua asked :

*262. Will the Minister, Labour be pleased to state—

(a) Whether the Government is aware of the news as reported in the local dailies about recent agreement arrived at between the tea garden management and the labour representatives for the enhancement of the daily wages of the tea gardens labourers of Assam ?

(b) If so, what is the percentgae of increase in wages ?

(c) When it will be given effect to ?

Shri Kamakhya Prasad Tripathi (Minister, Labour) replied :

262. (a)—Yes. An agreement was signed on the 1st October, 1970.

[b]—The wage increase is to take place in four instalment, at different rates in the Assam Valley and Cachar

respectively. The percentage increase also varies from zone to zone depending on the existing wage levels. A chart showing the percentage increase for adult labour is placed on the Table of House.

[c]—The agreement is to take effect from the date of signing it, the various parts to be implemented in terms thereof.

Re: Sub-Treasury at Majuli

শ্রীমহীধৰ পেগুয়ে স্থিছে :

*২৬৩। মাননীয় বিভূমন্ত্রী মহোদয়ে অনুগ্রহ কৰি জনাবনে—

(ক) বৈচিত্ৰপূৰ্ণ ভৌগোলিক অৱস্থানৰ পৰিপ্ৰেক্ষিতত প্ৰশাসনীয় জটিলতাৰ দুৰ্ভোগৰ পৰা জনসাধাৰণক প্ৰশান্ত কৰাৰ মানসে মাজুলীত এটা চাব-ট্ৰেজাৰী অফিচ খুলিবৰ বাবে প্ৰস্তুত আৰু অন্যান্য প্ৰতিনিধি মূলক অনুস্থানৰ দ্বাৰা যোৱা কেইটিমান বছৰ ধৰি দাবী জনাই অহা কথাৰ প্ৰতি চৰকাৰ সজাগনে?

(খ) যদি সেয়ে হয়, তেন্তে বিষয়টোৰ প্ৰতি চৰকাৰৰ সঁহাৰি কেনে?

শ্ৰীকামাখ্যা প্ৰসাদ ত্ৰিপাঠী [বিভূমন্ত্ৰীয়ে] উত্তৰ দিছে :

২৬৩। (ক)—হয়।

(খ)—মাজুলী অঞ্চলত চৰকাৰৰ খৰছ আৰু সেই একে কালত বাজহ সংগ্ৰহৰ অনুক্ৰমে তাত চাব-ট্ৰেজাৰী স্থাপন যুক্তি-যুক্ত নহয়।

Re: Leterijan Beel

M. A. Musawwir Choudhury asked :

*264. Will the Minister, Fisheries be pleased to state—

[a] Whether there is a Beel by the name of Leterijan flowing through the Juria and Alitangani mouza of the Nowgong District?

[b] Whether it is a fact that in spite of vehement

public protest the said Leterijan was sold to an interested person ?

[c] Whether this Beel remains dry for six months in the year ?

[d] If so, why this dry Beel has been put to sale ?

[e] Whether due to sale of this Beel, about fifty thousand poor peasants of Rupahighat constituency have been economically affected ?

[f] If so, whether for the economic upliftment of the peasants the Leterijan Beel will be made Sarkari ?

Shri Mahendra Nath Hazarika (Minister, Fisheries) replied
264. [a]—Yes.

[b]—There was no such protest from the public prior to the sale of the Beel.

[c]—It is not a fact. Throughout the year in some places of the Fishery, the depth of water remains at 9 to 10 feet.

[d]—Does not arise in view of reply at [c].

[e] and [f]—Government have received representations from the Public against registration of the Beel after settlement of Beel demanding cancellation of the lease of the lessee for their benefit. The matter is now under examination.

Re : Limited number of Postal Stamps, etc.

Shri Bhadra Kanta Gogoi asked :

*265. Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state whether the Government propose to move the Government of India

to remove the difficulties experienced by public for limited number of postal stamps, envelopes, money order forms, etc., in the Post Offices of the State since few months back ?

Shri Mahendra Mohan Choudhury (Chief Minister)
to replied :

265—Government are not aware of the shortage of Postal Stamps, envelops and money order forms, etc., in the Post Offices in the State. If specific instances are brought to the notice of the Government, they will take them up with Government of India.

Re : Resettlement at Kamrup District

Shri Gaurisankar Bhattacharyya asked :

*266. Will the Minister, Revenue be pleased to state—

(a) Whether it is a fact that the district of Kamrup was put under resettlement operation since 1955 ?

(b) When has that resettlement operation been closed ?

(c) Whether resettlement of the greater Gauhati area is yet to be finalised and completed ?

(d) Whether it is a fact that those revenue officials engaged in the resettlement operation who had illegally settled thousands of bigha of sarkari land in South Kamrup by taking lakhs of rupees as grafts and gratifications and who on that ground were kept under suspension have since been reinstated ?

Shri Paramananda Gogoi [Minister of State, Revenue]
replied :

266. (a)—The district of Kamrup was put under resettlement

operation with effect from 16th November, 1955. There being administrative difficulty, the resettlement operation in Barpeta Subdivision was actually taken up from 1st December, 1957.

(b)—The resettlement operation of Kamrup district except Gauhati Circle and five mouzas of Chaygaon circle was closed with effect from 1st March, 1965. The operation in Panbari Mouza, Sonapur Mouza and Dimorria Mouza of Sonapur circle was closed with effect from 1st November, 1967. The operation of Chaygaon circle was concluded with effect from 1st May 1968, and that in Gauhati circle from 1st March, 1969.

(c)—It has been finalised and completed.

(d)—Departmental proceedings were drawn up against some officials, and those who were found involved in illegal settlement of land were punished.

Re : Agreement for Construction of Assam Co-operative Jute Mill's Building

শ্রীমতুল চন্দ্ৰ গোস্বামীয়ে স্মৃতিছে :

*২৬৭। মাননীয় সমবায় বিভাগৰ মন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ে অনুগ্ৰহ কৰি জনাবনে—

[ক] অসম কো-অপাৰেটিভ জুট মিলৰ ঘৰ-দুৱাৰ, গুদাম, আদি নিৰ্মাণ কৰিবলৈ 'চালিমাৰ কোম্পানীৰ লগত এক-২ ফৰ্মত চুক্তি কৰা হৈছিলনে আৰু যদিহে হৈছিল, সেই চুক্তিৰ স্বত্ব' সমূহ কি কি ?

[খ] চালিমাৰ কোম্পানীয়ে স্বত্বমতে কাৰ্য্য সম্পাদন কৰিলেনে আৰু যদি কৰা নাই, কিয় কৰা নাই আৰু চুক্তিমতে চালিমাৰ কোম্পানীয়ে কাম নকৰাত জুট মিলৰ কামত বাধা জন্মা নাইনে ?

[গ] বৰ্ত্তমান জুট মিলৰ কাৰণে আৱশ্যকীয় পানী সংগ্ৰহৰ কি ব্যৱস্থা

লোৱা হৈছে আৰু ইয়াত কিমান খৰচ হ'ব ?

(ব) বৰ্তমান ঘ'ত জুট মিল হৈছে তাৰ পৰা কিমান দূৰত বহুৱা সকলৰ বাসস্থান পতা হ'ব ?

(ঙ) এই জুট মিলৰ উৎপাদন কেতিয়াৰ পৰা আৰম্ভ হ'ব ?

শ্ৰীহৰিশ্ৰী টেবণ (সমবায় বিভাগৰ মন্ত্ৰীয়ে) উত্তৰ দিছে :

২৪৭। [ক]—হয়। চালিমাৰ টাৰ প্রডাক্টসন (১৯৩১) লিমিটেডৰ লগত এক ২ ফর্মত চুক্তি কৰা হয়। চুক্তিমতে মুঠতে এটা ফেক্টৰী ঘৰ, ৩ টা গুদাম ঘৰ, বাস্তা-ঘাট ইত্যাদি লৈ মুঠ ১৪,২৩,৯৫০ টকাৰ কাম দিয়া হৈছিল। কোম্পানীয়ে মাটি চমজাই দিয়াৰ ৬ মাহৰ ভিতৰত কাম সম্পূৰ্ণ কৰিব লাগে। এই স্বত্ত্বৰ বাহিৰত আন আন বহুখিনি বিস্তৃত স্বত্ত্ব আছে।

[খ]—চালিমাৰ টাৰ কোম্পানীয়ে চুক্তিমতে কাম কৰিব নোৱাৰা কাৰণ তলত দিয়া হ'ল।

১। জুটমিল কর্তৃপক্ষই ৬ মাৰ্চ ১৯৬৮ ইংৰাজী তাৰিখে পাহাৰুৱা মাটি আবাদ কৰি কোম্পানীক চমজাই দিয়ে। চুক্তিমতে মাটি চমজাই দিয়াৰ ৬ মাহৰ ভিতৰত কোম্পানীয়ে কাম সম্পূৰ্ণ কৰি দিব লাগিছিল।

২। নিৰ্মাণ আদিৰ কাম বহুখিনি আগবঢ়াৰ পাহত ফেক্টৰী ঘৰৰ ৯ খন ট্ৰাম খহি যোৱাৰ বাবে বিশেষজ্ঞৰ দ্বাৰা অনুসন্ধান কৰি পুনৰ নতুনকৈ তৈয়াৰ কৰোতে ৬ মাহ দিব হ'ল।

৩। পুনৰ গুদাম ঘৰৰ এটা ট্ৰাম ভাঙি পৰাত চৰকাৰ আৰু মিল দুই পক্ষৰ বিশেষজ্ঞৰ দ্বাৰা অনুসন্ধান কৰোৱাই ভেথত সকলৰ নিৰ্দেশ অনুসৰি পুৰণা সকলো ট্ৰাম বদলাই নতুনকৈ নিৰ্মাণ কৰোতে প্ৰায় আৰু ৫ মাহ মান সময় লাগিছিল।

উক্ত কাৰণত চুক্তিমতে কোম্পানীয়ে কাম সম্পাদন কৰিব পৰা নাছিল। বিহেতুকে যত্নপাতি সম্পূৰ্ণৰূপে আহি লোৱা নাছিল সেই হেতুকে জুটমিলৰ কাৰ্য্যত কোনো ভাল হোৱা নাই।

(গ)—ব্ৰহ্মপুত্ৰ নদীৰ পৰা পানী যোগানৰ ব্যৱস্থা লোৱা হৈছে। এনে ব্যৱস্থাত প্ৰায় ২৩০ লাখ টকা খৰচ হ'ব।

(ঘ) - অত্যাবশ্যকীয় বস্তু বা বিলাকৰ কাৰণে মিলৰ কাষতেই বাসস্থানৰ নিৰ্মাণ ব্যৱস্থা কৰা হৈছে আৰু বাকী বস্তু বা বিলাকৰ কাৰণে এক মাইলৰ পৰা দেৰ মাইলৰ ভিতৰতে হ'ব।

(ঙ) - অহা জানুৱাৰীৰ পৰা ব্যৱসায়িক ভিত্তিত উৎপাদনৰ কাম আৰম্ভ হোৱাৰ কথা।

Re : Pharmacist of Changsari Dispensary

শ্রীমদেন্দ্ৰ বৰোৱে সুধিছে :

*২৬৮ মাননীয় স্বাস্থ্য বিভাগৰ মন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ে অনুগ্ৰহ কৰি জনাবনে—

(ক) এইটো কথা সঁচানে যে চাংসাৰি ৰাজ্যিক চিকিৎসালয়ত কাম কৰি থকা ফাৰ্মাচিষ্ট শ্রীমদন শৰ্ম্মাই সদায় চিকিৎসালয়ত উপস্থিত নাথাকে ?

(খ) যদি নহয়, চাংসাৰি ৰাজ্যিক চিকিৎসালয়ত 'জইন' কৰিবৰ পৰা আজিলৈকে কিনান দিন উপস্থিত আছে ?

(গ) এইটো কথা চৰকাৰে জানেনে যে, শ্রীমদন শৰ্ম্মাই নাগ্ৰিজুলীত আহি গাঁৱে গাঁৱে বেমাৰী চাই ফুৰে ?

(ঘ) এইটো কথা সঁচানে যে, শ্রীমদন শৰ্ম্মাই ১৯৭০ চনৰ অক্টোবৰ মাহটো ছুটি লৈছে ?

(ঙ) যদি লৈছে, ছুটি লোৱাৰ কাৰণ কি দিছে ?

শ্রীহৰসিং টেৰণ (জনস্বাস্থ্য মন্ত্ৰীয়ে) উত্তৰ দিছে :

২৬৮। (ক) - চাংসাৰি ৰাজ্যিক চিকিৎসালয়ত কাম কৰি থকা ঔষধ সংমিশ্ৰক শ্রীমদন শৰ্ম্মাই চিকিৎসালয়ত উপস্থিত নথকাৰ কোনো আপত্তি পোৱা নাই।

(খ) - শ্রীমদন শৰ্ম্মাই চাংসাৰি ৰাজ্যিক চিকিৎসালয়ত যোগদান কৰাৰ পৰা আজিলৈকে এমাহ অৰ্জ্জিত ছুটি লয় ১৯৭০ চনৰ ৭ চেপ্তেম্বৰৰ পৰা আৰু আকস্মিক ছুটি লয় মাত্ৰ ৫ (পাঁচ) দিন ১৯৭০ চনৰ ২৩ মে'ৰ পৰা, বাকী সকলো দিনতে উপস্থিত থাকে।

(গ) - শ্রীমদন শৰ্ম্মাই নাগ্ৰিজুলীত গাঁৱে গাঁৱে বেমাৰী চোৱাৰ কোনো আপত্তি পোৱা নাই।

(ঘ) - শ্রীমদন শৰ্ম্মাই ১৯৭০ চনৰ চেপ্তেম্বৰ মাহৰ ৭ [সাত] তাৰিখৰ

পৰা এমাহৰ ছুটি লোৱা সঁচা।

(ঙ)—ছুটি লোৱাৰ কাৰণ, তেওঁৰ নিজা স্থায়ী ঘৰ নিৰ্মাণ কৰা।

Re : Changsari State Dispensary

শ্ৰীমতেশ্বৰ বৰোয়ে সুধিছে :

২৬৯। মাননীয় স্বাস্থ্য বিভাগৰ মন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ে অনুগ্ৰহ কৰি জনাব নে, এইটো কথা চৰকাৰে জানেনে যে চাংসাৰি ৰাজ্যিক চিকিৎসালয়ত কাম কৰি থকা শ্ৰীমদন শৰ্ম্মাই ১৯৬১ চনৰ ডিচেম্বৰ মাহৰ শেষ ভাগত মাটবৰাবী ৰাজ্যিক চিকিৎসালয়ত 'জইন' কৰে আৰু ১৯৬৮ চনৰ মে মাহত চাংসাৰি ৰাজ্যিক চিকিৎসালয়লৈ বদলি হৈ যায় ?

শ্ৰীছত্ৰসিং টেৰণ [জনস্বাস্থ্য মন্ত্ৰীয়ে] উত্তৰ দিছে :

২৬৯—হয়, শ্ৰীমদন শৰ্ম্মাই মাটবৰাবী ৰাজ্যিক চিকিৎসালয়ত যোগদান কৰে ১৯৬১ চনৰ নভেম্বৰ মাহত, পুনৰ শ্ৰীশৰ্ম্মাক ১৯৬৮ চনৰ নভেম্বৰ মাহত চাংসাৰি ৰাজ্যিক চিকিৎসালয়লৈ বদলি কৰা হয় [এনেবোৰ বদলি জিলা অসামৰিক শল্য চিকিৎসকে কৰে]।

Re : Morangi Public Health Centre

শ্ৰীসোমেশ্বৰ বৰাই সুধিছে :

*২৭০। মাননীয় স্বাস্থ্য বিভাগৰ মন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ে অনুগ্ৰহ কৰি জনাব নে—

(ক) গোলাঘাট মহকুমাৰ অন্তৰ্গত মৰঙি মৌজাৰ মৰঙি স্বাস্থ্য কেন্দ্ৰটো মন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ে কেতিয়াবা দেখিছে নে বাৰ ?

(খ) এই মৰঙি স্বাস্থ্য কেন্দ্ৰটো ইয়াতকৈ উন্নত কৰিবলৈ চৰকাৰে বৰ্ত্তমান কিবা ব্যৱস্থা লৈছেনে ?

(গ) যদি লৈছে, তেন্তে কি ব্যৱস্থা লোৱা হৈছে জনাব নে ?

(ঘ) যদি নাই লোৱা, তেনেহলে কিয় নাই লোৱা বা কেতিয়াতকৈ স্বাস্থ্য কেন্দ্ৰটোৰ উন্নতিৰ ব্যৱস্থা লব জনাবনে ?

শ্ৰীছত্ৰসিং টেৰণ [জনস্বাস্থ্য বিভাগৰ মন্ত্ৰীয়ে] উত্তৰ দিছে :

২৭০। (ক) হয়, দেখা হৈছে, ২৪।৮।৭০ তাৰিখে।

(খ)—এই ভেষজালয় খন উন্নত কৰিবলৈ এতিয়াও কোনো প্ৰস্তাব লোৱা

হোৱা নাই।

(গ) আৰু (ঘ)—প্ৰশ্ন তুলে।

Re : Surgical and Ancillary Firms

Shri Gaurisankar Bhattacharyya asked :

*271. Will the Minister, Health be pleased to state—

[a] How many medical, surgical and ancillary firms are there at Gaubati from which Government in the Medical Department make purchases ?

[b] What is the total number of employees in these firms ?

[c] Out of the total number, what is the number of employees who are children of the soil of Assam ?

Shri Chatrasing Teron (Minister, Health) replied :

271. [a]—Forty numbers only.

[b] Out of the 40 numbers of firms from which Government in the Medical Department make purchases, head quarters of only 13 numbers are within the State and the total number of employees in these 13 numbers of firms are 353 numbers.

[c] Out of the 353 numbers of employees in the above 13 firms, a total number of 269 employees are the children of the soil of Assam.

Re : Lady Doctor of Dhubri Civil Hospital

Shri Kabir Chandra Roy Pradhani asked :

*272. Will the Minister, Health be pleased to state—

(a) Whether it is a fact that the Lady Doctor of Dhubri Civil Hospital has not been receiving her pay since her joining at Dhubri and, if so, the reasons thereof ?

(b) Whether it is a fact that the Lady Doctor at Dhubri has not been supplied with proper equipments including Oxygen ? If so, why ?

(c) Whether it is a fact that the quarter of the Lady Doctor of Dhubri Hospital was not repaired and not fit for residence ?

(d) If so, why ?

Shri Chatrasing Teron [Minister, Health] to replied :

272. (a)—It is not a fact Dr. [Miss] Chitra Chaudhury drawn her pay upto September, 1969. She went on leave from 10th September, 1969 to 28th of February, 1970. Unless all these periods are regularised by proper sanction, it will not be possible for the Accountant General to issue salary slip.

(b)—No.

(c)—The house is fit for habitation but she has not occupied the house.

(d)—Does not arise.

Re : Kabula Petition

Shri Jalal Uddin Ahmed asked :

*273. Will the Minister of Revenue be pleased to state—

(a) Whether it is a fact that in matters of settlement of lands with the landless peasants of minority community is necessary for verifying Kabula petitions ?

(b) Whether Police verification report of the allottees are required for settlement of lands to the landless people of minority community

Shri Paramananda Gogoi [Minister of State, Revenue]
replied :

273. [a]—All the Kabula petitions irrespective of Caste and creed are verified in order to ascertain that the petitioners are actually landless.

(b)—Police verification is resorted to if any doubt arises about the nationality of the applicant irrespective of the religion in order to see that none who is not a citizen of India gets settlement of land.

Re : Recommendation of Land Advisory Board

Shri Dharanidhar Choudhury asked :

*374. Will the Minister, Revenue be pleased to state whether Government will be pleased to rehabilitate the landless persons as recommended by the Subdivisional land Advisory Committee of Barpeta, immediately and smoothly ?

Shri Paramananda Gogoi (Minister of State, Revenue)
replied :

274.—The Government of Assam will try its level best to rehabilitate the landless persons as per recommendation of Subdivisional Land Advisory Committee, Barpeta after observing all formalities as required under the rules if and when necessary:

Re : Theka Reserve Land

*275. Will the Minister, Revenue be pleased to state —

(a) Whether some lands at Theka Reserves were allotted to certain proposed Co-operative Societies in 1966.

(b) Whether it is a fact that some of the Co-ope.

rative had not been given possession of land upto this date.

(c) Whether Government is aware of the fact that lands so allotted to the Co-oderatives are very often improperly used by certain persons.

275. (a)—Yes, 36 Co-operative Societies were allotted lands at Theka Betbari P. G. R. (dereserved) during the year 1966.

(b) Possession was given to 34 Societies. Of the remaining two societies one did not turn up for taking possession. Possession to the other could not be given as the land was under encroachment.

(c) Sixteen Societies are in possession of the land allotted to them, and 18 Societies are not cultivating the land themselves. They, it is alleged, let out the land on 'Theka'.

Re Silchar Girls' Higher Secondary school

Shri A. K. Nurul Haque asked :

*276. Will the Chief Minster be pleased to state —

[a] Whether the buildings of the Silchar Girls' H. S. Schools were taken over by the P. W. D. Department ?

[b] If so, when ?

[c] If not, why not ?

[d] How many Government Schools are there in the State whose buildings are not maintained by the P. W. D ?

Shri Mahendra Mohan Choudhury [Chief Minister] replied :

276. [a]—No.

(b) - Does not arise.

(c) - Existing buildings are not upto P. W. D. specification.

(d) - 7 [seven]

Re : Gohpur M. V. School

Shri Bishnulal Upadhyaya asked :

*277. Will the Minister, Education be pleased to state —

(a) Whether it is a fact that Gohpur M. V. School in Tezpur Subdivision is an old institution the building of which is badly damaged by the successive flood of the Kukurjan river for the last two years ?

(b) Whether it is a fact that this year the flood water rose upto 2 ft. high and submerged the compound and the class rooms and as a consequence, the school had to be run in a Namghar and sometimes it remained closed for days together ?

(c) Whether it is a fact that this state of affairs was seen by the P. W. D. Minister, Finance Minister, Deputy Minister, Agriculture and A. P. C. C. President during their visit to Gohpur ?

[d] What steps Government propose to take to reconstruct the building on permanent basis raising the plinth and compound above flood level and channelise the water through a bund and by taking up this matter with the F. C. and I. Wing ?

(e) Whether the Government propose to sanction a substantial amount to this school as special case ?

Shri Sarat Chandra Goswami [Minister of State,

Education] replied :

277. (a)—Yes.

(b)—Yes.

(c)—It is not known.

[d] & [c]—The case of this school will be considered along with other flood damaged schools subject to availability of fund ?

Re : Hindi Education in Assam

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua asked :

*278. Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state—

[a] Whether it is a fact that the Government of India have supplied a good number of valuable books to the Government of Assam for propagation of Hindi education in the State during the last 5 years ?

[b] If so, the number of such books with their value ?

[c] Whether Government is aware that some of these valuable books lying in the verandah of the D. P. I. Office without taking any action for their distribution and are getting damaged.

[d] If so, who is responsible for such damage ?

Shri Mahendra Mohan Choudhury [Chief Minister] replied :

278. [a]—Yes.

(b)—About 12,000. The value is not known as the books were supplied free of cost.

[c]—The books were kept in a covered verandah

of the D.P.I.'s office for want of space but they were not damaged thereby. It was found that though the books were meant for school children, most of them were of a higher standard. As such, the books were not distributed to schools. The books have been temporarily stored now in the State Central Library.

(d)—Does not arise.

Re : Lahorighat M. V. School

Shri Abala Kanta Goswami asked :

*279. Will the Minister, Education be pleased to state —

(a) The number of teachers in the Government M. V. School at Lahorighat under D. I. of Schools, Gauhati ? (inclusive of Hindi and English teachers).

(b) Whether it is a fact that the number of teachers is not sufficient compared with such other Government M. V. Schools ?

(c) If so, whether Government propose to take steps to appoint the requisite number of teachers from Ist January, 1971 ?

Shri Sarat Chandra Goswami (Minister of State for Education) replied :

279. (a)—6 (six)

[b]—As the staffing pattern of a school is governed by the number of classes/sections and the enrolment comparison with other M. V. School does not arise.

[c]—staffing pattern of the school will be reviewed

during the next academic session.

Re : Operation of the Kobalt Therapy Machine

Shri Phani Bora asked :

*280. Will the Minister, Health be pleased to state—

[a] Whether it is a fact that the Kobalt Therapy machine in the Assam Medical College, Dibrugarh, is not in working order ?

[b] Whether it is a fact that the machine was operated by non-trained person due to which machine went out of order ?

[c] Whether the machine has since been repaired and if so, when ?

[d] If not when it will be repaired?

Shri Chatrasing Teron (Minister-in-charge, Health) replied :

280. (a)—It is now in working condition.

[b]—No.

[c] In September last.

[d]—Does not arise.

Re : Treatment of the Cancer Patient

*281 Will the Minister, Health be pleased to state—

(a) What are the arrangement for the treatment of cancer patient in Gauhati Medical College ?

(b) Whether it is a fact that only one deep X-Ray plant is working ?

(c) Whether Government is aware that due to this many patients die before their turn comes for deep X-Ray ?

(d) The reasons for not establishing a Kobalt Therapy Plant ?

(e) Whether any building has been constructed to install the Kobalt Therapy Machine ?

(f) Whether any order for the machine has been placed ?

Shri Chatrasing Teron (Minister, Health) replied :

281. [a]—There is a deep X-Ray plant. There are 12 separate beds in E. N. T. and 8 separate beds in surgery wards. Beds are made available in other wards also by the college authorities as and when necessary.

[b]—Yes. (It is now out of order)

[c]—It is inadequate as the number of patients are increasing ?

[d]—The building for Kobalt Therapy Plant is not yet ready.

[Order for a plant is under consideration.]

[e]—As above.

(f)—Order for a plant is under consideration: (Plant cannot be installed unless the building is ready).

Re : Small Industries

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua asked :

*282. Will the Minister, Finance be pleased to state —

[a] Whether it is a fact that the Study Group of the National Credit Council has prepared a draft notes on credit requirement of Small Industries ?

(b) If so, what was the total requirement of credits shown for Assam ?

(c) What are the Units falling under the category of Small Industry in Assam ?

(d) Whether the Government proposes to take up their cases with National Credit Councils ?

[e] If not, why not ?

Shri Biswadev Sarma (Minister, Industries) replied :
282. (a)—No such note has been received by the State Government.

(b)—Does not arise.

[c]—The definition of a Small Scale Industry is an industry having Capital investment of Rs. 7.50 lakhs or less only on plants and machinery.

As such, all industrial units having capital investment as above are treated as small scale industries in Assam.

[d]—Does not arise.

[e]—Does not arise.

Re : Money Sanctioned for the Flood Affected People

M. A. Musawwir Choudhury, asked :

*283 Will the Minister, Revenue be pleased to state—

(a) What is the amount sanctioned to the flood affected people of the State during 1969-70 ? (District-wise)

(b) Whether the Government is aware that the amount so far sanctioned is quite insufficient in proportion to the damages caused by the flood ?

(c) If so, whether Government propose to increase

the amount ?

Shri Paramananda Gogoi (Minister of State, Revenue) replied :

283. (a) — Rs. 73,27,359 i. e., Rs.53,06,349 as "Relief" and Rs. 20,21,010 as "Loans". A district wise statement showing the relief sanctioned is placed on the Table of the House.

[b]—No.

[c]—Does not arise.

Re : Flood Affected People of Kowerpore Mouza

Shri Promode Chandra Gogoi asked :

*284. Will the Minister, Revenue be pleased to state —

[a] Whether it is a fact that of late the Government of Assam have received representations from erosion and flood affected people of Kowerpore Mouza in Sibsagar ?

(b) If so, the steps taken by the Government to rehabilitate the erosion affected people before the next flood season ?

Shri Paramananda Gogoi (Minister of State, Revenue) replied :

284. [a]—Yes.

(b)—The Subdivisional Officer, Sibsagar is taking steps to rehabilitate these people with the money sanctioned by Government as relief and with the land at his disposal.

Re : Flood affected People of Hatigar and Chowkhat

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua asked :

*285. Will the Minister, Revenue be pleased to state—

[a] Whether Government is aware of the deteriorated economic condition of the people of Hatigar and Chowkhat mouzas in the Jorhat Subdivision due to constant recurrence of the flood during this year and also in previous years ?

(b) If so, whether Government has taken up any proposal or scheme to give these people economic relief ?

(c) Whether it is also a fact that the Deputy Commissioner, Sibsagar has sent a proposal for opening a few cheap grain shops in that area with a view to give the people a little temporary relief ?

(d) If so, how the proposal stands at present ?

Shri Paramananda Gogoi (Minister of State, Revenue) replied :

285. (a)—Government are aware of that parts of Hatigarh and Chowkhat Mauzas being affected by flood. This has naturally affected the economic condition of the people of these areas.

[b] During the flood period in 1970. Rice, Dal, and Salt were distributed free of cost, Sali seedlings were also distributed to cultivators. Where the Sali cultivation failed (or could not be done) due to flood, rabi seeds were also distributed.

(c)—No.

(d)—Does not arise.

Re : Dismissal of Head Assistant from Karimganj
Municipal Office

Shri Rothendra Nath Sen asked :

*286. Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state—

(a) Whether it is a fact that in early 1967. Karimganj Municipal Board dismissed its then Head Assistant from Service ?

(b) If so, the reasons therefor ?

(c) Whether it is a fact that the said Board promoted another employee to the post of Head Assistant who was working under the dismissed Head Assistant ?

[d] Whether it is a fact that the above action of the Board was approved by the Subdivisional Officer, Karimganj Deputy Commissioner, Cachar and Secretary, Municipal Administration ?

(e) Whether it is also a fact that the dismissed Head Assistant preferred an appeal to the Honourable Assam High Court ?

(f) Whether it is a fact that the Executive Officer Karimganj Municipal Board appeared before the High Court on Affidavit to contest the appeal ?

[g] Whether it is a fact that the said appeal petition was subsequently withdrawn by the dismissed Head Assistant at the instance of the then Chairman of the Board which took over in August 1970 ?

(h) Whether it is a fact that a taxpayer sought redress in the court of Munsif at Karimganj against holding of

a meeting by the Board to compensate the said dismissed Employee to the tune of Rs 11,000 ?

(i) What is the present position in regard to the matter ?

Shri Mahendra Mohan Choudhury [Chief Minister] replied ?

286. [a]—Yes.

[b]—On alleged charges of Misconduct, negligence of duty, Unreliability and insubordination.

[c]—Yes.

[d]—No.

[e]—Yes.

[f]—Yes.

[g]—Yes.

[h] Yes.

[i]—Munsif of Karimganj passed Injunction order but the Assistant District Judge, Silchar on 28th September 1970 set aside the said injunction order and the dismissed Head Assistant was reinstated and allowed to join as Office Superintendent with effect from 29th September 1970.

Re : Town Committee for Dhakuakhana

Shri Nameswar Pegu - asked :

*287. Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state —

[a] Whether it is fact that a proposal for a Town Committee for Dhakuakhana Township has been lying pending since three years back ?

[b] If, so, the reason thereof ?

Shri Mahendra Mohan Choudhury (Chief Minister) replied :

287. (a) - Yes.

(b)- The feasibility of constituting a Town Committee at Dhakuakhana has been under examination in consultation with the Deputy Commissioner, Lakhimpur Dibrugarh and the Commissioner. The matter will be considered again after the Census operations are over.

Re : State Dispensaries

Shri Prabhat Narayan Choudhury, asked :

*288. Will the Minister, Health be pleased to state —

(a) Whether at the time of taking over of the State dispensaries after abolition of the Local Boards the Department had full knowledge of the condition of the dispensaries and programme for bringing them to a proper standard ?

(b) If so, what was the programme for bringing the dispensaries to the standard of State Dispensaries ?

(c) What steps are taken by Government to improve the existing dispensary buildings and to make arrangement for regular supply of medicine in a decentralised way ?

Shri Chatrasing Teron (Minister-in-charge, Health) replied :

288. (a) -Government had taken over Local Board Dispensaries as a matter of Policy and irrespective of conditions of the dispensaries.

(b)—To reconstruct 5 dispensaries buildings per year as per P. W. D. standard subject of availability fund. In addition as Government have approved conversion of some of these Local Board Dispensaries to sub centres of the nearby Primary Health Centres, Government is now considering whether the buildings can be improved from the Plan Budget.

(c)—So far 12 dispensaries have been reconstructed 4 dispensaries have been upgraded to P. H. C. after reconstruction. Another 18 dispensaries are being reconstructed as P H. C. As regard supply of regular medicine indenting Officers (i/c Medical Officers) used to obtain medicine for the dispensaries by indent as and when necessary.

Re : Halakura H. E. School

Shri Kabir Chandra Roy Pradhani asked :

*289. Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state—

(a) Whether Shri D. L. Mukherjee, selected headmaster was re-instated by the Managing Committee of the Halakura H. E. School ?

(b) If not, why ?

(c) Whether it is fact that the Managing Committee of the school was dissolved for non compliance with the orders of D. P. I., Assam, Shillong ?

(d) Whether ther was an order for re instatement of Shri D. L. Mukherjee, from Government ?

(e) Whether Government propose to settle the matter ?

(f) Whether the Minister will be pleased to visit the school just to ascertain the real position ?

(g) If so, when ?

Shri Mahendra Mohan Choudhury (Chief Minister)
replied :

289. (a)—No.

(b) The Managing Committee adopted a resolution on 26th May 1970 appointing Shri Mukherjee as Head-master pending clarification of certain matters raised by Shri Mukherjee by the Inspector of schools, Goalpara District Circle.

[c]—No. The Managing Committee was dissolved by the Inspector of Schools on 2nd August 1970 on the basis of findings of an audit.

(d)—No. The Department ordered withdrawal of suspension order against Shri Mukherjee.

(e)—Shri Mukherjee has been reinstated by the Ad-hoc Managing Committee but the I. S. has not approved of the resolution of the Managing Committee. A report has been called for from the Inspector of Schools.

(f)—There is no proposal.

(g)—Does not arise.

Re : Flood-affected Students of Assam

M. Shamsul Huda asked :

*290. Will the Minister, Education be pleased to state—

(a) The total number of students affected by the floods in 1970 all over the State ?

(b) Nature of relief proposed and granted to them ?

(c) The amount of money spent for the purpose in the different districts of the State ?

Shri Mahendra Mohan Choudhury [Chief Minister] replied :

290. [a]—73,360 [approximately].

[b]—The matter is still under consideration.

[c]—Nil.

Re : Establishment of Family Planning Centre

Shri Mathura Mohan Sinha asked :

*291. Will the Minister, Health be pleased to state—

[a] Whether there is a proposal to establish Family Planning Centres in some places attached to State dispensaries ?

[b] If so, the places for the purpose in Goalpara district ?

[c] Whether Government is aware that the public have been demanding for establishment of said centres at Majgaon and Chalantapara attached to State dispensaries under Baitamara Development Block ?

[d] Whether Government propose to fulfil the public demand as there are free lands attached to the dispensaries for the interest of the public ?

Shri Chatrasing Teron (Minister of Health and Family Planning) replied :

291. [a]—Yes. As per Government of India's pattern we intend to have one Family Planning wing at each Primary

Health centre to function as Family Planning main centre and to convert the existing Family Planning clinics attached to State dispensaries as Family Planning sub-centres.

[b] At present there are only two Family Planning main centres at Goalpara district viz. 1. Matia and 2. Baitamari. During the current financial year Government have accorded sanction for the establishment of seven more Family Planning main centres viz. 1. Bongaigaon, 2. Manikpur, 3. Dotoma, 4. Agia, 5. South Salmara, 6. Sidli Chirang and 7. Chapar. Government have also accorded sanction for the establishment of twenty Family Planning sub-centres during the current financial year, viz., 1. Dolgama, 2. Simtola, 3. North Salmara, 4. Chalantapara, 5. Adaguri, 6. Rupsi, 7. Baholpur, 8. Kalapani, 9. Dhupdhara, 10. Pakriguri, 11. Tulshibil, 12. Jarbari, 13. Ultapani, 14. Rowmari, 15. South Kajalgaon, 16. Amteka, 17. Bishnupar, 18. Jogighopa, 19. Majeralga and 20. Bagubari.

[c] & [d]—The case of Chalantapara has been taken care of vide item 4 above. The case of Majgaon will be considered during next financial year.

Re : Government Grants received by Schools of Assam

Rani Manjula Devi asked :

*292. Will the Minister, Education be pleased to state—

(a) The number of High Schools [which received deficit grants during the year 1970-71 (to be shown district by district) ?

(b) The number of Venture High Schools receiving

ad-hoc recurring grants in each district in Assam ?

(c) The total grant sanctioned for each district and subdivision ?

Shri Mahendra Mohan Choudhury [Chief Minister]
replied :

292. (a)—No new High School has been brought under the deficit system of Grant-in-aid during 1970-71. A statement of High School already under the deficit system of Grant-in-aid is placed on the Table of the House.

[b]—Nil. Ventures Schools are not entitled to receive ad-hoc recurring grant.

[c]—A statement of grants sanctioned for High Schools district circle wise in 1969-70 is placed on the Table of the House.

Re : Keeping the T. B. Patients in Jails

Shri Romesh Mohan Kouli asked :

*293. Will the Minister, Jail be pleased to state—

(a) The provisions of keeping the T. B. patients in Jails ?

(b) Whether it is a fact that several T. B. patients have been kept in a single cell in Dibrugarh Jail ?

(c) Whether it is a fact that no substantial food such as bread, butter, milk, etc., in Dibrugarh Jail are supplied to the T. B. patients though there are such provisions ?

Shri Mahendra Nath Hazarika (Minister, Jails)
replied :

293. [a]—The T. B. Patients in the Jail, are always kept segregated from other prisoners in cell or in the isolation ward.

(b)—No.

[c.—It is not a fact.

Re : Prisoners of Dibrugarh Jails

Shri Romesh Mohan Kouli asked :

*294. Will the Minister-in-charge of Jails be pleased to state—

[a] Whether the Government is aware of the fact that the prisoners of Dibrugarh Jail are inadequately and poorly fed ?

[b] Whether Government is aware that the payments are being made regularly against false bills to the Contractor for supplying foodstuff ?

Shri Mahendra Nath Hazarika [Minister, Jails] replied :

294. [a]—No.

[b]—No.

Re : Dibrugarh Jails

Shri Romesh Mohan Kouli asked :

*295. Will the Minister-in-charge of Jails be pleased to state :

(a) Whether there is provision for supplying milk to the Dibrugarh Jail ?

(b) If so, who are the suppliers and whether they supply milk on contract basis ?

Shri Mahendra Nath Hazarika { Minister, Jails } replied :

295. (a)—Yes. There is provision for supply of Milk to the Jails as an item of diet for A & B Division Prisoners and as hospital diet for sick Prisoner vide Rules 369 [2] and 370 of Assam Jail Manual Vol. 1.

(b) Milk is supplied to the District Jail, Dibrugarh by the Government Dairy Farm, Khanikar and by the approved contractor Shri Kesho Prasad Singh on contract basis.

Re : Rural Electrification

M. A. Musawwir Choudhury asked :

*296. Will the Minister, Power [Electricity] be pleased to state —

(a)—Whether the Government had received any representation from the questioner about the implementation of the Rural Electrification in the Rupahihat Constituency ?

(b) If so, why the scheme for Electrification of the Constituency has not yet been implemented ?

Shri Biswadev Sarma [Minister, Power (Electricity)] replied :

296. [a]—Yes.

[b]—The viability of the Scheme for electrification of villages Juria, Dogan, Singimari, Balikatia, Burma, etc. is already under examination. It involves a capital investment of about Rs. 12.00 lakhs. The Electrification of the villages will be considered in 1971-72 depending on the feasibility reports of the Scheme.

Re : Riot between two Sections of People

M. A. Musawwir Choudhury asked :

*297. Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state—

[a] Whether the Government is aware of the fact that a riot in between two sections of the people of the village of Dighaliati in Juria mouza under Rupahihat P. S. had taken place at about 8 p. m. on 16th October 1970 ?

[b] Whether it is a fact that one man was murdered and several others were badly injured ?

[c] Whether any house has been set on fire and if so, the number thereof ?

[d] How many miscreants have been arrested up till 22nd October 1970 ?

(e) Whether it is a fact that due to lack of prompt action to curb the culprits on the part of Police there is seven tension going on in that area ?

(f) What action has been taken by the Government to curb the tension ?

Shri Mahendra Mohan Choudhury [Chief Minister] replied :

297. (a)—On 19th October 1970, at about 9 p.m. a clash took place between a few members of Lalung and Muslims communities.

(b)—One Mia boy died due to the injuries sustained and six Muslims and three Lalung sustained injuries.

(c)—One thatched house belonging to one Abdul Rahim was found burnt.

[d]—No arrest made upto 22nd October 1970, but 8 arrests were made on 23rd October 1970 and one arrest was made on 24th October 1970.

[e]—Prompt action was taken. No tension prevailed due to delay in arresting culprits but mild tension prevailed for some days due to mischievous propaganda by certain section of people.

(f)—One Platoon Armed Branch men under the charge of one Un-armed Branch Sub-Inspector camped in the affected area for about 20 days. One section Armed Branch men still camping in the affected village.

Re : Resolution adopted by the Matia Co-operative Training Centre

শ্রীশংকর চন্দ্র বাতাই সুমিছে :

*২৯৮। মাননীয় পঞ্চায়ত বিভাগৰ মন্ত্রী মহোদয়ে জনাবনে—

(ক) ১৯৭০ চনৰ জুলাই আৰু আগষ্ট মাহত চলা মাটিয়া সমবায় প্রশিক্ষণ কেন্দ্ৰৰ যোৱা ৩৮৭০ ইং তাৰিখে সাধাৰণ সভাৰ অধিবেশনত সৰ্বসন্মতি ক্ৰমে গৃহীত হোৱা ১ম প্ৰস্তাৱটো মন্ত্রী ডাঙৰীয়াই ১৬।১১।৭০ ইং তাৰিখে পাইছে নে ?

(খ) যদি পাইছে, এই প্ৰস্তাৱত কি কথা লিখা আছে ?

(গ) এই কথা সঁচানে যে মাটিয়া ব্লক ডেভেলপমেণ্ট অফিচাৰজনে সমবায় প্রশিক্ষাৰ্থীৰ ওপৰত অন্যায় ভাবে অসং আচৰণ কৰিছে ?

(ঘ) যদি কৰিছে চৰকাৰে উক্ত ব্লক অফিচাৰ জনৰ ওপৰত কি ব্যৱস্থা লৈছে ?

ৰাজ্যিক পঞ্চায়ত মন্ত্রী শ্ৰীদেবেন্দ্ৰ হাজৰিকাই উত্তৰ দিছে :

২৯৮। (ক)—হয়, ১৬।১১।৭০ তাৰিখে প্ৰস্তাৱটো পাই ততালিকে গোৱালপাৰা মহকুমা পৰিকল্পনা বিষয়ক অনুসন্ধান কৰিব দিয়া হৈছে।

(খ) বিস্তাৰিত প্ৰস্তাৱৰ কথা মনত নাথাকিলেও মাটিয়া উন্নয়ন খণ্ড বিষয়াই সমৰায় প্ৰশিক্ষাৰ্থীসকলক অসং ব্যৱহাৰ কৰাৰ অভিযোগ আছে।

(গ) —গোৱালপাৰা মহকুমাধিপতিক আৰু পৰিকল্পনা বিষয়ক তদন্ত কৰিব দিয়া হৈছে আৰু তদন্ত চলি আছে।

(ঘ) —অনুসন্ধানৰ বিপোর্ট পালে কি ব্যৱস্থা লোৱা হয় বিবেচনা কৰা হব।

Re : Payment of Loan by the Chamua-Aowniati K. S. S.

M. Shamsul Huda asked :

*299. Will the Minister, Co-operation be pleased to state—

(a) Whether it is a fact that the Chamua-Aowniati K. S. S. in Nowgong paid a loan amounting to Rs. 700.00 to one of its members without obtaining his signature ?

(b) If so, why and how ?

(c) The name of that particular member ?

[d] Whether there is any chance of recovery of the loan from the said member ?

(e) Whether it is a fact that the said member has refused to put his signature against the loan paid to him ?

[f] If so, the steps proposed by Government in this regard ?

Shri Chatrasing Teron (Minister, Co-operation) replied :

299. (a)—No. A loan of Rs. 700.00 was issued to one member only and that to Shri Harendra Nath Barua. His signature has been duly obtained in the disbursement list as well as the loan bond like all other loanees.

(b)—Does not arise.

(c) — No irregular loan was issued to any member. Rupees 700.00 was issued regularly as stated at (a) above.

(d) — There is every chance to recovery of the said loan of Rs. 700.00 as he has got 41 bighas of land under cultivation.

(e) — No,

(f) — Does not arise.

Re : Chairman Co-operative Society of Assam

শ্রীঅতুল চন্দ্র গোস্বামীয়ে স্থিছে :

*৩০০। মাননীয় সমবায় বিভাগৰ মন্ত্রী মহোদয়ে অনুগ্রহ কৰি জনাব নে—

(ক) অসম ক'অপাৰেটিভ এপেক্স মাৰ্কেটিং চচাইটিৰ সভাপতি হিচাবে শ্রীৰাম নাথ শৰ্মা, কোন চনৰ কিমান তাৰিখৰ পৰা কোন চনৰ কিমান তাৰিখলৈ কাৰ্য্যনিব্বাহ কৰিছিল ?

(খ) উল্লেখিত বছৰ কেইটাত জালুগুটি ক'অপাৰেটিভ মাৰ্কেটিং চচাইটিৰ লগত উক্ত শ্রীৰামনাথ শৰ্মা জড়িত আছিলনে আৰু যদি আছিল, কেনে ধৰণেৰে আছিল ?

(গ) উল্লেখিত বছৰ কেইটাত অসম ক'অপাৰেটিভ মাৰ্কেটিং চচাইটিয়ে সৰ্ব্বমুঠ কিমান টকাৰ বস্তু কিনিছিল আৰু কিমান পৰা কিনিছিল ?

(ঘ) এই কথা সঁচানে যে, অসম ক'অপাৰেটিভ মাৰ্কেটিং চচাইটিৰ অনেক বস্তু নাইকীয়া হৈছে ?

(ঙ) যদিহে সঁচা কিমান টকাৰ বস্তু নাইকীয়া হ'ল ?

সমবায় বিভাগৰ উপ মন্ত্রী শ্রীগোলোক চন্দ্র পাটগিৰিয়ে উত্তৰ দিছে :

৩০০।(ক) — ৮।১২।৬৫ ইং তাৰিখৰ পৰা ৯।৫।৭০ ইং তাৰিখলৈ ।

(খ) — শ্রীশৰ্মা ৩।১২।৫৯ ইংৰ পৰা ১৬।৮।৬৯ ইং তাৰিখলৈকে উক্ত চচাইটিৰ সভাপতি হিচাপে আছিল আৰু তাৰ পিচত তেওঁ সাধাৰণ সভ্য মাত্ৰ ।

(গ) — এপেক্স মাৰ্কেটিং চচাইটিয়ে সৰ্ব্বমুঠ ৭২.৮৭,৪৯২.৩২ টকাৰ বস্তু তলত উল্লেখিত ৯ জন বেপাৰী বা অনুষ্ঠানৰ পৰা কিনিছিল—

হেড অফিচ

টকা পঃ

- [১] মেচাৰ্চ ঝুমবমল বাগচান্দ. গুৱাহাটী ৩০,৫৪,৬৪২'৫৭
- [২] ইণ্ডিয়া চাপ্লাই এণ্ড ট্ৰেড এজেন্সি, গুৱাহাটী ২৩,২৯,৬৫৪'৫০
- [৩] নেসনেল এগ্রিকালচাবেল কোঃ অঃ মাৰ্কেটিং
ফেডাৰেশ্যন লিঃ, কলিকতা । ৯.৪৮.০৪৯'০২
- [৪] জাবডাইন হেণ্ডাৰচন, কলিকতা ... ৭,৫৩,৬৯৯'৯৮
- উক্ত চচাইটিৰ শাখাসমূহে কিনাৰ বিবৰণ তলত দিয়া হ'ল—
- [৫] শ্রীনৰেশ চন্দ্ৰ শৰ্ম্মা, নগাঁও ... ১২,৪৮০'০০
- [৬] শ্রীতুলাৰাম জৈন, হাউৰাঘাট ২১,৫৮০'০০
- [৭] শ্রীধৰমৰাজ জৈন, " ... ১,৬৩,২৮০'০০
- [৮] শ্রীআনুৰাম শৰ্ম্মা ... ২,০০৬'২৫
- [৯] শ্রীকবীন্দ্ৰ সিং এণ্ড আৰ, সিং ... ১,৮০০'০০

(ঘ)—হয় । ১৮ বেইল বস্তা নগাঁওত হেৰাল ।

(ঙ)—এই হেৰা বস্তাখিনিৰ অনুমানিক মূল্য ১৪,৪০০ টকা ।

Re : Grants awarded to Leprosy patients

শ্রীমহীধৰ পেগুয়ে সুধিছে :

৩০। মাননীয় জনকল্যাণ বিভাগৰ মন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ে অনুগ্রহ কৰি জনাব নে—

(ক) ঘোৱা তিনিটা (১ম, ২য়, আৰু ৩য়) পঞ্চবাৰ্ষিকী পৰিকল্পনাৰ কালছোৱাত অসমৰ বিভিন্ন বেচৰকাৰী সংস্থা (যেনে অসম সেৱা সমিতি, শঙ্কৰ মিচন, বামকৃষ্ণ মিচন প্রভৃতি)ক ভৈয়ামবাসী জনজাতীয় লোকৰ মাজত কুষ্ঠৰোগ নিবাৰণ আৰু চিকিৎসাৰ বাবত জনজাতীয় (ভৈয়াম) কল্যাণ দপ্তৰৰ পৰা কিমান অৰ্থ মঞ্জুৰী দিয়া হৈছিল ?

(খ) জনজাতীয় কল্যাণ দপ্তৰে আগবঢ়োৱা তেনে অৰ্থ মঞ্জুৰী বিলাক কোন কোন সংস্থাই মুঠৰ ওপৰত সন্তোষজনক ভাৱে ব্যৱহাৰ কৰিছে ?

(গ) উল্লেখিত বেচৰকাৰী সংস্থা বিলাকৰ এই নিৰ্দিষ্ট কাৰ্য্যৱলী চৰকাৰ

তথা স্বাস্থ্য বিভাগৰ দ্বাৰা নিয়ন্ত্ৰিত হৈছেনে সংস্থাবিলাক একমাত্ৰ স্বকীয় বিচাৰ বুদ্ধি মতেই চলি আছে ?

[ঘ] উপৰোক্ত সংস্থাবিলাকে অনুদান মঞ্জুৰীকাৰী মহলৰ ওচৰত সেই সকলৰ টকা পইচাৰ হিচাব পত্ৰ দাখিল কৰেনে ?

অনুন্নত শ্ৰেণীৰ কল্যাণ বিভাগৰ মন্ত্ৰী শ্ৰীৰণেন্দ্ৰ বসুমতাৰীয়ে উত্তৰ দিছে :
৩০১। [ক]—১ম পঞ্চবাৰ্ষিকী পৰিকল্পনা—কুষ্ঠ ৰোগীৰ শিতানত ব্যয় পৃথককৈ দেখুওৱা হোৱা নাই। ২য় পঞ্চবাৰ্ষিকী পৰিকল্পনা—৩'১০ লাখ টকা, ৩য় পঞ্চবাৰ্ষিকী পৰিকল্পনা—২'৬১ লাখ টকা।

[খ]—সকলোবিলাক অনুষ্ঠানে মঞ্জুৰীৰ টকা উপযুক্তভাবে ব্যৱহাৰ কৰিছে আৰু তাৰ প্ৰমাণ-পত্ৰও অসম, নগালেণ্ড আৰু মেঘালয়ৰ মহাগাণনিকলৈ পঠাইছে।

[গ]—হয়। স্বাস্থ্য বিভাগৰ নিয়ম পদ্ধতিৰ দ্বাৰা সংস্থাবিলাক পৰিচালিত হৈ আছে।

(ঘ)—হয়।

Re : General Election of Panchayats under Golaghat Subdivision

শ্ৰীসোনেশ্বৰ বৰাই সুধিছে :

৩০২। মাননীয় পঞ্চায়ত বিভাগৰ মন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ে অনুগ্ৰহ কৰি জনাব নে—

(ক) গোলাঘাট মহকুমাত পঞ্চায়তৰ ঘোৰা সাধাৰণ নিৰ্বাচনৰ পৰা এতিয়ালৈকে কিমানখন গাওঁ পঞ্চায়তৰ বা কিমান জন সদস্যৰ নিৰ্বাচনৰ ওপৰত আপীল যোকদ্দমা হৈছে ?

(খ) এনে দৰে হোৱা আপীলৰ অকল গোলাঘাট পূব আঞ্চলিক পঞ্চায়তৰ অন্তৰ্ভুক্ত কোন কোন গাওঁ পঞ্চায়তত আৰু কি কি কাৰণত আপীল হৈছে ?

(গ) উত্তৰ পশ্চিম ঘিলামাৰী গাওঁ পঞ্চায়ত হোৱা আপীলটো নিষ্পত্তি হওঁতে কিমান দিন লাগিল আৰু চকবধৰা গাওঁ পঞ্চায়তৰ আপীলটো কিমান দিন চলিছে জনাব নে ?

(ঘ) চকৰধৰা গাওঁ পঞ্চায়তৰ আপীলটো নিষ্পত্তিৰ আশা কেতিয়াকৈ কৰিব পাৰি ?

ৰাজ্যিক পঞ্চায়ত বিভাগৰ মন্ত্ৰী শ্ৰীদেবেন্দ্ৰ নাথ হাজৰিকাই উত্তৰ দিছে :

৩০২। (ক)—যোৱা পঞ্চায়তৰ সাধাৰণ নিৰ্বাচনৰ পৰা গোলাঘাট মহকুমাত বৰ্ত্তমানলৈকে ১৩জন গাওঁ পঞ্চায়তৰ সভ্য নিৰ্বাচনৰ বিপক্ষে আপীল মোকদ্দমা হৈছে ।

(খ)—(১) উত্তৰ-পশ্চিম ঘীলামাৰী গাওঁ পঞ্চায়তৰ আপীল হৈছে, নিৰ্বাচনৰ প্ৰিছাইডিং অফিচাৰে বেআইনীভাবে ভোট নাকচ কৰা প্ৰসঙ্গত ।

[২] দক্ষিণ হেঙ্গেৰা গাওঁ পঞ্চায়তৰ আপীল হৈছে, অনিয়মিত ভাবে নিৰ্বাচন চলোৱা আৰু বিধিবিহীনভাবে মনোনয়নপত্ৰ নাকচ কৰা কাৰণত ।

[৩] দক্ষিণ ঘীলামাৰী গাওঁ পঞ্চায়তৰ আপীল হৈছে, নিৰ্বাচনৰ সময়ত অনিয়ম প্ৰসঙ্গ আৰু আন আন কাৰণ দৰ্শাই ।

[গ]—উত্তৰ ঘীলামাৰী পঞ্চায়তৰ আপীল নিষ্পত্তি হওঁতে ২১ দিন লাগিছিল । চকৰধৰ গাওঁ পঞ্চায়তৰ আপীলটো ১৯৬৮ চনৰ ২৬ আগষ্টৰ পৰা চলি আছে ।

(ঘ) আদালতত আপীল চলি থকাত কেতিয়া নিষ্পত্তি হ'ব ক'ব নোৱাৰি । বৰ্ত্তমান বিচাৰাধীন হৈ আছে ।

Re : Karimganj Marketing Society

Shri Rothindra Nath Sen asked :

*303. Will the Minister, Co-operation be pleased to state —

[a] How much financial assistance was given to Karimganj Marketing Co-operative Society ?

[b] Who are the loanees ?

[c] Whether it is a fact that the Government requisitioned a town land on station road, Karimganj and made

it over to the said Marketing Society for construction of godown several years ago but the said society instead of constructing any godown over there has recently disposed of half of that land to some private businessman ?

[d] If so, the reasons thereof ?

Shri Golak Chandra Patgiri [Deputy Minister, Co-operation Department] replied :

303. [a]—The following financial assistance were given to the Karimganj Co-operative Marketing Society Ltd.—

	Rs.
(1) Loan for construction of godown [on 12th June 1960]	15,000
(2) Subsidy for construction of godown [on 12th June 1960]	5,000
(3) Share capital [1960-61 and 1961-62]	20,000
(4) Managerial subsidy [during 1961-62]	1,600
(5) Managerial subsidy [during 1962-63]	900
(6) Managerial subsidy [during 1966-67]	1,500
(7) Managerial subsidy [during 1969-70]	4,000

[b] No loans have been issued by the society. But advances have been made to Primary Co-op. Societies and individuals for paddy procurment and for betelnut purchases.

[c]—Yes, it is a fact that Government acquired a plot of town land on station Road, Karimganj measuring one bigha and the S. D. C. (Civil), Karimganj made over possession of the land to the Karimganj Co-op. Marketing Society on 27th December 1967 for construction of a

godown on that plot. The society in the meantime has started work by earth filling. Tender for construction of godown has been accepted. It is not a fact that the society has recently disposed of half of that land to some private businessman. The fact is that as the whole plot of land is not required by the Society, the Government on the initiative of the Managing Committee of the Society released a portion of the plot measuring 11 kathas 13 chataks and returned the same to the owner and Rs. 2,078.89 has been given back to the Society on 23rd June 1970 by Government being the proportionate cost of acquisition.

(d)—Does not arise in view of reply at (c) above.

Re : Classed and Unclassed Forest Lands

Shri Phani Bora asked :

*304. Will the Minister, Forests be pleased to state —

[a] What is the total area of land under classed and unclassified forest in the district of Cachar, Goalpara, Kamrup, Nowgong, Darrang, Sibsagar and Lakhimpur ?

(Figure should be shown district wise).

[b] What is the percentage compared to total land in the districts ?

[c] What is the total revenue earned from forest land per annum ?

[Please show the figure from 1957 to 1970]

[d] What is the total land under occupation legally and illegally including occupation under Tang'a and Forest

Villages and Tea Estates ?

(Please show them separately).

Shri Ranendra Basumatari [Minister, Forest] replied :

304. [a]—The total area of classed and unclassed forests in the Districts of Cachar, Goalpara, Kamrup, Nowgong, Darrang, Sibsagar and Lakhimpur is as follows—

District	Reserve Sq. Km.	Unclassed Sq. Km.
[1] Cachar —	2,994.26	281
[2] Goalpara ...	2,735.73	1,390
[3] Kamrup —	884.31	3,460
[4] Nowgong ...	950.49	160
[5] Darrang —	1,561.36	703
[6] Sibsagar ... —	1,887.05	1,850
[7] Lakhimpur	1,983.08	1,433

[b]—The percentage is as below—

[1] Cachar — ...	46%.
[2] Goalpara	40%.
(3) Kamrup ...	44%.
(4) Nowgong ...	18%.
(5) Darrang ...	26%.
(6) Sibsagar	41%.
[7] Lakhimpur	26%.

(c) The total revenue earned by Forest Department during 1966-67 to 1969-70 is as below—

	Rs.
1966-67 ...	2,80,47,115

1967-68	...	3,24,55,979
1968-69	—	3,27,18,067
1969-70	...	3,79,36,686

(Provisional).

(d)—The information is as below—

1. Legally occupied—

	Acres
(I) Forest Village ...	1,67,602
(2) Tangia Village —	10,869
(3) Tea Gardens	31
(4) Others	91,344

2. Illegally occupied—

(I) Encroachments ...	82,441
(2) Tea gardens ...	97

Re : Cattle Loans

M. Shamsul Huda asked :

*305. Will the Minister, Revenue be pleased to state—

(a) The total heads of ploughing cattle died during the floods and after the floods in 1970 in the floodaffected areas of the State ?

(b) The total amount of cattle loans sanctioned in the District concerned ?

(c) Whether the loans have been disbursed ?

(d) If not, the reasons thereof ?

Shri Paramananda Gogoi, [Minister of State, Revenue] replied :

305. (a)—Altogether 4,778 heads of cattle were lost

during flood season of 1970 in all the plains districts. The No. of ploughing cattle died after the flood is not yet known to Government. There is no information also as to how many of the 4,778 cattle were plough cattle.

[b]—Rupees 4,00,900. No demand for cattle loan received from Dibrugarh, Jorhat, Tezpur, Silchar, Karimganj and Hailakandi where a total of 2,219 heads of cattle died.

[c] & (d) —The distribution of the amounts sanctioned under (b) above, will be known when the financial year is over. District Officers are doing it. So far it is learnt that distribution is in progress.

Re : Sale of Potato

শ্রীমতেন্দ্ৰ বৰোৰে সুধিছে :

*৩০৬। মাননীয় মুখ্যমন্ত্রী মহোদয়ে অনুগ্রহ কৰি জনাব নে—

(ক) ১৯৭০ ইং চনৰ জুলাই মাহৰ ৩০ তাৰিখে চাৰিজন ভুটীয়াই দৰঙ্গামেলাৰ শ্রীদালিম চন্দ্ৰ কলিতাক ১৪০০ টকাত আলুগুটি বিক্ৰী কৰা কথাটো সঁচানে ?

(খ) এইটো কথা চৰকাৰে জানেনে যে, এই চাৰিজন ভুটীয়াই আলুগুটি বিক্ৰী কৰি ১৪০০ টকালৈ যাব ধৰোতে বাস্তৱত civil dressত দৰঙ্গা মেলাত থকা পুলিচে এই ১৪০০ টকা কাঢ়ি নিব চেষ্টা কৰিছিল আৰু কাঢ়ি নিব নোৱাৰি তাড়াতাড়ি দৌৰি আহি পুলিচৰ পোচাক পিন্ধি ভুটীয়া ৪ জনক গ্ৰাবণ কৰি প্ৰায় মৃত অৱস্থাত পেলাই আটক কৰি ৰাখিছিল ?

(গ) এইটো কথা জানেনে যে, এই বাতৰি ভুটান পুলিচে খবৰ পাই তেওঁলোকৰ পুলিচও মিলি আহি আটক বন্দী কেইজনক মুকলি কৰি লৈ যায় ?

(ঘ) বিনা কাৰণত অসম পুলিচে ভুটানীলোকক কিয় শাস্তি বা বন্দী কৰি ৰাখিছিল ?

(ঙ) ভুটীয়া ৪জনৰ লগত থকা কলেজত পঢ়া ভুটানী ছোৱালী জনীক

পুলিচে মানহানি কৰাৰ চেষ্টা কথা চৰকাৰে জানে নে ?

(চ) এই কাৰ্য্যত অসম চৰকাৰ আৰু ভূটান চৰকাৰৰ মাজত কাৰিয়া হোৱাৰ সম্ভাৱনা নহয় নে ?

(ছ) এই বিষয়ে চৰকাৰে দোষী পুলিচৰ ওপৰত কি ব্যৱস্থা হাতত লৈছে ?

মুখ্যমন্ত্ৰী শ্ৰীমহেন্দ্ৰ মোহন চৌধুৰীয়ে উত্তৰ দিছে :

৩০.৬। (ক) ৩০.৭।৭০ তাৰিখে নহয়, ৩১।৭।৭০ তাৰিখেহে ৪ জন ভূটীয়াই ১,৪০০ টকা মূল্যৰ আলুগুটি দৰঙ্গামেলাৰ শ্ৰীদালিম কলিতাক বিক্ৰি কৰে।

(খ) আৰু (গ)—সঁচা নহয়। অৱশ্যে ৩১।৭।৭০ তাৰিখে সেই ভূটানী দলৰে চনজী দৰজীৰ পুতেক ফিংজান দৰজীয়ে মদ খাই অনুষ্ঠ পৰিস্থিতিৰ সৃষ্টি কৰাত দৰঙ্গামেলাৰ পুলিচে দৰঙ্গামেলা পুলিচ চকীলৈ আনে আৰু সিদিনাই ভূটানৰ Sandrup Thankar থানাৰ ভাৰপ্ৰাপ্ত বিষয়াজনৰ হাতত যথাযোগ্য ব্যৱস্থা লবৰ বাবে গটাই দিয়ে। ভূটানৰ পৰা কোনো মিলিটাৰী অহা নাছিল। ভূটানৰ উক্ত পুলিচ বিষয়াজনে মাত্ৰ দুজন Unarmed Branch ৰ কনিষ্টবল লৈ দৰঙ্গামেলা পুলিচ চকীলৈ আহিছিল।

[ঘ]—ওপৰৰ উত্তৰ অনুযায়ী প্ৰশ্ন নুঠে।

[ঙ]—এই কথাটো সঁচা নহয়। কাৰণ ভূটীয় ৪ জনৰ লগত কোনো ছোৱালী নাছিল।

[চ]—ভূটান চৰকাৰ আৰু অসমৰ সম্পৰ্ক বৰ্ত্তমানলৈকে সুস্থ।

[ছ]—প্ৰশ্ন নুঠে।

Re : Assam Gas Company

শ্ৰীভদ্ৰকান্ত গগৈয়ে সুধিছে :

*৩০৭। মাননীয় শিল্প বিভাগৰ মন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ে অনুগ্ৰহ কৰি জনাবনে।

[ক] অসম গেছ কোম্পানী লিমিটেড কোন চনত স্থাপিত হ'ল ?

[খ] এই গেছ কোম্পানীৰ ডিৰেক্টৰ আৰু সভ্য সকলৰ নাম কি কি ?

[গ] এই গেছ কোম্পানীয়ে কেতিয়াৰ পৰা কাম আৰম্ভ কৰিছে আৰু

ক'ত ক'ত গেছ দিছে ?

[ঘ] এই গেছ কোম্পানীত চাকৰিত লোক মকৰল কৰিবৰ বাবে কিবা বোৰ্ড বা সমিতি আছেনে আৰু যদি আছে সেই বোৰ্ড বা সমিতিৰ সভ্য কোন ?

শিল্প বিভাগৰ মন্ত্ৰী শ্ৰীবিষ্ণুদেৱ শৰ্মাই উত্তৰ দিছে :

৩০৭। (ক)—১৯৬২ চনত ।

(খ)—সভ্য সকল—

[১] অসম ৰাজ্যপাল ।

[২] অসমৰ অৰ্থসচিব ।

ডিবেক্টৰসকল —

[১] শ্ৰীএম,এন, ফুকন—চেয়াৰমেন ।

[২] শ্ৰীএম, আহমেদ,—মেনেজিং ডিবেক্টৰ ।

[৩] শ্ৰীশৈলজ্ঞানন্দ বৰুৱা—ডিবেক্টৰ ।

[৪] শ্ৰীবি,এচ কালিয়া—ডিবেক্টৰ ।

[৫] শ্ৰীএন,এন, মুখাৰ্জী—ডিবেক্টৰ ।

[৬] শ্ৰীকে, চি, ভাগতী—ডিবেক্টৰ ।

[৭] শ্ৰীএচ, বি. মজুমদাৰ—ডিবেক্টৰ ।

(গ)—১৯৬২ চনৰ ৩১ মাৰ্চৰ পৰা

স্থানসমূহ —

[১] নামৰূপ তাপ-বিদ্যুৎ উৎপাদন-কেন্দ্ৰ ।

[২] নামৰূপ সাৰ কাৰখানা ।

[৩] দিল্লী চাহ বাগিচা ।

(৪) ১৯৬৯ চনৰ এক মেৰ পৰা তেলশোধনাগাৰত উৎপাদিত গেছ বৰ্কা-বঢ়াব কাৰণে গুৱাহাটীত বিতৰণ কৰা হৈছে ।

সভ্যসকল—

(ঘ)—হয় । এটি মনোনয়ন কমিটি আছে ।

[১] শ্ৰীশৈলজ্ঞানন্দ বৰুৱা ।

[২] শ্ৰীবি, এচ, কালিয়া ।

[৩] শ্ৰীডি, এন, আচাৰ্য্য ।

Re : Joypur Development Block

শ্রীভদ্রকান্ত গগৈয়ে স্মিছে :

*৩০৮। মাননীয় পঞ্চায়ত বিভাগৰ মন্ত্রী মহোদয়ে অনুগ্রহ কৰি জনাবনে—

(ক) জয়পুৰ উন্নয়ন খণ্ডৰ দ্বাৰা বঙ্গালবাৰী নামে ঠাইত চলিত বছৰত বৰি খেতি কৰিবৰ বাবে কিমান টকা মঞ্জুৰ কৰা হৈছে ?

(খ) যি মাটি ডোখৰত খেতি কৰা হৈছে সেই মাটি কোনো এখন চাহ বাগানৰ বুলি চৰকাৰে জানেনে ?

(গ) এই মাটি ডোখৰৰ বাবে চৰকাৰ আৰু মাটিৰ মালিকৰ লগত কিবা বিবাদ আছিল নেকি আৰু যদি আছিল তাৰ কিবা নিষ্পত্তি হলনে ?

(ঘ) যদি নাই হোৱা তেন্তে চৰকাৰী ভাবে কেনেকৈ আইন ভঙ্গ কৰি খেতি কৰা হ'ল ?

ৰাজ্যিক পঞ্চায়ত মন্ত্রী, শ্রীদেবেন্দ্র নাথ হাজৰিকাই উত্তৰ দিছে :

৩০৮। (ক), (খ), (গ) আৰু (ঘ)—জয়পুৰ খণ্ড উন্নয়ন আৰু আঞ্চলিক পঞ্চায়তে বঙ্গালবাৰীত বৰ্তমান বছৰত বৰি শস্যৰ কাৰণে কোনো টকা অনুমোদন কৰা নাই। কৃষি বিভাগে বৰ্তমান চলিত বছৰত বৰি শস্যৰ কাৰণে পাইলট প্ৰজেক্টৰ কাৰণে ধোৱা পথাৰ পৰিচালনা কমিটিক ১০,০০০.০০ টকাৰ মঞ্জুৰী দিছে। পথাৰ পৰিচালনা কমিটিয়ে ৬০ একৰ মাটিত আঁচনিখন কাৰ্য্যকৰী কৰিবলৈ লৈছে। তাৰে তিনি একৰ মাটি বঙ্গালবাৰীৰ সীমাত পৰিছে। এই তিনি একৰ মাটি যোৱা ৩০ বছৰ ধৰি তলতীয়া ৰায়তোৱাৰী পট্টা নিয়মে কৃষকসকলৰ দখলাধীন হৈ আছে আৰু তাৰ ৰায়তসকলো উক্ত পথাৰ পৰিচালনা কমিটিৰ অন্তৰ্ভুক্ত হৈ আছে।

Re : Mouzadari System in Assam

শ্রীঅজিতুৰ বহমান চৌধুৰীয়ে স্মিছে :

৩০৯। মাননীয় ৰাজহ বিভাগৰ মন্ত্রী মহোদয়ে অনুগ্রহ কৰি জনাবনে—

[ক] অসমৰ মৌজদাৰী প্ৰথা বন্ধাৰ প্ৰয়োজন কি আৰু মৌজাদাৰ গোৰে যি ৰাজহ স্থানীয় কৰ আদায় কৰে সেইবোৰ গাওঁ পঞ্চায়তৰ দ্বাৰা অদায় কৰাত কি বাধা আছে ?

[খ] এই মৌজাদাৰ বোৰ গৱৰ্ণমেণ্টৰ চাকৰিয়াল নে, কমিচন পোৰা মানুহ ?

[গ] যদি চাকৰিয়াল, তেন্তে বেতন পায়নে আৰু যদি কমিচন পায় তেন্তে এই লোকে দাখিল দিয়া কবুলিয়তৰ মতে কামত খটোৱা হয়নে ?

[ঘ] এইটো সঁচানে, অৱশ্যে কবুলিয়ত ৫ নং দফাটো বদলীয় বেঙ্গলৰ ১৯১৩ চনৰ পাবলিক ডিমাণ্ড আদায়ৰ আইন প্ৰৱৰ্ত্তন কৰা হৈছে।

ৰাজহ বিভাগৰ ৰাজ্যিক মন্ত্ৰী শ্ৰীপৰমানন্দ গগৈয়ে উত্তৰ দিছে :

৩০৯. [ক] মৌজাদাৰী প্ৰথা অসম উপত্যকাৰ লক্ষীমপুৰ, শিৱসাগৰ, নগাঁও দৰং আৰু কামৰূপ জিলাত আছে ? গোৱালপাৰা আৰু কাছাৰ জিলাত তহছীল প্ৰথা চলি আছে। শিৱসাগৰ জিলাৰ মাজুলীত তহছীল প্ৰথা চলি আছে। বহুত পুৰণি হৈছে, যদিও এই প্ৰথা এতিয়াও কাৰ্য্যকৰী হৈ আছে। গাঁও পঞ্চায়তৰ দ্বাৰা খাজানা আদায় কৰাৰ কোনো প্ৰস্তাৱ হাতত নাই।

[খ]—এই মৌজাদাৰবোৰ কমিচন পোৰা মানুহ, চাকৰিয়াল নহয়।

[গ]—এওঁলোকে দৰমহা নেপায়, চৰকাৰে সময়ে সময়ে নিৰ্দ্ধাৰণ কৰা নিৰিখতে খাজানা তোলাৰ উপৰোক্ত কমিচন পায়। কবুলিয়তৰ মতে তেওঁলোকৰ পৰা সাধাৰণতে কাম আদায় কৰা হয়।

[ঘ]—হয়।

Re : Goalpara-Rangpur Boundary Pillars

Shri Maneswar Boro asked—

*310. Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state—

[a] Whether it is a fact that the boundary pillars on Goalpara-Rangpur sector have been washed away by the recent devastating floods ?

[b] If so, the numbers of pillars which were washed away by the devastating floods ?

[c] What action has been taken by the Government in respect of re-construction pillars ?

Shri Mahendra Mohan Choudhury] Chief Minister]
replied :

310. [a]—Yes, some such pillars have been washed away.

[b]—According to the preliminary report available, 28 main, 15 Subsidiary and 75 T-shaped pillars have been damaged and washed away. These figures required verification by the Land Records Staff.

[c]—As per the procedure jointly agreed to by the Governments of Assam and East Pakistan, a joint inspection of the missing and damaged boundary pillars in this sector is being undertaken by the Deputy Commissioners of both sides after which they will take up the work of joint reconstruction/repair of the pillars in their original positions with the technical assistance of the Directors of Land Records of both sides.

Re : Hospital for Opium-addicted People

শ্রীভদ্রকান্ত গগৈয়ে জিজ্ঞাসে :

*৩১১। মাননীয় আবকাৰী বিভাগৰ মন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ে অনুগ্রহ কৰি জনাবনে—

(ক) টিংখং কানিয়া চিকিৎসালয়টো কেতিয়া বন্ধ হ'ল আৰু বন্ধ হোৱাৰ ৩ মাহ মান পাচলৈকে কম্বাৰী সকলে বেচন খাই থকাটো সঁচানে ?

(খ) কানিয়া চিকিৎসাৰ বাবে নিয়োগ কৰা লোক সকলৰ ভিতৰত এজন কানিয়াও আছিল এই কথা সঁচানে কি আৰু যদি সঁচা তেন্তে কানি খাবলৈ এবিলে নে ?

(গ) কানিয়াক তেনে ভাবে নিয়োগ কৰা আবকাৰী আইনত আছে জানো ?

(ঘ) সংগঠনৰ স্থায়ীভাৱে কোন কোন আছিল আৰু তাৰ সভাপতি কোন আৰু নিযুক্ত কোনে কৰিছিল ?

(৬) এতিয়ালৈকে কিমান টকা খৰচ বৰা হ'ল, আৰু এতিয়া ঘৰ ছৰাবোৰ কেনে আছে ?

আৰুকাৰী বিভাগৰ মন্ত্ৰী শ্ৰীৰমেশ চন্দ্ৰ বৰুৱাই উত্তৰ দিছে :

৩১১। (ক)—টিংখং কানিয়া কেন্দ্ৰটো ১৯৭০ চনৰ ফেব্ৰুৱাৰী মাহৰ ১লা তাৰিখৰ পৰা বন্ধ আছে। বন্ধ হোৱাৰ পিচত তাত বেচন যোগান ধৰা হোৱা নাই।

(খ)—হৰিৰাম কোচ নামৰ এজন কানিয়া মানুহক এই কানিয়া চিকিৎসাালয়ত কানিয়া নিবাসী পৰিদৰ্শক হিচাপে নিয়োগ কৰা হৈছিল কিন্তু তেওঁ নিযুক্তিৰ আগেয়েই কানি খাবলৈ এৰি দিছিল।

(গ)—শ্ৰদ্ধা বুঠে।

(ঘ)—তলত দিয়া লোকসকল এই কানিয়া কেন্দ্ৰৰ দায়িত্বত আছিল আৰু প্ৰতিবিচন কমিশ্যনাৰে অসম কানি নিবাসী আইনৰ ৩২ [১] ধাৰা অনুসাৰে তেওঁলোকক নিযুক্ত কৰিছিল—

[১] শ্ৰীভদ্ৰেশ্বৰ গগৈ— সভাপতি, এম, এল, এ,

[২] শ্ৰীকান্তিক চন্দ্ৰ গগৈ— সম্পাদক।

[৩] শ্ৰীমহেন্দ্ৰ নাথ গগৈ— সভ্য।

[৪] শ্ৰীজয়ধৰ কোঁৱৰ— সভ্য।

[৫] শ্ৰীৰমেশ গগৈ— সভ্য।

(ঙ)—এই কানিয়া কেন্দ্ৰত আজিলৈকে সৰ্বমুঠ খৰচ হয় ৬৩,৪০২'১১ টকা। এই কেন্দ্ৰৰ গোটেইবিলাক ঘৰ ১৯৭০ চনৰ এপ্ৰিল মাহৰ ধুমুহাত সম্পূৰ্ণৰূপে বিধ্বস্ত হয়।

Re : Silchar Government Girls H. E. School
Shri A. K. Nurul-Hoque asked :

*312. Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state—

[a] When the Silchar Government Girls' H. E. School was taken over by the Government ?

[b] What was the number of the teachers in the School at the time of taking over the school by Government ?

[c] Whether all the teachers of the said Aided School were employed in at the time of taking the school by the Department and, if so, the grade in which they were employed with their scales of pay ?

[d] Whether their services were counted from the date of their appointment or from the date of taking over by the Government ?

Shri Mahendra Mohan Choudhury (Chief Minister) replied :

312. [a]—On 1st November 1965.

[b]—32 Teachers including the Principal.

[c]—No. Two teachers could not be retained because they had crossed the age limit of 55 years on 1st November 1965 and one more for want of vacancy.

The respective grade and the scale of pay of the teachers who were employed are shown below—

1. One post of Headmistress [Principal] in the selection posts of A. S. S. (teaching) in the scale of pay Rs. 350—30—500—E. B.—30—680—E. B.—35—925 per mensem.

2. Three teachers in Class II A. S. S. in the scale of pay of Rs. 300—20—400—E. B.—25—550—E. B.—25—800 per mensem.

3. Sixteen teachers in Class III A. S. S. in the scale of pay of Rs. 200—12·50—250—E. B.—12·50—275—15—350—E. B.—15—500 per mensem.

4. Eight teachers in Grade 'A' of A. L. S. S. in

the scale of pay of Rs. 140—6—170—E. B.—7—205—E. B.—7—275 per mensem.

5. One teacher in Grade 'A' of A. L. S. S. in the scale of pay of Rs. 125—4—145—E. B.—4—165—E. B.—5—200 per mensem.

(d)—Services of these teachers were counted from the date of taking over of the school by Government according to existing procedure.

Re : Assam Co-operative Jute Mill

শ্রীঅতুল চন্দ্ৰ গোস্বামীয়ে স্মৃতিছে :

*৩১৩৭ মাননীয় সমবায় বিভাগৰ মন্ত্রী মহোদয়ে অনুগ্রহ কৰি জনাবনে—

(ক) অসম ক'অপাৰেটিভ জুটমিলৰ কাৰণে অশেষ পইছা তোলা বহুতো এজেন্ট আজিলৈকে জুটমিলৰ কৰ্তৃপক্ষক টকা জমা নিদিয়া বুলি চৰকাৰে খবৰ পাইছেনে আৰু যদি পাইছে এনে এজেন্ট সকলৰ ওপৰত কিবা ব্যৱস্থা জুটমিল কৰ্তৃপক্ষই গ্ৰহণ কৰিছেনে ?

(খ) আমি ক'অপাৰেটিভ জুটমিলৰ কাৰণে সৰ্ব্বমুঠ কিমান বস্তা চিমেণ্ট আজিলৈকে কিনা হৈছে আৰু চিমেণ্টৰ সুদা বস্তা বৰ্তমান জুটমিলৰ হাতত কিমান আছে আৰু কিমান বস্তা তেওঁলোকে বিক্ৰি কৰিছে ?

(গ) অসম ক'অপাৰেটিভ জুটমিলে কিমান মোন মৰাপাট কি দৰত কিনিছে আৰু সেই বিলাক কোনে যোগান দিছে ?

(ঘ) অসম ক'অপাৰেটিভ জুট মিলৰ এখন টাটা মাটিঙেচ ট্ৰাক আছে নে কি ?

[ঙ] যদি আছে, এই ট্ৰাকখন কেতিয়া কিমান দামত খৰিদ কৰা হৈছিল ?

(চ) এই ট্ৰাকখনৰ মেৰামতিৰ বাবদ এতিয়ালৈকে কিমান খৰচ কৰা হৈছে আৰু এই ট্ৰাকখনে এতিয়ালৈকে কিমান উপাৰ্জন কৰিছে ?

সমবায় বিভাগৰ মন্ত্রী শ্রীছত্ৰসিং টেৰণে উত্তৰ দিছে :

৩১৩৭ (ক)—হয়। যিসকল এজেন্টৰ পৰা বহুদ বহী আৰু টকা পোৱা

নাই তেনে এজেন্টৰ ওপৰত জুট মিলে ব্যবস্থা লৈছে।

জুটমিলৰ বৰ্ডৰ সিদ্ধান্ত অনুসৰি ইতিমধ্যে দুজন ভাইবেক্টৰে নগাঁও জিলাৰ কেইবা ঠাইতো ভ্ৰমণ কৰি এজেন্টসকলক লগ ধৰিছেগৈ আৰু বহুদিন বহী আৰু টকা সংগ্ৰহৰ বাবে তাগাদা দিছে। ইয়াৰ উপৰিও তিনিজন এজেন্টৰ ওপৰত টকা আত্মসাৎ কৰা অপৰাধত গোচৰ তৰা হৈছিল। তাৰে দুজনৰ পৰা টকা আদায় পোৱা হয় আৰু এজনৰ ওপৰত সেই গোচৰ এতিয়াও আদালতত চলি আছে।

(খ) মুঠ ২৪,৪৪২ খন বস্তা চিমেণ্ট কিনিছিল। জুটমিলৰ হাতত বৰ্তমান ৪০৮ খন খালি বস্তা আছে।

১৭০০ খন খালি বস্তা গড়কাপ্তানী বিভাগত বিক্ৰী কৰা হৈছে।

[গ]—১৯৬৯-৭০ চনত জুট মিলে মুঠ ৬,১২৭.৫৫ কুইণ্টল মৰাপাট কিনিছিল। এই মৰাপাটৰ শ্ৰেণী অনুসৰি প্ৰতি কুইণ্টলত ৯৮.৯০ টকাৰ পৰা ১৩০.৫০ টকা দৰত কিনা হৈছিল। বৰ্তমান বছৰত ৩০।১১।৭০ ইং তাৰিখলৈকে মুঠ ২,৬৪৮ কুইণ্টল মৰাপাট কিনিছে। এই মৰাপাট শ্ৰেণী অনুসৰি প্ৰতি কুইণ্টলত ৯৯.১২ টকাৰ পৰা ১৪৪.৬৮ টকা দৰত কিনা হৈছে। ১৯৬৯-৭০ চনত নিয়োজিত ৩ জন স্থানীয় জুট মিলৰ অংশীদাৰৰ ও পাট ব্যবসায়ীয়ে যোগান দিছিল—

(১)শ্ৰীদিপ্তী কুমাৰ বাৰুথোৱা।

(২)শ্ৰীমহিকান্ত শইকীয়া।

(৩)শ্ৰীখোকা মোহন চৰকাৰ।

১৯৭০-৭১ চনত তলত দিয়া সমবায় সমিতি বিলাকে যোগান দিছে—

(১)লক্ষা ক'অপাৰেটিভ মাৰ্কেটিং চচাইটি লিমিটেড।

(২)জুবিয়া আলিটান্গানি ক'অপাৰেটিভ মাৰ্কেটিং চচাইটি লিমিটেড।

[৩]জুবিয়া ট্ৰেডিং ক'অপাৰেটিভ লিমিটেড।

[৪]পুৰণি গুদাম ক'অপাৰেটিভ মাৰ্কেটিং চচাইটি লিমিটেড।

(ঘ)—নাই। এখন লেলেণ্ড ট্ৰাক হৈ আছে।

[ঙ]—বৰ্তমানে থকা লেলেণ্ড ট্ৰাকখন যোৱা ৪।৩।৭৩ ইং তাৰিখে মুঠ

৫৫,৬৩১.৭৭ পইচা (গাড়ীৰ আয়ৰ সৈতে) কিনা হৈছে।

[চ]—মেৰামতি বাবদ এতিয়ালৈকে মুঠ ৫৯,৫৭৮.৩০ টকা খৰচ কৰা হৈছে আৰু মুঠ ১,১৪,৭৯৫ টকা উপাৰ্জন কৰিছে।

Re : Distribution of Fish Seeds

শ্রীসোনেশ্বৰ বৰাই সুধিছে :

*৩১৪। মাননীয় মীন বিভাগৰ মন্ত্রী মহোদয়ে অনুগ্রহ কৰি জনাবনে—

(ক) যোৱা ১৯৬৯ চনত গোলাঘাট মহকুমাত গোলাঘাটৰ মীন বিভাগে সৰ্বমুঠ কিমান মাছৰ পোনা বিভিন্ন লোকৰ মাজত বিতৰণ কৰিলে?

[খ] এই বছৰ এই বিভাগে বিতৰণ কৰা মাছৰ পোনাবোৰ কি কি জাতৰ আছিল?

[গ] এই মাছৰ পোনাবোৰৰ শতকৰা নিবানকৈটো পোনাই চেলেকনা আৰু এলেং জাতীয় মাছ বুলি বাইজৰ মাজত এটা অভিযোগ উঠিছে এই কথা সঁচানে?

(ঘ) বিভিন্ন ডাঙৰ মাছৰ পোনা বুলি এনেকৈ এই সৰু জাতীয় পোনা বাইজৰ মাজত বিতৰণ কৰাৰ কাৰণ কি?

(ঙ) এই বছৰ ১৯৭০ চনতে উক্ত বিভাগে পোনা বিচৰা সকলো লোককে পোনা নিদি কিছুমান বহা বহা মানুহকেই মাছৰ পোনা দিয়া কথাটো সঁচানে?

(চ) যদি সঁচা হয়, তেন্তে ইয়াৰ কাৰণ কি?

মীন বিভাগৰ মন্ত্রী শ্রীমহেন্দ্ৰ নাথ হাজৰিকাই উত্তৰ দিছে—

৩১৪। (ক)—১৯৬৯ চনত ২২১ জনক মুঠ ১,৩৭,৯৬০ টা মাছৰ পোনা বিতৰণ কৰা হ'ল।

(খ)—এই বিভাগে এই বছৰ (১৯৭০) বিতৰণ কৰা মাছৰ পোনা বো, মিৰিকা আৰু কমন কাণ জাতীয় মাছৰ পোনা আছিল।

(গ)—এই মাছ শতকৰা ৯৯ টা চেলেকনা আৰু এলেং হ'ব নোৱাৰে। কাৰণ এই পোনা কৃত্ৰিম প্ৰজননৰ দ্বাৰা উৎপাদন কৰা। গতিকে ইয়াৰ লগত অন্য মাছ মিহলি হ'ব নোৱাৰে। এই বছৰ বিতৰণ কৰা পোনাৰ বিষয়ে কোনো

অভিযোগ এতিয়ালৈকে পোৱা নাই।

(ঘ)—যোৱা বছৰ পোনা নৈৰ পৰা সংগ্ৰহ কৰি আনি ডাঙৰ কৰি বাইজক বিতৰণ কৰা হৈছিল। এই পোনা যিহেতুকে নৈৰ পৰা সংগ্ৰহ কৰা হৈছিল তাত ঘাইকৈ বোঁ, বাহু, ভাঙন, কুটি আৰু লগতে সৰু জাতীয় মাছো মিহলি আছিল। সেই মাহ দিয়াৰ আগতে বাইজক মিহলি মাছৰ পোনা বুলি কোৱা হৈছিল। নৈৰ পৰা সংগ্ৰহ কৰা মিহলি মাছৰ পোনা পুখুৰীত সোনকালে বাঢ়ে আৰু মিহলি খেতিৰ কাৰণে অতি উপযোগী।

(ঙ)—এই কথা সঁচা নহয়। ১৯৭০ চনত মাছৰ পোনা বাচি দিয়া হোৱা নাছিল। মাছৰ পোনা চাহিদা আৰু যোগান অনুসৰি সকলো বাইজকে সমানে বিতৰণ কৰা হৈছিল। বালুহ বাছি মাছৰ পোনা বিতৰণ কৰা কথাটো অসত্য।

(চ)—এই কথা সঁচা নহয়।

Re: News Item

শ্রীমতিলাল নায়কে হুঁচিছে :

*৩১৫। মাননীয় মুখ্যমন্ত্রী মহোদয়ে অনুগ্ৰহ কৰি জনাবনে যোৱা ৩ এপ্ৰিল ১৯৭০ চনত নতুন অসমীয়াত [দৈনিক] প্রকাশ হোৱা 'সৰ্থেবাৰীৰ চিঠি' শীৰ্ষক ৰাতিৰটো মাননীয় মন্ত্রী মহোদয়ৰ দৃষ্টিগোচৰ হৈছিলনে ?
মুখ্যমন্ত্রী শ্রীমহেন্দ্ৰ মোহন চৌধুৰীয়ে উত্তৰ দিছে :
৩১৫। হয়, হৈছে।

Re: Brahmaputra Flood Control Board

Shri Mahidhar Pegu asked :

*316. Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state —

(a) Whether the Public Works Department [F. C. and I], Assam has come virtually under the Brahmaputra Flood Control Board recently ?

(b) Whether the various proposals [schemes] which were under active consideration of the former Flood Control

and Irrigation Board, Assam are deemed to have been under consideration of Brahmaputra Flood Control Board in the nearer future ?

(c) Whether there is any suggestion from the State Government to the Brahmaputra Flood Control Board with regard to absorption of the retrenched S. A. Khalasi, etc., especially those who belong to the bonafide Assamese families ?

Shri Mahendra Mohan Choudhury [Chief Minister] replied :

316. (a)—No. But all the flood control works of the Brahmaputra have been transferred to the newly created P. W. [Brahmaputra Flood Control] Department from the P. W. [F. C. & I.] Department of the Government of Assam. The irrigation works and the flood control works of the Barak valley will remain with the P. W. [F. C. & I.] Department.

[b]—All the flood control schemes of the Brahmaputra valley which were under active consideration of the erstwhile Assam Flood Control Board have been transferred to the P. W. [B. F. C.] Department. These schemes will be scrutinised by the new Department and if found suitable would be put up to the Brahmaputra Flood Control Board for its approval.

(c)—Public Works [B. F. C.] Department is a Department of the Assam Government and utmost efforts are being made to keep as many work-charged personnel as possible. But this will depend entirely on the fund position.

Re : Amalgamation of Junior Technical School and Industrial Training Institute

Shri Promode Chandra Gogoi asked :

*317. Will the Minister, Education be pleased to state—

[a] Whether it is a fact that the Assam Government have appointed an Evaluation Committee to go into the question of amalgamation of Junior Technical School and the Industrial Training Institute in Assam ?

[b] If so, whether the said Evaluation Committee has submitted its report and if so, what are the recommendations ?

Shri Mahendra Mohan Choudhury [Chief Minister,] replied :

317. [a] No.

[b] Does not arise.

Re : Ad-hoc grant to Berbhangi H. E. School

Shri Kabir Chandra Roy Pradhani asked :

*318. Will the Minister Education be pleased to state—

[a] Whether it is a fact the Ad-hoc grant of Berbhangi H. E. School was withdrawn ?

[b] If so, when and why ?

[c] Whether it is also a fact that this grant was given to newly stated H. E. School at a distance of half a mile in west Berbhangi which is near to East Pakistan Border ?

[d] Why this Berbhangi H. E. School was established ?

[e] Who are founder of the school ?

(f) The reason for diverting the grant from Berbhangi [old] H. E. School to the newly started H. E. School ?

[g] Whether the Minister proposes to visit the school just to ascertain the situation for final decision ?

Shri Mahendra Mohan Choudhury (Chief Minister) replied :

318. [a]—No.

[b]—Does not arise.

[c]—No. The ad-hoc grant is continuing in the Berbhangi High School located in the new site which is much better and also convenient from the point of communication and other amenities. Though the new site is nearer to the East Pakistan border, P. S., Dispensary and Post Office are within that area.

[d]—To provide facilities for Secondary Education to children of the area.

[e]—The local public of the entire area.

[f]—The grant was not diverted to any other school it is continuing in the same school which has been shifted to a new site convenient to the over whelming majority students of the school:

[g]—There is no proposal at present.

Strike by the Students

শ্রীশংক চন্দ্র বাভাই স্থিছে :

*৩১৯। মাননীয় মুখ্য মন্ত্রী মহোদয়ে অনুগ্রহ কৰি জনাবনে—

(ক) এইটো কথা জানে নে, যে যোৰা ২৫।৮।১৯৭০ ইং তাৰিখৰ পৰা

ইং ২৯৮।১৯৭০ তাৰিখলৈ গোৱালপাৰা মহকুমাৰিপতিৰ আদালতত দক্ষিণ গোৱালপাৰা ছাত্ৰ-ছাত্ৰীসকলে প্ৰত্যেক দিনে সত্যাগ্ৰহ কৰিছিল ?

(ধ) যদি কৰিছিল, তেন্তে সেই কেইদিনত কিমান ছাত্ৰ-ছাত্ৰীয়ে সত্যাগ্ৰহ কৰিছিল আৰু তেওঁলোকৰ দাবী কি কি আছিল ?

(গ) এই কথাও জানে নে ২৯৮।১৯৭০ তাৰিখে পুলিছে লাঠি চলায় অ'ক পাথৰ মাৰি নিশ্ৰ'ম ভাবে আক্ৰমণ কৰি বহুতো সত্যাগ্ৰহী আৰু দৰ্শক সকলক গুৰুতৰ আঘাত কৰিছিল ?

(ঘ) সত্যাগ্ৰহীৰ ওপৰত লাঠি চলাবলৈ আৰু পাথৰ মাৰিবলৈ পুলিচক কোনে হুকুম দিছিল ?

(ঙ) এই ঘটনা সম্পৰ্কত ত্ৰায় ভদন্তৰ দাবী কৰি কাৰবাৰ পৰা কিবা দৰখাস্ত আদি পাইছিল নে আৰু যদি পাইছিল, কাৰ পৰা আৰু তাত কি কি লিখা আছিল ?

(চ) এই সম্পৰ্কে কিবা বিহিত ব্যৱস্থা লোৱা হ'ল নে আৰু যদি লোৱা নাই কেতিয়া লোৱা হব ?

মুখ্যমন্ত্ৰী শ্ৰীমহেন্দ্ৰ মোহন চৌধুৰীয়ে উত্তৰ দিছে :

৩১৯। (ক)—হয়।

[খ]—মুঠতে প্ৰায় ১৩,০০০ ছাত্ৰ-ছাত্ৰীয়ে সত্যাগ্ৰহ কৰিছিল। পুথি-ভঁড়ালৰ টেবুলৰ ওপৰত দাবীসমূহৰ তালিকা এখন সদনৰ টেবুলত ৰখা হৈছে।

[গ]—২৯৮।৭০ তাৰিখে পুলিচে লাঠি চলোৱা কথাটো সঁচা নহয়। তদন্ত কৰি দেখা গল যে, দুজন মানুহে এজন পুলিচলৈ পাথৰ দলিওঁৱাৰ ফলত পুলিচজন মাটিত পৰি গল আৰু পুলিছজনে আত্মৰক্ষাৰ কাৰণেহে ওলোটাই পাথৰ দলিয়াব লগিয়া হৈছিল আৰু তেওঁৰ হাতৰ বেত ব্যৱহাৰ কৰিছিল।

[ঘ] ওপৰৰ [গ]—প্ৰশ্নৰ উত্তৰ সাপেক্ষে এই প্ৰশ্ন নুঠে।

[ঙ]—আৰু [চ]—হয়। চৰকাৰে পোৱা এই ধৰণৰ দুখন দৰ্খাস্তৰ নকল পুথিভঁৰালৰ টেবুলৰ ওপৰত থোৱা হৈছে। চৰকাৰে ত্ৰায় তদন্তৰ পৰিবৰ্ত্তে গোটেই ঘটনাৰ বিষয়ে জিলা উপায়ুক্তৰ দ্বাৰা পুছানুপুছৰূপে তদন্ত কৰালে।

Re : Number of High Schools and M. E. Schools

শ্রীভদ্রেস্বৰ গগৈয়ে সুধিছে :

*৩২০। মাননীয় শিক্ষা বিভাগৰ মন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ে অনুগ্রহ কৰি জনাবনে—

[ক] বাজাখনৰ বিভিন্ন জিলাৰ বিমানখন হাইস্কুল আৰু এম, ই, স্কুলত (craft teacher) আছে ?

(খ) এই শিক্ষকবিলাকে দৰমহা কিমানকৈ পায় ?

(গ) এইটো সঁচা নেকি যে প্ৰায়বোৰ স্কুলতে (craft teacher) ৰ বিলাক নামতে আছে ?

মুখ্য মন্ত্ৰী শ্ৰীমহেন্দ্ৰ মোহন চৌধুৰীয়ে উত্তৰ দিছে :

৩২০। (ক)—কাৰিকৰী | craft শিক্ষক থকা হাইস্কুল আৰু মজলীয়া ইংৰাজী স্কুলৰ সংখ্যা জিলা অনুসৰি তলত দিয়া হ'ল—

	হাইস্কুল	মঃ স্কুল
১। কাছাৰ	৩৪	৯
২। দৰং	২৯	১৩
৩। গোৱালপাৰা	৪৭	২১
৪। কামৰূপ	১০৩	৪৩
৫। লক্ষীমপুৰ	৪৬	১৯
৬। নগাঁও	৩৭	২১
৭। শিৱসাগৰ	৭২	৩১
৮। মিৰ্জা	১
৯। মিকিৰ আৰু নৰ্থ কাছাৰ	৪	২
মুঠ	৩৭৩	১৫৯

(খ)—কাৰিকৰী (craft) শিক্ষকসকলে পোৱা দৰমহাৰ হাৰ তলত দিয়া হ'ল—

১। প্ৰবেশিকা পাছ আৰু স্বীকৃতিপ্ৰাপ্ত শিক্ষানুষ্ঠানৰ কাৰিকৰী বিষয়ত ডিপ্লোমা থকাসকলে ১৪০-৬-১৭০-EB-৭-২০৫ EB-৭-২৭৫ হাৰে দৰমহা পায়।

২। প্ৰবেশিকা পাছ নকৰা কিন্তু স্বীকৃতিপ্ৰাপ্ত শিক্ষানুষ্ঠানৰ পৰা কাৰিকৰী

বিষয়ত ডিপ্লোমা ধকাসকলে ১২৫-৪-১৪৫-EB-৪-১৬৫-EB-৫-২০০ হাবে দৰমহা পায়।

(গ) — শিকাক সকলৰ অৱহেলাৰ কাৰণে কোনো কোনো স্কুলত তেনে হব পাৰে।

Re: A.C.S. II Officers

Shri Dulal Chadra Barua asked :

*321. Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state—

[a] Whether the Government is aware of the wide-spread resentment among the A. C. S. II, Officers for non-fulfilment of their longfelt grievances raised through their Association ?

[b]. If so, what are the reasons or difficulties which stand on the way of redressing their genuine grievances so long ?

Shri Mahendra Mohan Choudhury (Chief Minister) replied :

321. [a]—The Government is aware of the grievances of the A.C.S. II. Officers.

[b] - While some of the grievances like making some promotion posts available to A.C.S. II., nationalisation of writing of Character Rolls of these Officers, etc., have already been fulfilled and some others like concessions in confirmation, and changes of departmental examination rules are nearing finalisation, there are demands like amalgamation between A. C. S. I and A. C. S. II., stoppage of direct recruitment to A. C. S. I and the like having far-reaching administrative consequences which are under

careful examination of State Government and materials are being collected from various State Governments and the Central Government to assist in arriving at sound decisions.

Re: Girls' Polytechnic at Gauhati

Shri Phani Bora asked :

*322. Will the Minister, Education be pleased to state—

[a] Whether the Government attention has been drawn to columns of 'Asom Botari' dated 14th October, 1970 and 28th October, 1970 regarding functioning of the Girls' Polytechnic at Gauhati ?

[b] If so, whether the Government is taking any steps to remove the anomalies ?

Shri Mahendra Mohan Choudhury (Chief Minister) replied .

322. [a]—Yes.

[b]—All endeavours to improve the working of the School not be found wanting in Government.

Re : Smuggle into Assam

শ্রী এ. এন. আকাম হুচেইনে সুস্থিছে :

*৩২৩. মাননীয় মুখ্য মন্ত্রী মহোদয়ে অনুগ্রহ কৰি জনাবনে—

(ক) ১৯৬৮ চনৰ পৰা বৰ্তমানলৈকে অসম-পাকিস্তান, অসম-ভূটান, অসম-নগালেণ্ড সীমান্তইদি অসমলৈ চোৰাংভাৱে আমদানী কৰা কি কি বিদেশী বস্তু থকা পেলোৱা হৈছে আৰু উক্ত বিদেশী দ্ৰব্যবিলাকৰ মূল্য কিমান ?

(খ) চোৰাংভাৱে অসমলৈ আমদানী কৰা দ্ৰব্যবিলাক কোন দেশৰ তৈয়াৰী ?

(গ) উক্ত সময়চোৱাৰ ভিতৰত বিদেশৰ পৰা চোৰাংভাৱে মাল আমদানী কৰা চোৰাং বেপাৰী কোনোৱা গ্ৰেপ্তাৰ হৈছে নে আৰু যদি হৈছে, গ্ৰেপ্তাৰ হোৱা চোৰাং বেপাৰীসকলৰ নাম আৰু নাগৰিকত্ব কি ?

(ঘ) অসম-পশ্চিম বঙ্গ সীমান্তই দি অবাধ গতিৰে চোৰাং বেপাৰ চলি থকাৰ বিষয়ে চৰকাৰে জানে নে ?

শ্ৰীমহেন্দ্ৰ মোহন চৌধুৰী [মুখ্য মন্ত্ৰীয়ে] উত্তৰ দিছে :

৩২৭। (ক)—১৯৬৮ চনৰ ১লা জানুৱাৰীৰ পৰা ১৯৭০ চনৰ ৩০ চেপ্তেম্বৰলৈকে অসম পাকিস্তান, অসম ভূটান, অসম নগালেণ্ড সীমান্তইদি চোৰাংভাৱে আমদানী কৰা বস্তুবোৰ হ'ল সোণ, ৰূপ মূল্যবান পাথৰ, অলঙ্কাৰ, মুদ্ৰা, ঘড়ী, বস্ত্ৰ আৰু আন আন মালবস্তু আৰু সেইবোৰৰ মূল্য হ'ল প্ৰায় ১৮,২৭,৩৩৭.৬২ টকা।

(খ)—সেইবোৰ বস্তু পাকিস্তান, ব্ৰহ্মদেশ, নেপাল, চীন, ছাইজাৰলেণ্ড, ফ্ৰান্স আৰু বিলাতত তৈয়াৰী।

(গ)—হয়, তেনেকুৱা পাঁচজন বেপাৰী গ্ৰেপ্তাৰ হৈছে আৰু তেখেত সকলৰ নাগৰিকত্ব হ'ল ভাৰতীয়। তেখেত সকলৰ নাম হ'ল—

- ১। শ্ৰীৰেবতি মল মহেন্দ্ৰী।
- ২। শ্ৰীমুকুত বিহাৰী গুপ্ত।
- ৩। শ্ৰীবিপ্লৱ দাস।
- ৪। শ্ৰীসন্তু দাস।
- ৫। শ্ৰীলক্ষণ দাস।

(ঘ)—অসম পাকিস্তান সীমান্তইদি মাজে সময়ে চোৰাং বেপাৰ চলাৰ কথা চৰকাৰে জানে। কিন্তু অবাধ গতিৰে চলাৰ বিষয়ে চৰকাৰৰ খবৰ নাই। পশ্চিমবঙ্গ সীমান্তৰ বিষয়ে অসম চৰকাৰৰ কবলগীয়া নাই।

Re : Service Rules of Education Department

M. Shamsul Huda asked :

*324. Will the Minister, Education be pleased to state—

(a) Whether it is a fact that the Department of Education has been running without service rules ?

(b) If so, why?

(c) Whether the Department is a temporary one?

(d) If not, the procedure followed by the Government in cases of confirmation and promotion of the employees of the Department in absence of service rules?

Shri Mahendra Mohan Choudhury [Chief Minister]
replied :

324. (a)—No service rule has been framed under Article 309 of the Constitution. But Draft of Assam Educational Service Rules has been framed and it is under examination.

(b)—Does not arise in view of reply to (a) above.

(c)—No.

(d)—Departmental rules and orders, recommendation of Assam Public Service Commission and of Departmental Selection Committees and general instructions issued by the Government in the Appointment Department are followed in the absence of service rules.

Re : Grant to the High School

শ্রীতদেবশ্বৰ গগৈয়ে সুধিছে :

*৩২৫। মাননীয় শিক্ষা বিভাগৰ মন্ত্রী মহোদয়ে অনুগ্রহ কৰি জনাবনে—

[ক] চলিত বছৰত ডিব্ৰুগড় মহকুমাৰ জয়পুৰ আৰু টিংমাং সমষ্টিৰ উচ্চ ইংৰাজী স্কুলৰ বাবে গৃহ নিৰ্মাণ, বিজ্ঞান, খেল-পথাৰ, ইত্যাদি শিতানৰ পৰা কোন কোন স্কুলত কি কি শিতানত কিমানকৈ টকা দিয়া হ'ল জনাবনে?

[খ] ওপৰত উল্লেখ কৰা শিতানসমূহৰ চৰকাৰী মঞ্জুৰী দিয়াৰ কিবা ধৰা-বন্ধা নিয়ম আছে নেকি আৰু যদি আছে, কি?

শ্রীমহেন্দ্ৰ মোহন চৌধুৰী [মুখ্য মন্ত্ৰীয়ে] উত্তৰ দিছে :

৩২৫। (ক)—চলিত বছৰত অসমৰ কোনো উচ্চ ইংৰাজী স্কুলৰ বাবে গৃহ নিৰ্মাণ, বিজ্ঞান, খেল পথাৰ আদি শিতানত টকা দিয়া এতিয়ালৈকে হোৱা নাই।

(খ)—ওপৰত উল্লেখ কৰা শিতান সমূহৰ চৰকাৰী মঞ্জুৰী পাবলৈ হলে স্কুলবোৰ স্বীকৃতি পোৱা হ'ব লাগিব। ইয়াৰ উপৰিও স্কুল সমূহে তলত উল্লেখ কৰা স্বৰ্ত্তবোৰ পূৰণ কৰিব লাগিব।

(১) গৃহ নিৰ্মাণ শিতানত মঞ্জুৰী পালে স্কুলে স্কুল পুঁজিৰ পৰা শতকৰা ৫০ ভাগ টকা বহন কৰিব লাগিব।

(২) বিজ্ঞান শিতানত মঞ্জুৰী পাবলৈ স্কুলত ২ জন বিজ্ঞান শিক্ষক থাকিব লাগিব। বিজ্ঞান পঢ়াবলৈ সুকীয়া স্কুলঘৰ থাকিব লাগিব।

(৩) খেল পথাৰৰ বাবে মঞ্জুৰী পাবলৈ হলে স্কুলে স্কুল পুঁজিৰ পৰা ৫০% ভাগ টকা বহন কৰিব লাগিব।

Re: Delay on disbursement of Scholarships

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua asked:

*326. Will the Minister, Education be pleased to state—

(a) Whether the Government is aware of the fact that there has been delay in the disbursement of Scholarships to different educational institutions to the great inconveniences of the scholarship holders and their guardians?

[b] Whether it is a fact that such delay is due to shortage of staff in the particulars branch of the Directorate which deals with the scholarships?

(c) Whether the Government has received any proposal from the D. P. I. of Assam for increasing the number of staff in that branch?

(d) If so, the steps taken by Government in that regard?

Shri Mahendra Mohan Choudhury [Chief Minister]
replied :

326. (a)—Yes.

(b)—That may be one of the seasons as a very large number of cases for award of fresh scholarships are required to be processed after publication of the results of public examinations and receipt of applications.

(c)—Yes. The Government received a proposal which included a proposal for reorganisation of scholarship work.

(d)—The proposal for reorganisation is under consideration. In the meantime the T. A. and W. B. C. Department have sanctioned 8 additional temporary posts for the Post Matric Scholarship work as was done last year.

Re : Employment in the Banks

শ্রীভদ্রেস্বৰ গগৈয়ে সোধিছে :
৩২৭। মাননীয় শ্ৰম বিভাগৰ মন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ে অনুগ্ৰহ কৰি জনাবনে—

[ক] 'বেংকত নিয়োগ ক্ষেত্ৰত থলুৱা প্ৰাৰ্থীৰ প্ৰতি চৰম অবিচাৰ দৈনিক নতুন অসমীয়া কাকতত যোৱা ২৫নং ১৯৭০ তাৰিখে প্ৰকাশ হোৱা এই বাতৰিটো চৰকাৰৰ দৃষ্টিগোচৰ হৈছেনে ?

(খ) এই বেংকটোৰ অসম ৰাজ্যৰ কেইটা শাখা আছে ?

শ্ৰীকামাখ্যা প্ৰসাদ ত্ৰিপাঠিয়ে [শ্ৰম আৰু নিয়োগ বিভাগৰ মন্ত্ৰী]
উত্তৰ দিছে :

৩২৭। (ক)—দৃষ্টিগোচৰ হৈছে ।

(খ)—মেঘালয় ৰাজ্যৰ ছটাকৈ লৈ অসমৰ ভাৰতীয় ৰাজ্যিক বেংকৰ শাখাৰ সৰ্বমুঠ সংখ্যা হ'ল ২৭ টা ।

Re : Borpeta I. T. I. Office

ডাঃ হুৰেন্দ্ৰ নাথ দাসে সুধিছে :

*৩২৮। মাননীয় শ্রম বিভাগৰ মন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়য়ে অনুগ্ৰহ কৰি জনাবনে—

[ক] বৰপেটাৰ আই, টি, আই অফিচটো গুৱাহাটীত চলি থকাৰ কিমান বছৰ হ'ল ?

[খ] ইয়াৰ স্থান নিৰ্মাণৰ কাৰণে শ্রম বিভাগৰ মন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ে বৰপেটা মহকুমাৰ বিধান সভাৰ সদস্যসকলৰ সৈতে স্থানীয় ভাৱে তদন্ত কৰাটো সঁচানে ?

[গ] যদি সঁচা হয়, তেন্তে ইয়াৰ ফলাফল কি ?

[ঘ] সোনকালে বৰপেটাত এই কাম আৰম্ভ কৰাৰ কাৰণে চিন্তা কৰিছেনে ?

বজ্যিক শ্রম মন্ত্ৰী, শ্ৰীহৰ গোপাল কৰ্মকাৰে উত্তৰ দিছে :

৩২৮। [ক]—১৯৬৪ চনৰ পৰা সাত বছৰ হ'ল ।

[খ]—হয় ।

[গ]—বৰপেটা আই, টি আইৰ কাৰণে হাউলি এডোখৰ ঠাই বাচি লোৱা হৈছিল । কিন্তু স্থান নিৰ্বাচন কমিটিৰ সভ্য সকলে, সম্ভৱ হলে বৰপেটা আই, টি, আইটো বৰপেটা নগৰতেই পাতিব লাগে বুলি মত দিয়াত, ঠাইৰ বাবে পুনৰ অনুসন্ধান চলোৱা হৈছে ।

[ঘ]—হয় ।

Re : Dibrugarh State Bank

শ্ৰীভদ্ৰেশ্বৰ গগৈয়ে সুধিছে :

*৩২৯। মাননীয় নিয়োগ বিভাগ মন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ে অনুগ্ৰহ কৰি জনাবনে—

(ক) ডিব্ৰুগড় ষ্টেট বেংকত অলপতে বেংকৰ কৰ্মচাৰী নিয়োগ সম্পৰ্কত স্থানীয় যুবকসকলে কি কাৰণত বিক্ষোভ প্ৰদৰ্শন কৰিছিল, চৰকাৰে জানে নেকি ?

(খ) যদি জানে, এই নিয়োগৰ থলুৱা লোকক বঞ্চিত কৰা কথাটো সঁচা নেকি ?

শ্রম আৰু নিয়োগ বিভাগৰ মন্ত্ৰী শ্ৰীকামাখ্যা প্ৰসাদ ত্ৰিপাঠীয়ে উত্তৰ দিছে :

৩২৯। [ক]—হয় ।

[খ]—হয়। শিলঙত থকা ভাৰতৰ ষ্টেট্‌ বেঙ্কৰ আঞ্চলিক পৰিচালকৰ ওচৰত বিষয়টো উত্থাপন কৰা হৈছে।

Re : Member of Regional College of Education at Bhubaneswar

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua asked :

*330. Will the Minister Education be pleased to state—

(a) Whether the Education Minister and the D.P.I. of Assam are the members of the Council and Executive Council respectively of the Regional College of Education at Bhubaneswar ?

(b) If so, whether they have attended any meeting of the Council during the last few years—?

(c) If not, why ?

Shri Mahendra Mohan Choudhury (Chief Minister) replied :

330. (a)—No. There are two nominees of State Government on the Managing Committee of the Regional College of Education, Bhubaneswar, The Education Minister was never nominated on the Managing Committee and since May, 1966, the D. P. I. is not a member of the Managing Committee of the College.

[b] - The nominee/nominees of the State Government attended the meetings of the Managing Committee held on 8th February 1964, 22nd August 1964, 25th February 1965, 31st August 1965, 5th February 1966, 12th August 1966, 20th February 1969 and 23rd August 1969.

(c) - Does not arise.

Re : P. G. R,

শ্রীঅতুল চন্দ্র গোস্বামীয়ে স্থিছে :

*৩৩১। মাননীয় সমবায় বিভাগৰ মন্ত্রী মহোদয়ে অনুগ্রহ কৰি জনাবনে—

(ক) ব্যৱসায়ীক চৰণীয়া পথাৰত (প, জি, আৰত) খুটিয়াল সকলে কিছুমান নীতি নিয়ম পালন কৰিব লাগে নেকি, আৰু যদিহে লাগে সেইবোৰ কি কি ?

(খ) ব্যৱসায়ীক চৰণীয়া পথাৰত খুটিয়াল সকলে স্থায়ী ঘৰ নিৰ্মাণ কৰিব পাবে নে নোৱাৰে, আৰু ৫০০৬০ বিঘা মাটিত খেতি কৰাৰ অধিকাৰ খুটিয়ালসকলৰ আছে নে নাই ?

(গ) অলপতে বন বিভাগৰ উচ্চতম বিষয়াই (চি, চি, এফ,) দৰং জিলাৰ ধুনীয়া খুটি বুড়ি চাপৰি পি, জি, আৰ'ত কিবা অনুসন্ধান চলোৱাৰ কথাটো সঁচা নে, আৰু যদিহে সঁচা, তেনেহলে উক্ত অনুসন্ধানৰ ফলত কি কি কথা জানিব পৰা হ'ল জনাবনে ?

(ঘ) এই কথা সঁচানে, যে উক্ত ব্যৱসায়ীক চৰণীয়া পথাৰৰ শতকৰা ৭৫ ভাগ মাটিত খুটিয়াল সকলৰ গোমধান, মৰাপাট আৰু আহু ধানৰ খেতি আছে ?

শ্রীৰবেন্দ্ৰ বসুমতাৰী বন বিভাগৰ মন্ত্রী মহোদয়ে উত্তৰ দিছে :

৩৩১। (ক)—হয়, খুটিয়াল সকলে বন বিভাগৰ ১৮৯১ চনৰ ৩৪ (২), ৩৫ (২) আৰু ৭২ [গ] আইনৰ ধাৰাৰ নিয়মাৱলী মানি চলিব লাগে।

(খ)—খুটিয়াল সকলে স্থায়ী ঘৰ নিৰ্মাণ কৰিব নোৱাৰে আৰু ৫০—৬০ বিঘা মাটিও খেতি কৰিবলৈ কোনো অধিকাৰ নাই।

(গ)—হয়, সঁচা। অনুসন্ধান কৰি জানিব পৰা গ'ল যে খুটিয়াল সকলে বে আইনী ভাবে কটা কিছুমান গছৰ মূঢ়াহে পোৱা গ'ল। গতিকে উক্ত মূঢ়াৰোৰ আইন মতে জব্দ কৰি তাৰ পৰা উৎপন্ন হোৱা যথা সম্ভৱ কাঠৰ পৰিমাণ নিকপিত কৰি ৪৮ জন খুটিয়ালৰ ওপৰত গোচৰ তৰা হয়। ১৯ জনে গোচৰ আপোচ কৰিছে আৰু বাকী সকলেও কৰাৰ সম্ভাৱনা আছে।

(ঘ)—সঁচা নহয়।

Re : Promotion, confirmation, etc., of Rearers

M. Shamsul Huda asked :

*332. Will the Minister, Sericulture and Weaving be pleased to state—

(a) The qualifications required for promotion of a Rearer of the Department of Sericulture and Weaving to the next higher post ?

(b) What is the quota of promotion for these Rearers ?

(c) Whether this quota has been fulfilled in recent years ?

(d) If so, why

Shrimati Padma Kumari Gohain [Minister, Sericulture and Weaving) replied :

৩৩২। (ক)—যোগ্যতা আৰু জ্যেষ্ঠতাৰ ভিত্তিত পদোন্নতি দিয়া হয়।

(খ)—শতকৰা এশজনককে পদোন্নতি কৰা হয়।

(গ)—কৰা হৈছে।

(ঘ)—প্রশ্ন নুঠে।

Re : "Junior Rearers"

M. Shamsul Huda asked :

*333. Will the Minister, Sericulture and Weaving be pleased to state—

(a) Whether it is a fact that a number of junior Rearers of the Department of Sericulture and Weaving have been promoted over the heads of some senior Rearers ?

(b) If so, why and how ?

(c) Whether the Government proposed to review the matter ?

(d) If so, when ?

Shrimati Padma Kumari Gohain (Minister, Sericulture and Weaving) replied :

৩৩৩। (ক)—নাই ; তেনেকৰা হোৱা নাই ।

(খ), (গ) আৰু (ঘ)—প্রশ্ন বুঠে ।

*334. Will the Minister, Sericulture and Weaving be pleased to state—

(a) Whether all the Rearers of the Department of Sericulture and Weaving have been confirmed ?

[b] If not, why ?

[c] Whether the Government propose to confirm all the present Rearers who have the required length of service ?

[d] If so, when ?

৩৩৪। [ক] আৰু [খ]—যাবতীয় লাগতীয়াল খবৰা খবৰ পুৰা নোহোৱা বাবে তেওঁলোকৰ কোনোজনকে স্থায়ীকৰণ কৰিব পৰা হোৱা নাই ।

[গ] আৰু [ঘ]—স্থায়ীপদৰ সংখ্যা আৰু তেওঁলোকৰ যোগাযোগ্য বিবেচনা কৰি তেওঁলোকৰ সোনকালে স্থায়ীকৰণ কৰা হব ।

*335. Will the Minister, Sericulture and Weaving be pleased to state—

(a) The total number of Rearers under the Government ?

[b] Their present scale of pay ?

[c] Whether their scale of pay has ever been revised since 1964 ?

[d] If not, why ?

[e] Whether the Government propose to revise the scale of pay ?

[f] If so, when ?

৩৩৫। [ক]—৬৪ জন।

[খ]—৮৫—১—২০—২—১০০—২'৫০—১০৫—৫—১৩৫ টকা।

[গ], [ঘ], [ঙ], আৰু [চ]—১৯৬৪ চনৰ পিছৰ পৰা কোনো সংশোধন হোৱা নাই। নতুন দৰমহা সমিতি বহুৱালেহে সংশোধনৰ বিষয়ে বিবেচনা কৰা হ'ব।

Re : State Primary Education Board

শ্রীঅতুল চন্দ্ৰ গোস্বামীয়ে সুধিছে :

*৩৩৬। মাননীয় মুখ্য মন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ে অনুগ্রহ কৰি জনাবনে—

(ক) অসম ৰাজ্যিক প্ৰাথমিক শিক্ষা বোৰ্ডৰ কাৰ্য্যকলাপ আৰু অন্যান্য বিষয়ৰ এই বোৰ্ডৰ নানা কেলেংকাৰী কথা অসমৰ বিভিন্ন বাতৰি কাকতত প্ৰকাশ পোৱাৰ কথা চৰকাৰে জানেনে আৰু যদি জানে, এই বিষয়ে চৰকাৰে কি ব্যৱস্থা গ্ৰহণ কৰিছে ?

(খ) এই কথা সঁচা নে, যে অসম ৰাজ্যিক প্ৰাথমিক শিক্ষা বোৰ্ডৰ কাৰ্য্য বিৱৰণী ভুলকৈ লিখা বুলি বোৰ্ডৰ কাৰ্য্যকৰি কমিটিৰ বৈঠকত কৰা অভিযোগ সত্য বুলি প্ৰমাণিত হৈছিল ?

[গ] যদি সঁচা, ইয়াৰ বাবে দায়ী কোন ?

[ঘ] উক্ত বোৰ্ডৰ বেজিষ্ট্ৰাৰ জনৰ ওপৰত Anti Corruption বিভাগৰ মন্তব্য থকাটো সঁচা নে ?

[ঙ] যদি সত্য, Anti Corruption বিভাগৰ তদন্তৰ নকল সদনৰ টেবুলত ৰখা হয় যেন।

শ্রীমহেন্দ্ৰ মোহন চৌধুৰী [মুখ্য মন্ত্ৰীয়ে] উত্তৰ দিছে।

৩৩৬। [ক]—অসমৰ বিভিন্ন বাতৰি কাকতত অসম ৰাজ্যিক শিক্ষা ব'ৰ্ডৰ বিষয়ে ওলোৱা নানা বাতৰি চৰকাৰৰ দৃষ্টি গোচৰ হৈছে আৰু সেই সম্বন্ধে আৱশ্যকীয়

অনুসন্ধান আদি কাৰোৱা হৈছে। কোনো অভিযোগৰ সত্যতা প্ৰমাণ হলে উপযুক্ত
 কাৰ্য্য গ্ৰহণ কৰা হ'ব।

[ঘ] আৰু [গ]—কাৰ্য্য বিৱৰণী ভুলকৈ লিখা সচা; কিন্তু ই ইচ্ছাকৃত
 হয়নে নহয় সন্দেহ।

[খ]—তেনে কথা জনা নেযায়।

[ঙ]—প্ৰশ্ন ভুলে।

Re : School Mistress of Primary School

শ্ৰীমহীধৰ পেগুয়ে সুধিছে :

*৩৩৭। মাননীয় শিক্ষা বিভাগৰ মন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ে অনুগ্ৰহ কৰি জনাবনে—

(ক) শ্ৰী শিক্ষাৰ ক্ৰমবৰ্দ্ধমান গতিৰ তুলনাত স্কুলসমূহত বিশেষকৈ
 প্ৰাথমিক স্কুলসমূহত শিক্ষয়িত্ৰীৰ নিযুক্তি সম্পূৰ্ণ অপৰ্যাপ্ত হৈ আছে বুলি চৰকাৰে
 জানেনে ?

(খ) যদি জানে তেন্তে উক্ত স্কুলবিলাকত নতুন নিযুক্তিৰ ক্ষেত্ৰত
 শ্ৰী শিক্ষক প্ৰাৰ্থীক অগ্ৰাধিকাৰ দিয়াৰ কোনো ব্যৱস্থা চৰকাৰে ৰাখিছেনে ?

(গ) যদি নাই বখা, কিয় বখা হোৱা নাই।

শ্ৰীশৰৎ চন্দ্ৰ গোস্বামী [ৰাজ্যিক শিক্ষা মন্ত্ৰীয়ে] উত্তৰ দিছে।

৩৩৭। (ক)—প্ৰাথমিক স্কুলসমূহত শিক্ষক নিযুক্তিৰ ক্ষেত্ৰত পুৰুষ মহিলাৰ পাৰ্থক্য
 বখা নহয়। কেৱল অহতা অনুসৰিহে শিক্ষক নিযুক্তি দিয়া হয়।

[খ] আৰু [গ]—প্ৰাথমিক স্কুল সমূহত শিক্ষক নিযুক্তিৰ ক্ষেত্ৰত ৰাজ্যিক
 প্ৰাথমিক ব'ৰ্ডে নিয়ম বান্ধি দিছে। সেই নিয়মানুসৰি কিছুমান ক্ষেত্ৰত মহিলা
 প্ৰাৰ্থীক অগ্ৰাধিকাৰ দিয়া হয়।

Re : United Commercial Bank

Shri Zahirul Islam asked :

*338. Will the Minister, Agriculture be pleased to state—

(a) Whether the Government is aware of the fact
 that since the opening of a branch of the United Commercial Bank at Mankachar not a single Agricultural loan

has been issued ?

(b) Whether it is fact the authorities of the said Bank are not willing to advance credit to the Agriculturist ?

(c) Whether the Government will take proper steps so that the Agriculturists of the areas can get credit on easy terms ?

Shri Ataur Rahman [Minister, Agriculture] replied :
338. (a) - Yes.

(b) & (c)— There had been no occasion for rejection of Agricultural Loan by the Bank as reported by the Bank. The Bank Authority is ready to enquire into the matter if specific cases of rejection is brought to their notice.

The Bank Authority is always eager to help the Agriculturist.

Re : Service Rules of State Agriculture Department

Shri Gaurisankar Bhattacharyya asked :

*339. Will the Minister, Agriculture be pleased to state—

[a] Whether it is a fact that there are no "Service Rules" for the employees of the State Agriculture Department ?

[b] Whether it is a fact that some Officers from S. A. S Class I appointed and/or promoted in A. A. S. Cadre under regulation of the A. P. S. C. have been regularised beyond the allowable period of 6 months ?

[c] Whether it is a fact that the inter-seniority of

some officers confirmed as early as 1957 have not yet been determined ?

[d] What are the specific reasons for such inordinate delay in bringing matters up-to-date ?

Shri Ataur Rahman [Minister, Agriculture] replied :
339. [a]—Yes. Rules of the Agriculture and Veterinary Services were framed about 1933. These have however become out-of-date and a set of new Rules for Agriculture Services are in the process of finalisation.

[b]—Yes. Promotion / appointment some of A. A. S. Class II officers 1967-69 was referred to Assam Public Service Commission and a part of their recommendation has been received recently and they are under process.

[c]—The interse seniority of the officers confirmed in 1957 have already been determined both in A. A. S. Class I and A. A. S. Class II on the basis of the recommendation of the Assam Public Service Commission.

[d]—There is no inordinate delay.

Re : Requirement in the Government Offices

শ্রীভদ্রেশ্বৰ গগৈয়ে সুধিছে :

*৩৪০। মাননীয় নিয়োগ বিভাগৰ মন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ে জনাবনে—

(ক) অসমৰ চৰকাৰী কাৰ্যালয়ত সময়ে সময়ে যি চৰকাৰী কৰ্মচাৰী নিয়োগ কৰিছে এই ক্ষেত্ৰত থলুৱা প্ৰাৰ্থীক বঞ্চিত কৰিছে বুলি অসমৰ কেইবাখনো বাতৰি কাকতে অনা অভিযোগৰ কথা চৰকাৰে জানেনে ?

(খ) যদি জানে, চৰকাৰে কি ব্যৱস্থা লৈছে ?

শ্ৰম মন্ত্ৰী, শ্ৰীকামাখ্যা শ্ৰসাদ ত্ৰিপাঠিয়ে উত্তৰ দিছে :

৩৪০। (ক)—হয় ।

(খ)—চৰকাৰী আৰু বেচৰকাৰী উভয় খণ্ডৰ অধীনত থকা প্ৰতিষ্ঠান সমূহত থলুৱা লোকৰ নিযুক্তিৰ বাবে এতিয়ালৈকে নিয়ন্ত্ৰিত ব্যৱস্থা সমূহ হাতত লোৱা হৈছে।

(১) থলুৱা লোকৰ চিনাক্ত কৰণৰ অৰ্থে নিয়োগ বিনিময় কেন্দ্ৰ সমূহত পঞ্জীয়ন কৰাৰ সময়ত প্ৰাৰ্থীসকলৰ জন্মস্থান, শিক্ষাৰ্থান ইত্যাদিৰ বিশদ বিৱৰণ লিপিবদ্ধ কৰিবলৈ নিয়োগ বিনিময় কেন্দ্ৰ সমূহক নিৰ্দেশ দিয়া হৈছে।

(২) চৰকাৰী আৰু বেচৰকাৰী উভয় খণ্ডৰ প্ৰতিষ্ঠান সমূহত খালি থকা কামৰ ক্ষেত্ৰত থলুৱা প্ৰাৰ্থীৰ নাম পঠিয়াবলৈ নিয়োগ বিনিময় কেন্দ্ৰ সমূহক নিৰ্দেশ দিয়া হৈছে।

(৩) ভাৰতৰ ষ্টেট বেংকৰ বিভিন্ন শাখাৰ বেংগী মুদ্ৰালেখন মন্ত্ৰীৰ পদবী সমূহত কৰ্মচাৰী নিয়োগৰ ক্ষেত্ৰত নিৰ্দ্ধাৰণ কৰা অৰ্হতা শিথিল কৰা আৰু বাচনি সমিতিত ৰাজ্য চৰকাৰৰ প্ৰতিনিধি এজনকো নিয়োগ কৰাৰ ব্যৱস্থা লোৱা হৈছে।

(৪) শ্বিলং আৰু গুৱাহাটীৰ বিভাগীয় মুৰব্বীৰ কাৰ্য্যালয় আৰু সংযুক্ত খাছী আৰু জয়ন্তীয়া পাহাৰৰ জিলা কাৰ্য্যালয় সমূহত তৃতীয় শ্ৰেণীৰ কৰ্মচাৰী ভৰ্ত্তি কৰিবৰ কাৰণে অসমৰ শিক্ষাধিকৰ্ত্তাক সভাপাত, অসমৰ কৃষিবিভাগৰ যুটীয়া অধিকৰ্ত্তাক সদস্য আৰু অসমৰ নিয়োগ আৰু কাৰিকৰী প্ৰশিক্ষণৰ অধিকৰ্ত্তাক সদস্য সচিব হিচাবে লৈ এখন সমিতি গঠন কৰা হৈছে।

এই সমিতিয়ে কেৱল প্ৰতিযোগিতামূলক পৰীক্ষাৰ ভিত্তিত প্ৰাৰ্থী বাচি লৈ এখনি তালিকা প্ৰস্তুত কৰে আৰু বিভাগীয় মুৰব্বী আৰু জিলা কাৰ্য্যালয় সমূহত কাম খালি হোৱাৰ বাতৰি যেতিয়া অসমৰ নিয়োগ আৰু কাৰিকৰী প্ৰশিক্ষণক অধিকৰ্ত্তালয় লৈ আহে তেতিয়া তেওঁলোকে এই তালিকাৰ পৰাই প্ৰাৰ্থী পঠিয়াই।

বিভাগীয় মুৰব্বীৰ আৰু জিলা কাৰ্য্যালয় সমূহত ৪র্থ শ্ৰেণীৰ কৰ্মচাৰীৰ কাম খালি হলে এই ক্ষেত্ৰত নিয়োগ আৰু কাৰিকৰী প্ৰশিক্ষণৰ অধিকৰ্ত্তালয়ে পঞ্জীয়ন কৰা তাৰিখৰ ওপৰত ভিত্তি কৰি পদবীসমূহৰ প্ৰাৰ্থী সকলৰ এখনি তালিকা প্ৰস্তুত কৰে আৰু শ্বিলঙৰ বিভাগীয় মুৰব্বী আৰু জিলা কাৰ্য্যালয়

সমূহত থকা কাম খালিৰ বিপৰীতে ইয়াৰ পৰা প্ৰাৰ্থী পঠিয়াই।

[৫] স্থিতিগত থকা অসম চৰকাৰৰ মুখ্য সচিব অসমৰ বৃহৎ প্ৰতিষ্ঠান উদ্যোগৰ মূৰব্বী সকলক নিয়োগ বিনিময় কেন্দ্ৰৰ জৰিয়তে প্ৰতিষ্ঠান উদ্যোগত থলুৱা লোকক নিয়োগ কৰিবলৈ নিৰ্দেশ দিছে :

Re : Number of Graduates among Tea garden Labours

শ্ৰীসোণেশ্বৰ বৰাই সুধিছে :

৩৪১। মাননীয় শ্ৰম বিভাগৰ মন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ে অনুগ্ৰহ কৰি জনাবনে—

[ক] অসমৰ বৰ্তমানলৈকে চাহ মজুতৰ আৰু প্ৰাক্তন চাহমজুতৰ লোকৰ কিমান ল'ৰা-ছোৱালী গ্ৰেজুৱেট হ'ব পাৰিছে ?

[খ] গ্ৰেজুৱেট সকলৰ কিমান ল'ৰা ছোৱালীয়ে বৰ্তমানলৈকে কৰ্মসংস্থান পাইছে আৰু কিমান নিবনুৱা হৈ আছে ?

[গ] চাহ মজুতৰ বা প্ৰাক্তন চাহ মজুতৰ সকলৰ এই গ্ৰেজুৱেট সকলক কৰ্মসংস্থাপন দিয়াৰ কি ব্যৱস্থা চৰকাৰে এতিয়ালৈকে লৈছে ?

[ঘ] যদি কোনো ব্যৱস্থা লোৱা নাই, কিয় লোৱা নাই ?

শ্ৰম বিভাগৰ মন্ত্ৰী, শ্ৰীকামাখ্যা প্ৰসাদ ত্ৰিপাঠিয়ে উত্তৰ দিছে।

৩৪১। [ক] আৰু (খ)—চাহমজুতৰ সকলৰ শিক্ষিত ল'ৰা ছোৱালীবিলাকৰ নিয়োগ আৰু নিবনুৱা লোকৰ কোনো পৃথক পৰিসংখ্যা নিয়োগ বিনিময় কেন্দ্ৰ সমূহে ৰখা নাই। এতেকে এই ক্ষেত্ৰত দিব পৰা তথ্য একো নাই।

(গ) আৰু (ঘ)—নিয়োগ বিনিময় কেন্দ্ৰ সমূহে শুভলোকৰ তাত পঞ্জীভুক্ত কৰা সকলো প্ৰাৰ্থীক নিয়োগৰ সুবিধা দি আহিছে আৰু চাহমজুতৰ কিম্বা প্ৰাক্তন চাহ মজুতৰ স্নাতক ল'ৰা সকলেও এই কাৰ্যালয় সমূহত নাম পঞ্জীভুক্ত কৰিলে স্বাভাৱিকভাবেই নিয়োগৰ সুবিধা পায়।

Re : Strike by workers of A. S. E. B.

শ্ৰীভদ্ৰকান্ত গগৈয়ে সুধিছে :

৩৪২। উদ্যোগ মন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ে অনুগ্ৰহ কৰি জনাবনে—

(ক) অসম বিদ্যুত কৰ্মচাৰী সন্থাই ৰাজ্যিক বিদ্যুত বোৰ্ডক শুভলোকৰ

দাবী পূৰণ নকৰিলে ১৯৭০ চনৰ ১২ জুন তাৰিখৰ পৰা ধৰ্মঘট কৰাৰ জাননী কেতিয়া দিছিল ?

(খ) তেওঁলোকৰ দাবী কিমান আৰু কি কি আছিল ?

(গ) ৰাজ্যিক বিদ্যুত বোৰ্ডে সম্ভাৰ লগত তেওঁলোকৰ দাবী সমূহৰ বিষয়ে কিবা আলাপ আলোচনা কৰিছিলনে আৰু যদি কৰিছিল কেতিয়া ?

(ঘ) ১৯৭০ চনৰ ১১ জুনৰ বাতিৰ পৰা বিদ্যুত যোগান বন্ধ হোৱাটো হয়নে আৰু যদি হৈছিল কোন কোন চহৰ আৰু গাঁওবাসীয়ে দুৰ্যোগ ভুগিব লগা হৈছিল ?

(ঙ) সম্ভাৰ দাবীসমূহ পূৰণৰ বাবে কি ব্যৱস্থা কৰা হৈছে বা হ'ল ?

শ্ৰীবিশ্বদেব শৰ্মা, উদ্যোগ মন্ত্ৰীয়ে উত্তৰ দিছে।

৩৪২ (ক)—১৭ এপ্ৰিল তাৰিখত বোৰ্ড কৰ্তৃপক্ষই পাইছিল।

(খ)—মুঠ ৩৭টা দাবী সমূহ বহুমূলীয়া আছিল। দৰমহা বৃদ্ধি, মৰগীয়া বানচ, বানচ অধিক উন্নত চিৰিংসমা ব্যৱস্থা চাকৰীৰ স্থায়ীকৰণ ও পদোন্নতি চাকৰিৰ পৰা অব্যাহতি নিদিয়াৰ প্ৰতিশ্ৰুতি এই কেইটাই আছিল মুখ্য। কিছুমান কৰ্মীৰ ব্যক্তিগত বিষয়ক ওজৰ আপত্তিৰ কথাও অন্তৰ্ভুক্ত কৰা হৈছিল।

[গ]—বন্ধুৱা আয়ুক্তই ৯ আৰু ১১ জুন তাৰিখত ত্ৰিপক্ষিক আলোচনাত দাবী সমূহৰ বিষয়ে বহুল ভাবে আলোচনা কৰা হয়।

[ঘ]—হয়। গুৱাহাটী আৰু ইয়াৰ দাতিকামৰীয়া অঞ্চল ধুবুৰী, হোজাই, নলবাৰী আদি চহৰত বাইজে কিছু অস্থবিধাৰ সন্মুখীন হ'ব লগা হয়।

[ঙ]—সম্ভা আৰু বোৰ্ডৰ কৰ্তৃপক্ষৰ মাজত একাধিকবাৰ হোৱা আলাপ আলোচনাৰ পিচত বিহিত ও যথোপযুক্ত ব্যৱস্থা লোৱা হৈছে।

Re : Expenditure of the Brahmaputra Commission

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua asked :

*343. Will the Minister, P. W. D. (F. C. and I.) be pleased to state—

(a) Whether it is a fact that Government of India

agreed to bear 50 per cent of the total expenditure in respect of the Brahmaputra Commission ?

(b) If so, whether the funds have since been placed at the disposal of the Commission ?

[c] If not, what are the reasons for which the funds have not been released by the Government of India ?

Shri Syed Ahmed Ali [Minister of Flood Control] replied :

343. [a]—Prime Minister in a Statement of December 5, 1969 in the Lok Sabha, on certain Programmes relating to the Economic Development of Assam stated that the Central Government accorded high priority to the evaluation and implementation of a comprehensive plan of Flood Control through the agent of Brahmaputra Flood Control Commission and decided that such a Commission should be set up and provided with adequate resources for the discharge of its responsibility. The State Government will be enabled to make adequate provision for this purpose in the State Plan.

[b]—Not yet.

[c]—Earlier the State Plan was Rs. 225.50 crores provision for Brahmaputra Flood Control works in this plan was Rs. 15 crores subsequently the plan was revised to Rs. 261.75 crores. In this the provision for Brahmaputra Flood Control was Rs. 26.60 crores. This increase in plan allocation was however subject to raising of State resources. State has not agreed to this arrangement. Besides above

Rs. 26.60 crores C. W. and P. C. was to spend Rs. 3.40 crores from Centre for obtaining dredgers and other ancillary equipment for Brahmaputra Flood Control.

Re : Pahumara Embankment

Shri Dharanidhar Choudhury asked :

*341. Will the Minister, P.W.D. (E.&D.) be pleased to state—

(a) Whether it is a fact that the land compensation for Pahumara Embankment had not yet been paid to the land-owners ?

(b) If so, the reasons therefor ?

Shri Syed Ahmed Ali [Minister, P. W. D. (F. C. and I.)] replied :

344. [a]—Payment of land compensation has not been made except for a portion of the embankment in villages Kahara Pathan in Bhabanipur Mauza.

[b]—The proposal for land acquisition of the remaining portion is still lying with civil authority for proposition of necessary estimates.

Re : Nambarpara Gaon Panchayat

Shri Kandarpa Narayan Banikya asked :

*345. Will the Minister, P. W. D. (E. and D.) be pleased to state—

(a) Whether Government is aware that the Nambarpara Golpara G. P. area is affected by flood every year ?

(b) If so whether proper survey has been conducted in that area ?

(c) Whether Government propose to save the G. P. from the flood by constructing an embankment ?

d) If so, when this will be materialised ?

Shri Syed Ahmed Ali [Minister P. W. D. (F. C. and I.)] replied :

345. (a)—Yes, Nambarpara Gaon Panchayat is affected by flood from rivers Manas and Pakalagi.

(b)—Yes, Necessary detail survey is being carried out.

(c)—Preparation of comprehensive plan for Manas Basin has been taken in hand which when finalised will include proposed scheme also.

(d)—After the scheme is approved by the Brahmaputra Flood Control Board and funds become available.

Re : Sluice Gate at Teklajan

Shri Dharani Dhar Choudhury asked :

*346. Will the Minister, P. W. D. (F. C. & I.) be pleased to state—

[a] Whether the Government is aware that the newly constructed sluice gate at Teklajan on Pahumara embankment collapsed in the first flood of this year which caused total destruction of Ahu paddy and later on Seli paddy in the entire region of right bank of Pahumara river ?

[b] If so, the steps taken to help the cultivators who have lost the means of livelihood for the year and also what steps are taken to replace the sluice at Teklajan and Moinarjan for the safety of crops of the area in near future ?

[c] Whether the Government is aware that the flood water accumulated in Bhabanipur village area due to lack of proper outlet and submerged all the crops and houses?

[d] If so, what steps have been taken to save this big village from flood water?

Shri Syed Ahmed Ali [Minister of State, P. W. D. (F. C. & I.)] replied :

346. (a) Yes, the Teklajan sluice on right embankment of Pahumara river collapsed during the first flood on 28th June 1970. But the surrounding protected area of about 20 square miles was submerged by flood spill of Rupahi, before the sluice collapsed and damaged the Ahu crops. The rush of water from Pahumara river through the breach also damaged the crops to some extent later on.

(b)—The information regarding help rendered to the affected cultivators are not available with this department. Necessary data for design of the sluice for reconstruction at another site downstream of the present site are being collected.

(c) —Yes.

(d)—Steps have already been taken to construct embankment along right bank of Rupahi from Rupahighat to Kathamalighat, which when completed will, shut out the floods and reduce congestion in Bhabanipur area.

Re : Construction of Sluice Gate

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua asked :

*347. Will the Minister, P. W. D. (F. C. & I.) be pleased to state—

(a) Whether Government is aware that due to non-construction of sluices and other corellary project along with the Jhanjimukh-Neamati bund, there had been large scale destruction of the standing crops and other household properties by frequent floods in the Hatigarh and Chowkhat mouzas ?

(b) If so, whether Government proposes to complete these projects within the current working season ?

(c) If not, why ?

Shri Syed Ahmed Ali [Minister of State, P. W. D. (F. C. & I.)] replied :

347. (a)—Yes, due to non-construction of sluices over Meleng and Nonoi across the Jhanjimukh Neamati Brahmaputra bund, a considerable area of cultivable and homestead lands is subjected to recurring floods every year.

(b)—There is a proposal to construct the sluices over Meleng and Nonoi nallah instead. Necessary designs are being prepared. The work will be taken up after the funds become available and the design is approved by Brahmaputra Flood Control Board.

(c)—Since the position of funds is tight it may not be possible to execute this proposal during the current working season.

Re : Embankment of Kumatia River
Shri Nameswar Pegu asked :

*348. Will the Minister, P. W. D. (F. C. & I.) be pleased to state —

(a) Whether it is a fact that due to bad supervision and maintenance, the embankment along the Kumatia river under Dhemaji division has been badly damaged resulted in serious floods in some ten villages and on P. W. D. roads ?

(b) If so, the steps taken so far in this regard relating to giving relief and restoration of breaches regard ?

Shri Syed Ahmed Ali (Minister of State, P. W. D. [F. C. & I.]) replied :

348. (a)—No.

(b)—A comprehensive Plan for Flood Control in Jia-dhal and Kumatia river system is in under preparation. The work will be taken up when the scheme is approved by the B. F. C. B. and funds become available.

Re : Brahmaputra Commission

Mrs. Pranita Talukdar asked :

*349. Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state —

(a) How many rivers have been taken up by the Brahmaputra Commission ?

(b) What are their names ?

(c) When work will be taken up ?

Shri Syed Ahmed Ali (Minister of state, P. W. D. [F. C. & I.]) replied :

349. (a)—the number of the major rivers besides Brahmaputra with the Brahmaputra Commission is 52.

(b)—The names of the major tributaries and sub-

tributaries of Brahmaputra with the BFCC are as follows :—

North Bank Tributaries

1. Dihang, 2. Dibang, 3. Lohit, 4. Sessour, 5. Jiadhol, 6. Subansiri, 7. Ranganadi, 8. Dikrong, 9. Borgong, 10. Jiabhorelli, 11. Gabharu, 12. Belsiri, 13. Panchnoi, 14. Dhansiri N), 15. Noanadi, 16. Nonainodi, 17. Barnodi, 18. Puthimari, 19. Boraha, 20. Nona, 21. Pagladiya, 22. Buradiya, 23. Tihu, 24. Kaldiya, 25. Pahumara, 26. Beki, 27. Manas, 28. Buri-aie, 29. Champamati, 30. Gaurang, 31. Tipkai, 32. Sankosh:

South Bank Tributaries

33. Tirap, 34. Namchuk, 35. Namphuk, 36. Nowadihing, 37. Buridihing, 38. Desang, 39. Dihow, 40. Jhanji, 41. Bhogdoi, 42. Dhansiri (S), 43. Diyung, 44. Kopli, 45. Barpani, 46. Killing, 47. Kulsi, 48. Deosila, 49. Dudnoi, 50. Krishnai, 51. Jinari, 52. Jinjaram.

(c) A comprehensive plan to tackle the flood problem of Brahmaputra valley is being taken up by the Commission. Works from this plan will be taken up according to priorities after the approval of Brahmaputra Flood Control Board and subject to the availability of funds.

Re : A.I.R. News

শ্রীগৌৰীশঙ্কৰ ভট্টাচাৰ্য্যই সুধিছে :

*৩৫০। মাননীয় বান নিয়ন্ত্ৰণ বিভাগৰ মন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ে অনুগ্ৰহ কৰি জনাবনে—

(ক) চৰকাৰে এই কথাৰ খবৰ বাথে, নে নেবাথে যে যোৱা ১৯১৯৭০

তাৰিখে গধূলী ৭-৩০ বজাত আকাশবাণীৰ গুৱাহাটী কেন্দ্ৰৰ পৰা প্ৰচাৰ কৰা

এটা আলোচনা চক্ৰত অসম চৰকাৰৰ বান নিয়ন্ত্ৰণ বিভাগৰ কন্ম'চাৰী শ্ৰীৰচিদ চাহাবে কৈছিল যে বান নিয়ন্ত্ৰণত চৰকাৰৰ ব্যৰ্থতাৰ অন্যতম কাৰণ হৈছে এই যে এম, এল, এ, সকলে কামকাজত বৰ দিগদাৰি দিয়ে ?

(খ) এইটো সঁচাকৈয়ে চৰকাৰৰ সিদ্ধান্ত নে কি যে, এম, এল, এ, সকলৰ “দিগদাৰি” চৰকাৰৰ বান নিয়ন্ত্ৰণৰ ব্যৰ্থতাৰ অন্যতম কাৰণ ?

(গ) যদিহে চৰকাৰৰ সিদ্ধান্ত এনেকুৱা নহয় তেন্তে চৰকাৰৰ উচ্চ পৰ্যায়ৰ চাকৰিয়াল এজনক আকাশ বাণীৰ জৰিয়তে বাইজৰ নিষ্ঠাৰিত প্ৰতিনিধি সকলৰ সম্পৰ্কে এনেকুৱা অবজ্ঞা আৰু অপমানমূচক মন্তব্য কৰিবলৈ চৰকাৰে এৰি দিয়াৰ কাৰণ কি ?

[ঘ] যদিহে চৰকাৰে সঠিককৈ নেজানে যে দৰাচলতে উক্ত চৰকাৰী চাকৰিয়াল গৰাকীয়ে কি প্ৰসঙ্গত আৰু কি ভাষাৰে অনুৰূপ মন্তব্যটো কৰিছিল তেন্তে আকাশবাণীৰ গুৱাহাটী কেন্দ্ৰৰ পৰা সেই আলোচনাটোৰ পাণ্ডু-লিপি অনাই পৰীক্ষা কৰি চাই যথোপযুক্ত ব্যৱস্থা হাতত লব নে ?

শ্ৰীচৈয়দ আহম্মদ আলি (বান নিয়ন্ত্ৰণ বিভাগৰ বাৰ্জ্যিক মন্ত্ৰীয়ে) উত্তৰ দিছে :

৩৫০। (ক) - নহয় শ্ৰীৰচিদ চাহাবে এইদৰে কোৱা নাছিল।

(খ) - প্ৰশ্নটো নুঠে।

(গ) - প্ৰশ্নটো নুঠে।

[ঘ] প্ৰশ্নটো নুঠে।

Re : Price Rise

Shri Phani Bora asked :

*351. Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state—

(a) Whether Government is aware of the hardships of the low paid employees due to rise of price of the essential commodities in recent months ?

[b] Whether Government are considering to grant immediate relief to the low paid employees and if so, when ?

Shri Kamakhya prasad Tripathi [Minister-in-charge of Finance] replied :

351. [a]—Yes.

(b)—Government have already sanctioned an ad-hoc increase in Dearness Allowance of Rs. 20, with effect from 1st September, 1969 to all Government servants drawing pay upto Rs. 749.

Re : News Item

শ্রীমেন্শ্বৰ বড়োয়ে সুধিছে :

*৩৫২। মাননীয় বিত্ত মন্ত্রী মহোদয়ে অনুগ্রহ কৰি জনাবনে—

[ক] ১৯৭০ ইং চনৰ মে মাহৰ ১৭ তাৰিখে দৈনিক বাতৰিত প্রকাশ হোৱা “১৭ পইচা বনাম এটকা সাতাইশ পইচা” শীৰ্ষকটো উদ্যোগ মন্ত্রী মহাশয়ৰ দৃষ্টি গোচৰ হৈছেনে ?

[খ] যদি হৈছে ১৭ পইচাৰ ঠাইত এটকা পইচা হোৱাৰ কাৰণ কি সদনত সাতাইশ জনাব নে ?

শ্রীকামাখ্যা প্রসাদ ত্রিপাঠীয়ে [বিত্ত মন্ত্রী] উত্তৰ দিছে :

৩৫২। [ক]—শীৰ্ষকটো চৰকাৰৰ দৃষ্টি গোচৰ হৈছে।

(খ)—থাকৰা তেল জাতৰ দ্ৰব্যৰ মূল্য ভাৰত চৰকাৰে নিৰ্দ্ধাৰণ কৰি দিয়ে। Gulf Parity Formula মতে এই নিৰ্দ্ধাৰণ কৰা হয়। গুৱাহাটী আৰু ডিগবৈ শোধনাগাৰৰ Gasoline (গেচোলিন) [যাক সাধাৰণতে পেট্রোল বোলা হয়] প্রতি কিলোলিটাৰত ১১০৮.১০৩২ টকাকৈ বেচিবলৈ নিৰ্দ্ধাৰণ মতে অধিকাৰ দিয়া হৈছে।

ইয়াৰ উপৰিও গোটেই অসম অঞ্চলত পেট্রোল ডিপুবিলাকলৈ তেল নিয়াৰ খৰচ একত্ৰিত কৰি মুঠ খৰচটো প্রতি কিলিলিটাৰ হিচাপে ভাগ কৰা হয়। এই খিনিতে কব পাৰি যে অসম অঞ্চলৰ ঘেই কোনো ঠাইতে Gasoline বা [গেচোলিন] মূল্য একে, যদিও দৰাচলতে বিভিন্ন ঠাইৰ পেট্রোল পাম্পলৈ তেল নিওঁতে বিভিন্ন পৰিমাণে খৰচ হয়। এই একত্ৰিত কৰা হিচাবত প্রতি কিলোলিটাৰত পৰিবহন খৰচ ৬০.৭৩৩২ টকা পৰে। গতিকে ছয়ো

শোধনাগারে প্রতি কিলোলিটাৰত ১১০৮.১০৩২ টকাকৈ বেচে। এই হিচাবে প্রতি লিটাৰ Gasoline ৰ দাম হয় ১'১১ পইচা। তাৰ লগত অসম চৰকাৰৰ কৰ প্রতি লিটাৰত ১৫ পইচাকৈ যোগ হয়। গতিকে গ্ৰাহকে প্রতি লিটাৰ পেট্ৰোল ১.২৬ পইচাতে পাই।

উপৰোক্ত ১০৪৭.৩৭০০ টকাৰ ভিতৰত পেট্ৰোল পাম্প মালিকৰ প্রতি কিলোলিটাৰত ৪১'৮০ পইচা কমিচন আৰু বেজদীয় আৰকাৰী শুল্ক প্রতি কিলোলিটাৰত ৮১৯'৫০ পইচা সোমাই আছে।

Re : Recruitment of Peons in Assam Civil Secretariat

Shri Dulal Chadra Barua asked :

*353. Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state—

(a) Whether the Government is aware of the news about the various anomalies in the recruitment of the 4th Grade employees in the Assam Secretariat Civil as reported recently in the Local Dailies ?

[b] Whether it is a fact that the persons from outside the State are always getting preference over the local candidates in such appointment ? (statement showing the number of outsiders and local 4th Grade employees in the Secretariat to be placed on the Table of the House)

[c] If so, the steps taken by Government to safeguard the interests of the local people in such appointments ?

Shri Mahendra Mohan Choudhury [Chief Minister] replied :

353. [a]—The Government is aware of the news published in the local papers.

[b] & [c]—There are, however, considerable number of persons belonging to Bihari and Nepali Communities in the IV Grade Service of the Secretariat recruited in the

past. So far as the recent recruitments are concerned in taking of local persons has been gradually increasing [statement showing the Nos. of persons in IV Grade Service in the Secretariat is placed on the Table of the House).

A committee has been constituted in July, 1970 to examine the applications and to recommend names for appointment to Grade IV posts.

Re : House rent in lieu of Quarter

শ্রীমহীধৰ পেগুয়ে সুবিছে :

*৩৫৪। মাননীয় মুখ্য মন্ত্রী মহোদয়ে অনুগ্রহ কৰি জনাবনে—

(ক) অসমৰ জিলা কেইখনৰ মহকুমা সমূহত থকা ই, এ, চি, সকলৰ বাবে থকা ঘৰ কিয়া তাৰ পৰিবৰ্ত্তে ঘৰভাৰা হিচাবে বানচ আদি দিয়াৰ কিবা ব্যৱস্থা আছেনে ?

(খ) যদি আছে, তেন্তে সেই সকলো শ্ৰেণীৰ আৰু সকলো বিলাক ই, এ, চি,ৰ বাবে পৰ্যাপ্ত পৰিমাণে কৰিব পৰা হৈছেনে ?

(গ) যদিহে কৰিব পৰা নাই, তেন্তে তাৰ কাৰণ কি ?

(ঘ) ই, এ, চি, সকলৰ পদমৰ্যাদা অনুসৰি সাধাৰণ সা-সুবিধাখিনিও নিদিয়াটো এটা প্রশাসনীয় বৈষম্য বুলি চৰকাৰে নাভাবেনে ?

শ্রীমহেন্দ্ৰ মোহন চৌধুৰী, মুখ্য মন্ত্ৰীয়ে উত্তৰ দিছে।

৩৫৪। (ক), (খ), (গ) আৰু (ঘ)—মহকুমা সমূহত থকা ই, এ, চি সকলক থকাঘৰ কিয়া তাৰ পৰিবৰ্ত্তে ঘৰ ভাৰা হিচাবে বানচ দিয়াৰ কোনো ব্যৱস্থা চাৰ্ভিচ নিয়মাবলীত নাই। কিন্তু জিলা উপায়ুক্ত বা মহকুমাধিপতি সকলে তেখেত সকলৰ অধীনত থকা চৰকাৰী ঘৰৰে ২।১ টা ঘৰ প্রশাসনীয় সুবিধার্থে ই, এ, চি, সকলৰ কাৰণে আঁচুতিয়াই ৰাখে। চৰকাৰে প্রশাসনৰ সুবিধার্থে প্ৰথম আৰু দ্বিতীয় শ্ৰেণীৰ অফিচাৰ সকলৰ কাৰণে গুৱাহাটী, শিবসাগৰ, লক্ষীমপুৰ, দৰং আদি জিলা সমূহত থকা ঘৰ সজা এখন আঁচনি হাতত লৈছে।

বাইজৰ হাতত কৰ বিষয়া অপদস্ত

ডাঃ সুৰেন্দ্ৰ নাথ দাসে সুধিছে :

*৩৫৫। মৰ্মনীয় বিন্ত মন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ে অনুগ্রহ কৰি জনাবনে—

[ক] ১৯৭০ চনৰ ১০ চেপ্তেম্বৰ তাৰিখৰ দৈনিক অসমভ প্ৰকাশিত “বাইজৰ হাতত কৰ বিষয়া অপদস্ত” এই শিতানৰ বাতৰিটো দৃষ্টি গোচৰ হৈছেনে ?

[খ] বৰ্তমান বিভাগীয় কৰ্তৃপক্ষই এই বিষয়ে কিবা অনুসন্ধান চলাইছেনে ?

[গ] যদি চলাইছে, তেন্তে বৰ্তমান কি অৱস্থাত এই ঘটনাটো আছে ?

শ্ৰীকামাখ্যা প্ৰসাদ ত্ৰিপাঠী [বিন্ত মন্ত্ৰীয়ে] উত্তৰ দিছে ।

৩৫৫। [ক]—হয়, হৈছে ।

[খ]—হয়, অনুসন্ধান চলোৱা হৈছে ।

[গ]—কেইবাজনো সাক্ষীৰ স্বাৰন বন্দী লৈ উপকৰ আয়ুক্তই এই বিষয়ত এখন প্ৰতিবেদন দাখিল কৰিছে । এই প্ৰতিবেদনত কৰ পৰিদৰ্শক ছুজনৰ বা পিয়ন জনৰ কোনো প্ৰাথমিক দোষ নাই বুলি অভিমত দিয়া হৈছে । প্ৰতিবেদন খন বৰ্তমান চৰকাৰৰ বিবেচনাধীন হৈ আছে । পুলিচেও এই বিষয়ে তদন্ত কৰি আছে ।

Re : Sixth Scheduled Area

Shri Promode Chandra Gogoi asked :

*356, Will the Minister, Panchayat and C. D. be pleased to state—

[a] What was the total provision (Both plan and non plan) made in the budget of the Dev. (P. & C. D.) Department for the Sixth Schedule Areas of Assam during the year 1969-70 and what was the total expenditure incurred ?

[b] Whether it is a fact that agricultural equipment worth about Rs.14 lakhs was purchased by the Directorate of Panchayat and C. D. during the year 1969-70 for the Sixth Scheduled Areas of Assam ?

[c] The reasons for purchases made by the Directorate instead of by the C. D. Blocks concerned ?

[d] Whether the requirements of the Blocks were ascertained before making the purchases ?

[e] Whether scheme for those purchases were prepared by the concerning Development Blocks and approved by the Block Development Committees ?

[f] If not, why ?

[g] Whether the purchases were made from Assam Agro-Industries Corporation ?

[h] If not, the reasons thereof ?

Shri Devendra Nath Hazarika (Minister of State, Panchayat and C. D.) replied :

356. [a]—The total provision, both plan and non-plan, made in the budget of the Development (Panchayat and Community Development) Department for the Sixth Schedule Areas of Assam during the year 1969-70 was Rs. 1,31,22,440.00. The total expenditure incurred was Rs. 1,57,59,966.00.

[b]—Yes, orders for the purchase of agricultural equipments worth about Rs. 10 lakhs or so were made by the Directorate of Panchayat and Community Development during 1969-70 for the Sixth Schedule Areas of Assam.

[c]—Bulk purchases were made by the Directorate in the interest of uniformity in quality and price for the equipments supplied to different Blocks.

[d]—The requirements of the Blocks were ascertained as far as possible.

[e] & [f]—The Blocks were instructed to prepare their schemes in consultation with the Block Development Committees.

[g] & [h]—No, orders for purchases were placed with firms after obtaining their quotations.

Re : News Item

Shri Maneswar Boro asked :

*357. Will the Minister, Co-operation be pleased to state—

(a) Whether the attention of the Co-operation Minister has been drawn to the news item published in the Assam Tribune, dated 12th June 1970 under the caption 'Nowgong Branch of Acam Socieity incurs loss of Rs. 14 lakhs Serious Malpractices Revealed in Audit Report' ?

(b) If so, how far the news item is correct ?

(c) What action has been taken by the Government in this regard ?

Shri Golak Chandra Patgiri (Deputy Minister, Co-operation) replied,

357. (a)—Yes.

(b)—The net loss of the Assam Co-operative Apex Marketing Society Ltd., Nowgong Branch, for the year, 1968-69, was Rs. 12,89,223.41 as per audited accounts and balance sheets of the Society for the year, 1968-69 and not Rs. 14 lakhs as published in the news item.

Many malpractices as stated in the news item

including errors or omissions and Commissions, calculation mistakes and irregularities in the maintenance of records have been revealed in the Audit Report of the year, 1968-69.

(c)—This being the branch of the Apex Society. Preliminary action against persons at fault will be initiated by the Apex Society, who have been instructed in this regard. Further Criminal cases have been filled against some employees, found guilty of defalcation of funds or responsible for the losses. Action has also been taken to recover dues from some employees under Section 71 of the Assam Co-operative Societies Act, 1949.

Re : মাটিয়া আঞ্চলিক পঞ্চায়ত

শ্রীশবৎ চন্দ্র বাভাই সুধিছে :

*৩৫৮। মাননীয় পঞ্চায়ত বিভাগৰ মন্ত্রী মহোদয়ে অনুগ্রহ কৰি জনাবনে—

(ক) মাটিয়া আঞ্চলিক পঞ্চায়তৰ অন্তৰ্গত ডিমাজিখিলি শালপাৰা গাঁও পঞ্চায়তৰ ১৬/১৯৭০ ইং তাৰিখৰ অধিবেশনত সৰ্ব্ব সন্মতিক্ৰমে গৃহীত হোৱা ৪র্থ প্ৰস্তাৱটো মন্ত্ৰী ডাঙৰীয়াই ১৬/১১/১৯৭০ ইং তাৰিখে পাইছে নে ?

(খ) যদি পাইছে, তেনেহলে এই প্ৰস্তাৱত কি কথা লিখা আছে ?

(গ) এই প্ৰস্তাৱটোৰ সম্পৰ্কত কিবা টকা দাবী কৰা হৈছে নে আৰু যদি হৈছে ইয়াক আদায় কৰি দিয়া হবনে ?

শ্রীদেবেন্দ্র নাথ হাজৰিকা (পঞ্চায়ত মন্ত্ৰীয়ে) উত্তৰ দিছে।

৩৫৮। (ক), [খ] আৰু [গ]—পোৱা মনত নপৰে। খণ্ড-উন্নয়ন বিষয়াৰ পৰা উক্ত প্ৰস্তাৱৰ নকল বিচাৰি পঠিয়াব কোৱা হৈছে। পালে উপযুক্ত ব্যৱস্থা লোৱা হ'ব।

UNSTARRED

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

[To which answers were laid on the table]

Date : The 17th December, 1970

Re : Maternity Centre in Goalpara Subdivision

Shri Kandarpa Narayan Banikya asked :

*50. Will the Minister, Health be pleased to state—

(a) Whether there is any Maternity Centre in the entire area of the Srijangram A. P. under Goalpara Subdivision ?

(b) If not, whether Government consider it necessary to open two such centres one at Abhayapuri and the other at Srijangram State Dispensary ?

(c) If so, when ?

(d) Whether it is also a fact that a sum of Rs. 56,000 [Rupees fifty six thousand] was sanctioned for opening a Maternity Centre at Abhayapuri State Dispensary during the time of the Chinese Aggression ?

(e) Whether the scheme has been materialised ?

Shri Chatrasing Teron [Minister-in-charge, Health] replied :

50: (a) - No.

(b) & (c) - A proposal for opening a six bedded Ward attached to Abhayapuri Dispensary is under consideration and Srijangram Dispensary, which was upgraded to a Primary Health Centre, is rendering MCH facilities.

(d) - No.

(e) - Does not arise in view of reply to (b), (c) and (d):

Re : সক ক্ষেত্ৰী ৰাজ্যিক চিকিৎসালয়

শ্ৰীমতিলাল নায়েক সুধিছে :

৫১। মাননীয় স্বাস্থ্য বিভাগৰ মন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ে অনুগ্ৰহ কৰি জনাবনে—

[ক] সক ক্ষেত্ৰী ৰাজ্যিক চিকিৎসালয় খনত চিকিৎসাৰ বাবে এখনো বিচনা নথকা কথাটো চৰকাৰে জানেনে ?

[খ] যদি জানে ইয়াৰ দিনে বিচনা নথকাৰ কাৰণ কি ?

[গ] চৰকাৰে অতি সোনকালে ইয়াৰ এটি সু-ব্যৱস্থা কৰিবনে ?

শ্ৰীহৰসিং টেৰণয়ে [জন স্বাস্থ্য বিভাগৰ মন্ত্ৰী] উত্তৰ দিছে।

৫১। [ক]—হয়, জানে।

[খ]—প্ৰাথমিক স্বাস্থ্য কেন্দ্ৰৰ বাহিৰে কোনো সাধাৰণ ভেষজাশয়ত বিচনা থকাৰ ব্যৱস্থা নাই।

[গ]—প্ৰশ্নটো লুটে।

Re : ৰঙিয়া চাৰ্কোলত হোৱা বান পানী

শ্ৰীকামিনী মোহন শৰ্ম্মাই সুধিছে :

৫২। মাননীয় ৰাজহ বিভাগৰ মন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ে অনুগ্ৰহ কৰি জনাবনে—

[ক] ৰঙিয়া চাৰ্কোলত হোৱা প্ৰলয়ঙ্কৰী বান পানীত কিমান বৰ্গ মাইল জুৰি ক্ষতি হল, কিমান সংখ্যক মানুহ ক্ষতিগ্ৰস্ত হল আৰু কিমান পৰিমাণৰ ফল আৰু সম্পত্তি নাশ হল চৰকাৰে জনাবনে ?

[খ] কিমান গৰু, ম'হ, ছাগলী পানীত ভৰ্হি গল, কিমানজন মানুহৰ প্ৰাণ নাশ হল আৰু কিমান পৰিয়ালৰ ঘৰ পানীত উতি গল ?

[গ] এই বান বিধ্বস্ত অঞ্চলত কি কি সাহায্য দিয়া হ'ল বিতং ভাবে জনাবনে ?

[ঘ] সমগ্ৰ অসমত ইয়াৰ ক্ষয় ক্ষতি কিমান আৰু কি কি ধৰণৰ সাহায্য দিয়া হ'ল ? (সমষ্টিগত ভাবে বিতংকৈ জনাবনে ?)

শ্ৰীপৰমানন্দ গগৈয়ে (ৰাজ্যিক ৰাজহ মন্ত্ৰী) উত্তৰ দিছে।

৫২। [ক]—১০০ বৰ্গমাইল, ৫৩৭০০ জন মানুহ ক্ষতিগ্ৰস্ত হল। ফল আৰু সম্পত্তি নাশ হোৱাৰ হিচাব তদন্তাধীন হৈ আছে।

[খ]—কোনো মানুহৰ প্ৰাণ নাশ হোৱা নাই আৰু কোনো ঘৰো পানীত উতি যোৱা নাই। গৰু ৭২ টা, ম'হ এটা, আৰু ছাগলী ৩৬ টা হানি হ'ল।

[গ]—ভলত উল্লেখ কৰা সাহাৰ্য্যবোৰ দিয়া হল—

চাউল	...	১১৫৮'৬৫ কুইণ্টল।
আটা	...	৬৪১
চিৰা	...	৫০'৬৮ "
বুটমাই	..	৫০ "
ভূঁটি	...	৩০৯২ বস্তা।

[ঘ]—মহকুমা পৰ্যায়ৰ ক্ষয় ক্ষতিৰ হিচাব আৰু এতিয়ালৈকে দিয়া সাহাৰ্য্যৰ হিচাব সদনৰ মেজত বখা হল।

Re : কামৰূপ জিলাৰ বড়িয়াত হোৱা বানপানীত ক্ষয় ক্ষতিৰ পৰিমাণ ত্ৰীকামিনী মোহন শৰ্মাই ত্ৰুটিছে :

৫৩। মাননীয় ৰাজহ বিভাগৰ মন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ে অনুগ্ৰহ কৰি জনাবনে—

(ক) কামৰূপ জিলাৰ বড়িয়া চাৰ্কোলত হোৱা প্ৰলয়ংকাৰী বানপানীত কিমান পৰিয়ালৰ ক্ষতি হল, কিমান বৰ্গমাইল জুৰি এই বানপানী বিয়পি পৰিল আৰু কেনে ধৰণৰ ক্ষয় ক্ষতি হল বিতং ভাবে জনাবনে ?

(খ) এই দুৰ্দ্দশাগ্ৰস্ত পৰিয়াল বৰ্গক চৰকাৰৰ কালৰ পৰা কি কি সাহাৰ্য্য দিয়া হল আৰু এই সাহাৰ্য্য জনমুৰি কিমান দিনৰ কাৰণে কি পৰিমাণৰ দিয়া হল ?

(গ) মথাউৰি ভাঙি যি পৰিয়াল বৰ্গৰ ঘৰ উভুৰাই নিলে, পানীৰ সোঁতত যি পৰিয়ালৰ ঘৰ বাগৰিল নাইবা বোকা, পলস, মেটেকা আদিয়ে যি পৰিয়ালৰ ঘৰ নষ্ট কৰিলে এই পৰিয়াল বৰ্গক পুনৰ গৃহ নিৰ্মাণৰ কাৰণে কিবা দিয়া হ'লনে আৰু যদি হ'ল কি পৰিমাণৰ টকা দিয়া হ'ল ?

(ঘ) কোন গাঁৱৰ কোন পৰিয়ালক গৃহ নিৰ্মাণৰ সাহাৰ্য্য দিয়া হ'ল বতংভাবে নামবোৰ জনাবনে ?

ত্ৰীপৰমানন্দ গগৈয়ে (ৰাজ্যিক ৰাজহ মন্ত্ৰী) উত্তৰ দিছে।

৫৩। (ক)—অনিষ্ট হোৱা পৰিয়ালৰ সংখ্যা ৭,৮৯৪ টা, বানপানী বিয়পি পৰিল প্ৰায় ১০৩ বৰ্গমাইল আৰু এই বানপানীত ঘৰ শত আৰু গৰু মহৰ অনেক হানি হল।

(খ)—আগবঢ়োৱা সাহাৰ্য্য হল চাউল, আটা, ভুঁচি, চিৰা আৰু বৃটমাহ।
২১ দিনৰ কাৰণে এই সাহাৰ্য্য দিয়া হল। জনমুৰি চাউল ২৫০ গ্ৰাম, আৰু
আটা ২০০ গ্ৰাম প্ৰত্যেক পুৰুষ মহিলাৰ কাৰণে আৰু লৰা ছোৱালীৰ কাৰণে আটা
তাৰ আধা দিয়া হল।

[গ] আৰু [ঘ]—বগবীৰাৰীত মুঠ ১২ টা পৰিয়ালক ৫০ টকাকৈ ঘৰ মেৰা-
মতিৰ বাবে দিয়া হল।

Re : Naxalite activities in Bongaigaon

Shri Mathura Mohan Sinha asked :

54. Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state—

(a) Whether Government is aware that Naxalite activities were centred round Bongaigaon since a few months back ?

(b) Whether Government is also aware that some unanimous letters were issued to some of the leading public of Bongaigaon threatening their lives and properties and advocating the Mao slogans therein ?

(c) If so, what actions have been taken by Government to detect and stop those antisocial activities ?

(d) Whether Government propose to adopt permanent measures to prevent such incidents and to remove the anxieties from minds of the general public ?

Shri Mahendra Mohan Choudhury (Chief Minister) replied :

54. [a]—Yes, reports of increased activities around Bongaigaon have reached the Government.

[b]—Yes.

[c] & [d]—Security arrangements have been tightened

and collection of intelligence and vigilance have been intensified. Police action has also been taken for crimes committed in this connection. The question of taking other special measures against Naxalite activities is under active consideration of the Government

Re : Welfare officers of Scheduled Tribes and Scheduled Castes

শ্রীশৰৎ চন্দ্ৰ বাড়াই স্থিছে :

৫৫। মাননীয় অনুন্নত শ্ৰেণীৰ বিভাগীয় মন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ে অনুগ্ৰহ কৰি জনাবনে—

[ক] অনুসূচিত জাতি আৰু অনুসূচিত জনজাতিৰ বাবে অসমত কিমান জন Welfare Officer আছে তেওঁলোকৰ নাম আৰু ক'ত ক'ত আছে অনুগ্ৰহ কৰি জনাবনে আৰু এওঁলোকৰ প্ৰমোচনৰ কিবা ব্যৱস্থা আছেনে ?

[খ] উক্ত বিষয়া সকল মহকুমা পৰ্যায়ৰনে জিলা পৰ্যায়ৰ অনুগ্ৰহ কৰি জনাবনে ?

[গ] মহকুমা বা জিলা পৰ্যায়ৰ অফিচাৰ সকলে যি সহকাৰী (Assistant) আৰু পিয়ন পোৰাৰ ব্যৱস্থা আছে উক্ত বিষয়া সকলে পাইছে জানো ?

(ঘ) এওঁলোকৰ চাকৰিৰ মৰ্যাদা এজন উচ্চ ঝাপৰ [U. D. Assistant] কেবানীত কৈ কম জানো আৰু যদি নহয় তেনেহলে এওঁলোকৰ বেতনৰ নিৰিখটো বেচি হয় জানো ?

[ঙ] এই বিষয়া সকলৰ পৰা কিবা প্ৰতিবেদন পোৱা হৈছেনে আৰু যদি হৈছে তেনেহলে তাৰ প্ৰতিকাৰৰ কি কি ব্যৱস্থা লোৱা হৈছে ?

শ্ৰীৰঞ্জন মোহন বসুমতাৰী (অনুন্নত শ্ৰেণীৰ বিভাগীয় মন্ত্ৰীয়ে) উত্তৰ দিছে:

৫৫। [ক]—৭ জন ; তেওঁলোকৰ নাম আৰু কৰ্মস্থান তলত দেখুৱা হৈছে—

১। শ্ৰীৰবীন শইকীয়া গোলাঘাট।

২। শ্ৰীগোলোক চন্দ্ৰ বৰা যোৰহাট।

৩। শ্ৰীনন্দকুমাৰ ধূপী হাইলাকান্দি।

৪। শ্রীবীন্দ্র নাথায়ণ দাস ধুবুৰী।

৫। শ্রীকৃষ্ণেশ্বৰ হাজৰিকা তেজপুৰ।

৬। শ্রীডি, হাজৰিকা। নগাঁও।

৭। শ্রীএ, দাম বৰ্ত্তমান ভাৰত চৰকাৰৰ চাকৰিত আছে।

এওঁলোকৰ প্ৰমোচনৰ ব্যৱস্থা নাই।

[খ]—উক্ত বিষয়া সকল Non-Gazetted পৰ্যায়ৰ।

(গ)—এনে বিষয়া সকলৰ কোনো সহকাৰী [Assistant] আৰু পিয়নৰ ব্যৱস্থা নাই।

(ঘ)—জিলাৰ উচ্চতাপৰ [U. D. Assistant] কেবানীতকৈ এওঁলোকৰ বেতনৰ নিৰিখ কম। ১৯৬৪ চনৰ দৰমহা আয়োগে এওঁলোকৰ বেতনৰ নিৰিখ বেলেগে বান্ধি দিছিল ১৪০ টকাৰ পৰা ২৭৫ টকালৈ।

[ঙ]—হয়, পোৱা হৈছে। আগতে কৈ অহাৰ দৰেই এওঁলোকৰ বেতনৰ নিৰিখ কম। প্ৰতিবেদন চৰকাৰে বিচাৰ কৰি চাইছে। কিন্তু যোৱা দৰমহা আয়োগে বান্ধি দিয়া বেতনৰ নিৰিখ এওঁলোকৰ কাৰণে বঢ়াব নোৱাৰি কাৰণেই, প্ৰতিবেদনৰ অন্যান্য দাবি সমূহ কাৰ্য্যকৰী কৰা সম্ভৱ নহ'ল।

Re : Sorbhog Beel Fishery

Mrs. Pranita Talukdar asked :

56. Will the Minister, Fisheries be pleased to state—

(a) What is the total area of Sorbhog beel ?

(b) Who issued pattas to persons within this Beel ?

(c) When this beel will be fully reclaimed ?

(d) When the office buildings and quarters will be constructed ?

Shri Mahendra Nath Hazarika (Minister, Fisheries) replied :

56. (a)—The total area of the beel is 114 acres.

(b) Within this beel there were 39 bighas, 1 katha and 4 lechas of patta land which were acquired by Government for the purpose of development of the Fishery.

Prior to acquisition, the pattas were issued by the Revenue Department:

(c) & [d]—Preliminary works, viz., vegetation clearance work have already been taken up by the Department and after completion of clearance work other works will be taken up for which Engineering staff is preparing plan and estimates.

Re : Discharged Teachers of Elementary Education Board, Goalpara

Shri Mathura Mohan Sinha asked :

57. Will the Minister, Education be pleased to state —

[a] Whether it is a fact that there are still some discharged teacheres remained unemployed under the jurisdiction of the Elementary Education Board, Goalpara ?

[b] If so, the number thereof ?

[c] The reasons therefore ?

[d] Whether subsequent appointments had been made either in permanent or in temporary nature leaving aside the cases of those discharged teachers ?

(e) Whether Government propose to consider their cases along with the trained teachers in future ?

Shri Sarat Chandra Goswami (Minister of state, Education) replied :

57. (a)—Government have no such information. Government policy is to give first preference to discharged teachers in filling up vacancies.

[b], [c], [d] & [e]—Do not arise.

Re : অসম সমবায় কহাৰ সংজ্ঞা

শ্রীমতিলাল নায়েক সুধিছে :

৫৮। মাননীয় বন বিভাগৰ মন্ত্রী মহোদয়ে অনুগ্রহ কৰি জনাবনে—

(ক) এইটো কথা সঁচানে যে অসম সমবায় কহাৰ সংজ্ঞক ঘোৰা বছৰত [১৯৬৮-৬৯] কচুগাওঁ বিজাৰ্ভৰ এঙাৰৰ মহল দিয়া হৈছিল ?

(খ) যদি হৈছিল, এই বছৰ [১৯৬৯-৭০] এঙাৰ মহল নিদিয়াৰ কাৰণ কি ?

(গ) এই বছৰ কি কাৰণত উক্ত সংজ্ঞক এঙাৰ মহল দিয়া নহ'ল ?

[ঘ] এঙাৰ মহল নিদিয়াৰ ফলত এই থলুৱা কাৰি-কৰী লোক সকলে অৰ্থ নৈতিক দুৰ্যোগ ভোগাৰ কথা চৰকাৰে জানেনে ?

[ঙ] যদি জানে, কি ব্যৱস্থা কৰিছে ?

শ্রীবেনেদ্ৰ নাথ বসুমতাৰী (বন বিভাগৰ মন্ত্রী মহোদয়ে) উত্তৰ দিছে :

৫৮। [ক]—কচুগাওঁ বিজাৰ্ভৰ পৰা কোনো এঙাৰৰ মহল ১৯৬৮-৬৯ চনত দিয়া হোৱা নাছিল। কিন্তু ১৯৬৭ চনৰ ২০ অক্টোবৰৰ পৰা ১৯৬৮ চনৰ ২০ জুলাই-লৈকে বিপু বিজাৰ্ভত অসম সমবায় কহাৰ সংজ্ঞক এঙাৰ মহল দিয়া হৈছিল।

[খ]—১৯৬৯-৭০ চনতো অসম সমবায় কহাৰ সংজ্ঞক এটা এঙাৰ মহল বন্দোবস্তী দিয়া হৈছিল। এই মহলৰ কাম বৰ্তমান চনত [১৯৭০-৭১] হ'ব।

[গ]—মহল বন্দোবস্তী দিয়াৰ কাৰণে এই বছৰ কোনো অনুৰোধ পোৱা নাই।

[ঘ]—বন বিভাগে নাজানে।

[ঙ]—প্ৰশ্ন নুঠে।

Re : খাৰসুতা নৈৰ ওপৰৰ দলং

শ্রীমতিলাল নায়েক সুধিছে :

৫৯। মাননীয় গড়কাপ্তানি বিভাগৰ মন্ত্রী মহোদয়ে অনুগ্রহ কৰি জনাবনে—

[ক] পাঠশালা সৰ্বেবাৰী পি, ডবলিউ, ডি বিভাগৰ ৰাস্তাৰ ওপৰত খাৰসুতা নৈৰ ওপৰৰ দলংখন টেণ্ডাৰ কল কিমান তাৰিখে কৰিছিল আৰু কাম কিমান তাৰিখৰ পৰা আৰম্ভ কৰিছিল আৰু Extension of time কিমান বাৰ ললে আৰু কি কি কাৰণত ললে ?

[খ] দলংখন কিমান টকাৰ আছিল আৰু এতিয়া কিমান টকাৰ হ'ব বুলি ভবা হৈছে ?

[গ] দলংখন কাম কৰিবলৈ Work order দিয়াৰ পৰা এই নদীৰ ওপৰত কেইবছৰ Cold weather bridge দিলে আৰু বছৰে কিমান টকাকৈ আৰু সৰ্বমুঠ কিমান টকা খৰচ গ'ল ?

[ঘ] এই দলংখনৰ কাম কেতিয়াকৈ সম্পূৰ্ণ হ'ব।

Shri Altaf Hossain Mazumder [Minister, P. W. D. (R. and B.)] replied :

59. [a]—Originally notice inviting tenders were issued on 18th October 1962, but no valid tenders were received in spite of extending the last date of receipt of tenders twice. Therefore, fresh tenders were called for on 13th March 1963.

The work order was issued on 26th February 1964, but the contractor started the work on 22nd March 1966 when formal contract was signed.

As per contract the target date of completion of the work was 22nd March 1968, but the completion of the work has been delayed due to delay in signing the contract, mainly due to the controversy on certain items of recovery. Thereafter the contractor was granted extension of time for 4 (four) times on various grounds mainly due to the contractor's failure.

[b]—The original estimate was Rs. 5,00,000 and according to the present estimation, this original amount stands modified to Rs. 6,24,537. But any excess over the sanctioned estimate will be recovered from the

original contractor as per terms of the contract.

[c]—After the work order was issued, cold weather bridges were constructed 5 (five) times. The total expenditure involved was Rs. 19,981, year-wise break up of which is furnished below—

Rs.

1965-66 ... 5 035·00

1966-67 4,699·00

1967-68 4,968·00

1968-69 ... 5,279·00

[d]—The bridge is likely to be completed within May, 1971.

Re : Case against the O / C of Bihpuria Police Station

Shri Nameswar Pegu asked :

60. Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state—

(a) Whether it is a fact that on 9th May, 1967 Shri Dharmeswar Saikia, O/C Bihpuria P. S. under North Lakhimpur Civil Subdivision along with ten other Constables forcibly and without any legal sanction rejected and dispossed one Shri Ron Bahadur Ghual Chetry from his own home at Simaluguri Gaon in Dhalpur mouza together with ten bighas of land under his possession and handed over the same to another person ?

(b) Whether it is also a fact that on a complaint received from the victimised person, the S. D. O. (Civil) has ordered to institute an enquiry into the matter ?

(c) If so, what is the result of the enquiry ?

Shri Mahendra Mohan Choudhury (Chief Minister) replied :

60. (a)—No.

(b)—On receipt of an Affidavit filed by Shri Ron Bahadur Sakan Chetry against the O/C Bihpuria P. S., the Subdivisional Officer, North Lakhimpur ordered for Magisterial enquiry into the matter.

[c]—The Magisterial enquiry indicated that there was prima facie misuse and abuse of authority by the Sub-Inspector, D. Saikia, O/C Bihpuria P. S. in course of investigation of case No. C/R. 625/67 and hence prima facie case U/S 217 I. P. C. was instituted against the Sub-Inspector and a Criminal Case No. 1087/67 of North Lakhimpur Court was taken up by the S. D. M. (J), North Lakhimpur. But on 7th October, 1969 the Court discharged Shri D. Saikia for want of prosecution witness.

Re : Bongaigaon Police Outpost

Shri Mathura Mohan Sinha asked :

61. Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state—

(a) Whether Government have received public representations from time to time to convert the present Police Outpost at Bongaigaon to a fullfledged Police Station in view of increasing problems ?

[b] Whether it is a fact that there is a proposal long pending to upgrade the present outpost into a fullfledged Police Station ?

[c] If so, since when the proposal is lying pending

and what are the areas intended to be tagged with the proposed Police Station ?

(d) What are the reasons for which the said proposal could not be implemented as yet ?

[e] Whether Government propose to consider the demands of the public and give active thoughts in view of the present situation of law and order ?

Shri Mahendra Mohan Choudhury [Chief Minister] replied :

61. [a]—Yes.

[b] & [c] The proposal was received from the Deputy Commissioner through the Commissioner of Plains Division in 1969.

It is tentatively decided that 48 villages of Bijni Police Station with an area of 32.89 square miles ; 53 villages of Sidli Police Station with an area of 48.07 square miles and 32 villages of Abhayapuri Police Station with an area of 21.41 square miles are likely to be included in the proposed Bongaigaon Thana.

[d] The proposal could not be implemented as consultation with other departments is necessary. Moreover the idea is to bring Boitamari and Bongaigaon from Goalpara Sub-division and this will mean change of Subdivisional boundary.

[e]—Yes.

Re : ডিব্ৰুগড় মহকুমাৰ জয়পুৰ আঞ্চলিক পঞ্চায়ত

শ্ৰীভদ্ৰকান্ত গগৈয়ে সুধিছে :

৩২। মাননীয় পঞ্চায়ত বিভাগৰ মন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ে অনুগ্ৰহ কৰি জনাবনে—

[ক] ডিব্ৰুগড় মহকুমাৰ জয়পুৰ আঞ্চলিকৰ খেতিয়কৰ উপকাৰৰ বাবে হাল বাবলৈ ট্ৰেক্টৰ কেতিয়া দিয়া হ'ল আৰু কেইখন ?

[খ] আজিলৈকে সেই ট্ৰেক্টৰৰ দ্বাৰা কিমান জন খেতিয়ক উপকৃত হৈছে, তাৰ তালিকা এখন ঠিকনা সহ দিবনে ?

[গ] জয়পুৰ আঞ্চলিক পঞ্চায়তৰ এলেকাত কেইটা মৌজা আছে ?

শ্ৰীদেবেন্দ্ৰ নাথ হাজৰিকা (ৰাজ্যিক পঞ্চায়ত মন্ত্ৰীয়ে) উত্তৰ দিছে :
৬২। [ক] আৰু [খ]—এই বছৰৰ ভিতৰত জয়পুৰ আঞ্চলিক পঞ্চায়ত এলেকাত খেতিয়ক সকলক কোনো ট্ৰেক্টৰ ভাড়াত দিয়া হোৱা নাই।

[গ]—তিনিটা।

Re : Plying of Transport from Sorbhog to Gauhati

Mrs. Pranita Talukdar asked :

63. Will the Minister, Transport be pleased to state—

[a] The number of days on which the State Transport bus from Sorbhog to Gauhati did not ply in 1970 ?

[b] Why regular service has not yet been introduced in the above route ?

[c] Whether Government proposed to place one more bus in this route ?

Shri Probin Kumar Choudhury [Minister, Transport] replied :

63. [a]—Thirty-four days till 10th December, 1970.

[b]—There is regular Service on the route, but there is occasional disruption of service due to breakdown of vehicle and also due to the last flood.

(c)—Government is informed that the Assam State Road Transport Corporation has no contemplation to place one more bus at present.

Re : লক্ষীমপুৰ জিলাৰ আবকাৰী বিভাগ

শ্ৰীভদ্রকান্ত গগৈয়ে সুধিছে :

৬৪। মাননীয় আবকাৰী বিভাগৰ মন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ে অনুগ্রহ কৰি জনাবেনে—

(ক) লক্ষীমপুৰ জিলাৰ আবকাৰী বিভাগত কিমান জন বিষয়া আছে, তেওঁলোকৰ বৰ আৰু নাম ?

(খ) কোন বছৰৰ পৰা তেওঁলোক এই জিলাত আছে ?

শ্ৰীৰমেশ চন্দ্ৰ বৰুৱা (আবকাৰী বিভাগৰ মন্ত্ৰীয়ে) উত্তৰ দিছে :

৬৪। (ক) আৰু (খ)—৫৪ জন। তেওঁলোকৰ বাৰ, নাম আৰু কোন তাৰিখৰ পৰা তেওঁলোকে লক্ষীমপুৰ জিলাত কাম কৰি আছে, সেই সম্বন্ধে এখন তালিকা সদনৰ টেবুলত ৰখা হ'ল।

Re : Electrification and Phone connection in Bongaigaon
P. H. C.

Shri Mathura Mohan Sinha asked :

65. Will the Minister, Health be pleased to state—

(a) Whether Government is aware that there are Public demands for electrification and installation of telephone at P. H. C., Bongaigaon since long ?

(b) Whether these demands had also been reiterated by the Advisory Committee of P. H. C., and the Civil Surgeon, Goalpara had also submitted his necessary proposal to Government ?

(c) If so, what steps had been taken to provide those two essential services in view of urgent necessity of the public at Bongaigaon ?

Shri Chatrasing Teron [Minister-in-charge, Health] replied :

65. (a)—No.

(b)—Advisory Committee proceeding in this regard not yet received.

(c)—Does not arise in view of reply to (a) and (b) above.

Re : Construction of Patsaku Nangalamora bund

Shri Durgeswar Saikia asked :

66. Will the Minister, P. W. D. (F. C. and I. Wing) be pleased to state—

(a) Whether it is a fact that scheme for construction of Patsaku Nangalamora bund under Sibsagar Division was sanctioned in 1969 ?

(b) If so, whether Government is aware that for not extending the bund up to Nangalamora a portion of Bokata Mauza was affected by the floods this year ?

(c) If so, whether Government propose to extend the bund upto Nangalamora ?

Shri Syed Ahmed Ali [Minister of state, P. W. D. (F. C. and I.)] replied :

66. (a)—Yes.

(b)—Yes.

(c)—This is under investigation,

Re : Construction of bund at Deroi River

Shri Durgeswar Saikia asked :

67. Will the Chief Minister P. W. D. (F. C. and I.) Wing be pleased to state—

(a) Whether it is a fact that due to non-construction

of a bund at Deroi river on Sibsagar some 3 Gaon Panchayats are suffering badly ?

[b] Whether Government propose to construct a sluice at Deroimukh to prevent the flood waters of Brahmaputra and Desang ?

Shri Syed Ahmed Ali [Minister of State, P. W. D., (Flood Control)] replied :

67. [a]—Yes. The flooding of the three Gaon Panchayats is caused mainly due to backwater of Desang through the Deroi river.

[b]—The scheme for providing flood protection in the area is under investigation.

Re : Construction of bund from Tongaponia to Desangmukh

Shri Durgeswar Saikia asked :

68. Will the Minister, P. W. D. [F. C. & I. Wing] be pleased to state—

[a] Whether it is fact a scheme for construction of a bund from Tongaponia to Desangmukh was taken up for completion of the same phase-wise ?

[b] If so, whether 2nd phase of the scheme was sanctioned ?

[c] If not, what steps Government have taken in this regard ?

[d] Whether it is a fact that due to not taking up the construction work of the 2nd phase of the scheme Sargua G. P. and Milenkur G. P. and other areas suffered a lot including damage of crops ?

[e] Whether it is also fact that due to non-completion

of the bund distribution of land to the landless people could not be made ?

Shri Sayed Ahmed Ali [Minister of State, F. C.] replied :

(a)—Yes,

(b)—No.

(c)—The estimate is under preparation.

(d)—Yes, to some extent as it used to be in the past.

(e)—No such information is available with B. F. C. Department.

Re : Tractor for Anchalik Panchayat

শ্রী এ. এন. আক্ৰাম হুছেইনে স্থগিছে :

৩৯। মাননীয় পঞ্চায়ত আৰু কৃষি বিভাগৰ মন্ত্রী মহোদয়ে অনুগ্রহ কৰি জনাবনে—

(ক) ৰাজ্যৰ কোন কোন আঞ্চলিক পঞ্চায়তত এতিয়ালৈকে খাদ্য উৎপাদন বৃদ্ধিৰ উদ্দেশ্যে কিমান খন ট্ৰেক্টৰ, পাৰাব টিলাৰ, জলসিঞ্চনৰ বাবে পাম্প মেচিন দিয়া হৈছে ?

(খ) আঞ্চলিক পঞ্চায়ত বিলাকত উক্ত মেচিন বিলাক অকাৰিলা হৈ থকা সচানে ?

(গ) কোন কেইখন আঞ্চলিক পঞ্চায়তত কিমান সংখ্যক উক্ত ধৰণৰ মেচিন কোন তাৰিখৰ পৰা এতিয়ালৈকে কি কাৰণত অচল হৈ পৰি আছে আৰু এই বিষয়ে ব্লক খণ্ড বিষয়া আৰু আঞ্চলিক সভাপতি সকলে চৰকাৰক জনাইছে ।

[৩] কামৰূপ জিলাৰ ছয়গাঁও আঞ্চলিক পঞ্চায়তক ১৯৬৮ চনৰ পৰা এতিয়ালৈকে কি কি বিধৰ কিমান টকা মূল্যৰ সাৰ দিয়া হৈছে আৰু উক্ত সাৰ কোন কোন গাঁও পঞ্চায়তক বিতৰণ কৰা হৈছে ?

(চ) ছয়গাও আঞ্চলিক পঞ্চায়তে উক্ত সমস্যাৰোবাত কিমান টকা মূল্যব
সাৰ বিক্ৰী কৰিছে ?

[ছ] আধুনিক কৃষিৰ বাবে অপৰিহাৰ্য্য উক্ত মেচিন বিলাক অচল হৈ
থকাৰ বাবে “সবুজ বিপ্লব” বাধাগ্ৰস্ত হোৱা নাইনে ?

শ্ৰীদেবেন্দ্ৰ নাথ হাজৰিকা (পঞ্চায়ত আৰু কৃষি বিভাগৰ মন্ত্ৰীয়ে)
উত্তৰ দিছে;

৩৯। [ক], [খ], [গ], [ঙ] আৰু [চ]—সমূহ প্ৰশ্নৰ উত্তৰৰ বাবে প্ৰয়োজনীয়
তথ্য পাত্ৰৰ যিমানখিনি সংগ্ৰহ কৰা হৈছে সেইবোৰ বিধান সভাৰ সদনৰ
টেবুলত ৰখা হৈছে।

[ঘ]—এইটো মতামতৰ ওপৰত নিৰ্ভৰ কৰে।

Re : চৰকাৰ বেজিষ্টাৰ্ডভুক্ত মহলদাৰ

শ্ৰীমতিলাল নায়েক সুধিছে :

৭০। মাননীয় মীন বিভাগৰ মন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ে অনুগ্ৰহ কৰি জনাবনে—

[ক] চৰকাৰৰ বেজিষ্টাৰ্ডভুক্ত মাছৰ মহল বিলাক যি মহলদাৰক পট্টন
দিয়া হয় তেখেত সকলে বৰ্ষাবত ৭৫ ভাগ মাছ যোগান দিব লগা কথাটো
সঁচানে ?

[খ] এই কথাও সঁচানে যে তেওঁলোকৰ পৰা জোৰ কৰি হলেও বিলৰ
পাৰৰ পৰাই বেপাৰী সকলে মাছ কিনি লৈ যায় ?

[গ] যদি সঁচা হয়, বিলৰ পাৰত কোনো বেপাৰী মাছ বিক্ৰি কৰিব
নোৱাৰিব বুলি চৰকাৰে আদেশ দিবনে ?

[ঘ] চৰকাৰৰ মীন বিভাগে এই মাছ বিলৰ পাৰতে কিনি লৈ বেপাৰীক
বৰ্ষাবত বেচাৰ নিয়ম কৰিবনে ?

শ্ৰীমহেন্দ্ৰ নাথ হাজৰিকাকে (মীন বিভাগৰ মন্ত্ৰী) উত্তৰ দিছে :

৭১। [ক]—কথাটো ঠিক নহয় কিন্তু উপায়ুক্ত আৰু মহকুমাধিপতি সকলে
মহলদাৰ সকলক স্থানীয় বৰ্ষাবত নিৰ্দ্ধাৰিত পৰিমাণে মাছৰ যোগান দিয়াৰ
স্বত্ব আৰোপ কৰিব পাৰে।

[খ]—এতিয়ালৈকে এনেকুৱা অভিযোগ চৰকাৰৰ দৃষ্টি গোচৰ হোৱা নাই।

(গ) আৰু (ঘ)—(খ) প্রশ্নৰ উত্তৰৰ পৰিপ্ৰেক্ষিতত এই প্রশ্ন বুঠে।

Re : Area of Kalpani Beel

Mrs. Pranita Talukdar asked :

71. Will the Minister, Fisheries be pleased to state—

(a) What is the total area of Kalpani beel in Bor-nagar Circle of Gobardhana Mouza in the district of Kamrup ?

[b] Whether this beel will be reclaimed ?

[c] Whether it is a fact that Pattas have been issued settling the land of this beel ?

[d] If so, whether Government propose to cancel the Pattas for keeping this area for fishery ?

Shri Mahendra Nath Hazarika (Minister, Fisheries) replied :

71. (a)—Total area of Kalpani beel is 76B—2 K—17 lessas.

(b)—The question of reclamation during the pendency of the lease does not arise.

(c)—No, it is not a fact.

(d)—Does not arise.

Re : Companies/Corporations owned by Government

Shri Prabhat Narayan Choudhury asked :

72. Will the Minister, Finance be pleased to state—

(a) The names of the Corporations in Assam where investments have been made by the Assam Government showing the amount invested in each of them ?

[b] The composition of each of the Boards of Directors ?

(c) What is the prescribed qualification for the members of the Boards of Directors of various Corporations ?

(d) Is it a fact that some members have been selected in a number of Corporation, and, if so, who are they ?

(e) Whether Government propose to specialise a responsible officers of the State for each of the Corporations to hold the responsibility of Managing Director or in similar capacity ?

Shri Kamakhya Prasad Tripathi [Minister, Finance] replied :

72. [a] & [b]—A statement of Companies/Corporations owned or controlled by the Assam Government is placed on the Table of the House.

(c)—There is no prescribed qualification for the members of the Boards of Directors anywhere in India either in the private sector or in the public sector.

(d)—The following persons are common Directors of the following Companies—

(i) Secretary, Finance—Companies / Corporations Nos 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 10, 11 and 12 of the statement.

(ii) Secretary, Industries—Companies/Corporations Nos. 4, 6, and 11 of the statement.

(iii) Agricultural Production Commissioner, Secretary, Agriculture—Companies / Corporations Nos. 1 and 2 of the statement.

(iv) Shri Mahadananda Das— Companies/Corpor-

ations Nos. 5, 6, 7, 10 and 11 of the statement:

[v] Lt. Col. G. P. Das—Companies/Corporations Nos. 6 and 10.

[vi] Joint Secretary, Finance—Companies / Corporations Nos. 4, 9 and 14 of the statement.

[vii] Director of Industries—Companies/Corporations Nos. 6 and 11 of the statement.

[viii] Director of Agriculture—Companies/Corporations Nos. 1, 2 and 8 of the statement.

[e]—Specialisation is provided by technical officer of the Companies / Corporations. The Managing Director is to be a person of proved administrative and co-ordinating abilities competent to appreciate technical advice and having qualities to plan activities according to available technical advice and under all circumstances prevailing from time to time. As such, the Managing Director need not be tagged to a particular company of corporation for all times. Hence, a competent persons attaining in course of duties, the qualities mentioned above are selected as Managing Directors, being officers of different cadres, naturally they are interchangeable between different companies and corporations on the one hand and between companies and corporations and other duties under the Government, on the other hand.

মীন মূল্যৰ পোন-পটীয়া বন্দ-বস্তি

শ্রীমন্তিলাল নায়কে স্থিতিঃ :

৭৩। মাননীয় মীন বিভাগৰ মন্ত্ৰীমহোদয়ে অনুগ্রহ কৰি জনাবলৈ—

(ক) মীন মহল পোন-পটীয়া বন্দ-বস্তি দিয়াৰ কোনো নিয়ম আছেনে, আৰু যদি আছে, বন্দ-বস্তি দিলে চলিত দামৰ ওপৰত দিয়া হয়নে, নতুবা শতকৰা কিমান বেচি ধৰি দিয়া হয় ?

(খ) মীন মহল পট্টন দিলে কিস্তি কেতিয়া কেতিয়া দিব লাগে আৰু ঠিক সময়ত কিস্তি জমা দিব নোৱাৰিলে কিস্তি অতি বেচি কিমান দিনলৈ দিব সময় দিয়া হয় ?

(গ) মীন মহলৰ মূল্যৰ ওপৰত কিমান টকা চিকিউৰিটি হিচাবে বিল দখল লোৱাৰ আগতে মহলদাৰ সকলে দিব লাগে ?

(ঘ) নগদ টকা চিকিউৰিটি নলৈ সা-সম্পত্তি লোৱাৰ ব্যৱস্থা কৰিবনে ?

(ঙ) মীন মহল বিলাকৰ সীমা মহলদাৰক দেখুৱাই দিয়া হয়নে ?

(চ) যদি দিয়া নহয়, এই বিষয়ে চৰকাৰে উপযুক্ত ব্যৱস্থা লবনে ?

(ছ) মীন মহল বিলাকত বড়োখেতি কৰাৰ বাবদ মহল বিলাক মৰি যোৱাৰ কথা চৰকাৰে জানেনে ?

(জ) যদি জানে, এই ব্যৱস্থা বন্ধ কৰিবনে ?

(ঝ) বিল ফিচাৰী বিলাকৰ ওচৰত খাছহৈ পৰি থকা মাটি বিলাক কিছূ মানুহে আত্মগ্ৰাস কৰাৰ কথা চৰকাৰে জানেনে ?

(ঞ) যদি জানে, ইয়াক বন্ধ কৰিবৰ বাবে কি ব্যৱস্থা লৈছে ?

শ্ৰীমহেন্দ্ৰ নাথ হাজৰিকা, মীন বিভাগৰ মন্ত্ৰীয়ে উত্তৰ দিছে :

৭৩। [ক]—হয়, আছে। পোন-পটীয়া বন্দ-বস্তিৰ পূৰ্বৰ ম্যাদৰ বছৰেকিয়া মূল্যৰ ওপৰত শতকৰা বিশ ভাগ বৃদ্ধি মূল্যত দিয়া হয়।

[খ]—বছৰি দুই কিস্তিত দিব লাগে অৰ্থাৎ ১৫ নভেম্বৰ আৰু ১৫ জানুৱাৰী, মীন মহল বিলাকৰ বছৰি বাজহ জমা লোৱা হয়। উক্ত ম্যাদ অতিবাহিত হোৱাৰ এমাহৰ ভিতৰত বাকী থকা কিস্তি পৰিশোধ নকৰিলে মহলদাৰৰ নিজস্ব দায়ীত্বত মীন মহল ছানি ডাকত দিয়া হয়।

[গ]—মীন মহল বন্দবস্তিৰ দিনত মহলদাৰ সকলে বছৰেকীয়া মূল্যৰ ঠৈ ভাগ জামিন টকা নগদ দি দিব লাগে আৰু বন্দ-বস্তিৰ দিনৰ সাত দিন পাচত বন্দবস্তি কালৰ মুঠ বাজহৰ ঠৈ ভাগ বাজহ হোৱাকৈ অতিৰিক্ত জামিন

উপায়ুক্ত বা মহকুমাধিপতিৰ সন্তোষ বৃত্তে লোৱাৰ ব্যৱস্থা আছে।

[ঘ]—বৰ্ত্তমান আধা নগদ আৰু আধা সম্পত্তি লোৱাৰ ব্যৱস্থা আছে।

[ঙ]—হয়, সীমা দেখুৱাই দিয়াৰ পদ্ধতি আছে।

[চ]—যদি এনেকুৱা কোনো প্ৰতিবেদন চৰকাৰৰ ওচৰত আহে, তৎক্ষণাত্ প্ৰতিকাৰৰ কাৰণে উপায়ুক্ত অথবা মহকুমাধিপতিক জনোৱাৰ বিহিত ব্যৱস্থা লোৱা হ'ব।

[ছ] আৰু [জ]—বিল মৰি যোৱাৰ কথা নেজানে, কিন্তু কিছু ক্ষতি সাধন হয় বুলি ভাবে। কোন মহলৰ বিশেষ ক্ষতি সাধন হোৱা বুলি জানিব পাবিলে এই বিষয়ে বিশেষ ব্যৱস্থা গ্ৰহণ কৰা হ'ব।

[ঝ] আৰু [ঞ]—মাজে মাজে এনেকুৱা অভিযোগ চৰকাৰলৈ আহে। এনেকুৱা আত্মগ্ৰাসকাৰী যদি কোনোবা থাকে তাক উচ্ছেদ কৰিবলৈ চৰকাৰে লগে লগে স্থানীয় বিষয়াক নিৰ্দেশ দিয়ে।

Re : Department of Fisheries

শ্ৰীমতিলাল নাথকে সুধিছে :

৭৪। মাননীয় মীন বিভাগৰ মন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ে অনুগ্ৰহ কৰি জনাবনে—

[ক] E. & D. আৰু Forest আদিৰ কাম বিলাকৰ কেইবাটাও শাখা কৰাটো মীন বিভাগৰ মন্ত্ৰীয়ে জানেনে ? [যেনে নদী জৰিপ কৰা, নদী খুন্দা নদী গবেষণা কৰা আৰু তেনে ধৰণে Forest ৰ বন সংৰক্ষণ কৰা গছপুলি ৰোৱা আৰু গছ কটা ইত্যাদি]।

[খ] তেনে ধৰণে মীন বিভাগৰ কাম বিলাকৰ যেনে মাছ উৎপাদন কৰা মাছৰ বিল পট্টা দিয়া, মাছৰ বিল বিলাকৰ সীমা নিৰ্দ্ধাৰণ কৰা মাছৰ বিল পহৰা দিয়া আদিৰ ভাগ ক'ৰা Forest Guard, E. & D., P.W. D. ৰ Road মহৰীৰ আদিৰ দৰে Fishery Guard ৰ ব্যৱস্থা কৰিব নোৱাৰিনে ?

শ্ৰীমহেন্দ্ৰ নাথ হাজৰিকা [মীন বিভাগৰ মন্ত্ৰীয়ে] উত্তৰ দিছে :

৭৪। [ক]—হয়।

[খ]—চৰকাৰৰ এনেকুৱা বৰ্ত্তমান কোনো অঁচনি হাতত নাই।

Re : Flood affected area

শ্রী এ, এন, আক্ৰাম হুছেইনে সুধিছে :

৭৫। মাননীয় বাজহ বিভাগৰ মন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ে অমুগ্ৰহ কৰি জনাবনে—

[ক] ১৯৭০ চনৰ প্ৰলয়ংকাৰী বানপানী আৰু গড়া খহনীয়াত অসম ৰাজ্যত কিমান বৰ্গ মাইল অঞ্চল ক্ষতি হৈছে আৰু ক্ষতিগ্ৰস্ত জিলা, চাকৌল ক্ষতিগ্ৰস্ত জনসংখ্যা আৰু ক্ষতিৰ পৰিমাণ (টকা মূল্যত) কিমান ?

[খ] উক্ত সময় ছোৱাৰ বানপানীত কোন কোন ঠাইত কিমান জন পুৰুষ মহিলা, শিশু আৰু গৰাদি পশুৰ মৃত্যু হৈছে ?

[গ] ক্ষতিগ্ৰস্ত ৰাইজৰ মাজত কি কি প্ৰকাৰৰ চৰকাৰী সাহায্য অসম চৰকাৰে দিছে কোন কোন চাকৌলত কিমান টকা মূল্যৰ সাহায্য দিয়া হৈছে ?

[ঘ] বানপানীত ক্ষতিগ্ৰস্ত ৰাইজৰ মাজত বিতৰনৰ বাবে অসম চৰকাৰে বিনা মূল্যে চাউল, চিৰা, পাউডাৰ গাখীৰ, সূতা আৰু গৰাদি পশুৰ বাবে খাদ্য দ্ৰব্য দিছিলনে ?

[ঙ] উক্ত সাহায্য কোন কোন চাকৌলত কিমান টকা মূল্যৰ দিয়া হৈছিল আৰু বিতৰনকাৰী কৰ্মচাৰী সকলৰ নাম আৰু পদবি কি ?

[চ] উক্ত চৰকাৰী সাহায্য বিতৰণ কাৰ্যত কেলেংকাৰী, পক্ষ-পাতিতা, দুৰ্নীতি আৰু ৰাজনৈতিক অভিসন্ধিৰ বিষয়ে অনা অভিযোগ আদিৰ বিষয়ে চৰকাৰ অবগত আছিলনে ?

[ছ] অসম চৰকাৰে কোন কোন ঠাইৰ পৰা উক্ত অভিযোগ সমূহ পাইছে আৰু কি কি ব্যৱস্থা গ্ৰহণ কৰিছে ?

[জ] কামৰূপ জিলাৰ ছয়গাওঁ আৰু মীৰ্জা চাকৌল অফিচৰে (এচ, ডি, চি,) বানপানী ক্ষতিগ্ৰস্ত ৰাইজৰ মাজত চৰকাৰী বিনামূলীয়া চাউল, আটা, চিৰা আদি বিতৰণত কেলেংকাৰী আৰু পক্ষপাতিতা কাৰ্য্যকলাপৰ বিৰুদ্ধে মাননীয় মুখ্যমন্ত্ৰী, মাননীয় বাজহ মন্ত্ৰী আৰু কামৰূপ জিলাৰ উপায়ুক্তই অভিযোগ পাইছেনে ?

[ঝ] উক্ত অভিযোগ বিলাক তদন্ত কৰাইছেনে আৰু উক্ত অভিযোগ বিলাক তদন্ত বিপৰ্টৰ প্ৰতিলিপি চৰকাৰে সদনত দাখিল কৰিবনে ?

[এ] উক্ত ছয়গাওঁ আৰু মীৰ্জা চাৰ্কৌলৰ বানপানী ক্ষতিগ্ৰস্ত খেতিয়ক বাইজৰ মাজত কঠিয়া বা কঠিয়া ক্ৰয়ৰ বাবে চৰকাৰে কি ব্যৱস্থা গ্ৰহণ কৰিছিল আৰু তাৰ মূল্য কিমান ?

[ট] উক্ত চাৰ্কৌলদ্বয়ত পৰিয়ালে প্ৰতি কিমান কঠিয়া বা কঠিয়া ক্ৰয়ৰ বাবে নগদ টকা কিমানকৈ বিতৰণ কৰা হৈছিল আৰু উক্ত সাহাৰ্য্য পোৱা পৰিয়াল সকলৰ নাম আৰু ঠিকনা চৰকাৰে জনাবনে ?

[ঠ] মথাউৰিৰ বাহিৰত বসবাস কৰা বান বিধস্ত বাইজক সাহাৰ্য্য দানৰ পৰা বিৰত থাকিবলৈ চৰকাৰে কামকণ আৰু অন্যান্য জিলাৰ উপায়ুক্ত সকলক নিৰ্দেশ দিয়া সঁচানে ?

শ্ৰীপৰমানন্দ গগৈয়ে (ৰাজ্যিক ৰাজহ মন্ত্ৰী) উত্তৰ দিছে ।

৭৫। [ক], [খ], [গ] আৰু [ঙ]—এই সকলোবোৰ খবৰৰ বিতং বিৱৰণৰ তালিকা সদনৰ মেজত ৰখা হৈছে ।

[ঘ]—হয় ।

[চ]—ছয়গাওঁ চাৰ্কৌলৰ পৰাহে এনে অভিযোগ গুৱাহাটীৰ উপায়ুক্তই পাইছে ।

[ছ]—চৰকাৰে এনে অভিযোগ কোনো ঠাইৰ পৰা পোৱা নাই ।

[জ] আৰু [ঝ]—ছয়গাওঁ চাৰ্কৌলৰ পৰা পোৱা অভিযোগৰ বিষয়ে উপায়ুক্তই তদন্ত কৰি আছে । তদন্তৰ প্ৰতিবেদন এতিয়াও পোৱা নাই ।

[ঞ] আৰু [ট]—চৰকাৰৰ পৰা প্ৰতি পৰিয়ালক ২৫ টকা মূল্যৰ কঠিয়া বিতৰণ কৰিবলৈ নিৰ্দেশ দিয়া হৈছিল । ছয়গাওঁ আৰু মীৰ্জা চাৰ্কৌলত কিমান টকা মূল্যৰ কঠিয়া বিতৰণ কৰিছে আৰু কোন কোন পৰিয়ালক কিমান টকা মূল্যৰ কঠিয়া বিতৰণ কৰিছে তাৰ হিচাব উপায়ুক্তৰ পৰা পোৱা নাই ।

[ঠ]—তেনে নিৰ্দেশ দিয়া হোৱা নাই । বানপানীত ক্ষতিগ্ৰস্ত হোৱা সকলো লোকেই সাহাৰ্য্য দিয়া হয় ।

Re : Grant for Construction of Primary School

শ্ৰীভদ্ৰকান্ত গগৈয়ে স্মৰিছে :

৭৬। মাননীয় পঞ্চায়ত বিভাগৰ মন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ে অনুগ্ৰহ কৰি জনাবনে—

[ক] ডিব্ৰুগড় মহকুমাৰ জয়পুৰ আঞ্চলিক পঞ্চায়ত গঠন হোৱা দিনৰ পৰা উক্ত পঞ্চায়তৰ জৰীয়েত প্ৰাইমাৰী স্কুল সমূহৰ ঘৰ আদি সজাবৰ বাবে কিবা আহিলা-পাতি দিয়া হৈছিলনে ?

[খ] যদি হৈছিল, কেনে ধৰণৰ আহিলা-পাতি আৰু কোন কোন বছৰত দিয়া হৈছিল ?

[গ] উক্ত পঞ্চায়তৰ জৰীয়েত কোন কোন প্ৰাইমাৰী স্কুলত কি কি আহিলা-পাতিৰ সাহায্য দিয়া হ'ল, কিমানকৈ আৰু কেতিয়া ?

শ্ৰীদেবেন্দ্ৰ নাথ হাজৰিকা (ৰাজ্যিক পঞ্চায়ত বিভাগৰ মন্ত্ৰীয়ে) উত্তৰ দিছে :

৭৬। (ক), (খ) আৰু (গ)— কিছুমান সামগ্ৰী ব্ৰেকবৰ্ড, মেজ, আলমাৰী, ইত্যাদি সময়ে সময়ে বিভিন্ন স্কুলত খণ্ড উন্নয়ন আঞ্চলিক পঞ্চায়তৰ পৰা যোগান ধৰা হৈছে। ১৯৬৯-৭০ আৰু ১৯৭০-৭১ চনৰ বৰ্তমানলৈকে যোগান ধৰা সামগ্ৰী সমূহৰ বিতং বিৱৰণ সদনৰ মেজত ৰখা হৈছে। খণ্ড-উন্নয়ন আঞ্চলিক পঞ্চায়ত আৰম্ভ হোৱাৰে পৰা বৰ্তমানলৈকে যোগান ধৰা সামগ্ৰীৰ বিস্তৃত বিৱৰণ সংগ্ৰহ কৰা হৈ আছে।

Re : Naljora and Hakma River

Mrs. Pranita Talukdar asked :

77. Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state—

[a] Whether the Naljora and Hakma river have been suggested to be taken up by the Brahmaputra commission ?

[b] If not, why ?

Shri Sayed Ahmed Ali [Minister of State, P. W. (F. C. and I.) Department] replied :

77. [a]—Preparation of comprehensive plan for the Manas basin which includes Naljora and Hakma has been taken up by the Brahmaputra Flood Control Commission.

[b]—Does not arise.

Re : Number of dacoities in 1968-70

Shri Ja'al Uddin Ahmed asked :

78. Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state—

(a) How many dacoities and theft have been committed during the years 1968-70 in the State of Assam [to be shown Subdivision-wise].

(b) How many of them have been detected so far? [to be shown Subdivision-wise]

(c) How many of them have been sent up for trial?

Shri Mahendra Mohan Choudhury [Chief Minister] replied :

78. (a), (b) & (c) - The total number of dacoity and theft cases committed, detected and sent up for trial during the years 1968 1969 and 1970 is shown below Subdivision-wise is place on the Table of the House.

SHORT NOTICE

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Re : Stock of Paddy and Rice

শ্রীউপেন্দ্ৰ নাথ সনাতনে সুধিছে :

*৭। মাননীয় যোগান বিভাগৰ মন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ে অনুগ্ৰহ কৰি জনাবনে—

[ক] ১৯৭০ চনলৈকে এফ, চি, এণ্ড আইএ কিমান খান-চাউলৰ ষ্টক বাৰিছিল আৰু ১৯৭০ চনত কিমান ষ্টক কৰিছিল?

[খ] এফ, চি, এণ্ড আই ষ্টকৰ পৰা কিমান চাউল বাহিৰলৈ পঠালে আৰু কিমান চাউল অসমৰ বাবে বখা হল?

[গ] যি চাউল বখা হল, তাৰে অসমৰ জনসাধাৰণৰ বাবে আঁটিছেনে?

[ঘ] যদি অটা নাই, তেনেহলে চৰকাৰে কি ব্যৱস্থা কৰিছে জনাবনে?

যোগান মন্ত্রী শ্রীৰমেশ চন্দ্ৰ বৰুৱাই উত্তৰ দিছে :

৭। [ক]—এফ্. চি, আইৰ ৰিপোর্ট অনুযায়ী ৩৯,৯১২ টন চাউল বছৰৰ আৰম্ভণিত গুদামত আছিল। এই চাউলখিনি ১৯৬৮-৬৯ চনৰ বাহি আছিল আৰু কেন্দ্ৰীয় পুললৈ দিবলৈ ঠিক কৰা হৈছিল। এফ্., চি, আইএ ১৯৬৯-৭০ চনত ৮৯,২৬৫ টন ধান কিনিছিল। এইখিনি চাউলৰ হিচাবত প্ৰায় ৫৫,৭৯০ টন হয়।

[খ] এফ্., চি, আইৰ গুদামৰ পৰা অকল কেন্দ্ৰীয় চৰকাৰৰ পুললৈহে ধান-চাউল পঠোৱা হয়। এই বণ্টনী কৰা আৰম্ভ হৈছিল ১৯৬৯-৭০ চনৰ পৰা। এফ্., চি আইৰ ৰিপোর্ট অনুসৰী ৪।১২।৭০ তাৰিখলৈকে ২৫,৫৪৬ টন চাউল অসমৰ বাহিবলৈ পঠোৱা হৈছিল।

[গ]—১৯৬৯-৭০ চনৰ ৰাজ্যৰ আভ্যন্তৰিক চাহিদাৰ কাৰণে মজুত থকা ধানখিনি আমাৰ নিয়োজিত ২টা এজেন্সিৰ সংগৃহীত ধান আৰু অপেক্ষৰ বাহি ধানেৰে পূৰা কৰা হৈছিল। এই ধান-চাউলে অসমৰ জনসাধাৰণৰ সুলভ মূল্যৰ দোকানৰ যোগেদি দিয়া ও শিল্পানুষ্ঠানৰ চাহিদা পূৰণ কৰিছিল। চৰকাৰে আগৰ বাহি ধান-চাউল বজাৰত খাতিয়ন কৰাৰ ইচ্ছা কৰিছিল কিন্তু এফ্., চি. আইএ এইবোৰ পূৰণা চাউল বুলি প্ৰতি কুইণ্টলত ১৩০.১৪ পইচাকৈ বিচাৰিছিল কিন্তু ইয়াৰ দাম আচলতে ১১০.১৪ হে হ'ব লাগিছিল। সেই কাৰণে এই ঠক ব্যৱহাৰ কৰা হোৱা নাই।

[ঘ]—উপৰোক্ত প্ৰশ্নৰ উত্তৰ অনুযায়ী এই প্ৰশ্ন নুঠে। এই বছৰৰ চাহিদা বৰ্ত্তমান চলি থকা সংগ্ৰহৰ পৰা লোৱা হ'ব।

Shri Upendra Nath Sanatan—উপাধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, মন্ত্ৰীমহোদয়ে কৈছে যে, জনসাধাৰণৰ কাৰণে যিটো ধান চাউলৰ কোটা গুদামত আছিল সেইটোৱে আটিহে। কিন্তু চাহ বাগানত Steel Brothers য়ে যিটো Quta দিছিল তাৰে তেওঁলোকে ৫০ ভাগ আটা আৰু ৫০ ভাগ চাউল পায়। এতিয়া চাহ বাগানৰ মানুহবিলাকে খাবনে, মৰিবনে, বাচিব সেইটো চৰকাৰে চিন্তা কৰা নাই। এতিয়া Steel Brothers য়ে দিয়াত চাউল ২৫ ভাগ আৰু ৭৫ ভাগ আটা পাইছে। এইটো কিয় হ'বলৈ পাইছে মন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ে জনাবনে?

Shri Romesh Chandra Barooah— আজিকালি Steel Brothers নাই। বাহিৰলৈ চাউল পথোৱাৰ কাৰণে অসমৰ চাহ বাগানত কোনো অমাটন হোৱা নাছিল।

Shri Upen Sanatan— উপাধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, এতিয়া যোগান বিভাগে যিটো কোটা দিছে সেইটোত মজতুৰে খাবলৈ নাপায়, আৰু বজাৰত Black marketing ত চাউল কিনি খাইছে। এইটো মন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ে জানেনে নাজানে?

Shri Romesh Chandra Barooah - এতিয়ালৈকে আমি একো খবৰ পোৱা নাই। এতিয়া মই সন্ধান কৰিম।

Shri Kamini Mohon Sarma - উপাধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, St. Q. এটা ভুল আছে। ২৫৯ নং প্ৰশ্নটো স্বাস্থ্য বিভাগক স্থিতিছিলো যে কামৰূপৰ বড়িয়া সমষ্টিত কনিহা Dispensary হ'ব লাগে এইটো Printing ভুল হৈছে।

M. Samsul Huda— মাননীয় উপাধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, মাননীয় মন্ত্ৰী মহোদয়ে এইটো কথা জনাবনে যে নতুন Deputy Commissioner of tax ৰ পদটোৰ কোনো প্ৰস্তাৱ নাই অথচ Approval ৰ কাৰণে Finance Minister হাত পাইছেগৈ এইটো সঁচানে?

Shri Kamakhya Prasad Tripathi— এইটো এতিয়াও confidential হৈ আছে।

M: Samsul Huda— মাননীয় উপাধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, এই যে নতুনকৈ Deputy Commissioner of taxes post টো csest কৰিব তাত নিশ্চয় Financial Involvement আছে, যেতিয়া Financial Involvement থাকে তেতিয়া সদনত অনুমোদন নোলোৱাকৈয়ে এই post টো হেনো অহা ৩১ ডিচেম্বৰৰ ভিতৰতে বোলে পূৰণ কৰিব; এইটো সঁচা নেকি?

Shri Kamakhya Prasad Tripathi— এইটো এতিয়াও confidential হৈ আছে।

Re : Half-an-hour discussions

Shri Promode Chandra Gogoi— Mr. Deputy Speaker, Sir, I have got a point of order. I submitted a motion under Rule 49 regarding Starred Question Nos. 66 and 67 which was fixed for discussion on 16th December, 1970. I find in to-day's list of business which was under number 5, has been changed to number 13 by an addendum. My motion was very important because the discussion relates to a very important matter and therefore, if the number is changed to 13 then it is clear that the half-an-hour discussion will not come to-day. Therefore, I submit that because the discussion which was fixed for 16th could not be taken up due to lack of time and postponed for to-day and numbered as item No. 5 in the list of business of to-day, the motion should be allowed to be taken up as listed that is under item No. 5.

Mr. Deputy Speaker— This matter refers to hon. Members Shri Promode Chandra Gogoi and Shri Govinda Kalita. I feel it ought not to have come but for some mistake committed in our office. I am sorry for that. The hon. Member will refer to rule 21 of our Rules of Procedures and Conduct of Business according to which the day fixed for Government business furnishes that Government business get priority and as a matter of fact there is another notice under rule 49 which has been listed as No. 14. The hon. Member will see from the two lists of business that his motion and the motion given by Shri Sailen

Medhi and Shri Lakshyadhar Chaudhury are of the same nature. In fact, these two motions Nos. 5 and 14 had to come after 13, but due to some mistake it came as No. 5.

Shri Promode Chandra Gogoi— Sir, the other motion is a new one whereas mine is old and it was fixed for discussion on 16th last. It relates to a very important matter and if the number is changed to 13 then there will be no discussion on this to-day.

Re : Questions put by Members before being Ministers

Shri Mahidhar Pegu— এটা স্পষ্টিকৰণ কৰিব বিচাৰিছো। আমাৰ মন্ত্ৰী সকলেও প্ৰশ্ন কৰিব পাৰেনেকি? কাৰণ আমাৰ ত্ৰীভুগেশ্বৰ শইকীয়া ডাঙৰীয়াৰ দুটা প্ৰশ্ন আছিল।

Mr. Deputy Speaker— মন্ত্ৰী নোহোৱাৰ আগতেই হয়তো এই প্ৰশ্ন কৰিছিল। এতিয়া আক সেই প্ৰশ্ন নকৰিব।

Re : Resignation by the Minister, Revenue

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua— Mr. Deputy Speaker, Sir, with your permission I want to bring to the notice of the Finance Minister that before the Chief Minister left for Delhi he made an announcement about the resignation of Shri L. P. Goswami, Minister, Revenue etc. It is the convention to let the House know whether his resignation has been accepted or not. May I therefore seek a clarification from the Finance Minister whether the resignation of the Minister, Revenue has been accepted or not. We came to know that the Governor was not here and after his return from Kohima this will be done. Will the Finance Minister clarify the position? This is my first point.

Shri Kamakhya Prasad Tripathi [Minister, Finance]—
I shall try to announce it.

Re : Registration of names in the Employment Exchanges

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua— Second is, there are some technical defects with regard registration of names in the employment exchanges. As per Constitutional provision nobody can be barred to be registered throughout the country with the employment exchanges, which can be done by an executive order. But for want of that executive order the Employment Exchange Officers are finding it difficult. If a man is refused registration, he can go to the Court of law. I understand that the Employment Exchange Officers at Tezpur and Jorhat are facing such difficulties.

Shri Kamakhya Prasad Tripathi, Minister— We have issued instruction that in every registration place of birth and education should be entered.

Re : Complaint of Breach of Privilege against the U. N. I.

Mr. Deputy Speaker— I have received two notices— one relating to a motion of privilege and the other to adjournment. In our rules these matters get priority over other matters but the inter-se which gets priority there is no rule. According to Lok Sabha, the privilege gets priority and I want to follow the same.

Yes Dr. Hazarika ?

Dr. Bhupen Hazarika— Mr. Deputy Speaker, Sir, under Rule 158 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in the Assam Legislative Assembly, I beg to

give notice of a Motion of breach of privilege against U.N.I. a News Service and its Shillong Representative for circulating an untrue news-item which was published in the "Assam Tribune" and "Doinik Asom" on 9th December, 1970 under the captions "L. P. Goswami absolved by Enquiry Body" and "লক্ষ্মী প্রসাদ গোস্বামী দুৰ্নীতিৰ পৰা মুক্ত" respectively.

This may also be taken as a complaint against the Editors, Correspondents, Printers and Publishers of these News papers – i.e. Assam Tribune and Doinik Asom.

Sir, I humbly feel that the duty of the press is never to take the masses wrongly. We have always maintained a respect for the Press. But they are not sure what they do. Press is the finest medium for mass communication. Press is to inform and educate the masses and not to distort truth. If it is vindictive, Sir, then we feel we should do something about it. I have got categorical allegation against the Press U. N. I. (according to their report,) reported that the Enquiry Committee constituted by the House has absolved Shri Lakshmi Prasad Goswami, Minister, of the charges brought by the hon'ble member Shri Govinda Kalita. This news was published under banner headline and it was given in such a way that people feel that the charges are absolutely baseless. It gave an one sided impression in the State which is substantially untrue and one sided reporting.

I would like to quote the concluding words of the Majority Report which is the report of the Committee.

"All told, however, it has to be remarked that there was much to be desired about vigilance and carefulness on matters such as this from the Minister"

This apart, the Majority report has found the Minister guilty of the main issues and mentioned, "He however appears to have displayed on occasions an over-anxiety as when he forwarded his recommendation on the body of duplicate applications by some parties who were eventually selected as nominees of the Apex Marketing Society by the Supply Department."

It has been discussed in the House earlier. So I won't repeat.

The Minority report had concluded as follows :

"Finally from the above mentioned acts and circumstances the Minister, Cooperation and Agriculture namely Shri L. P. Goswami is found guilty not only of indiscretion and impropriety, but also of culpability. It is therefore recommended that the Government should start legal proceedings against him and his associates for giving them the punishment they deserve."

Now, I feel, Sir, that inspite of this allegation by the majority report, apart from the minority report,, this is a distortion of truth. That piece of news was circulated by UNI and UNI may perhaps say, 'I reported the dissenting vote also'. Even if it did it, the first part of

the news was circulated in such a way so that the motive becomes clear and the motive was to help one side. That is distortion of truth. I had the opportunity of overhearing one so called Journalist. He said that some of our M. L.-As should be substituted by children. I somehow feel that the Press here also should be substituted by what I Won't say. Now, this is the way how they to underestimate the proceedings of this august House. Press should reflect the conflicts of opinions in democracy. So, with due respect to the Press and the freedom of Press I feel that our peasants and our youths in Assam should be placed here in this Privileged Press gallery. It should be regarded as a 'peasants' gallery, or it should be regarded as a 'students' gallery instead of a Press gallery. I think the reports received are published with head lines.

Shri Kamakhya Prasad Tripathi (Minister, Finance)-
The headlines normally are given by the Editors and not by the Reporters.

Dr. Bhupen Hazarika : Yes, the captions are given by the Editors but the contents itself was entirely translated in Assamese in Dainik Asom where UNI has been quoted. So it is definitely the translation of a circulated news, It has not been edited, it is just a translation of the report sent. So, in Dainik Asom it has been done in toto. There also the major portion of the report was substantially untrue. In Assam Tribune it has been said, "L. P. Goswami absolved by Inquiry body. Shri Lakshmi Prasad Goswami

Assam's Minister of Co-operation during [the Chaliha regime who faced corruption charges in the last budget session of the State Assembly has been absolved by a House inquiry Committee, reports UNI. The other part of the piece of news in Assam Tribune has got no mention about the dissenting vote. So, the first part of the report only has been taken by Assam Tribune. The UNI report was circulated this way. This is the translation of UNI report bā Dainik Asom.

শ্বিলং, ৮ ডিচেম্বৰ— ৰাষ্ট্ৰীয় বিধান সভাৰ যোৱা বাজেট অধিবেশন কালত দুৰ্নীতিৰ অভিযোগৰ সন্মুখীন হোৱা চলিহা মন্ত্ৰী সভাৰ সমবায় মন্ত্ৰী শ্ৰীলক্ষ্মী প্ৰসাদ গোস্বামীক সদনৰ তদন্ত কমিটি এখনে দুৰ্নীতি মুক্ত বুলি বায় দিছে।

বিৰোধী পক্ষৰ সদস্য শ্ৰীগোবিন্দ কলিতাই যোৱা ২৬ মাৰ্চত অভিযোগ কৰিছিল যে ১৯৬৯-৭০ চনত আঁন ৰাজ্য সমূহলৈ ধান প্ৰেৰণৰ ক্ষেত্ৰত শ্ৰীগোস্বামীয়ে ব্যক্তিগত ভাবে হস্তক্ষেপ কৰি সামগ্ৰিক ভাবে সমবায় সমিতি সমূহ আৰু বিশেষ ভাবে অসম সমবায় এপেক্স মাৰ্কেটিং ছচাইটীৰ স্বার্থৰ বিৰুদ্ধে কাম কৰিছে। তেতিয়াৰ উপাধ্যক্ষ শ্ৰীআটাউৰ বহমানৰ নেতৃত্বত গঠিত ১০ জনীয়া কমিটিয়ে আজি সদনত উত্থাপন কৰা প্ৰতিবেদনত কোৱা হয় প্ৰাপ্ত সাক্ষ্যৰ পৰা মন্ত্ৰী গৰাকীৰ বিৰুদ্ধে উত্থাপিত অভিযোগ প্ৰমানিত নহয়। গতিকে তেওঁলোকে পৰামৰ্শ দিয়ে যে সেই মন্ত্ৰী বিষয়টো ঘৰনিকা পেলাব লাগে।

তিনি গৰাকী সদস্যই অৱশ্যে বিৰুদ্ধে মত দি কৈছে যে মন্ত্ৰী গৰাকী অপৰাধী বুলি প্ৰমানিত হৈছে। তেওঁলোকে চৰকাৰৰ ওচৰত পৰামৰ্শ দিয়ে যে তেওঁ আৰু তেওঁৰ সহযোগী সকলৰ বিৰুদ্ধে আইনগত ব্যৱহাৰ লব লাগে।

সদস্য কেই গৰাকী হ'ল সৰ্বশ্ৰীফণী বৰা, হীৰালাল পাটোৱাৰী আৰু অতুল চন্দ্ৰ গোস্বামী।

We can say that this whole translation is from the circulated news of UNI. Even then, if we take into consideration the last part and say that the dissenting vote has been mentioned even then the first part is an evidence of their motive. It is not very helpful rather harmful to the House. The House has been compelled to say that the Press has obstructed the members of this august House in taking a decision. The news papers published the impugned news at the instance of U.N.I. not only to wrongly influence the hon members of this House. The news was published at a time when the matter was pending before the House for a decision. That, I think, is very important. It was pending. The news paper published the impugned news at the instance of U. N. I. I repeat Sir, not only to influence the hon. Members of this house but to prejudice fair discussion and to obstruct them in discharging their duties in accordance with the oath administered to them-without fear or favour. So, I feel this is a clear breach of privilege, against the Committee, against both the parties, the Opposition as well as the Congress and I hence move this motion against the U. N. I. and the Editors and others of the two Papers namely, Dainik Asom and the Assam Tribune,

Shri Gaurisankar Bhattacharyya— Sir, if you already have decided that there is a prima facie case then I have got nothing to say but if you have not decided as yet then I will say.

Mr. Deputy Speaker— Please continue.

Shri Gaurisankar Bhattacharyya— I should like to assist you to decide whether it should be admissible as a Privilege Motion. Now, the allegation is that this is a breach of privilege of the House including the Speaker, How ? On this, on the question of report of the majority as well as the report of the minority the Speaker gave a ruling here in this House that in order to help the House to come to a correct decision not only the majority report but also the minority report will be placed before the House for consideration of the House. So the matter was pending consideration by the House. The House was yet to take a decision. The House has now received tentative information of the decision of the Minister himself, and probably the final decision of the Government on his decision will be known only this afternoon. So far as the Government decision of the Minister is concerned Now, the point is whether the Press or any individual is free to prejudice the Members of this House in coming to a correct decision without fear or favour by already giving the impression and already trying to create a public opinion in favour or against somebody. U. N. I. is a very important news agency which is taken very seriously by the people. U. N. I. is not considered as a yellow news agency. Similarly Assam Tribune and Dainik Asom, as a matter of fact that chain of newspapers, have a privileged position in this State because

in fact Assam Tribune is the only regular English daily newspaper in this State having full patronage of the Government. Dainik Asom is the most widely circulated language daily in this State. They have a great hand and influence in formulating not only circulating-public opinion. While the matter was pending consideration of this House-both the majority and minority reports if they wanted to give publicity with regard to the gist of the two reports, the gists were there in both the reports. If they made a gist of gists they ought to make a correct one. This news agency not only made in incorrect gist of the majority report in the case of Assam Tribune and both the reports in the case of Dainik Asom, they gave a complete untrue and distorted gist. It was not only untrue it was distorted because the majority report also held the Ministers guilty of impropriety of over anxiety to favour certain special parties for whatever motive that might be. They, of course, stated that this over anxiety, this favouratism was in his over-anxiety to help some co-operative organisation, and it was stated that the Minister wanted to help a cooperative Organisation of a Co-operative movement which was already in the hands of inert, inefficient and corrupt persons. This was a very serious allegation even in the majority report. Therefore, the news circulated was not only misleading, not only incorrect but from the facts and circumstances and from the special position that the newspapers, it was clear that it had the effect, if not the

intention, to circumscribe the action of the Members of this House because the Members of this House are always to be alert and keen and sensitive about public opinion that is there. After all we are creatures of public opinion we are only children of the people and we must see that the wishes of the people and the opinion of the people is not only reflected here but also respected. From that point of view there was a serious handicap created by this false, distorted and disjointed news service. The second aspect is that this news which was published-I do not like to say what is their opinion, the opinion of Assam Press representatives with regard to the Members of the Legislature. they are quite free to hold whatever opinion they may like to hold, that is a different thing and we do not consider it to hold, that is a different thing and we do not consider it to be. I do not personally consider it to be anything except compliment, that our children are better than ourselves. I am not concerned with regard to the opinion of the press. What I want to submit here is that misreporting of not only the proceedings of the House but also records that are placed in the House is by itself a breach of privilege, and this record repeat, may please be considered, and I think, in view of the matter this is a fit case where you may be pleased either to open the matter for discussion of the House, or refer it to the Privileges Committee. So far as prima facie consideration is concerned, there is a serious breach of privilege of the House.

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua— Sir, I want to make a submission ...

Mr. Deputy Speaker— According to the rule it is only the person who complain is to be heard. I heard Shri Gaurisankar Bhattacharyya because I wanted to be helped and benefitted by his opinion, by his interpretation of the law in this matter. I shall give my ruling in the later half. If I find that the motion is in order I shall take either of the courses. Either I shall send it to the House for discussion or I shall refer it to the Committee.

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua— May's Parliamentary Practice.....

Mr. Deputy Speaker— Mr. Barua you please pass it on to me, and I will give due consideration.

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua— May's Parliamentary Practice 209 and 217.....

Mr. Deputy Speaker— I shall look into this, please pass it on to me and I shall give it due consideration.

Adjournment Motion

Mr. Speaker— Here is Adjournment Motion Death of a student at Golakganj by Police firing a notice under Rule 58 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business of the Assam Legislative Assembly. This relates to an Adjournment Motion

from Shir Giasuddin Ahmed. Please confine yourself to the question of admissibility of the motion.

Shri Giasuddin Ahmed— Sir, under Rule-58 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in the Assam Legislative Assembly I put the motion that the House do now adjourn to discuss the situation arising out of Police firing resulting in death of one student at Golakganj. Mr. Deputy Speaker, Sir, before I go into the question of admissibility of the motion, I want to read out a telegram received this morning which reads thus "Assam Police Fired without cause at Golakganj resulting one death and two students injured today-commotion prevailing immediate steps solicited-Jibesh Prodhani Secy. and Headmaster Jagomohan Vidyapith Golakganj. This makes the subject of this adjournment motion. This is an incident of firing which was resorted to without any provocation whatsoever from the side of the students. Although we have no details here, this telegram is sufficient for making this adjournment motion quite admissible. Sir, the Police who entered the School premises (so far it is reported) without any provocation began firing resulting in the death of one student and injuring two others. This is a matter of great public importance. Sir, Rule 56 states— 'A motion for an adjournment of the business of the Assembly for the purpose of discussing a definite matter of urgent public importance may be made with the consent of the Speaker, (2) The Speaker shall decide whether the

matter to be discussed is definite and whether it is of urgent public importance.' Sir, as to whether it is a definite matter and of urgent public importance, I say that it is definitely a definite matter whereof the Assam Police battalion fired at the students killing one and injuring two others and so this is definitely a definite matter and it is of urgent public importance. Sir, we are living in a democratic country where rule of law prevails and our Constitution also guarantees the people the right to demonstrate and the right to ventilate their grievances through various democratic methods. Now, here without any reason and without any provocation, if the Police fires at the people or the students, it is a clear case of violation of the constitutional rights and that is why it is a matter of great public importance. The Government side may argue that it is an ordinary matter of law and order. That is not so; it is a case of firing and firing is resorted to, as it is argued sometimes, under abnormal conditions, when the urgency prevails and when the matter becomes very important. As regards other points, laid down in Rule- 57, (i) states not more than one such motion shall be made at the same sitting, Sir, it is the only motion which is being discussed, and (ii) states - not more than one matter can be discussed on the same motion, and the motion must be restricted to a specific matter of recent occurrence. Sir, this motion relates to only one matter i.e., firing and killing of one student and injuring two others in Golakganj, and it is

restricted to a specific matter of recent occurrence and the occurrence took place just yesterday and so it is recent. No. iii of this Rule states- the motion must not revive discussion on a matter which has been discussed in the same session. Sir, this incident took place just yesterday and that there was no other discussion on this in this House, and for the first time I am moving this motion. No. iv of this Rule states— the motion must not anticipate a matter which has been previously appointed for consideration, or with reference to which a notice of motion has been previously given, regard being had to the probability of the matter anticipated being brought before the House within a reasonable time. Sir, this has not been previously appointed for consideration. No. v of this Rule states— the motion must not deal with a matter on which a resolution could not be moved. Sir, no resolution could be moved on such matter. No. vi of this Rule states - the motion shall not deal with any matter which is under adjudication by a Court of Law having jurisdiction in any part of India. Sir, it is not under any adjudication of any Court of Law in India. The occurrence took place just yesterday. Sir, it fulfills all other conditions as laid down in this Rule. This is a primary concern to the State and of great and urgent public importance and so it should be allowed for a discussion on an incident which involved the democratic right of the people.

*Shri Dulal chandra Barua— Sir, you are very expert

in Law and other procedures and so I do not know how far I will be able to convince you about this. Whatever it may be, this matter has not been brought before this House previously and it is a matter of an extra-ordinary nature and of very recent occurrence; it has fulfilled all the conditions laid down in Rule of this House. Sir, in Kaul's Parliamentry Practice at page-383, it has been stated- "the matter must be urgent." It also states- "in interpreting whether a matter is urgent or not, the Speaker is guided not by the ordinary meaning of the terms but by the technical use of the term made in rule [d]. A matter to be urgent must have arisen suddenly in the nature of an emergency." Sir, I underline the word 'emergency.' Sir, the situation has created an emergency and it cannot be taken as an ordinary law and order situation. That firing was resorted to students whereby one minor student had been killed and two others injured without any provocation and thereby it has created an emergency and also created an extraordinary situation. Here the Government may take the help of certain clause and may say that it comes under the purview of ordinary law and order situation. But this has created an extraordinary situation. Therefore I must say that it has got to be discussed here and the intervention of the House is necessary. I feel that this is a matter of urgent public importance and has created an extraordinary situation which has concerned the students and the situation may spread to other parts

of the State as it concerns the students and as it has injured the sentiments of students and we must not dishonour the sentiments of the students.

Sir, this has created an extraordinary situation which may develop in such a way that it may create confusion and chaos in State. So, I feel that you will be kind enough to consider all these things and allow us to discuss this motion through the adjournment motion.

Shri Kabir Chandra Roy Pradhani— মাননীয় উপাধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, মই আজি বাতিপুৰাই এখন Telegram পাইছো, তাত লেখিছে যে দুজন Seriously injured আৰু এজন মৰিছে। এই ঘটনাটো ঘটিল এনে সময়ত যে যি সময়ত আমাৰ বিধান সভাৰ সদনৰ কাম চলি আছে আৰু বিশেষ বিশেষ সমস্যা বিলাকৰ আলোচনা হৈ আছে, এনে এটা সময়ত এনে ধৰণৰ এটা আকস্মিক ঘটনা ঘটিছে য'ত স্কুলীয়া লৰা ছোৱালীৰ ওপৰত পুলিচে গুলী চালনা কৰিছে আৰু তাৰ ফলত কেইজনমানৰ সাংঘাতিক ৰূপে আঘাত হোৱাৰ উপৰিও এজনে মৃত্যু বৰণ কৰিছে। এইটো এজনক বম বয়সীয়া স্কুলীয়া লৰাক, গতিকে এইটো এটা গভাৰুগতিক ঘটি থকা ঘটনা নহয়। চালুকীয়া স্কুলীয়া ছাত্ৰৰ ওপৰত গুলি কৰি এজনক মৰাটো এটা আকস্মিক বিশেষ ঘটনা।

আমাৰ নিচিনা এখন গণতান্ত্ৰিক দেশত বিধান সভা চলি থকা অৱস্থাতে দেশত এনেকুৱা এটা আকস্মিক পৰিবেশৰ সৃষ্টি হোৱাটো এটা আলোচনাৰ কাৰণে যোগ্য ঘটনা। এনে এটা আকস্মিক জৰুৰী ঘটনা সভা স্থগিত প্ৰস্তাৱৰ আকাৰ। এই সদনত আলোচনা নকৰিলে আমি ৰাইজক কেনেকৈ জাম বা কি শান্তনাই দিম।

এই ক্ষেত্ৰত আপুনি এই প্ৰস্তাৱটো আলোচনাৰ্থে অনুমতি দিব গ। যদি অনুমতি নিদিয়ে তেন্তে এই সদনৰ আৰু আমাৰ আৱশ্যক কৰাটো ৰাইজে কেতিয়াও উপলব্ধি কৰিব নোৱাৰে। এই প্ৰস্তাৱটো কোনো বকমে সদনৰ নিয়ম বিগটিত নহয়। সদনৰ সকলো নিয়ম ইয়াত অন্তৰ্ভুক্ত আছে, গতিকে এই প্ৰস্তাৱ ব

* Speech not corrected.

যথাযথ গুৰুত্ব উপলব্ধি কৰি প্ৰস্তাৱটোৰ আলোচনাৰ্থে আপুনি অনুমতি দিবলৈ মই অনুৰোধ কৰিলো।

Shri Promode Chandra Gogoi— উপাধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, মাননীয় সদস্য গিয়াছউদ্দিন ডাঙৰীয়াই যিটো সভা স্থগিত প্ৰস্তাৱ আনিছে সেই প্ৰস্তাৱটো সমৰ্থন কৰি মই কব খুজিছো যে, আমাৰ Rule ৫৭ মতে The right to move the adjournment of the Assembly for the purpose of discussing a definite matter of urgent public importance আছে।

উপাধ্যক্ষ ডাঙৰীয়া, এই বিষয়টো এটা নিৰ্দিষ্ট বিষয়। কাৰণ যোৱা কালি গোলোকগঞ্জত পুলিছে ছাত্ৰ সকলৰ ওপৰত আনকি সৰু লৰাৰ ওপৰতো গুলি চালনা কৰিছে। যাৰ ফলত এজন লৰাৰ মৃত্যু হৈছে আৰু কেইজনমান আহত হৈছে। গতিকে এই ঘটনাটো হৈছে নিৰ্দিষ্ট ঘটনা। এইটো কেৱল আইন শৃঙ্খলাৰ ভংগ কৰাৰ কথা নহয়। কাৰণ কোনো ঠাইত আইন শৃঙ্খলাৰ ৰক্ষাৰ কাৰণে পুলিছে কাৰোবাক গ্ৰেপ্তাৰ কৰিব—কাৰোবাৰ ওপৰত লাঠি চালনা কৰিব সেই কথা নহয়। ই এটা সাধাৰণ আইন শৃঙ্খলাৰ প্ৰশ্ন নহয়। পুলিছে ছাত্ৰৰ ওপৰতো গুলি চালনা কৰিছে গতিকে এই প্ৰশ্নটো ৰাজহুৱা স্বার্থৰ ফালৰ পৰা গুৰুত্বপূৰ্ণ। আমাৰ Rule ৫৭ ত যিবিলাক চৰ্ত পূৰণ কৰিব লাগে, সেই সকলোবিলাক এই সভাস্থগিত প্ৰস্তাৱত পূৰণ কৰিছে। গতিকে এই সভাস্থগিত প্ৰস্তাৱটো সীমাবদ্ধ। যোৱা কালি হৈ যোৱা ঘটনাৰ ওপৰত কোনো আলোচনা হোৱা নাছিল আৰু ভবিষ্যতলৈও আলোচনা হোৱাৰ সম্ভাৱনা নাই। কাৰণ আমাৰ সদনৰ আজি শেষ দিন। গতিকে এই বিষয়তো আলোচনা কৰিবলৈ কোনো সুবিধাই নাই। গতিকে ৫৭ ধাৰামতে যিবিলাক স্বত্ব পূৰণ হোৱা প্ৰয়োজন সেই সকলো পূৰণ কৰি সভাস্থগিত প্ৰস্তাৱটো অনা হৈছে। সেই কাৰণে মই সভাস্থগিত প্ৰস্তাৱটো সমৰ্থন কৰিছো। আৰু আশা কৰিছো উপাধ্যক্ষ ডাঙৰীয়াই এই গুৰুত্বপূৰ্ণ বিষয়টো আলোচনা কৰাৰ কাৰণে সভাস্থগিত প্ৰস্তাৱটো গ্ৰহণ কৰি অনুমতি দিব। আৰু আজিৰ আমাৰ সভাৰ কাম স্থগিত ৰাখি এই বিষয়টো আলোচনা কৰিবলৈ অনুমতি দিব।

***Shri Kamini Mohan Sarma**— মাননীয় উপাধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়,

আজি এই ঘটনাটো অসমৰ ইতিহাসত গুৰুত্বপূৰ্ণ ঘটনা। কুমলীয়া লৰাৰ ওপৰত গুলি চালনা কৰিছে, ছাত্ৰসকলৰ ওপৰত পুলিচে বৰ্বৰ ভাৱে গুলি চালনা কৰিছে। এই সময়ত গুলি চালনা কৰিছে, যি সময়ত অসমৰ বিধান সভা চলি আছে। এই ঘটনাত এজন লৰাৰ মৃত্যু হৈছে আৰু কেইবাজনো আহত হৈছে। ৫৭ ধাৰামতে এই সভাস্থগিত প্ৰস্তাৱৰ সকলো দফা পূৰণ কৰিছে। গতিকে আপুনি এই সভাস্থগিত প্ৰস্তাৱটো মঞ্জুৰ কৰিব লাগে। আৰু আলোচনা কৰিবৰ কাৰণে অনুমতি দিব কাৰণে মই আপোনাৰ দৃষ্টি আকৰ্ষণ কৰিলো।

Shri Kamakhya Prasad Tripathi — Sir, the information which I have just received is like this. On 16.12.70 at about 15.25 hours Constables Shri J. Roy, Shri Kalita and Shri Rava of Goalpara District Executive Force, Armed Guards....

Shri Giasuddin Ahmed : The statement is not necessary. What is wanted is whether the motion is admissible or not.

Shri Kamakhya Prasad Tripathi— মাননীয় সদস্যই কৈছে যে, তেখেতে Telegram পাইছে। এখন Telegram ৰ ওপৰত ভিত্তি কৰি আজি Adjournment Motion টো আনিছে। তেখেতসকলে বিষয়টো Details নান্ধানে। তেখেতসকলে Varify কৰা নাই। তেখেতসকলে এটা মাত্ৰ Information পাইছে।

Shri Atul Chandra Goswami— গোলোকগঞ্জৰ এম, এল, এ ডাঙৰীয়াই সমষ্ট কথা পৰিস্কাৰকৈ জনাইছে।

Shri Kamakhya Prasad Tripathi— টেলিগ্ৰাম বা টেলিফোনৰ ওপৰত ভিত্তি কৰি Adjournment Motion আনিব নোৱাৰি।

Shri Atul Chandra Goswami— সভা স্থগিত প্ৰস্তাৱটো বিতংকৈ কোৱা হোৱা নাই মাত্ৰ প্ৰস্তাৱটো Allow হয়নে নহয় আৰু নহলে কিয় নহয় তাক জনাব লাগে।

* Speech not corrected.

Shri Kamakhya Prasad Tripathi — দেশৰ সকলো ঠাইতে এনেধৰণৰ ঘটনা হৈ আছে। সেই ঘটনাৰ কাৰণে যদি সদনত সভাস্থগিত প্রস্তাৱ আনিব লগা হয় তেন্তে সদন বহিবই নোৱাৰিব। এতিয়া কি ঘটনা হৈছে মাননীয় সদস্য সকলে নাজানে। তেখেতসকলক সহায় কৰিবৰ কাৰণেহে মই এইটো পঢ়ি দিব খুজিছো।

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua — The question is about the legality and admissibility of the motion. Our rule is completely silent. When we have got information through a telegram these are supposed to be correct and not only that the telegram is coming from a responsible man like a Head Master and a member of political party and these are supposed to be authentic. And on the basis of that information we have moved an adjournment motion. In the rules there is nothing mentioned about the nature of information to be placed before the Speaker for consideration. From our side we have followed the procedure laid down in the Rules of Procedure and therefore the question of 'nature of information' does not arise here.

Shri Khmakhya Prasad Tripathi — মই এইখন পঢ়ি নিদিলে Telegram ৰ Infomation ৰ ওপৰতহে Base কৰিব লাগিব। Facts বিলাক যদি মাননীয় সদস্যসকলক জনাব পাৰে, সেই কাৰণেই পঢ়ি দিব খুজিছো।

(A voice : পঢ়ি দিয়ক)

ধন্যবাদ।

On 16-12-70 at about 15.25 hours Constables Jamuna Rai, Jagadish Chandra Kalita and Sibsharan Rava of

Goalpara district Executive force (armed branch) detailed for guard duty and Gangadhar Bridge had altercation with one Rabin Karmakar-ex-Union Secretary and student of Class X of Jagmohon Vidyapith High School regarding giving preference to one truck over a rickshaw driven by one Chandan Neogi, son of Suresh Chandra Neogi and allegedly assaulted the latter. Rabin Karmakar informed above incident to other students of his school. Immediately a mob numbering about 100 consisting of students and outsider assembled in front of Constables barrack near the above bridge and started pelting stones. When the stones failed to hit any inmate of the barrack the above named Chandan Neogi, Mokshed Ali, son of Abdul Rahman, Jaliluddin Bepari, son of Baharuddin Shekh student of Class IX, Sadhan Chandra Roy, student of Class X rushed towards barrack to assault inmates by entering inside barrack. Chandan Neogi who was leading the mob climbed the verandah and was just entering inside barrack when Constable Jagadish Chandra Kalita opened one round of fire from his 303 rifle in self defence killing Chandan Neogi on the spot. His head fell inside barrack and legs on verandah. Jaliluddin Bepari and Sadhan Chandra Roy also received injuries on head presumably due to pelting of stones by the mob. Immediately a huge crowd (one thousand) gathered at the place of occurrence and in front of Golokganj Police Station.

Shri Kamakhya Prasad Tripathi This is the infor-

mation. Obviously, on this point Shri Dulal Barua has tried to argue that this is not a question of ordinary law and order. Why ? Because it may reflect to other places because it concerns students That is an anticipated event. The House cannot adjourn on an anticipation of events. It is obviously a question of law and order. Now, hon'ble member, Shri Medhi was telling that the students were unarmed and there was no firing on self defence, whether the man fired on self-defence or not it is a matter of investigation. If he is found guilty he will hauled up. Therefore, the business of the House should not be suspended. If investigation declares that the man is guilty naturally case will be fined against him.

Shri Giasuddin Ahmed — The hon'ble Minister has given the fact collected from the District authority. Now, Sir, from the statement made by the hon'ble Minister it appears that it is alleged some students begun to pelt stone at the barrack and in reply the Police started firing...

Shri Kamakhya Prasad Tripathi — No. No, When the pelting of stone did not succeed, when the stone did not hit the inmates of the barrack, then they rushed inward and when they reached verandah then firing took place.

Shri Giasuddin Ahmed — Let me take it such they rushed towards barrack to assault the inmates of the barrack. Now, Sir the question is how far this firing was done in accordance with the law and in accordance with the procedure laid down in Cr. P. C. Now,

when firing is resorted to on an unruly mob, first thing is that, you are to put warning first - that is the law. If that warning fails the next step is to use tear-gas....

Shri Kamakhya Prasad Tripathi— The firing was made in self-defence. That is what the report says. Now, whether it was in self-defence or not; whether he has acceded the right of self-defence, that is a matter for investigation....

Shri Giasuddin Ahmed - But nothing has been mentioned in the statement that the Police fired in self-defence. Therefore, the firing that has been done is in clear violation of the law and that makes this Motion more admissible. When the established law is violated, when the procedures are violated, when the authority is doing something against the law, then it makes the Motion more admissible because not only one person died, not only several other have been wounded but this firing was done in violation of the rules and the law laid down in the Cr. P. C.

Mr. Deputy Speaker - I have heard the hon. Members of both the sides. In the afternoon sitting I will give my ruling.

Resignation by Shri L. P. Goswami, Minister, Revenue.

Shri Kamakhya Prasad Tripathi - May I inform the House that the Governor has accepted the resignation of of Shri L. P. Goswami, Minister and it has come out in today's extra-ordinary Gazettee.

(Applause from the Opposition)

Calling Attention To A Matter of Urgent Public Importance
Blackmarket in Oil and C. I. sheets allocated
for Assam.

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua — Sir, under Rule 54 of the Procedure and Conduct of Business in Assam Legislative Assembly, I want to call the attention of the Minister, Industries to the news item appearing in Dainik Asom, dated the 8th December, 1970 under the caption “অসমৰ কাৰণে অহা তেল আৰু টিনপাতৰ চোৰাং ব্যৱসায়”।

Sir, we are very keen for the industrialisation of Assam. And for this purpose we are inviting industrialists from outside to start some industries in Assam because financial position of our local people are not so sound. But by taking advantage of this industrialists, they are taking out the raw-materials from this State in the name of industries and the imported raw-materials for which licence is required, they got them delivered and sold it to Calcutta market. So, I want to know from the Govt. in what way they are going to deal with this people and whether they are trying to sponsor some of our local educated youth to take up such kind of industries. With that end in view I draw the attention of the Minister, Industries. Shri Biswadev Sarma (Minister, Industries) Mr. Deputy Speaker, Sir, the Small Scale and other industries in Assam had to face a lot of inconveniences and difficulties in the matter or procurement of iron materials from Calcutta

for want of a Stock-yard in this region. The Department, therefore, after a great deal of persuasion and pressure got a stock-yard of iron materials opened at Pandu by M/s. Hindustan Steel Ltd., Calcutta for convenience of the industrialists and the Public Sector Undertakings and Government Departments of this region. The stock-yard was opened on 1st May, 1970. This Stock-yard is open not only to serve the State of Assam but also the neighbouring States of Nagaland, Tripura, Manipur etc. Apart from the small industrial units the Stock-yard has to cater to the requirements of the Public Sector Undertaking, C.P.W.D., P. W. D. and other Government Departments and industries under the list of Directorate General Technical Development, Government of India.

Out of the total stock available in the stock-yard only 20% is made available to all the Directors of Industries of States in this region for distribution to the Small Scale Units.

The balance 80% of the prime quality iron & steel materials are allotted by the stock-yard itself to various parties according to the procedures laid down by the Joint Plant Committee, Calcutta constituted by the Government of India. The procedure is as follows :

[a] Against allotment order of Iron & Steel Controller for meeting urgent requirements. This allotment will remain valid for the particular quarter during which it is issued, i.e., January-March, April-June, July-September

and October-December, and will not normally exceed 20 tonnes per party.

[b] Against pending JPC authorisation letters for less than wagonloads. JPC will not issue such authorisation letters in future.

[c] Against demand placed by fabricators executing orders of main producers and Bokaro Steel Ltd.

[d] Against demands placed by Central and State Government Departments / Undertakings and Government bodies.

[e] Against old quota certificates subject to the total sales in a month against such quota certificates not exceeding 10% of the total quantity of such materials received during the month in the concerned stockyard.

If any particular party does not lift any allotment made to it by the Director from the 20% as stated above within the specified period, allotment lapses and the authority of the stockyard allots that quantity also to other parties according to the procedure laid down by the Joint Plant Committee as stated above.

The defective materials available in the stockyard are handed over to the Assam Industries Development Corporation for distribution to the Small Scale Industrial Units registered with Directorate of Industries for manufacturing purposes.

The stockyard has also to meet the requirements of

the trade as these traders have to meet the requirements of the general consumers.

Steel is not a controlled commodity. It is however a scarce commodity, the distribution of which is regulated according to the procedure laid down by the Joint Plant Committee to ensure its proper utilisation. It will be noticed that the Industries Department's function is limited to the question of allotment of these materials to the Small Scale Industries Units in the States from the quota of 20% reserved for all the States in this region and to see the proper utilisation of the materials by its allottees. As the allegation in the news item is general in nature it will be difficult for the Department to enquire into these allegations except making enquiries about proper utilisation of materials by its own allottees.

As regards the allegation about some Tinsukia firm taking iron materials for manufacturing purposes and sending the materials out of the State, attempt will be made to locate the firm and if the allegation is found true, necessary action as required will be taken.

As regards the bogus Corporation taking 100 bundles of C. I. sheet it will be difficult for the Deptt., to find out the truth or otherwise of this allegation as the news item itself states that the so called Corporation is a bogus one.

The Assistant Director of Industries, Gauhati has however been directed to make enquiry into the matter.

An English version of the news item will also be sent to the appropriate authorities with a request to get all these allegations enquired into and for taking necessary action.

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua— Sir, hon. Minister has said that since it is a bogus Corporation it will be difficult to detect. At the time of allotting the quota the Industrial Development Corporation must have recommended this firm. How could they recommend this bogus Corporation ?

Shri Biswadev Sarma— The allegation is that 100 bundles of C. I. sheets have been taken by this bogus Corporation. The Industrial Development Corporation does not recommend for 100 bundles of C. I. sheets. This must have been issued by the Stock-yard authorities and therefore The Stock yard authorities will have to make an enquiry. We will send the allegation to the Government of India for making an enquiry.

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua.— But my information is that the Director of the Small Scale Industries Development Corporation recommended this firm. My question is how could he recommend this firm ? I will give you the name of the firm.

Shri Biswadev Sarma If the name is given, I will make an enquiry.

Re : Calling Attention Notice by Shri Phani Bora, MLA

Shri Phani Bora – Sir, I have a calling attention motion but it seems that the reply has been placed on the table of the House. I have not seen the reply and, therefore, even if I want some clarification, I cannot do it and I have been deprived of this advantage.

Mr. Deputy Speaker— In the afternoon you can get clarification but in the meantime you go through the reply.

Shri Biswadev Sarma [Minister, Industries]— According to the rules the statement was sent to the Assembly Secretariat yesterday and this morning the statement has been placed on the table of the House. If the hon. Member wants to have any clarification, he may ask for it in the afternoon.

Mr. Deputy Speaker— Now item No. 4.

**Calling Attention Notice relating to Arrest of contractors
of Embankment & Drainage Department**

Shri Kamakhya Prasad Tripathi [Minister, Finance]—
Sir, I beg to lay the statement in reply to the notice given by Shri Pushpadhar Chaliha, M. L. A., under Rule 54 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in Assam Legislative Assembly calling the attention relating to the arrest of 21 and 12 contractors of E & D at Sibsagar on 10.12.70 and 11.12.70 respectively.

**Motion seeking extension of time for submission of the
Report of the Privileges Committee**

Mr. Deputy Speaker— There is an addendum to the

242 Motion seeking extension of time for 17 Dec.
 submission of the Report of the
 Privileges Committee

list of business.

Now item No. 4A:

(Mr. Speaker occupied the Chair and Mr.
Deputy Speaker vacated the Chair)

Shri Jogen Saikia Sir, I beg to move that this Assembly agrees to the extension of time till the end of the next session of the Assembly for submission of Reports of the Privileges Committee relating to the complaint made by—

(a) Shri Govinda Kalita, M. L. A., against Shri Abdul Matlib Mazumdar, Minister, Law.

(b) Shri Atul Chandra Goswami, M. L. A. against the Chief Editor of Dibrugarh Barta.

(c) Shri Atul Chandra Goswami, M. L. A. against Shri Bhubaneswar Deka and six others.

Shri Rothindra Nath Sen— Sir, instead of submitting the reports at the end of the next session it will be better if the reports are submitted at the start of the session because otherwise we will not get any chance to discuss them.

Shri Jogen Saikia— This has been done according to the decision of the Committee. However, we shall place the reports at the beginning of the next session.

Mr. Speaker— Is it the sense of the House that time be extended till the end of the next session of the Assembly for submission of Reports of the Privileges

1970 Motion seeking extension of time for 243
 submission of the Report of the
 Privileges Committee

Committee relating to the complaint made by—

- (a) Shri Govinda Kalita M. L. A., against Shri Abdul Matlib Mazumdar, Minister, Law,
- (b) Shri Atul Chandra Goswami, M. L. A. against the Chief Editor of Dibrugarh Barta,
- (c) Shri Atul Chandra Goswami, M. L. A., against Shri Bhubaneswar Deka and six others.

(Voices : Yes, yes)

The time is extended for submission of the reports.
Presentation of a Petition from Shri P. N. Sarwan, President, A. T. L. A. and ten thousand others.

Shri Phani Bora— Mr. Speaker, Sir, I beg to present a petition on behalf of Shri P. N. Sarwan and ten thousand others signed by Shri P. N. Sarwan, President, A. T. L. A. and ten thousand others of Assam Krishi Sramik Sangha addressed to this Assembly.

The petition reads as follows :

এইখন হ'ল— অসম বিধান সভালৈ অসমৰ চাহ বাগিচাৰ জনজাতিৰ আবেদন ।

বিনীত নিবেদন এই যে অসমৰ চাহবাগিচা সমূহত আৰু বাগিচাৰ বাহিৰত থকা ৩৫ লাখ জনজাতিয়ে আমাৰ দেশ স্বাধীন হোৱাৰ ২৩ বছৰৰ পিচতো ভাত কাপোৰৰ বাবে হাঁহাঁকাৰ কৰি থাকিব লগা হৈছে — এওঁলোকৰ সতি-সন্ততিয়ে পঢ়িগুনি চৰকাৰী কামবন পোৱাটো দূৰৈৰ কথা, এনে কি অসমত থকা প্ৰায় এহেজাৰ খন বাগিচাৰ কৰ্মচাৰী নিয়োগত এওঁলোকক মালিক সকলে এতিয়ালৈকে কাম বন দিয়া নাই । সেই কাৰণে নিম্ন স্বাক্ষৰকাৰী সকলে দাবী কৰিছে যে—

১। চাহ বাগিচাৰ চাকৰিত বাগিচাৰ জনজাতি সকলৰ সতি সম্ভাতিৰ কাৰণে শতকৰা ৭৫ ভাগ চাকৰি সংৰক্ষণ কৰিব লাগে।

২। বাগিচাৰ জনজাতিসকলক ভৈয়ামৰ জনজাতি হিচাবে গণ্য কৰি নিচপৰা সম্প্ৰদায় হিচাবে পাব পৰা সকলো সুবিধা তেওঁলোকক দিব লাগে।

৩। বাগিচাৰ জনজাতি সকলৰ সবহ ভাগৰে মূৰ গুৰিবলৈ এখন চালি সাজি লবলৈকো একঠাও নিজৰ মাটি নাই। তেওঁলোকৰ বাবে বাগিচা বিলাকত থকা মাটি চৰকাৰী বিজাৰ আদিৰ মাটিত ঘৰ সাজি বসবাস কৰিবৰ কাৰণে দিব লাগে।

৪। বাগিচাৰ জনজাতি সকলৰ সকলোৱেই খেতিয়ক, কিন্তু তেওঁলোকৰ নিজা খেতিৰ মাটি একঠাও নাই। সেই কাৰণে অসমৰ চাহ বাগিচাবিলাকত থকা প্ৰায় ১৫ লাখ বিঘা খেতিৰ মাটি চৰকাৰে চিলিং আইন প্ৰণয়ন কৰি এই মাটিহীন বাগিচাৰ জনজাতি সকলক বিলাই দি সেই মাটিৰ পট্টা দিব লাগে। ইতি

Mr. Speaker— The petition is presented and it is referred to the Petition Committee. (at this stage Mr. Speaker vacated the Chair and Mr. Deputy Speaker occupied it.)

The Indian Stamp [Assam Amendment] Bill, 1970.

Mr. Deputy Speaker— Now item No. 6. Here is a message from the Governor which reads as follows :

“Rajbhavan, the 26th April, 1970— I recommend under the provision of Article 207 (3) of the Constitution of India, the consideration of the Indian Stamp (Assam Amendment) Bill, 1970 by the Assam Legislative Assembly—
Sd: B. K. Nehru, Governor of Assam”

Shri Sailen Medhi— Sir, on a point of order. Sir, in this Bill enhancement of the price of stamp is proposed,

and for that purpose the Finance Minister has brought this amendment to the Indian Stamp Act, 1899 and in that Bill what is lacking is the Statement of Objects and reasons. It is not there. Sir, in other bills which concerns the enhancement of revenue there is a procedure that the statement of objects and reasons should be there along with the bill. So in the absence of the statement of objects and reasons we are not in a position to know why this enhancement is necessary. I think it is out of order. Unless we are informed what are the objects and reasons for bringing the bill we cannot allow it. There is specific provision in the Constitution of India that money bill or any other bill which concerns the State exchequer a statement of objects and reasons must come from the Finance Minister along with the bill.

Shri Kamakhya Prasad Tripathi (Minister, Finance)- May I point out the page 26 wherein the statement of objects and reasons is given.

Mr. Deputy Speaker— If you want to oppose the leave to introduce then let the Minister have the leave to introduce the Bill under rule 74.

Shri Kamakhya Prasad Tripathi— This is a move for consideration and not introduction.

Mr. Deputy Speaker— Is it consideration stage ? Then I am sorry. Yes, Mr. Medhi you can take the chance.

Result of the Division is as follows—

Ayes— 40

Noes— 20

[Motion is adopted]

(Uproar from the Opposition Benches)

Shri Kamakhya Prasad Tripathi— Sir, I move that Indian Stamp (Assam Amendment) Bill, 1970 be passed.

Mr. Deputy Speaker— I put the question. The question is that the Indian Stamp (Assam Amendment) Bill, 1970, be passed.

(The motion is passed by voice vote)

(Many of the hon. Members in the Opposition rose in their seats and begin to speak at their top of voices)

Ruling by the Chair— Adjournment Motion— Situation arising out of Police Firing resulting in death of a student at Golakganj

Mr. Deputy Speaker— Now, I give my ruling on the Adjournment Motion moved by Shri Giasuddin Ahmed this morning.

This motion has been brought for adjournment of the schedule business of the House in order to enable the House to discuss about an incident which took place yesterday at Golokganj. The facts on which the hon. Members spoke in favour of the admissibility of the motion are that there was firing on unarmed young students by some police officers as a result of which one died and a few are injured.

1970 Ruling by the Chair— Adjournment Motion— 247
 Situation Arising Out of Police firing
 resulting in death of a student
 at Golakganj

The facts of firing and resultant death and injury are admitted on behalf of the Govt. It is argued by and on behalf of the hon. Member seeking to move the adjournment motion that the matter is so urgent that it needs a discussion in the House in preference to other business on the agenda paper and that it fulfills all the conditions which are necessary for calling the motion in order. It is also of public importance. On behalf of the Govt. it is argued that it is a matter of day to day administration and an ordinary law and order question.

The practice till now we are following in such matters has been a little anomalous. Rule 56 (1) envisages consent of the Speaker for moving the motion and according to Rule 60 once he gives consent the motion for leave comes before the House and if one tenth of the total number of members rise in support of it, in the event of an objection to the leave being granted, the leave is granted. Nowhere in the rules it is said that for giving consent by the Speaker a discussion on admissibility is necessary. The Speaker, may, however, call for such information or take into consideration such facts and law as he may deem necessary. In the consent stage therefore, no discussion in the House is envisaged in the rule. Therefore, I consider this discussion is one aiming at convincing the Speaker to give his consent.

Ruling by the Chair - Adjournment
Motion - Situation Arising out of Police
firing resulting in death of a student
at Golakganj

17 Dec.

Coming to the merits of the matter in question I feel that the matter is urgent and definite and it involves public interest but I am afraid that even if a discussion on this subject is allowed the purpose will not be served as the informations now available to the hon. members and the Govt. are too meagre and insufficient to make the discussion fruitful. Whether it is a matter of ordinary law and order or such that it demands an immediate cognizance by the House depends also upon the facts and circumstances of each case. In this particular case information are too insufficient to come to such a decision.

In the circumstances, I hold that no occasion has arisen for no useful purpose will be served by an adjournment of the consideration of matters in the agenda paper for a discussion on this subject.

Hence I humbly refuse to give my consent for moving for leave of the House to discuss this matter at this stage. The motion stands disallowed.

The Indian Stamp [Amendment] Bill, 1970

Shri Sailen Medhi— It is not clear, when the Finance Minister says that it will bring enhanced revenue, how much enhanced revenue it will bring.

Shri Kamakhya Prasad Tripathi— Rs., 3 lakhs.

Shri Sailen Medhi— That should also have been pointed out.

Mr. Deputy Speaker - You will agree that there is a difference between not giving the statement of Objects and Reasons and inadequate Object and Reasons.

Shri Sailen Medhi— But Sir, it has neither any object nor any reason to bring such a Bill.

Mr. Deputy Speaker— The hon. member has perhaps mistaken. There are two memoranda : One is the Statement of Objects and Reasons and the other is the Financial Memorandum.

The hon. Member is reading the Financial Memorandum.

Shri Sailen Medhi— At which page of the Bill.

Shri Kamakhya Prasad Tripathi— it is at page 26 of the Bill.

Mr. Deputy Speaker— The Point of Order is disposed of accordingly.

The House is adjourned till 2 P. M.

Adjournment

The House then adjourned till 2 P. M. for lunch.

(The House re-assembled at 1405 hrs after lunch break with Mr. Deputy Speaker in the Chair).

Leave of Absence to Shri Bimala Prasad Chaliha, M.L.A.

Mr. Deputy Speaker— Here is an announcement over the leave of absence of a member from the Assam Legislative Assembly. I am reading out as :— I, hereby inform the House that Shri Bimala Prasad Chaliha, an elected member of the Assam Legislative Assembly repres-

enting Sonari Constituency has duly submitted an application for leave of absence from the Assam Legislative Assembly which read as follows :—

“I have the honour to say that I have been suffering from protracted illness and my doctors have advised me to take rest. I am therefore prevented from attending the present Session of the Assembly. I would request the House through you to grant me leave of absence for these days.

B. P. Chaliha,
M. L. A.

I think the House will grant leave of absence prayed for in the application. Is it the sense of the House that the leave of absence be granted to the hon. member ?

(Voices – Yes, Yes.)

(Leave was granted).

**Ruling by the Chair— Complaint of Breach of Privilege
against the U. N. I.**

Mr. Deputy Speaker— In the morning I promised to give my ruling on the complaint of breach of privilege and also on the adjournment motion. The rule on the complaint made by Dr. Hazarika I shall be reading out, and the ruling on the adjournment motion is under type and I shall read out the same as soon as it comes to my hand. Rule on the Complaint is— “I have heard the complaint made by the hon. Member Dr. Bhupen Hazarika

and also the arguments advanced by the hon. Member Shri Gaurisankar Bhattacharjee in support of the complaint. I have also carefully gone through the decisions referred to by the hon. Member Sri Dulal Ch. Barua.

In this complaint it is alleged that the local representative of U.N.I. at Shillong and the Editor of Assam Tribune committed breach of privilege of the House by reporting by reportiong and publishing a misleading report wherein it was stated that Sri L. P. Goswami, the then Minister in-charge of Cooperation was absolved of the charges made against him in the last Budget Session of the Assembly by the Assembly Committee which was constituted by the hon'ble Speaker. In this paper there was no mention of the report submitted by the minority members of the said Committee. Is is argued that even the majority members of the Committee did not absolve the Minister but found him guilty.

In the complaint against Dainik Asom it is admitted that the minority members' report was published but yet it a'iso committed the breach by publishing the report that the Minister was absolved by the majority members. So far as non-publication of the minority members' report it is a clear case which needs a probe and consideration of the House and therefore I hold that the complaint against the Editor, Assam Tribune and in that connection against the U. N. I. is in order.

The other point involved here is whether the majority members' report could be construed as one absolving the Minister. On this point there are conflicting decisions whether any wrong interpretation of a matter amounts to a breach of privilege. It depends on the facts and circumstances of each case. Whether in this case it has amounted to a breach is a matter which should be properly thrashed out in the Committee level.

I, therefore, hold that the complaint against the Editor of Dainik Asom and in that connection against the correspondent U. N. I. and also the complaint on this point against the Editor of Assam Tribune and the U. N. I. is in order. Accordingly, I refer the complaint to the Committee of Privileges for their investigation and report to the House by 31.3.71."

Shri Biswadev Sarma (Minister Industries) — Sir, have you mentioned about the Editor, U. N. I. ?

Mr. Deputy Speaker : Representative, U. N. I. Now, item No. 6 [a].

The Indian Stamp [Assam Amendment] Bill, 1970.

Shri Kamakhya Prasad Tripathi (Minister, Finance) — Sir, I beg to move that the Indian Stamp [Assam Amendment] Bill, 1970 be taken into consideration.

Shri Gaurisankar Bhattacharyya — Sir, I oppose the consideration.

Mr. Deputy Speaker — In view of the fact that the Agenda paper is heavy today and the time at our disposal

is very short, I would fix some time for consideration of this Bill; and also I do not want to extend the House because all the Leaders of different groups were consulted in the morning and they have not agreed to do so. Therefore it should be possible to complete consideration of all the stages of one Bill within 45 minutes.

Shri Dulal Chanda Barua— There is no rule under which a time limit can be fixed.

Mr. Deputy Speaker— Under Rule 157 time for all the stages can be fixed by the Speaker. Therefore, I fix 45 minutes for each Bill.

Shri Gauri Sankar Bhattacharyya— How can that be? So long as I am relevant you cannot stop me.

Mr. Deputy Speaker— Time can be fixed by the Speaker.

*Shri Gauri Sankar Bhattacharyya— There is no time limit for Bills. I would like to refer you first of all to Rule 156.

Mr. Deputy Speaker— Is it challenging the Ruling?

Shri Gauri Sankar Bhattacharyya— You cannot give any Ruling against the rules. You are a creature of the rules. If I can show that you are acting against the rules, your Ruling should go.

First of all, I speak with regard to the time-limit regarding Bills. Rule 156 says: Notwithstanding that a day has been allotted for other business under rules 143,

144, 154 or 155"— 154 refers to Appropriation Bill and 155 refers to Finance Bill ; 143 and 144 refer to General Discussion of Budget and Demands for Grants respectively — "a motion or motions for leave to introduce a Bill or Bills may be made and a Bill or Bills may be introduced on such day before the Assembly on ers on the business for which the day has been allotted. Now comes Rule 157 ; In addition to the powers exercisable under these rules, the Speaker may exercise all such powers as are necessary for the purpose of the isely completion of all financial business including allotment of time for the disposal of various kinds of such business, etc." "Financial business" means the business of an Appropriation Bill or the business of a Finance Bill ; it does not include a Bill which either seeks to impose or reduce or enhance any taxation. What are financial bills has been explained in the Constitution itself. Therefore, so far as your power is concerned, that is confined to the financial bills, including allotment of time for disposal of various kinds of such business and, as Rule 157 states, "where time is so allotted, he shall, at the appointed hour, put every *question necessary to dispose of all the outstanding matters in connection with the stage or stages for which time has been allotted."

The first point I wanted to make was that the power of the chair with regard to limitation or fixation

*Speech not corrected

of time was confined only to those bills which are financial bills. Here I would like to differentiate between a money bill and a financial bill. On this I beg to refer to a Ruling given by the Speaker in this House only some time ago, after hearing the Advocate-General on a matter that was brought by my hon. friend Shri Govinda Kalita, wherein the Advocate-General differentiated between a financial bill and a money bill. That was discussed very elaborately. Now, Article 110 of the the Constitution of India gives us a definition of money bills, Article 112-Annual financial statements, Article. 114 Appropriation Bills. Article 116-Votes on Account. These are the relevant provisions with regard to financial business, Then Article 117 makes special provisions as to financial bills "A Bill or a endment making provision for any of the matters specified in sub-clauses (a.) to (f) of clause (i) of article 110 shall not be introduced or moved except on the recommendation of the President and a Bill making such provision shall not be introduced in the Council of States : Provided that no recommendation shall be required under this clause for the moving of an amendment making provision for the reduction of abolition of any tax.

A Bill or amendment shall not be deemed to make provision for any of the matters aforesaid by reason only that it provides for the imposition of fines or other pecuniary penalties, or for the demand or payment of fees for licences or fees for services rendered, or by reason that it provides for

the imposition, abolition, remission, alteration or regulation of any tax by any local authority or body for local purposes."

So, you will be pleased to say that there are certain similarities between money bills and financial bills: There are.....

But there are also certain differences, but whatever that may be any bill is not a financial bill. In this regard we have also our Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business. General scope of debate on bills is covered by Rules 73 onwards. We are now at the first stage of the Bill and the relevant rule in this connection is 73 at page 47 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business of our Assembly. "On the day on which any such motion is made (either to take the bill into consideration or for sending it to a Select Committee) or on any subsequent day to which the discussion is postponed, the principle of the bill and its provisions may be discussed generally but the details of the bill shall not be discussed further than is necessary to explain its principle." This is at the first reading. At this stage no amendment to the bill may be moved, but if the member-in-charge moves that the bill be taken into consideration, any member may move as an amendment that the bill be referred to a Select Committee or be circulated for the purpose of eliciting public opinion thereon by a date to be mentioned in the motion provided that if an amendment or a motion for appointment of the

Select Committee has been moved under this Rule, any member may move that the House gives instructions to the Select Committee to which the bill is proposed to be referred to make some particular or additional provision in the bill, and if necessary, or convenient to consider any report on amendments which may be proposed to the original Act which the bill seeks to amend, or there can be another motion that the bill be referred to a Select Committee, any member may move his amendment that the bill be circulated for the purpose of eliciting public opinion by a date as may be mentioned in the Motion. Where a motion that a bill be circulated for the purpose of eliciting public opinion has been carried in the Assembly... and the bill has been included in accordance with that direction and opinion have been received thereon by the date mentioned in the Motion, the member-in-charge wishes to proceed with the bill thereafter, shall move that the Bill be referred to a Select Committee, unless the Speaker in his discretion, allows a motion to be made that the bill be taken into consideration. So today there is no motion either from the Minister-in-charge or from our side that the bill be either sent to a select committee or that the bill be circulated for eliciting public opinion thereon. There is only one motion before us, and that motion is that the bill be taken into consideration. At this stage we can discuss the principles of the bill and the different provisions may be referred only to the extent that they are pertinent to matters of principle of the bill, and only when we would come to

consider it clause by clause under Rule 86 then we shall consider the matter clause by clause. Now a question has arisen as to whether the Speaker can in any and every bill limit the time of discussion, As I said the rules which were placed specifically gives you the power to limit the time in the case of financial bills, that is to say, the bills which are either appropriation bill or bills which are money bills. Why a specific provision has been made so far as financial bills are concerned ? The reason is very simple. If the financial bill is not passed then the Government cannot run because on the passing of the appropriation bill within a particular date the different departments of the Government will be able to incur certain expenditure, and if these expenditure are to be incurred, if money is to be drawn from the consolidate fund, then there is the necessity of an authority from the Legislature. If there goes on indefinite discussion for days, or for weeks or months together, how the Government of the State will run. Therefore, in order to guarantee that the Govt. may not be brought to a standstill that special power has been given to the Speaker so that there may not be discussion adinfinitum in the matter of financial bills. But so far as other bills are concerned, they do not fall within this category because...

because we can as well have in this case without even moving any amendment let the bill go. Some one may give amendment and another may just standup and say that

he is moving a motion for consideration of the Bill. Here again anyone of my friend may stand up and say I propose an amendment to the motion and again another may say that I propose that the bill may be sent to the Select Committee and to be reported by the 31st of March. Anyone may just stand up and move an amendment and yet another may immediately stand up and say that the bill may be circulated for eliciting public opinion.

Mr. Deputy Speaker : Mr. Bhattacharyya would you enlighten me. You have said that the money bill comes within the purview of Finance bill. Whether tax bill comes under money bill ?

Shri Gaurisanker Bhattacharyya : No, no so far as your Authority limit the time is concerned that is only possible with regard to the Financial Rules. Sir, for our purpose what is necessary is to understand what the Finance bills are. There are certain arenas where things are common both in the money bill and in the Finance bill. If they would have been in that common arena then you have absolute power to certain our time but as tax bill which is sought to be imposed, increased by the Govt. it does not fall within the special power of the Speaker.

(Interruption)—

When we authorise some local Bodies to raise some tax we do not fix it without any limit. But in that bill the authority is given which falls in one category interruption. The point is whether

this House is going to impose or reduce or increase the tax on the common people. If that be the thing then that is a very fundamental matter. No representation no taxation that is the issue on which depends the powers and privileges of the House. Therefore, so far as the question of imposition of taxation are concerned that is a very fundamental point not only in this House but for the people as a whole and that fundamental point cannot be ignored. Then it may be said why the discussion the Appropriation-Bill be curtailed because the grants have already be guillotined. So far as Appropriation Bill is concerned the House has got either to vote or to reject and so far as the consideration of the appropriation bill is concerned the scope for the House is very limited. It can only given us guidelines. But the question of tax is the fundamental of all fundamental things. Now, so far as the old tax is concerned it may be 3 rupees for a particular item. The principle has been accepted that for an Affidavit 3 rupees is to be given. The very thing that the Govt. can impose tax bill in the question of quantum. If I am paying 3 rupees today and tomorrow I am asked to pay rupees 6 or even 3.5 p, then there will be an additional burden. Now should the tax payers not be heard through his legislature as to whether he should be made to bear the additional burden. This is a question of principle whether it should be increased or not. The question is whether on principle there should be an increase or not. That is the

thing. How, you will please see that here in the constitution itself Art. 204 there is a question of Appropriation Bill Art. 206 about Vote on Account and then Art. 207 about the provision of Financial Bills. The Bill or an Amendment making provision for any of the matters, ... I have already read out this and probably I need not repeat it. But you will kindly see in Clause (2) "a bill or amendment shall not be demanded for making provision for any of the matters aforesaid by the reason only that it provides for the imposition of fines or other pecuniary penalties or for the demands of payment of fees for licences or fees or by the reason that it provides for the imposition or abolition, alteration or regulation of any tax by any local authority or body for local purposes." If this should not be included in a finance bill naturally...

(Interruption)

You may take like that but you cannot limit our time like that. If these would not have been included in a financial bill except the rest are included and if that is included then actually -- (interruption) ... No, I have not come to the Bill. I am arguing whether the Chair can limit time for us. The rule by which the Chair wants to impose that power that rule is only for those bills which are finance bill. Now, I am showing that Art. 203 to 207 — these are the relevant Articles which describe as to what finance bills are, particularly Art. 207 of the Constitution of India. Because this Art. 207 speaks of certain special provisions as to finance bill and I have tried to impress upon the Chair that this type of

a bill which before us that dose not fall within one of the categories anumerated in the financial rules, Therefore I bow down that he has got power in the matter of financial rules. But so far as the present bill is concenred it is not a finance bill. It is a tax bill and every tax bill is not a finance bill. Then, in that sense it is a money bill and finance bill is not a money bill. There are some common ground between money bill and a finance bill. These two terms are not synonymous. I was trying to impress that. So, here under Art. 208 this Assembly may make certain rules for regulating subject with regard to the finance Bill. Art. 208 says very clearly "(1) A House of the Legis'ature of a State may make rules for regulating, subject to the provisions of this Constitution, its procedure and the conduct of its business. (2) Until rules are made under clause (1) the rules of procedure and standing orders in force immediately before the commencement of this Constitution with respect to the Legislature for the corresponding Province shall have effect in relation to the Legislature of the State subject to such modifications and adaptations as may be made therein by the Speaker of the Legislative Assembly, or the Chairman of the Legislative Council, as the case may be. (3) In a State having a Legislatvie Council the Governor, after consultation with the Speaker of the Legislative Assembly and the Chairman of the Legislative Council, may make rules as to the procedure with respect to" It is not at all relevant for our purpose

But so far as our Rules of Procedure is concerned there is that special provision by the Chair under the Head 'Finance Bill' which runs as "At any time after the introduction in the Assembly of a Finance Bill, the Speaker may allot a day or days, jointly or severally, for the completion of all or any of the stages involved in the passage of the Bill by the Assembly and when such allotment has been made the Speaker shall, at 17 hours on the allotted day or at 11,30 hours, if it is a Friday, or the last of the allotted days, as the case may be, forthwith put every question necessary to dispose of all the outstanding matters in connection with the stage or stages for which the day or days have been allotted." Now, as I have already said this provision is there with regard to the Finance Bill, there is no such provision of fixing a particular point of time as the last time within which any stage or all stages of a bill is to be over. Therefore, the Speaker need not be in a hurry as to the conclusion or otherwise of a Bill which does not fall either within the category of finance bill or enumerated under E at page 89 or Appropriation Bill as enumerated under D at page 88 or under similar provision. So we find that under Sub-rule (5) of Rule 155 which relate to Finance Bill, there comes a Motion. "On a Motion that the Finance Bill be taken into consideration, a member may discuss matters relating to general administration, local grievances so far as it relates to any provision of the Finance Bill within the sphere of the responsibility of the Govt. of

Assam or monetary or financial policy of Government (6) In other respects the rules applicable to Bills in Chapter XIII of these rules shall apply.” Now, this Chapter XIII concerns itself with the general rules with regard to Legislation. The exceptions which I have stated earlier, these were there. I need not repeat it. Apart from those exceptions which exception the Speaker has got— that exceptional power, the general provisions with regard to Legislation are covered under Chapter XIII. I need.... I need not read out all the provisions. I come straight to Rule 66, which deals with financial memorandum and money clauses. The rule says “A Bill involving expenditure shall be accompanied by a financial memorandum which shall invite particular attention to the clauses involving expenditure and shall also give an estimate of the recurring and non-recurring expenditure involved in case the Bill is passed in law. “And if there be delegated legislation, there will be an explanatory memorandum with regard to the delegated legislation. Rules 70, 71 and 72 do not apply in this case and therefore we can skip over these rules. Rule 73 I have already placed. Then rule 75 in this particular case is not relevant, so also rule 76. Rule 77 also does not apply because there is no amendment. I am passing over the pages only to show that so far as Chapter XIII is concerned. I have not seen any rule wherein the Speaker has been given to power to limit the time.

Mr. Deputy Speaker— That point you have already said.

Shri Gaurisankar Bhattacharyya - Whether it is a financial Bill or not, the authorities are very clear on this.

Shri Biswadev Sarma - Sir, according to Rule 314, if any doubt arises as to the interpretation of any of the provisions of these rules, the decision of the Speaker shall be final.

Shri Gaurisankar Bhattacharyya - Yes, if there is any genuine doubt with regard to the interpretation of any rule, the Speaker's verdict is final. What the hon. Minister has read, we are in full agreement with it. If it is a financial Bill, you have a definite authority to impose a time limit.

Shri Kamakhya Prasad Tripathi - If there is no specific rule then Rule 315 shall apply. The rule says - "All questions not specifically provided for in these rules and all questions relating to the detailed working of these rules shall be regulated in such manner as the Speaker may, from time to time, direct."

Shri Gaurisankar Bhattacharyya - But our rules cannot over-ride the Constitutional provisions. If there is a conflict between the Constitutional provisions and our rules, the constitutional provisions shall prevail.

M. Deputy Speaker— This matter regarding whether the Speaker has a right to impose a time limit on a

business of this nature. I have heard Mr. Bhattacharyya. This is a case where even without referring to Rule 3 4 or 315 I can tell the House that even under the Constitutional provision Article 110 and 117, this Bill is not only a financial Bill but a money Bill also. Therefore, it comes under the mischief of Rule 157 and that rule has given the Speaker a right to impose a time limit.

Shri Dev Kant Barooah— Is it obligatory on the part of the Speaker to exercise that right ? That right is a discretionary right.

Mr. Deputy Speaker— The hon. Member was not present in the House at that time and therefore he does not know what was going on. Now, we have come to such a situation that the time has got to be limited and therefore I have fixed the time limit.

Shri Dev Kant Barooah— Although I was not present here, I could hear through the microphone. Thanks to microphone.

Shri Gaurisankar Bhattacharyya— Under what rule is it a money Bill ? You cannot over-ride the Constitutional provisions. Article 110 of the Constitution clearly lays down this provision. You can say something about financial Bill but if you say that it is a money Bill, then it creates a difference.

Mr. Deputy Speaker— This case perfectly comes under Rule 157.

Shri Gaurisankar Bhattacharyya— Of course, your

decision is final.

Now Sir, what this Bill actually seeks to do that is said in the explanatory note and also in the memorandum. Now, why have not brought any amendment to this Bill and why are we opposing it lock, stock and berrel ? That is the first pertinent question that may be asked and the answer will be had from the statement of objects and reasons and the financial memorandum itself. Sir, as you know, we have been saying here a very fundamental principle and that principle is that there has been a great deal of financial burden on our poor people. We have been saying that our poor cultivators, even those who are suffering under flood Created by God, more so, created by the present Government through their mischievous embankment schemes.

These floods and other natural calamities created and accentuated by the Government have made our peoples lot miserable and the Government has been collecting, by using coercive measures money from the impoverished people. They have been sending quite a number of distress warrants, they have been instituting numerous, hundreds of cases. Bakijai cases and the poor people including even the widows, who have nothing than a few utensils or a cow or a goat- all these have been robbed. why ? They have been robbed so that the poor peters may be robbed to feed for the fatr pauls. They have not only robbed the poor, but by robbing the people, by suppressing the people they have been living a luxurious life. A State which

can run with a handful of Ministers or even without any Minister because it appears that lakhs of rupees are misappropriated in the presence of so many Ministers. Yes yesterday Shri Devendra Nath Hazarika told us how an I. A. S. officer deprived our State of more than 10 lakhs of rupees out of which he himself misappropriated more than 1½ lakhs. Well, in addition to this there is no a single enterprise or undertaking which is of Government or where the Government has got a hand, where the Government has got participation, where Government has not incurred any loss. Because these are very ill managed. These are autonomous concerns. But if these were directly under the Government management at least we could have some supervision and Subject to the close scrutiny of the Accountant General, But because these are autonomous body whatever control over the finance is there it is very weak and very simple. And taking advantage of this Government is increasing the corporation every day, and it is nothing but waste of public money. After all most of the corporations are Government corporations and we have discussed before in this House how these corporations landed us in or at financial difficulty. If it is not more, at least one particular body has robbed of us more than 150 crores of rupees up till now.

Sir, in order to keep an unholy alliance, they have inflated the number of Ministers. Admittedly that has been done not in the interest of the common people. What they

euphemastically say is political consideration. What is this political consideration ? Sir, though the present Cong (O), Cong (I) and Cong (R), which is ruling it has got many groups, and sub-groups. If 'X' is not made a Minister there is every likelihood of his going against the Government. That may be explicit, or that may be implicit or that may not be so expressive but whatever that may be, whether it is apparent or whether it is subtle does not matter, unless and until one gets a ministerial guddy there is every fear-political fear of his going away. Why ? Because we have reasons to believe that these people have been or Minister not for service to the people but self before service and not service before self and thereby cause a very heavy expenditure on the weak State finances which has already been inflated and unreasonably inflated. It is said in Assamese “আগৰ হাল যি পিনে যায় পিচৰ হালো সেই পিনে যায় । মহাজনো যেন গতঃ লঃ পন্থাঃ” So these Mahajanas, these Ministers, when they take recourse to personal aggrandisement at the cost of our common people their officers also do the same thing. If Ministers can take a trip to Tokyo why should the Secretary not ? And how the Secretary's trip be pleasant if his pleasant wife dose not give him the pleasant company and there may be a rebellion in the house, if you leave me here and go to Tokyo, after all how can I believe that you behaved there properly' ? That is the character which these people have, that is the character of these people to which their wives themselves subscribe. So the result is either in open or in surre-

ptitious manner these white caps with black- hearts have started the regine in Assam which may be called a dark regine and that dark regine is the regine of nepotism, a regine of selfishness, a regine of personal aggrandisement and a regine of corruption. If anything is lacking to day more than enough before that is clean administration. A clean administration is completely lacking in the State and because of this lacking, because our administration is inclesned to-day the result is there has been a great deal of drainage of very valuable public money which is collected from the poorest of the poor. We have said here that more than 10 crores of rupees are outstanding with the favourites of the present administration. They are not going to collect them back. We know they have givan same of their industrial loans to political sufferers. But there are some who stole goat from the military camp during 1942 and because he is a goat lifter and by goat lifting he went to Jail in 1942, therefore he has become a political sufferer. Then, there is another. I do not want to name him because he is from Hajo area - I was with him in the same Jail— I know he was a thief of the first order. I do not want to disclose his name. He was a thief of the first order. Now, he also happened to be in Jail in 1942-43. Two years he got his imprisonment for blackmail and thift and thereafter he got a certificate, and the Jailor also certified that he was in Jail in 1942-43 — for 14 months. The result

is that he also has been granted an industrial loan and what industry ? He is making industrial oilology, that is the industry and wherever the Ministers go you will find him also like a shadow. There is still another, who was a Rai Saheb during the British regine, because he could please the British masters during 1942. He still continues to carry the title even during the Congress regine.

Shri Kamakhya Prasad Tripathi (Minister, Finance)—
Rai Saheb is a popular titls.

Shri Gaurisankar Bhattacharyya— And this Rai Saheb happens to be the shadow of the Ministers. Wherever a Minister goes you can not find his shadow because the Rai Saheb is immediately behind him. Shadow over a shadow. Therefore he was also granted a loan and not realised.

Moulana Abdul Jalil Chowdhury— Because he was a political sufferer.

Shri Gaurisankar Bhattacharyya— Yes, he was also a political sufferer. He used to after the Ministers and therefore he also was a political sufferer. So, these things they could not collect back, they could not bring any legislation, they could not execute them, they could not use their machinary, they could not operate their Bakijai their cases, they could not engage their peouas or Piadas or other coercive machinery for collecting back this money from their people who are their favourites, who are their inmates. Not only that lakhs of rupees are now outstanding from the black traders, they had collected sales tax ; poor people as

we are, poorer people are still there ; they come and purchase something. Not only the price is paid but a tax as well and that is realised. For years together they have in this way accumulated lakhs of rupees and that money which they have collected from the poor people, they have invested in business, they have not paid back to the Government, and in whatever form this legislature be, these Estimates Committees, these Accounts Committees, whenever we have asked this big financial officer, this Financial Commissioner, who is supposed to be in charge of all these things they cannot answer. You ask the Commissioner of Taxes, they cannot explain why these big capitalists are given or are allowed to sit over these money which is due to the Government and the money which has already been realised from the people. Actually Sales Tax was meant primarily to be a tax on the seller but this tax was invariably collected from the purchaser. Sales tax is a misnomer. It is not the seller who pays the tax, he is the tax collector from the poor purchaser but he sits over it. Previously we had the Finance Secretary and now we have made him the Financial Commissioner so as to give him an inflated pay. We are poor. We say we need money. We are poor for doing good things for the people but we are rich to give oil to those who have got sufficient oil on their heads. This Secretary was doing the job simply because the Govt. wanted to

help that gentleman with a bigger salary ; they raised his status, he was made a Financial Commissioner and why this oiology ? Why this officer should be oiled so much ? Because he happens to be the darling, the son-in-law of the Press magnate, monopolist, a monopolist press magnate of the State. The Press which goes on beating the drum in favour of those unscrupulous elements who, unfortunately for us, happen to adorne the seats of the Government today. It is only by drum beating, it is only by giving white wash to the black figures and black deeds by the monopolist press that this administration is yet save. Otherwise, the people of the State if they would have known of the truth, the whole truth, then this administration would have been torn into pieces. It is only today, unfortunately the time did not allow, I had asked a question, they kept it for the last day, as to how many young people, simply because they criticised the Govarnment, and sharply criticised the Government, were thrown to prison under the name a garb of Naxalite. What Naxalite activities have been there in Assam that hundreds of teachers and students and others should be arresated, put in prison and it is about an year since April that these people have been lying in Jail and that not a single chargesheet has been issued. That is the administr-ation. For feeding the people in Jail there is no dearth of money but then they are to be arrested. Why ? Because these people criticised the administration which

is the protector of evil and which is the destructor of the good. That is the administration which is today. Not only that their land revenue were collected, in my own constituency. I find that this Government created, this Congress Government created floods and that is how the most prosperous place of Assam, namely Borbhog, has been made a veritable hell. In undivided rural India that was the most thickly populated place. Now probably the Satan thought that it is no good to keep any good spot in Assam and therefore a satanic conspiracy was let loose against this prosperous area. This whole area was made to say a veritable pond and these cultured people have been placed almost like fishes in water. They have been under recurring visit of flood every year and that flood is man made, this Government has made but then these people.

But even these people are not given revenue remission. Even from them revenues are collected, but the Mauzadars collect them and sit over them — not only here in Kamrup but in every district — and the Mauzadars are the vote collectors of the present Government. In every village there are touts and these touts are the vote collectors of the present Government, and, therefore, even if they collect revenue and do not deposit them no coercive measure is taken against them. If the D. Cs. try to realise these touts come to Shillong to appeal and if they are well-connected and in most cases they are, then they get this or that

concession. The people pay but it does not reach the Government coffer. This is a misfortune. Why have I to say this. It is for the reason that it is not true that the Government has not got money, the fact is that the Government has got money. Where it is ? It is with the black marketers who are dodging taxes, it is with those who take loan and not pay, it is with those who collect land revenue and do not pay, it is with those who have taken house building loans — in some cases they do not build house, in some cases build but rent it out. It is they who can pay, and, therefore, in all fairness that they ought to pay. What should be the principle of taxation ? The principle of taxation should be that the tax burden should be put on that back which can bear the burden and not on the poor. What is provided in this bill — the contrary. For whom the stamp is sought to be increased ? On the poor. The main object of the bill is said to be for increasing the size of the plan and in order to accelerate the development of the State it is necessary to increase the revenue income of the State. Now, I remember to have heard from the Finance Minister the other day that the misfortune here in this State has been the pernicious policy followed is that money which come from planning is being spent for normal administration. Am I correct that the money which ought to be spent for development purposes in this State are being spent for welfare schemes...

Shri Kamakhya Prasad Tripathi— What I meant to state is that the investment expenditure of the State which brings return has been proportionately much less than what has been on investment that do not bring immediate return.

Shri Gaurisankar Bhattacharyya— The money which ought to be spent for developmental purposes should not be diverted for the normal expenditure of the State. Normal revenue expenditure should be borne from normal revenue sources. That would be fair. So far as developmental activities are concerned they ought to be from the planning budget and it should be seen that these, investments are made in those spheres and in that manner which actually ploughs back which gives some return. You are allowing tons to drift like this you are trying to get pennies or rather I should say ounces. This increased burden will fall on whom? What amount do you expect to get under this Act? Only 3 lakhs of rupees. What is the overall deficit of the State including debts. It is, I think, 292 crores. How much will it cover. Will it cover even 1000th part.

Shri Kamakhya Prasad Tripathi— We have envisaged 25 crores— 5 crores for five years.

Shri Gaurisankar Bhattacharyya— Even of that 25 crores what percentage would be this 3 Lakhs?

Shri Kamakhya Prasad Tripathi— Little drops of water makes the mighty ocean.

Shri Gaurisankar Bhattacharyya— The saying is that little drops of water, little grains of sand makes the might ocean and the pleasant land. So our Finance Minister in order to make his pleasant land and in order to make his past corrupt ocean wants to take the drops of blood that may yet be there with the common people. There might be drops of blood somewhere in the people and that also must be extracted So that there might be a mighty ocean of corruption where they may swin-all the 25 Minisiers of whom one has drowed. I cannot sing like Shri Bhupen Hazarika to sing "Sagar Sangamat Katana Saturilu tathapitu hoa nai klanta". These people have for 23 years been swimming in the ocean of corruption and yet their greed is not satisfied. They think that there are yet a few drops of blood with the common man - let aside those corrupt black marketeers, traders, let aside those tax dodgers.

Before the Congress came to power, for an affidavit, we had to pay 8 annus and after the Congress came to power, we had to pay 12 annus thereafter, one rupee, thereafter one and half rupee, thereafter 2 rupees and still thereafter 3 rupees. So, it is during the Congress regine that from 8 annus for an affidavit we are made to pay

Rs. 3/- and now we are to pay even more. You poor man poor student come and swear an affidavit that your father's annual income is less than 3,600/- and that this affidavit is necessary so that you may get means-cum-merit scholarship. You ordinary cultivator's son you also want to be a graduate and compete with my son, then pay the fine. Without it you are not fit. That is the attitude of this government. They want to raise a barrier on the future of our youth. They want to keep this administration in its pomp and glory of rule, an exclusive preserve only of themselves and so they want to create a barrier on the future of our youth and on our educated people and therefore they impose this fine. Not only that. In the matter of this affidavit, these poor people, if somebody gets a loan.... (interruption).

Shri Kamakhaya Prasad Tripathi - It is the same which is giving scholarships.

Shri Gaurisankar Bhattacharyya - Quite a lot of these scholarships are coming from the Central Government and I am grateful to the Hon'ble Minister, Finance for saying this. Yes scholarships have been given but one thing, I want to cite one example. When Assam included five subdivisions of Sylhet and also Nagaland before partition, what was the revenue that was collected from the undivided

Assam ? Only Rs. $4\frac{1}{2}$ crores. Now that all these 5 subdivisions of Sylhet all these 5 subdivisions of Sylhet have gone, Nagaland has gone. Meghalaya has gone and Assam is less than half of the original Assam, what is the revenue that this Government is collecting from the people ? Rs. 38 crores i. e., it has gone up by 900%

Shri Kamakhya Prasad Tripathi— The expenditure has also gone up to 110 crores.

Shri Gaurisankar Bhattacheryya. Has the additional figures gone 900% for the people. At the time when there was united Assam, there was only 5 Ministers but now half of united Assam cannot do with less than 26 Ministers.

Shir Kamakhya Prasad Tripathi— Rs. 23 crores is the Budgeted amount for Education.

Shir Gaurisankar Bhattacharyya— How much of this comes from the Central Government ? Leaving aside the question of the Central Government, the entire money is paid by the people because our Budget come to Rs. 200 crores including the Central Government and all these money directly or indirectly are from the people. I am leaving aside the indirect one which comes from the Central

Government. The Central Govt. does not come from the rain; it does not come from the air.

Shri Kamakhya Prasad Tripathi : This also comes from outside the country.

Shri Gaurisankar Bhattacharyya : Yes, this also comes from outside the country for which they attach strings.

Shri Kamakhya Prasad Tripathi : If there is any country which has taken loan from outside the country having least of strings, it is India.

Shri Gaurisankar Bhattacharyya : There may be least of strings but string is there which may be visible or invisible; that is natural. Even if we give loan to some people, we shall like to have some imposition; that is natural.

If any country takes a loan from outside, it cannot say that there is no string ; that string may not be apparent but the string is there. Afterall our Budget is not of Rs. 38 crores but it comes to Rs. 200 crores. For the time being, I am saying that at a time when Assam was 2 times bigger, its Ministry was only one-fifth of what it is now. At a time when Assam was atleast 2 times bigger, the collection of rate of tax from the people was atleast one-nineth of what it is now. So far as this particular measure is concerned, it is same.

Shri Kamakhya Prasad Tripathi— There has been inflation. And the purchasing power of the rupee has come down.

Shri Gaurisankar Bhattacharyya — Who gains as a result of this inflation. It is not the poor people who gained as a result of this inflation ; on the contrary, it is the common people who suffered as a result of this inflation. Those who have got limited and fixed income, they suffered because of this inflation. I can agree with the Finance Minister that in addition to the burden that our government and also the Govt. of India is putting on the people, there is also an additional burden and that burden is inflation ; that only supports my contention.

Shri Kamakhya Prasad Tripathi— That supports even this that those people who have not been able to produce anything, they have suffered but those agriculturists who have lands and who have been able to produce they have suffered least.

Shri Gaurisankar Bhattacharyya— Now, what is the position of those who have got sufficient lands and to spare. In Assam, those people who is on the economic level, their number is less then 50% ; more than 50% people actually are living beyond the marginal level and below

the economic level and those are not the people who gained as a result of the inflation. What is about our peasantry ? The over-whelming majority of our peasantry are not sellers.

Shri Kamakhya Prasad Tripathi— It is the richer and the richest who use most of the stamps.

Shri Gaurisankar Bhattacheryya— Even if one purchases a cow or a goat, if its price exceeds Rs. 20/- one will have to give stamp. Where as one had to pay 35 paise more, now one has to pay Rs. 3/-. So, it is only these items with which the common people, the poorest people are concerned. It is on these items that the increases have been made. If this legislation would have been otherwise, if there was a big transaction beyond... level, let there be increase; I would appreciate that. Those who are paying, they have been made to pay. Not that there is no transaction; there are transactions but we cannot catch most of these transactions and therefore we cannot tax. If the Finance Minister would have come with a proposal through which these tax-dodgers and these....

Tax dodger and transaction dodger if this could have been found out the only then the Finance Minister

would have put.

Shri Kamakhya Prasad Tripathi — So long the lawyers are in the country it is not possible.

Shri Gaurisankar Bhattacharyya — The lawyers may have a contribution towards that, but we are not concerned with that. At one time the Finance Minister and myself were doing that. The point is that whether on principle it is fair that the poorest people should be given additional burden whereas that richer class they are not touched at all by this. My only point is that if these bills continue then there is only one principle behind it and that principle is robbing the poor people to make them richer who are already rich enough. That is the correct thing of course the idea of the common people is that the Govt, have now got monopoly of misrule have a longer life. I oppose it and also sit down as the hon. Deputy Speaker is ringing his bell repeatedly.

(Several hon. Members rose to Speak)

Mr. Deputy Speaker — No, no have fixed the time 45 minutes. Your Leader has taken 45 minutes. Order order, 45 minutes is over. will the Minister reply now ?

Shri Kamakhya Prasad Tripathi — The speech is such it does not call for a reply. It has gone off the mark.

Mr. Deputy Speaker— I put the question that “that the Indian Stamp (Assam Amendment) Bill, 1970, be taken into consideration.

(Voices : Yes)

Shri Kamini Mohan Sarma— অধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, এই বিল জন-সাধাৰণক শোষণৰ কাৰণে অনা হৈছে। এই বিলখন কেতিয়াও পাছ হ'ব নোৱাৰে এই বিলৰ বিৰুদ্ধে আমি প্ৰতিবাদ কৰিছোঁ। এই বিল আলোচনাৰ কাৰণে, আমাক অধিকাৰ দিব লাগে। আজি অসমৰ জনসাধাৰণক অৱজ্ঞা কৰাৰ কাৰণে অসমৰ জনসাধাৰণক অৱহেলিত কৰাৰ কাৰণে, নানাভাৱে অত্যাচাৰ কৰি শোষণ কৰাৰ বাবে এই বিল কোনোবাকমে পাছ হ'ব নোৱাৰে।

Mr. Deputy Speaker— I put the question.....

Noise)

Shri Gaurisankar Bhattacharyya— Who has given you the right to do so? We shall not allow you to do this. Do you think that you are the Dictator?

(Division)

Mr. Deputy Speaker— The result of the Division is as follows :

Ayes— 40

Noes— 20

The motion is adopted.

[Uproar from the Opposition Benches].

Shri Kamakhya Prasad Tripathi— Sir, I beg to

move that the Indian Stamp (Assam Amendment) Bill, 1970 be passed.

Mr. Deputy Speaker — Motion moved, the question is that the Indian Stamp (Assam Amendment) Bill, 1970, be passed.

(The motion was adopted).

Dr. Bhupen Hazarika — Point of order Sir, আজিৰ সদনত আপুনি গণতন্ত্ৰৰ অধিকাৰক যেনেদৰে খৰ্ব কৰিলে তাৰ কাৰণে আপোনাক এই ফুলৰ মাল্য দান কৰিলো ।

(মালা দিয়ে)

Shri Kamini Mohan Sarma — উপাধ্যক্ষ মহোদয়, আজি আপুনি গণতন্ত্ৰক যিদৰে অৱমাননা কৰিলে সেই অপদস্থ গণতন্ত্ৰক এই ফুলৰ মাল্য প্ৰদান কৰিলো ।

(মালা দান)

(গুণ্ডগোল)

Mr. Deputy Speaker — Order ! Order ! Please.

(বিৰুদ্ধী পক্ষৰ সদস্যসকল থিয় হৈ Point of order বুলি চিঞৰিবলৈ ধৰে ।)

Ayes— 43

Shri A. Thanglura

Moulana Abdul Jalil chowdhury

Shri Abul Kasem

Shri Abdul Matlib Mazumder

Shri Ataur Rahman;

Shri Bazlul Basit.

Dr. Bhumidhar Barman

Shri Bishnulal Upadhyaya

Shri Bishwanath Upadhyaya

Shri Biswadev Sarma

Shri Chadra Gopal Karmakar

Shri Chatrasing Teron

Shri Dandiram Dutta

Shri Devendra Nath Hazarika

Shri Dharanidhar Choudhury

Shri Gajen Tanti

Shri Golok Chandra Patgiri

Shri Govinda Chandra Bora

Shri Joy Bhadra Hagjer

Shri Jagannath Sinha

Shri Kamakhya Prasad Tripathi

Shri Kandarpa Narayan Banikya

Shri Karuna Kanta Gogoi

Shri Lalchunga Chinzah

Smti Lily Sen Gupta.

Shri Mahendra Nath Hazarika

Shri Malia Tanti.

Shri Mera Chouba Singha

Shri Moinul Haque Choudhury
Shri Nakul Chandra Das
Shri Narayan Chandra Bhuyan
Shri Narendra Nath Sarma
Smti Padma Kumari Gohain
Shri Paramanand Gogoi
Shri Prabin Kumar Chouhdury
Shri Prabhat Narayan Chouhdury
Shri Prafulla Chouhhury
Mrs. Pranita Talukdar
Smti Pushpalata Das
Shri Pushpadhar Chaliha
Shri Ramesh Chandra Barooah
Shri Ranendra Basumatri
Shri Ratneswar Kongar
Shri Sadhan Ranjan Sarkar
Shri Sai Sai Terang
Shri Sarat Chandra Goswami
Shri Satindra Mohan Dev
Shri Shiba Prasad Baidya
Shri Surendra Chandra Barooah
Shri Surendra Nath Das
Shri Syed Ahmed Ali
Shri Tilok Chandra Gogoi
Shri Upendra Nath Sanatan

Shri Uttam Chandra Bahma.

Noes

Shri A. N. Akram Hussain.

Shri Bhubaneswar Barman

Dr. Bhupen Hazarika

Shri Dulal Chandra Barua

Shri Gaurisankar Bhattacharyya

Shri Giasuddin Ahmed

Shri Govinda Kalita

Shri Hiralal Patuary

Shri Jalal Uddin Ahmed

Shri Kabir Chandra Roy Pradhan

Shri Kamini Mohan Sarma

Shri Lakshya Dhar Choudhury

Shri Maneswar Boro

Shri Nameswar Pegu

Shri Premadhar Bora

Shri Promode Chandra Gogoi

Shri Romesh Mohan Kouli

Shri Sailen Medhi

Shri Sarat Chandra Rava

Dr. Surendra Nath Das

Shri Tazemul Ali Laskar